

Updated July 20, 2026

Army's Integrated Visual Augmentation System (IVAS)/Soldier Borne Mission Command (SBMC)

Between 2018 and 2025, the U.S. Army developed several versions of the Integrated Visual Augmentation System (IVAS) as part of an effort to improve the combat effectiveness and training of soldiers. IVAS includes a “ruggedized” (i.e., strengthened) extended reality (XR) heads-up display (HUD) originally based on Microsoft’s commercially available HoloLens as well as a wearable computer and battery pack, a squad radio, Squad Immersive Virtual Trainer (SiVT), and other enabling capabilities. (SiVT is to provide squad-level training in an XR synthetic training environment.) According to Army documents, IVAS “integrates next generation 24/7 situational awareness tools and high resolution digital sensors to deliver a single platform that improves Soldier sensing, decision making, target acquisition, and target engagement.”

In July 2026, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) noted that “the Army determined that none of [the 10,000] developed [IVAS] units will be fielded” and that IVAS 1.2 will now transition to a rapid prototyping effort, Soldier Borne Mission Command (SBMC). As Congress evaluates SBMC authorizations and appropriations, it may consider the program’s history and technological maturity as well as its implications for military personnel, force structure, and cybersecurity.

Background and Program History

IVAS

In November 2018, the Army awarded an Other Transaction Agreement (OTA)—worth up to \$22 billion over 10 years—to Microsoft for the development of IVAS. (For information about such agreements, see CRS In Focus IF12856, *Defense Primer: Other Transactions (OTs)*, by David H. Carpenter and Alexandra G. Neenan.) The service has since procured several increments of the system. In 2022, it procured 5,000 IVAS 1.0 systems, which reportedly provided “baseline capabilities, which include navigation and rapid target acquisition with weapons sight camera linkage.” In 2023, the service procured 5,000 IVAS 1.1 systems, which reportedly added an “improved low-light sensor to aid maneuver and positive target identification.” These early versions of the system reportedly caused users to suffer “‘mission-affecting physical impairments,’ including headaches, eyestrain, and nausea.” These symptoms are similar to those experienced by some users of commercially available XR headsets.

The prototype of the final increment, IVAS 1.2, redesigned the HUD and reduced its field of view from 70-degrees to

60-degrees. The Army requested \$255 million to procure 3,162 IVAS 1.2 HUDs in FY2025 as one of multiple programs in a line item for “Night Vision Devices.” It additionally requested \$58.6 million in research, development, test, and evaluation funding for the HUD and \$18.9 million in such funding for SiVT. On April 10, 2025, the Army reportedly approved a “contract novation” (i.e., a legal action in which one party replaces another party in a contract) that effectively transferred Microsoft’s contract for IVAS to Anduril. Anduril had previously announced that it was partnering with Microsoft to integrate its Lattice open software platform into IVAS. Anduril describes Lattice as “[using] technologies like sensor fusion, computer vision ... and machine learning and artificial intelligence to detect, track, and classify every object of interest in an operator’s vicinity.”

According to the *FY2025 Annual Report* of the Office of the Director, Operation Test and Evaluation, the Army determined that it would not “test, produce, or field any IVAS variant systems in the future.”

IVAS Next/Soldier Borne Mission Command

The Army hosted its first “Industry Day” for the IVAS 1.2 follow-on effort, then called IVAS Next, in December 2023. This event was intended to “inform interested companies of the IVAS Next requirements and acquisition path,” according to an official government notice. In January 2025, the Army issued a request for information (RFI)—including vendor proposals for “technical approach, key features and expected performance, projected benefits, and technology readiness level”—for IVAS Next. This RFI was followed by a second, virtual “Industry Day” in February 2025. In March 2025, the Army released documents announcing that IVAS Next was to be renamed the Soldier Borne Mission Command (SBMC) and stating that the service “anticipates a full and open competition for SBMC.” The Army has awarded contracts to Anduril (worth \$159 million) and start-up firm Rivet (worth \$195 million) for SBMC, which the service describes as a “new effort to develop a fused digital awareness system optimized to emerging modular sensor technologies.” The contracts are to reportedly “cover an 18-month rapid prototyping sprint.”

Potential Issues for Congress

Congress may consider a number of issues as it continues to evaluate Department of Defense (DOD, which is using the Department of War as a “secondary title” under Executive Order 14347 of September 5, 2025) investments in SBMC. Some of these issues may include, but not necessarily be

limited to, user acceptance, cost and technical risk, personnel, and cybersecurity and information security.

User Acceptance

A 2022 Department of Defense Inspector General audit of IVAS concluded that the Army had not previously “[defined] suitable user acceptance measurements for testing and evaluation” and that it could “result in wasting up to \$21.88 billion in taxpayer funds to field a system that Soldiers many not want to use, or use as intended” on the battlefield. As GAO notes, “problems that users reported with the 1.0 and 1.1 versions were not adequately addressed in 1.2.” Such problems include “poor performance in low-light conditions and the added weight on users’ helmets.” Given that the design of SBMC is to be informed by IVAS 1.2, Congress may seek additional information about the results of subsequent user acceptance assessments and/or require such assessments for SBMC.

Figure 1. Soldier Wearing IVAS



Source: DOD, Director, Operational Test and Evaluation, “Integrated Visual Augmentation System (IVAS),” in *FY2025 Annual Report*.

Cost and Technical Risk

Although the Army has not yet released a potential top line for SBMC, it is likely to require significant up-front development costs. Once fielded, however, SBMC may reduce training costs by removing the need to centralize personnel, use live ammunition, or operate platforms. Conversely, SBMC may have higher sustainment costs than less technologically sophisticated systems. To assess these issues, Congress could direct the Comptroller General, the head of GAO, to conduct an independent analysis of the potential benefits (e.g., increased soldier lethality) and drawbacks (e.g., physical impairments, cognitive overload) of SBMC. This analysis may determine whether there are less costly, alternative means of achieving any identified benefits. Congress may also seek to obtain information about the projected lifecycle costs—including maintenance requirements—for SBMC. Congress may direct the Army to consider additional means of testing SBMC. For example, GAO notes that digital twins or digital threads could “enable rapid iterative design cycles that incorporate user feedback early in the development process.”

Personnel

SBMC and other XR programs may have a number of implications for military personnel and force structure. If the U.S. military is able to achieve efficiencies in training or warfighting, for example, it may be able to shift personnel away from training units or reduce overall manpower requirements—with a smaller number of troops retained at higher levels of readiness. Conversely, SBMC may produce greater demand for IT maintenance and cybersecurity personnel. This demand could offset reductions occurring elsewhere in the force or increase overall manpower requirements. The Army Transformation Initiative, announced by Army leaders on May 1, 2025, could result in significant personnel and force structure cuts, potentially leading to changed requirements for SBMC.

Cybersecurity and Information Security

Some analysts have raised concerns about the potential cybersecurity and information security vulnerabilities of XR systems, noting the possibility of an “inception attack” that could allow an adversary to steal data or manipulate social interactions. In the case of military XR systems such as SBMC, these vulnerabilities could grant an adversary access to high-value-target databases for training, weapons maintenance, image classification, mapping, and other functions; or information about the location of U.S. forces.

If an adversary were to gain control of SBMC, the adversary could distort the common operational picture used to coordinate military actions or cause the system to misidentify people and platforms—potentially resulting in fratricide or unintended civilian casualties. The adversary could also gain command and control of U.S. uncrewed systems. (One potential use case of SBMC is to fly microdrones for intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance missions.) Congress may request briefings on the findings of DOD’s security tests of SBMC or withhold funds if the system is found to have significant vulnerabilities.

Related CRS Products and Other Resources

- CRS In Focus IF12010, *Military Applications of Extended Reality*, by Kelley M. Saylor
- CRS In Focus IF10159, *Cybersecurity*, by Eric A. Fischer and Catherine A. Theohary
- DOD Inspector General, “(U) Audit of the Army’s Integrated Visual Augmentation System,” April 20, 2022, <https://media.defense.gov/2022/Apr/22/2002981953/-1/-1/1/DODIG-2022-085.PDF>.
- DOD, Office of the Director, Operational Test and Evaluation, “Integrated Visual Augmentation System (IVAS),” in *FY2025 Annual Report*, March 2026, <https://www.dote.osd.mil/Portals/97/pub/reports/FY2025/Other/2025Annual-Report.pdf>.
- GAO, *Weapons Systems Annual Assessment*, GAO-26-108457, July 2026, <https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-26-108457.pdf>.

Kelley M. Sayler, Specialist in Advanced Technology and
Global Security

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.