

FY2026 Defense Budget: Funding for Selected Weapon Systems

Updated July 2, 2026

Congressional Research Service

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

R48860



R48860

July 2, 2026

Daniel M. Gettinger
Analyst in U.S. Defense
Policy

FY2026 Defense Budget: Funding for Selected Weapon Systems

The second Trump Administration’s Department of Defense (DOD) budget request for FY2026 included \$848.3 billion in discretionary funding and \$113.3 billion in mandatory funding (DOD is “using a secondary Department of War designation,” under Executive Order 14347, dated September 5, 2025). Of the discretionary funding portion, DOD requested a combined total of \$295.3 billion in procurement and research, development, test, and evaluation (RDT&E) funding, including proposed amounts for various weapon systems of congressional interest. Congress may decide to approve, reject, or modify such proposals.

By way of background, in its FY2026 budget request, DOD included two types of funding: *mandatory* funding that DOD assumed Congress would provide in an FY2025 reconciliation law (later enacted as P.L. 119-21) and *discretionary* funding that DOD requested for Congress to authorize and appropriate for FY2026 as part of a *base* budget (i.e., recurring costs to staff, train, and equip the armed forces). For FY2026, to varying degrees, DOD proposed allocating both mandatory and discretionary funding for programs, projects, and activities associated with weapon systems procurement and RDT&E.

DOD has described its FY2026 budget request as making “generational investments” in Administration priorities, including in air and missile defense, the Air Force’s F-47 next-generation fighter aircraft, and shipbuilding. Relative to amounts Congress authorized and appropriated for FY2025, DOD’s FY2026 request also proposed increasing funding for certain hypersonic weapons programs and space-based systems. At the same time, DOD’s FY2026 request proposed reducing funding for other weapon systems, such as certain ground systems, the Navy’s F/A-XX next-generation fighter, and the Air Force’s E-7A Wedgetail airborne early warning aircraft—changes that could lead to program delays or cancellation.

During consideration of proposals for a National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2026 (NDAA; later enacted as P.L. 119-60) and a Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 (later enacted as Division A of P.L. 119-75), Members of Congress proposed providing more funding, the same amount, or less funding than the President requested for selected weapon systems. The enacted versions of the bills authorized and appropriated more funding than DOD requested for the Virginia-class submarine, Arleigh Burke-class destroyer, and E-7A Wedgetail, and less funding than requested for the E-2D Advanced Hawkeye aircraft, among other changes. Appropriators diverged from the amounts DOD requested and those authorized for several weapon systems, including by providing more funding than DOD requested for the Medium Landing Ship, C-130J Hercules cargo aircraft, and the F/A-XX fighter, among others.

Divergent views in the Administration and Congress over perceived threats, defense strategy and requirements, and program performance generated debates over FY2026 funding for weapon systems procurement and RDT&E. Disagreements accompanying Congress’s decision to use, for the first time, the reconciliation process to provide additional funding to DOD, and DOD’s estimation of how such funds should be allocated to programs, contributed to changes to funding for certain weapon systems. Authorizers and appropriators, for example, disagreed with the Navy’s plan to fund the procurement of additional Arleigh Burke (DDG-51) class destroyers and a second Virginia-class submarine using resources provided in the reconciliation process instead of the annual authorization and appropriation process. In the enacted NDAA and defense appropriations act, Congress authorized and appropriated more discretionary funding than DOD requested for the destroyers and the Virginia-class submarine.

Contents

Introduction	1
Background	1
DOD FY2026 Proposed Budget, Including Reconciliation Funding	1
FY2026 DOD “Reconciliation Spend Plan”	3
FY2026 Supplemental Funding Request	4
Congressional Action on the DOD Discretionary Funding Request	4
Funding for Selected Weapon Systems	5
Aircraft and Related Weapon Systems	6
Communications and Space-Based Systems	12
Communications Systems	12
Space-Based Systems	12
Ground Systems	16
Hypersonic Weapons	19
Missile Defense	21
Missiles and Munitions	24
Shipbuilding and Maritime Systems	28

Tables

Table 1. FY2026 Funding Authorizations and Appropriations for Selected DOD Aircraft	8
Table 2. FY2026 Funding Authorizations and Appropriations for Selected DOD C4I and Space-Based Systems	14
Table 3. FY2026 Funding Authorizations and Appropriations for Selected DOD Ground Systems	17
Table 4. FY2026 Funding Authorizations and Appropriations for Selected DOD Hypersonic Weapons Programs	20
Table 5. FY2026 Funding Authorizations and Appropriations for Selected DOD Missile Defense Systems	22
Table 6. FY2026 Funding Authorizations and Appropriations for Selected DOD Missiles and Munitions	25
Table 7. FY2026 Funding Authorizations and Appropriations for Selected DOD Shipbuilding and Maritime Systems Programs	29
Table A-1. FY2026 Funding for Selected Weapon Systems Provided in the FY2025 Reconciliation Law	32

Appendixes

Appendix. FY2026 Funding for Selected Weapon Systems in the FY2025 Reconciliation Law	32
--	----

Contacts

Author Information.....	36
-------------------------	----

Introduction

This report addresses actions by Congress on the Trump Administration's FY2026 budget request for Department of Defense (DOD) procurement and research, development, test, and evaluation (RDT&E) funding related to programs, projects, and activities for selected weapon systems (DOD is "using a secondary Department of War designation," under Executive Order 14347, dated September 5, 2025).¹ The report provides background information on the Administration's FY2026 request and on defense funding provided in the FY2025 reconciliation law (P.L. 119-21). It details the requested and enacted funding amounts for selected weapon systems and highlights points of difference between the amounts requested and those authorized and appropriated. Except as a matter of background, this report does not address the DOD budget at the account level, nor funding for DOD operations and maintenance, military personnel, military construction, other defense-related activities. The report does not address classified funding.

Background

DOD FY2026 Proposed Budget, Including Reconciliation Funding

On May 2, 2025, President Donald J. Trump submitted to Congress a "skinny budget" proposal for FY2026 discretionary funding.² For FY2026, the Administration stated that it intended to allocate, in addition to \$848.3 billion in discretionary funding for DOD, a total of \$113.3 billion for DOD from mandatory funding that it assumed Congress would provide through the budget reconciliation process, for a total of \$961.6 billion.³ On May 30, the Office of Management and Budget released a budget appendix supplement that outlined discretionary funding requested by the Administration for DOD appropriations accounts.⁴ On June 26, DOD submitted additional information on its FY2026 budget proposal to Congress, including funding requested at the line-item level for DOD programs, projects, and activities.⁵ Spreadsheets accompanying the

¹ Executive Order 14347 of September 5, 2025, "Restoring the United States Department of War," 90 *Federal Register* 43893, September 10, 2025, <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/09/10/2025-17508/restoring-the-united-states-department-of-war>.

² White House, "The White House Office of Management and Budget Releases the President's Fiscal Year 2026 Skinny Budget," May 2, 2025, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefings-statements/2025/05/the-white-house-office-of-management-and-budget-releases-the-presidents-fiscal-year-2026-skinny-budget/>. The term "skinny budget" has been used to refer to a partial budget request containing discretionary funding, not mandatory funding.

³ According to Office of Management and Budget Director Russell T. Vought: "The Administration assumes enactment of a reconciliation bill later this year that will include at least \$325 billion in additional resources (including \$175 billion for border/non-defense and \$150 billion for defense) to supplemental certain discretionary activities. For 2026, the Administration assumes a total of \$163.1 billion will be allocation with \$113.3 billion going to the Department of Defense, \$43.8 billion for the Department of Homeland Security, and \$6 billion for NNSA." Letter from Russell T. Vought, Director, Office of Management and Budget, to Senator Susan Collins, Chair, Committee on Appropriations, United States Senate, May 2, 2025, p. 46 (of the PDF), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/05/Fiscal-Year-2026-Discretionary-Budget-Request.pdf>.

For the broader category of national defense funding, of which DOD funding is a part, the Administration identified \$1.01 trillion for FY2026, including \$892.6 billion in discretionary funding and \$119.3 billion in mandatory funding. See p. 43 (of the PDF).

⁴ Office of Management and Budget, *Technical Supplement to the 2026 Budget*, Washington, DC, May 30, 2025, pp. 225-240, https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/05/appendix_fy2026.pdf.

⁵ Department of Defense (DOD), "Senior Officials Outline President's Proposed FY26 Defense Budget," press release, June 26, 2025, <https://www.war.gov/News/News-Stories/Article/Article/4227847/senior-officials-outline-presidents-proposed-fy26-defense-budget/>. DOD released additional documents to accompany its FY2026 budget request in July (continued...)

department's submission described such funding by type: "FY 2026 Disc Request" for discretionary funding, "FY 2026 Reconciliation Request" for mandatory funding, and "FY 2026 Total" as the sum total.⁶

DOD prepared and submitted its proposed budget for FY2026 before Congress passed the FY2025 reconciliation law. The department stated that the House Armed Services Committee (HASC) markup of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act (H.R. 1) served as the basis for, and mostly aligned with, the "FY 2026 Reconciliation Request" amounts in its budget submission.⁷ According to DOD, the mandatory funding provided in the reconciliation law was an "integral part of the DOD FY 2026 topline of \$961.6 billion and complements the \$848.3 billion in requested discretionary resources."⁸ The latter figure included a combined total of \$295.3 billion for account types used to fund weapon systems, including \$153.3 billion for procurement and \$142.0 billion for research, development, test, and evaluation (RDT&E).⁹

On July 3, 2025, the House passed the Senate-passed version of H.R. 1, which included various changes from the version first introduced by the House.¹⁰ On July 4, President Trump signed the bill into law (enacted as P.L. 119-21). The law included \$156.2 billion for defense.¹¹ All funds carried a five-year period of availability, meaning they remain available for obligation until September 30, 2029, and expenditure through FY2034.

P.L. 119-21 specified multiple purposes and dollar amounts for each of the 13 sections in Title II of the act. Some of the funding provisions differed in key respects from the legislative language of annual appropriations acts in that they did not specify the type of DOD appropriations account (e.g., procurement, RDT&E, military construction [MILCON]) to which the funds were to be allocated. Additionally, the enacted bill did not include an accompanying report or explanatory statement linking each bill provision to budgetary line-item appropriation accounts, as has been typical for annual appropriations acts.

Some Members of Congress have questioned the degree to which DOD's proposed allocation of reconciliation resources in its FY2026 budget request aligned with reconciliation legislation. For example, in June 2025, Senator Roger F. Wicker, chair of the Senate Armed Services Committee (SASC), said the Administration's FY2026 budget "seems to be written as if there are many items

and August. For example, see DOD, Office of the Undersecretary of Defense, Comptroller/Chief Financial Officer, *Defense Budget Overview*, United States Department of Defense Fiscal Year 2026 Budget Request, July 2025, https://comptroller.war.gov/Portals/45/Documents/defbudget/FY2026/FY2026_Budget_Request_Overview_Book.pdf.

⁶ The website of the DOD Comptroller/Chief Financial Officer contains spreadsheets that summarize requested dollar amounts at the line-item level (i.e., a particular program, project, or activity) for each budget category account. For procurement and RDT&E, see Office of the Undersecretary of Defense Comptroller/Chief Financial Officer, *Procurement Programs (P-1)*, *Department of Defense Budget, Fiscal Year 2026*, June 2025, https://comptroller.defense.gov/Portals/45/Documents/defbudget/FY2026/FY2026_p1.pdf; and *RDT&E Programs (R-1)*, *Department of Defense Budget, Fiscal Year 2026*, June 2025, https://comptroller.defense.gov/Portals/45/Documents/defbudget/FY2026/FY2026_r1.pdf.

⁷ DOD, Office of the Undersecretary of Defense Comptroller/Chief Financial Officer, *Department of Defense Fiscal Year 2026 Mandatory Funding Overview*, July 2025, p. 2, https://comptroller.war.gov/Portals/45/Documents/defbudget/FY2026/DoD_FY2026_Mandatory_Funding_Overview.pdf.

⁸ DOD, *Department of Defense Fiscal Year 2026 Mandatory Funding Overview*, p. 2.

⁹ DOD, *Defense Budget Overview*, Fiscal Year 2026 Budget Request, p. A-5.

¹⁰ For more information, see CRS Insight IN12580, *Defense Funding in the 2025 Reconciliation Law (H.R. 1; P.L. 119-21, Title II)*, by Cameron M. Keys and Daniel M. Gettinger.

¹¹ Congressional Budget Office (CBO), *Estimated Budgetary Effects of P.L. 119-21, to Provide for Reconciliation Pursuant to Title II of H.Con.Res. 14, Relative to CBO's January 2025 Baseline*, July 21, 2025, <https://www.cbo.gov/publication/61570>.

in the reconciliation package that simply are not in that bill.”¹² Senator Mitch McConnell, chair of the Senate Appropriations Committee Defense Subcommittee (SAC-D), questioned why the Administration had not included investments in shipbuilding in its discretionary budget request and instead relied on resources provided through the reconciliation process: “Why are we allocating funds under extraordinary parliamentary authorities for capabilities that would otherwise have been funded in an annual appropriation?”¹³

Some Members have reportedly asked that the department provide details concerning its plan for allocating the resources provided in P.L. 119-21 to specific programs, projects, and activities.¹⁴ In a reported July 22, 2025, letter to Secretary of Defense Peter B. Hegseth (who is using “Secretary of War” as a “secondary title,” under Executive Order 14347, dated September 5, 2025),¹⁵ Senator Wicker and HASC Chair Mike D. Rogers provided the secretary with guidance on how the committees intended defense funding provided in the enacted reconciliation law to be allocated, and requested that DOD submit a “spending, expenditure, or operating plan” for such funding by August 22.¹⁶ In October 2025, DOD reportedly submitted a partial, classified spending plan for approximately \$90 billion of the \$156.2 billion in defense reconciliation funding.¹⁷

FY2026 DOD “Reconciliation Spend Plan”

In May 2026, DOD sent a letter to the chairs of the congressional defense committees and an accompanying “FY 2026 Reconciliation Spend Plan,” which the department also published on its website.¹⁸ The plan included a “detailed breakout of the \$153.3 billion” that Congress provided DOD in P.L. 119-21.¹⁹ Although Congress provided the funds in P.L. 119-21 with a five-year

¹² Senator Roger Wicker, “Chairman Wicker Leads SASC Hearing on the FY26 Defense Budget with Senior Pentagon Leadership,” press release, June 18, 2025, <https://www.wicker.senate.gov/2025/6/chairman-wicker-leads-sasc-hearing-on-the-fy26-defense-budget-with-senior-pentagon-leadership>.

¹³ Senator Mitch McConnell, “McConnell Opening Statement at SAC-D Hearing on FY 26 Budget Request for the Navy,” press release, June 24, 2025, <https://www.mcconnell.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/pressreleases?ID=9028329A-8D3B-44FF-A71C-77CF39A9FFD0>.

¹⁴ For example, see Letter from Representative Mike D. Rogers, chairman of the House Committee on Armed Services, and Senator Roger F. Wicker, chairman of the Senate Committee on Armed Services, to Peter B. Hegseth, Secretary of Defense, July 22, 2025, as published on *Inside Defense*, https://insidedefense.com/sites/insidedefense.com/files/documents/2025/jul/07252025_HASC_letter.pdf; and Tony Bertuca, “Senate Democrats raise ‘slush fund’ concerns, want answers from Hegseth on reconciliation spending,” *Inside Defense*, February 5, 2026, <https://insidedefense.com/daily-news/senate-democrats-raise-slush-fund-concerns-want-answers-hegseth-reconciliation-spending>

¹⁵ E.O. 14347.

¹⁶ Letter from Representative Mike D. Rogers and Senator Roger F. Wicker to Peter B. Hegseth, Secretary of Defense, July 22, 2025, as published on *Inside Defense*; and “OBDD SASC/HASC Intent for DOD,” https://insidedefense.com/sites/insidedefense.com/files/documents/2025/jul/07252025_HASC_attachment2.pdf.

¹⁷ Tony Bertuca, “DOD sends Congress first ‘tranche’ of budget reconciliation spending plan,” *Inside Defense*, October 21, 2025, <https://insidedefense.com/daily-news/dod-sends-congress-first-%E2%80%98tranche%E2%80%99-budget-reconciliation-spending-plan>.

¹⁸ For example, see letter from Jules W. Hurst III, Acting Under Secretary of War (Comptroller)/Chief Financial Officer, to Representative Ken Calvert, Chair, Subcommittee of Defense, House Committee on Appropriations, May 3, 2026, https://comptroller.war.gov/Portals/45/Documents/news/FY2026_Mandatory_Funding_Allocation_Plan.pdf; and DOD, Office of the Undersecretary of Defense, Comptroller/Chief Financial Officer, *FY 2026 Mandatory Funding Allocation Plan*, May 2026, https://comptroller.war.gov/Portals/45/Documents/news/FY2026_Mandatory_Funding_Allocation_Plan.pdf.

¹⁹ Letter from Jules W. Hurst III, Acting Under Secretary of War (Comptroller)/Chief Financial Officer, to Representative Ken Calvert, Chair, Subcommittee of Defense, House Committee on Appropriations.

In addition to funds provided in Title II of P.L. 119-21, the DOD reconciliation spend plan included funding for the defense production act provided in Title III, Section 30004 of the act. The DOD spend plan did not include \$3.9 billion provided for the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) in Section 20008(b) of the act.

period of availability, DOD reported that it planned to allocate \$151.5 billion of the \$153.3 billion to programs, projects, and activities in FY2026.²⁰

In the letter to the committee chairs and in summary documents that accompanied its budget request to Congress for FY2027, DOD specified the amount of funding it planned to allocate to specific line items in FY2026 using funds provided by P.L. 119-21.²¹ The **Appendix** of this report details FY2026 mandatory funding amounts and system quantities requested by DOD in its FY2026 budget request and those subsequently reported as allocated in its FY2027 budget request.

FY2026 Supplemental Funding Request

Congress may decide whether or not to provide supplemental FY2026 funding for DOD weapon systems programs, which could affect the total amount appropriated for DOD weapon systems programs in FY2026. In June 2026, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) submitted to Congress a supplemental funding request for costs associated with U.S. military operations against Iran in 2026 and other Administration priorities.²² According to the letter, OMB requested \$67 billion for the department, including funding for “military personnel and readiness expenses, operational costs to rebuild stocks expended by [DOD], classified programs, and other key expenses.”²³ In terms of identified types of weapons and equipment, the request identified \$21 billion for munitions; \$5.1 billion for cybersecurity and autonomy; \$2.4 billion for drones; \$4 billion for airborne moving target indication and space data network backbone.²⁴ Congress may decide whether to provide more, less, or the same amount of supplemental funding the Administration requested for costs incurred by U.S. military operations against Iran.

Congressional Action on the DOD Discretionary Funding Request

Actions of the congressional defense committees on proposed legislation to authorize and appropriate FY2026 funding for DOD weapon systems have included the following:

- The House Committee on Appropriations (HAC) on June 16, 2025, reported a Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026, and accompanying committee report (H.R. 4016; H.Rept. 119-162). This activity occurred before DOD released on June 26, 2025, additional details of its budget request. HAC appears to have based its markup on enacted appropriations for DOD for FY2025 and on the

²⁰ DOD, *FY 2026 Mandatory Funding Allocation Plan*, p. 2.

²¹ For example, see DOD, Office of the Undersecretary of Defense Comptroller/Chief Financial Officer, *Procurement Programs (P-1), Department War Budget, Fiscal Year 2027*, April 2026, https://comptroller.war.gov/Portals/45/Documents/defbudget/FY2027/FY2027_p1.pdf.

²² Letter from Russell T. Vought, Director of the Office of Management and Budget, to Representative Mike Johnson, Speaker of the House, June 24, 2026, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2026/06/2026.06.24-Letter-to-the-Honorable-Mike-Johnson.pdf>. For news coverage of the FY2026 supplemental request, see, for example, Tony Bertuca, “White House Sends Congress \$67B Defense Supplemental to Pay for Iran Conflict,” *Inside Defense*, June 24, 2026, <https://insidedefense.com/daily-news/white-house-sends-congress-67b-defense-supplemental-pay-iran-conflict>.

²³ Letter from Russell T. Vought, Director of the Office of Management and Budget, to Representative Mike Johnson, Speaker of the House, June 24, 2026, pp. 1, 3.

²⁴ Letter from Russell T. Vought, Director of the Office of Management and Budget, to Representative Mike Johnson, Speaker of the House, June 24, 2026, p. 3.

department's FY2025 projection of what it anticipated allocating in FY2026.²⁵ The House on July 18, 2025, passed an amended version of H.R. 4016.

- The Senate Committee on Appropriations (SAC) on July 31, 2025, reported a Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026, and accompanying committee report (S. 2572; S.Rept. 119-52).
- HASC on August 19 reported the Streamlining Procurement for Effective Execution and Delivery and National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2026, and accompanying report (H.R. 3838; H.Rept. 119-231). The House on September 10, 2025, passed an amended version of H.R. 3838.
- SASC on July 15, 2025, reported a National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2026 and accompanying report (S. 2296; S.Rept. 119-39). The Senate on October 9, 2025, passed an amended version of S. 2296.

On December 7, 2025, HASC and SASC released a negotiated version of an FY2026 NDAA (S. 1071).²⁶ The House passed the negotiated version, a House amendment in the nature of a substitute to an unrelated Senate bill, on December 10, 2025. The Senate passed the House amendment to S. 1071 on December 17, 2025, and the President signed the bill into law on December 18, 2025 (enacted as P.L. 119-60).²⁷

On January 20, 2026, HAC and SAC released a negotiated version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 as Division A of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026 (H.R. 7148).²⁸ The House passed H.R. 7148 on January 22, 2026. The Senate passed an amended version of H.R. 7148 on January 30, 2026; the House passed the amended version of the bill on February 3, 2026. The President signed the bill into law on February 3, 2026 (enacted as P.L. 119-75).

Funding for Selected Weapon Systems

This section details funding amounts and quantities for selected defense acquisition programs that DOD requested as part of President Trump's FY2026 budget. The requested figures include discretionary amounts from DOD's FY2026 budget request to Congress and mandatory amounts

²⁵ CRS analysis of H.Rept. 119-162 and DOD budget request for FY2025. DOD's projected figures for FY2026 may or may not have aligned with its actual request for FY2026.

²⁶ House Armed Services Committee, "House and Senate Armed Services Committees Release Final NDAA Text," press release, December 8, 2025, <https://armedservices.house.gov/news/documentsingle.aspx?DocumentID=6359>. On December 10, the *Congressional Record* published a joint explanatory statement submitted by Representative Rogers to accompany S. 1071. See "Joint Explanatory Material Statement Submitted by Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Chair of the House Committee on Armed Services, on S. 1071," *Congressional Record*, vol. 171, no. 208 (December 10, 2025), pp. H5571-H5764, <https://www.congress.gov/119/crec/2025/12/10/171/208/CREC-2025-12-10-pt2-PgH5571-2.pdf>.

²⁷ For more information, see CRS Insight IN12653, *FY2026 NDAA: Status of Legislative Activity*, by Valerie Heitshusen and Daniel M. Gettinger, and CRS Insight IN12641, *FY2026 NDAA: Summary of Funding Authorizations*, by Daniel M. Gettinger and Cameron M. Keys.

²⁸ United States Senate Committee on Appropriations, "Committee Releases Conferenced Defense, Homeland Security, Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies, and Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Bills," January 20, 2026, <https://www.appropriations.senate.gov/news/majority/committee-releases-conferenced-defense-homeland-security-labor-health-and-human-services-education-and-related-agencies-and-transportation-housing-and-urban-development-and-related-agencies-bills>. On January 22, the *Congressional Record* published a joint explanatory statement submitted by Representative Cole to accompany H.R. 7148. See "Explanatory Statement Submitted by Mr. Cole, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, on H.R. 7148, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026; and H.R. 7147, Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2026," *Congressional Record*, vol. 172, no. 15 (January 22, 2026), pp. H1353-H1909, <https://www.congress.gov/119/crec/2026/01/22/172/15/CREC-2026-01-22-pt2-PgH1353-2.pdf>.

from then-proposed FY2025 reconciliation funding that the department anticipated allocating in FY2026.²⁹ CRS based its analysis of funding for such programs in part on the “major weapons system summary” table published in the FY2026 version of the annual DOD budget document *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*,³⁰ as well as on programs of congressional interest. The amounts presented in this report may not include all costs associated with such programs.³¹ For example, amounts associated with military construction (MILCON) and operation and maintenance (O&M) to house and sustain such systems, and for military personnel (MILPERS) to operate such systems, are not included. In some cases, CRS included related programmatic funding that was not included in the DOD document.

Aircraft and Related Weapon Systems

In its FY2026 budget submission to Congress, DOD requested \$68.3 billion in procurement and RDT&E funding for aircraft and related systems, including fighters, bombers, tankers and cargo aircraft, attack and utility helicopters, and uncrewed aircraft systems (UAS, commonly known as drones).³² Of this amount, DOD requested a total of approximately \$54.9 billion for the selected aircraft and related systems detailed in **Table 1**.³³ In its discretionary funding proposal, DOD requested \$44.0 billion in procurement and RDT&E funding for the selected aircraft and related systems.³⁴

The enacted FY2026 NDAA authorized \$45.0 billion in discretionary funding for the selected aircraft, \$1.0 billion more than DOD requested in discretionary resources for such systems (see **Table 1**). The law authorized more funding than DOD requested for the Air Force E-7A Wedgetail and C-130J Hercules, and less funding than DOD requested for the Navy E-2D Hawkeye, among other changes.³⁵ The enacted NDAA did not include proposals in the Senate-passed version of the NDAA to authorize more funding than DOD requested for certain aircraft development programs, including for the Air Force F-47 and Navy F/A-XX next-generation fighter programs.³⁶

The enacted FY2026 DOD appropriations act provided \$49.1 billion in discretionary funding for the selected aircraft, \$5.1 billion more than DOD requested (see **Table 1**). P.L. 119-75 appropriated more funding than DOD requested for the E-7A Wedgetail and C-130J Hercules,

²⁹ CRS analysis of amounts for certain programs is based on additional DOD data provided to CRS in response to a request for information on October 7, 2025, and on file with the author.

³⁰ DOD, Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)/Chief Financial Officer, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, July 2025, https://comptroller.defense.gov/Portals/45/Documents/defbudget/FY2026/FY2026_Weapons.pdf.

³¹ FY2026 enacted amounts for weapons systems listed in the following tables are based on information supporting the FY2026 President’s budget request and may not reflect partial funding amounts subsequently identified in information supporting the FY2027 President’s budget request.

³² DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. 1-1.

³³ CRS analysis of DOD FY2026 budget documentation. CRS based its analysis in part on the aircraft programs identified in the “major weapons system summary” table in the annual *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System* document, as well as on aircraft programs that generated congressional interest. For the former, see DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. vii.

³⁴ CRS analysis of DOD FY2026 DOD budget documentation.

³⁵ “Joint Explanatory Material Submitted by Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Chair of the House Committee on Armed Services, on S. 1071,” *Congressional Record*, pp. H5677, H5684, H5703.

³⁶ S.Rept. 119-39, pp. 434, 443.

and less funding than requested for the E-2D Hawkeye.³⁷ The law also provided more funding than DOD requested for the F/A-XX next-generation fighter aircraft program, among other changes.³⁸

Of the funding that DOD assumed Congress would provide in the FY2025 reconciliation law, DOD estimated in its FY2026 budget request that it would provide \$10.9 billion for the selected aircraft in **Table 1** in FY2026.³⁹ In May 2026, DOD reported allocating \$12.1 billion in mandatory funds Congress provided in the FY2025 reconciliation law to the selected aircraft in FY2026 (see **Appendix**).⁴⁰

³⁷ “Explanatory Statement Submitted by Mr. Cole, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, on H.R. 7148, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026,” *Congressional Record*, pp. H1448, H1471, H1473, H1534, and H1539.

³⁸ “Explanatory Statement Submitted by Mr. Cole, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, on H.R. 7148, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026,” *Congressional Record*, p. H1520.

³⁹ CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY2026 Reconciliation Request” in FY2026 DOD budget documentation.

⁴⁰ CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 P.L. 119-21 Spend Plan” in FY2027 DOD budget documentation. For more information, see **Appendix**.

Table 1. FY2026 Funding Authorizations and Appropriations for Selected DOD Aircraft

(in billions of dollars of budget authority and quantities, if available)

System Type and Name <i>(relevant CRS product, if available)</i>	FY2026 DOD Request				Authorization						Appropriation					
	Recon. Request ^a		Base Request ^b		H.R. 3838		S. 2296		Enacted (P.L. 119-60)		H.R. 4016 ^c		S. 2572		Enacted (P.L. 119-75)	
Aircraft	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	# ^d	\$	#	\$	# ^d
Bomber <i>(IF12945)</i>																
B-21 Raider	\$4.49		\$5.80		\$5.80		\$5.80		\$5.80		\$6.68		\$5.47		\$5.59	
B-1, B-2, B-52			\$1.48		\$1.48		\$1.48		\$1.48		\$1.39		\$1.28		\$1.47	
Cargo																
KC-46A Pegasus <i>(IF13157)</i>			\$3.28	15	\$2.93	15	\$3.32	15	\$2.98	15	\$3.01		\$3.18	15	\$3.24	
C-130J Hercules <i>(IF13184)</i>	\$0.21		\$0.82		\$0.82		\$1.19	1	\$1.02	2	\$1.65		\$2.62	10	\$2.57	
Command and Control																
E-2D Advanced Hawkeye			\$2.09	4	\$1.79	4	\$0.59		\$1.79	4	\$1.88		\$0.61		\$1.68	
E-7A Wedgetail			\$0.20		\$0.80		\$0.90		\$0.85		\$0.50		\$0.85		\$1.10	
Fighter																
F-35 Joint Strike Fighter <i>(R48304)</i>	\$1.00		\$12.12	47	\$12.42	47	\$13.11	57	\$12.32	47	\$13.63		\$12.04	47	\$12.24	
F-47 Next Generation Air Dominance <i>(IF12805)</i>	\$0.90		\$2.58		\$2.58		\$3.08		\$2.58		\$3.19		\$2.58		\$3.08	
F/A-18 Super Hornet			\$2.14		\$2.14		\$2.14		\$2.14		\$2.30		\$2.12		\$2.14	
F-22 Raptor	\$0.10		\$1.91		\$1.91		\$1.91		\$1.91		\$1.61		\$1.79		\$1.85	
F-15 Eagle	\$3.02	21	\$0.79		\$0.79		\$0.97		\$0.79		\$0.89		\$0.76		\$0.88	

System Type and Name <i>(relevant CRS product, if available)</i>	FY2026 DOD Request				Authorization						Appropriation					
	Recon. Request ^a		Base Request ^b		H.R. 3838		S. 2296		Enacted (P.L. 119-60)		H.R. 4016 ^c		S. 2572		Enacted (P.L. 119-75)	
Aircraft	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	# ^d	\$	#	\$	# ^d
F-16 Fighting Falcon	\$0.05		\$0.70		\$0.70		\$0.85		\$0.70		\$0.57		\$0.88		\$0.68	
Collaborative Combat Aircraft <i>(IF12740)</i>	\$0.68		\$0.18		\$0.18		\$0.86		\$0.18		\$0.49		\$0.18		\$0.17	
Armed Overwatch/ Targeting			\$0.16	6	\$0.16	6	\$0.16	6	\$0.16	6	\$0.08		\$0.15	6	\$0.15	
F/A-XX Next Generation Fighter			\$0.07		\$0.07		\$0.57		\$0.07		\$0.97		\$1.47		\$0.97	
Rotorcraft																
CH-53K Heavy Lift Replacement Helicopter			\$2.48	12	\$2.48	12	\$3.03	16	\$2.61	13	\$2.87		\$2.47	12	\$2.68	
Future Long-Range Assault Aircraft <i>(IF12771)</i>			\$1.25		\$1.25		\$1.25		\$1.25		\$0.94		\$1.25		\$1.25	
UH-60 Black Hawk			\$0.76	24	\$0.86	24	\$0.76	24	\$0.86	24	\$1.04		\$0.87	24	\$1.10	
CH-47 Chinook			\$0.71	11	\$0.71	11	\$0.71	11	\$0.71	11	\$0.73		\$0.71	11	\$0.73	
V-22 Osprey <i>(R48703)</i>	\$0.14		\$0.68		\$0.68		\$0.68		\$0.68		\$0.72		\$0.67		\$0.73	
AH-64 Apache			\$0.17		\$0.26	3	\$0.17		\$0.26	3	\$0.46		\$0.53	12	\$0.54	
HH-60W Combat Rescue Helicopter	\$0.05		\$0.16		\$0.16		\$0.16		\$0.16		\$0.31		\$0.36		\$0.26	
MH-139A Grey Wolf	\$0.15		\$0.02	2	\$0.02	2	\$0.02	2	\$0.02	2	\$0.17		\$0.08	2	\$0.08	
VIP Aircraft																
VC-25B Presidential Aircraft <i>(IF13184)</i>			\$0.68		\$0.68		\$0.68		\$0.68		\$0.60		\$0.60		\$0.65	

System Type and Name (relevant CRS product, if available)	FY2026 DOD Request				Authorization						Appropriation					
	Recon. Request ^a		Base Request ^b		H.R. 3838		S. 2296		Enacted (P.L. 119-60)		H.R. 4016 ^c		S. 2572		Enacted (P.L. 119-75)	
Aircraft	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	# ^d	\$	#	\$	# ^d
C-40 Fleet Expansion					\$0.30	2			\$0.25	1			\$0.25	1	\$0.25	
Trainer																
T-7A Advanced Pilot Training			\$0.65	14	\$0.65	14	\$0.65	14	\$0.65	14	\$0.44		\$0.61	14	\$0.64	
Unmanned Aircraft (IFI 2797)																
MQ-25 Stingray (IFI 2972)	\$0.10		\$1.04	3	\$1.04	3	\$1.04	3	\$1.04	3	\$0.98		\$1.02	3	\$1.03	
MQ-4C/RQ-4 Triton/Global Hawk/AGS			\$0.70		\$0.70		\$0.70		\$0.70		\$0.80		\$0.69		\$0.69	
MQ-9 Reaper/USMC Group 5 UAS	\$0.01		\$0.35		\$0.35		\$0.35		\$0.35		\$0.26		\$0.34		\$0.35	
MQ-1C Gray Eagle			\$0.02		\$0.02		\$0.02		\$0.02		\$0.25		\$0.02		\$0.26	

Source: CRS analysis of DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, July 2025; FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-I)* and *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-I)* spreadsheets; FY2026 military department budget justification books; H.Rept. 119-231; S.Rept. 119-39; H.Rept. 119-162; S.Rept. 119-52; “Joint Explanatory Material Statement Submitted by Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Chair of the House Committee on Armed Services, on S. 1071,” *Congressional Record*, vol. 171, no. 208 (December 10, 2025), pp. H5571-H5764; and “Explanatory Statement Submitted by Mr. Cole, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, on H.R. 7148, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026; and H.R. 7147, Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2026,” *Congressional Record*, vol. 172, no. 15 (January 22, 2026), pp. H1353-H1909.

Notes: Figures rounded to the nearest hundredth. Figures include funding for aircraft spares and repair parts. “Recon.” stands for reconciliation.

- CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 Reconciliation Request” in FY2026 DOD budget documents, FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-I)* and *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-I)*, and in FY2026 military department budget justification books. See “Background” for more information. For amounts in the DOD “reconciliation spend plan,” see the **Appendix**.
- CRS analysis of what DOD characterizes as its “FY 2026 Disc. Request,” or base budget, as in the discretionary funds that DOD requested Congress authorize and appropriate for FY2026.
- HAC reported its version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 (H.R. 4016), before DOD released the details of its budget request on June 26, 2025. The committee appears to have based its markup on FY2025 enacted DOD appropriations and on the department’s FY2025 projection of what it anticipated allocating in FY2026. DOD’s projected figures may or may not align with its actual request for FY2026. See “Background” for more information.

- d. H.Rept. 119-162 and the explanatory statement that accompanied the negotiated version of the FY2026 DOD appropriations act did not include funding table quantities.

Communications and Space-Based Systems

Communications Systems

In its FY2026 budget submission to Congress, DOD requested \$23.2 billion in procurement and RDT&E funding for command, control, communications, computers, and intelligence (C4I) systems.⁴¹ In its discretionary budget proposal, DOD requested \$1.2 billion in base budget procurement and RDT&E funding for the selected C4I systems detailed in **Table 2** in FY2026.⁴² Of the funding that DOD assumed Congress would provide in the FY2025 reconciliation law, DOD estimated that it would not allocate reconciliation funds to the selected C4I systems.⁴³

The enacted FY2026 NDAA authorized the amount of discretionary funding requested for the selected C4I systems (see **Table 2**).⁴⁴ The enacted FY2026 DOD appropriations act provided the amount of funding requested for the selected C4I systems.

Space-Based Systems

In its FY2026 budget submission to Congress, DOD requested \$34.0 billion in procurement and RDT&E funding for space-based systems.⁴⁵ Of this amount, DOD requested \$16.2 billion for the selected space-based systems detailed **Table 2**.⁴⁶ In its discretionary budget proposal, DOD requested \$6.6 billion in base budget funding for the selected space-based systems.

The enacted FY2026 NDAA authorized \$7.1 billion for the selected space-based systems (see **Table 2**), \$0.5 billion more than DOD requested. The FY2026 DOD appropriations act provided \$7.4 billion for the requested space-based systems, \$0.8 billion more than DOD requested. The law provided more funding than DOD requested for the Position, Navigation, and Timing program, among other changes.⁴⁷

Of the funding that DOD assumed Congress would provide in the FY2025 reconciliation law, DOD estimated in its FY2026 budget request that it would allocate \$9.6 billion to the selected space-based systems in FY2026.⁴⁸ In May 2026, DOD reported allocating \$0.3 billion in mandatory funds Congress provided in the FY2025 reconciliation law to the selected space-based

⁴¹ DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. 2-1.

⁴² CRS analysis of DOD FY2026 budget documentation. CRS based its analysis in part on the aircraft programs identified in the “major weapons system summary” table in the annual *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System* document. See DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. vii.

⁴³ CRS analysis of DOD’s “FY2026 Reconciliation Request” in its June 26 budget request to Congress. Figures do not reflect appropriated amounts in the 2025 reconciliation law (P.L. 119-21) and may be subject to change. See “Background” for more information.

⁴⁴ CRS was unable to determine how a proposed change to one relevant line item in H.R. 3838 would affect the funding authorized for these programs. See H.Rept. 119-231, p. 445.

⁴⁵ DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. 7-1.

⁴⁶ CRS analysis of DOD FY2026 budget documentation. CRS based its analysis in part on the space-based systems programs identified in the “major weapons system summary” table in the annual *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System* document. See DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. viii. CRS was unable to identify the relevant line items for the Satellite Communications (SATCOM) Projects, for which DOD requested \$5.9 billion, according to the annual DOD *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System* summary document.

⁴⁷ “Explanatory Statement Submitted by Mr. Cole, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, on H.R. 7148, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026,” *Congressional Record*, pp. H1485, H1542, and H1545.

⁴⁸ CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY2026 Reconciliation Request” in FY2026 DOD budget documentation.

systems in **Table 2** in FY2026 (see **Appendix**).⁴⁹ DOD has stated that some initiatives that were previously reported as part of the Missile Warning/Missile Tracking program “were realigned to the Golden Dome for America fund.”⁵⁰

⁴⁹ CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 P.L. 119-21 Spend Plan” in FY2027 DOD budget documentation. For more information, see **Appendix**.

⁵⁰ DOD, Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)/CFO, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, April 2026, p. 7-4, https://comptroller.war.gov/Portals/45/Documents/defbudget/FY2027/FY2027_Weapons.pdf.

Table 2. FY2026 Funding Authorizations and Appropriations for Selected DOD C4I and Space-Based Systems

(in billions of dollars of budget authority and quantities, if available)

System Type and Name <i>(relevant CRS product, if available)</i>	FY2026 DOD Request				Authorization						Appropriation					
	Recon. Request ^a		Base Request ^b		H.R. 3838		S. 2296		Enacted (P.L. 119-60)		H.R. 4016 ^c		S. 2572		Enacted (P.L. 119-75)	
	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	# ^d	\$	#	\$	# ^d
C4I System																
Handheld, Manpack, and Small Form Fit Radios			\$0.72		\$0.72		\$0.72		\$0.72		\$0.26		\$0.56		\$0.48	
Tactical Networking Technology			\$0.48		\$0.47		\$0.48		\$0.47		\$0.56		\$0.48		\$0.72	
Space-Based System																
Missile Warning/Missile Tracking <i>(IFI 1697)</i>	\$9.44		\$3.53		\$3.32		\$3.53		\$4.01		\$4.19		\$3.40		\$3.87	
Launch Enterprise <i>(IFI 2900)</i>	\$0.14		\$2.31	11	\$2.34	11	\$2.37	11	\$2.37	11	\$2.16		\$2.29	11	\$2.23	
Position, Navigation, and Timing			\$0.73		\$1.09		\$0.73		\$0.75		\$1.11		\$0.67		\$1.33	

Source: CRS analysis of DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, July 2025; FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-I)* and *Research, Development, Test, & Evaluation Programs (R-I)* spreadsheets; FY2026 military department budget justification books; H.Rept. 119-231; S.Rept. 119-39; H.Rept. 119-162; S.Rept. 119-52; S. 1071; “Joint Explanatory Material Statement Submitted by Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Chair of the House Committee on Armed Services, on S. 1071,” *Congressional Record*, vol. 171, no. 208 (December 10, 2025), pp. H5571-H5764; and “Explanatory Statement Submitted by Mr. Cole, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, on H.R. 7148, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026; and H.R. 7147, Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2026,” *Congressional Record*, vol. 172, no. 15 (January 22, 2026), pp. H1353-H1909.

Notes: Figures rounded to the nearest hundredth. “Recon.” stands for reconciliation.

- CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 Reconciliation Request” in FY2026 DOD budget documents, FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-I)* and *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-I)*. See “Background” for more information. For amounts in the DOD “reconciliation spend plan,” see the **Appendix**.
- CRS analysis of what DOD characterizes as its “FY 2026 Disc. Request,” or base budget, as in the discretionary funds that DOD requested Congress authorize and appropriate for FY2026.

- c. HAC reported its version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 (H.R. 4016), before DOD released the details of its budget request on June 26, 2025. The committee appears to have based its markup on FY2025 enacted DOD appropriations and on the department's FY2025 projection of what it anticipated allocating in FY2026. DOD's projected figures may or may not align with its actual request for FY2026. See "Background" for more information.
- d. H.Rept. 119-162 and the explanatory statement that accompanied the negotiated version of the FY2026 DOD appropriations act did not include funding table quantities.

Ground Systems

In its FY2026 budget submission to Congress, DOD requested \$11.6 billion in procurement and RDT&E funding for tactical vehicles, tracked combat vehicles, and weapon systems.⁵¹ Of this amount, DOD requested a total of \$4.6 billion for the selected ground systems detailed in **Table 3**.⁵²

The enacted FY2026 NDAA authorized \$4.7 billion for the selected ground systems, including more funding than DOD requested for the Joint Light Tactical Vehicles program (see **Table 3**).⁵³ The enacted FY2026 DOD appropriations act provided \$5.0 billion for the selected ground systems, \$0.4 billion more than DOD requested (see **Table 3**). The law provided more funding than requested for the Paladin Integrated Management the Joint Light Tactical Vehicles programs, and less funding than DOD requested for the Armored Multipurpose Vehicle program, among other changes.⁵⁴

Of the funding that DOD assumed Congress would provide in the FY2025 reconciliation law, DOD estimated in its FY2026 budget request that it would not allocate reconciliation funds to the selected ground systems in FY2026.⁵⁵ In May 2026, DOD reported allocating \$0.7 billion in mandatory funds Congress provided in the FY2025 reconciliation law to the selected aircraft in **Table 3** in FY2026 (see **Appendix**).⁵⁶

⁵¹ DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. 3-1.

⁵² CRS analysis of DOD FY2026 budget documentation. CRS based its analysis in part on the ground systems programs identified in the “major weapons system summary” table in the annual *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System* document, as well as on programs of congressional interest. See DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. vii.

⁵³ “Joint Explanatory Material Statement Submitted by Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Chair of the House Committee on Armed Services, on S. 1071,” *Congressional Record*, p. H5683.

⁵⁴ “Explanatory Statement Submitted by Mr. Cole, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, on H.R. 7148, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026,” *Congressional Record*, pp. H1435, H1441, H1504, and H1505.

⁵⁵ CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY2026 Reconciliation Request” in FY2026 DOD budget documentation.

⁵⁶ CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 P.L. 119-21 Spend Plan” in FY2027 DOD budget documentation. For more information, see **Appendix**.

Table 3. FY2026 Funding Authorizations and Appropriations for Selected DOD Ground Systems

(in billions of dollars of budget authority and quantities, if available)

System Type and Name <i>(relevant CRS product, if available)</i>	FY2026 DOD Request				Authorization						Appropriation					
	Recon. Request ^a		Base Request ^b		H.R. 3838		S. 2296		Enacted (P.L. 119-60)		H.R. 4016 ^c		S. 2572		Enacted (P.L. 119-75)	
Ground Systems	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	# ^d	\$	#	\$	# ^d
Tactical Vehicles																
Joint Light Tactical Vehicle <i>(IF11729)</i>			\$0.21	138 ^e	\$0.21	138 ^e	\$0.41	362 ^e	\$0.29	138 ^e	\$0.63		\$0.14	138 ^e	\$0.50	
Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles			\$0.15		\$0.15		\$0.15		\$0.15		\$0.18		\$0.11		\$0.14	
Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles			\$0.11		\$0.11		\$0.11		\$0.11		\$0.10		\$0.10		\$0.10	
Tracked Vehicles																
M-1 Abrams Tank Modifications/Upgrades <i>(IF12495)</i>			\$1.46	30	\$1.48	30	\$1.46	30	\$1.48	30	\$0.98		\$1.66	45	\$1.50	
Amphibious Combat Vehicle <i>(IF11755)</i>			\$0.84	91	\$0.84	91	\$0.84	91	\$0.84	91	\$0.84		\$0.82	91	\$0.83	
Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle <i>(IF11741)</i>			\$0.57	86	\$0.57	86	\$0.57	86	\$0.57	86	\$0.57		\$0.43	60	\$0.43	
XM30 Combat Vehicle <i>(IF12094)</i>			\$0.39		\$0.39		\$0.39		\$0.39		\$0.39		\$0.38		\$0.37	
Paladin Integrated Management			\$0.26	10	\$0.26	10	\$0.26	10	\$0.26	10	\$0.73		\$0.17	5	\$0.73	
Stryker Family of Armored Vehicles <i>(R44229)</i>			\$0.15		\$0.15		\$0.15		\$0.15		\$0.54		\$0.04		\$0.04	

System Type and Name (relevant CRS product, if available)	FY2026 DOD Request				Authorization					Appropriation				
	Recon. Request ^a		Base Request ^b		H.R. 3838		S. 2296		Enacted (P.L. 119-60)	H.R. 4016 ^c		S. 2572		Enacted (P.L. 119-75)
Ground Systems	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	# ^d	\$	# ^d
M10 Booker (IFI 1859)			\$0.08		\$0.08		\$0.08		\$0.08		\$0.02		\$0.01	
Weapons														
Next Generation Squad Weapon			\$0.39		\$0.39		\$0.39				\$0.22		\$0.52	\$0.39

Source: CRS analysis of DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, July 2025; FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-I)* and *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-I)* spreadsheets; FY2026 military department budget justification books; H.Rept. 119-231; S.Rept. 119-39; H.Rept. 119-162; S.Rept. 119-52; “Joint Explanatory Material Statement Submitted by Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Chair of the House Committee on Armed Services, on S. 1071,” *Congressional Record*, vol. 171, no. 208 (December 10, 2025), pp. H5571-H5764; and “Explanatory Statement Submitted by Mr. Cole, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, on H.R. 7148, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026; and H.R. 7147, Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2026,” *Congressional Record*, vol. 172, no. 15 (January 22, 2026), pp. H1353-H1909.

Notes: Figures rounded to the nearest hundredth. “Recon.” stands for reconciliation.

- CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 Reconciliation Request” in FY2026 DOD budget documents, FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-I)* and *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-I)*. See “Background” for more information. For amounts in the DOD “reconciliation spend plan,” see the **Appendix**.
- CRS analysis of what DOD characterizes as its “FY 2026 Disc. Request,” or base budget, as in the discretionary funds that DOD requested Congress authorize and appropriate for FY2026.
- HAC reported its version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 (H.R. 4016), before DOD released the details of its budget request on June 26, 2025. The committee appears to have based its markup on FY2025 enacted DOD appropriations and on the department’s FY2025 projection of what it anticipated allocating in FY2026. DOD’s projected figures may or may not align with its actual request for FY2026. See “Background” for more information.
- H.Rept. 119-162 and the explanatory statement that accompanied the negotiated version of the FY2026 DOD appropriations act did not include funding table quantities.
- Reflects only the Marine Corps’ quantity of the Joint Light Tactical Vehicle. The legislative funding tables did not include quantities for the Air Force, which requested 105 vehicles, nor for the Army, which did not request funding to procure new vehicles.

Hypersonic Weapons

In its FY2026 budget submission to Congress, DOD requested \$13.4 billion in procurement and RDT&E funding for offensive and defense hypersonic warfare programs.⁵⁷ Of this amount, DOD requested a total of \$3.0 billion for the selected hypersonic warfare programs detailed in **Table 4**.⁵⁸ In its discretionary budget proposal, DOD requested \$2.9 billion in base budget funding for the selected hypersonic warfare programs.

The enacted FY2026 NDAA authorized \$3.2 billion for the selected hypersonic weapons (see **Table 4**), including more funding than DOD requested for the Air Force AGM-183A Air-Launch Rapid Response Weapon.⁵⁹ The FY2026 DOD appropriations act provided the amount requested for the selected hypersonic weapons.

Of the funding that DOD assumed Congress would provide in the FY2025 reconciliation law, DOD estimated in its FY2026 budget request that it would allocate \$0.1 billion to the selected hypersonic warfare programs in FY2026.⁶⁰ In May 2026, DOD reported allocating \$0.3 billion in mandatory funds Congress provided in the FY2025 reconciliation law to the selected hypersonic weapons in **Table 4** in FY2026 (see **Appendix**).⁶¹

⁵⁷ DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. 8-1.

⁵⁸ CRS analysis of DOD FY2026 budget documentation. CRS based its analysis in part on the hypersonic weapon systems programs identified in the “major weapons system summary” table in the annual *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System* document. See DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. viii. CRS was unable to identify certain line items for the Hypersonic Defenses program. DOD reported requesting \$2.7 billion for such program, according to the annual DOD *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System* summary document.

⁵⁹ “Joint Explanatory Material Statement Submitted by Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Chair of the House Committee on Armed Services, on S. 1071,” *Congressional Record*, p. H5685.

⁶⁰ CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY2026 Reconciliation Request” in FY2026 DOD budget documentation.

⁶¹ CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 P.L. 119-21 Spend Plan” in FY2027 DOD budget documentation. For more information, see **Appendix**.

Table 4. FY2026 Funding Authorizations and Appropriations for Selected DOD Hypersonic Weapons Programs

(in billions of dollars of budget authority and quantities, if available)

System Type and Name (relevant CRS product, if available)	FY2026 DOD Request				Authorization						Appropriation					
	Recon. Request ^a		Base Request ^b		H.R. 3838		S. 2296		Enacted (P.L. 119-60)		H.R. 4016 ^c		S. 2572		Enacted (P.L. 119-75)	
Hypersonic Weapons (R45811)	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	# ^d	\$	#	\$	# ^d
Long-Range Hypersonic Weapon	\$0.09		\$0.89		\$0.89		\$0.90		\$0.89		\$0.96		\$0.89		\$0.89	
Conventional Prompt Strike			\$0.87		\$0.87		\$0.87		\$0.87		\$0.66		\$0.77		\$0.84	
Hypersonic Attack Cruise Missile			\$0.80		\$0.81		\$0.80		\$0.80		\$0.48		\$0.80		\$0.84	
AGM-183A Air-Launched Rapid Response Weapon			\$0.39		\$0.39		\$0.67		\$0.62				\$0.57		\$0.36	

Source: CRS analysis of DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, July 2025; FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-1)* and *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-1)* spreadsheets; FY2026 military department budget justification books; H.Rept. 119-231; S.Rept. 119-39; H.Rept. 119-162; and S.Rept. 119-52; “Joint Explanatory Material Statement Submitted by Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Chair of the House Committee on Armed Services, on S. 1071,” *Congressional Record*, vol. 171, no. 208 (December 10, 2025), pp. H5571-H5764; and “Explanatory Statement Submitted by Mr. Cole, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, on H.R. 7148, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026; and H.R. 7147, Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2026,” *Congressional Record*, vol. 172, no. 15 (January 22, 2026), pp. H1353-H1909.

Notes: Figures rounded to the nearest hundredth. “Recon.” stands for reconciliation. CRS was unable to identify certain line items for the Hypersonic Defenses project.

- CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 Reconciliation Request” in FY2026 DOD budget documents, FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-1)* and *Research Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-1)*. See “Background” for more information. For amounts in the DOD “reconciliation spend plan,” see the **Appendix**.
- CRS analysis of what DOD characterizes as its “FY 2026 Disc. Request,” or base budget, as in the discretionary funds that DOD requested Congress authorize and appropriate for FY2026.
- HAC reported its version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 (H.R. 4016), before DOD released the details of its budget request on June 26, 2025. The committee appears to have based its markup on FY2025 enacted DOD appropriations and on the department’s FY2025 projection of what it anticipated allocating in FY2026. DOD’s projected figures may or may not align with its actual request for FY2026. See “Background” for more information.
- H.Rept. 119-162 and the explanatory statement that accompanied the negotiated version of the FY2026 DOD appropriations act did not include funding table quantities.

Missile Defense

In its FY2026 budget submission to Congress, DOD requested \$40.2 billion in procurement and RDT&E funding for missile defeat and defense programs.⁶² Of this amount, DOD requested a total of \$12.7 billion for the selected missile defeat and defense programs detailed in **Table 5**.⁶³ In its discretionary budget proposal, DOD requested \$10.3 billion in base budget procurement and RDT&E funding for the selected missile defense programs.

The enacted FY2026 NDAA authorized \$13.2 billion for the selected missile defeat and defense programs (see **Table 5**), \$2.9 billion more than DOD requested. The law authorized more funding than DOD requested for the Army Patriot Advanced Capability-3 (PAC-3) Missile Segment Enhancement (MSE), the Army Terminal High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) system, and the Navy Aegis system, among other changes.⁶⁴ The enacted NDAA did not include a HASC proposal to authorize less funding than requested for the Air Force Ground-based Midcourse Defense program, nor a SASC proposal to authorize more funding than requested for the PATRIOT Lower-Tier Air and Missile Defense Sensor program.⁶⁵

The enacted FY2026 DOD appropriations act provided \$11.1 billion for the selected missile defense programs (see **Table 5**), \$0.8 billion more than requested. The law provided more funding than DOD requested for the Army PAC-3 MSE program, among other changes.⁶⁶

Of the funding that DOD assumed Congress would provide in the FY2025 reconciliation law, DOD estimated in its FY2026 budget request that it would allocate approximately \$2.4 billion to the selected missile defense programs in FY2026.⁶⁷ In May 2026, DOD reported allocating \$0.8 billion in mandatory funds Congress provided in the FY2025 reconciliation law to the selected missile defense programs in **Table 5** in FY2026 (see **Appendix**).⁶⁸

⁶² DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. 4-1.

⁶³ CRS analysis of DOD FY2026 budget documentation. CRS based its analysis in part on the missile defense programs identified in the “major weapons system summary” table in the annual *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System* document, as well as on programs of congressional interest. See DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. vii.

⁶⁴ “Joint Explanatory Material Statement Submitted by Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Chair of the House Committee on Armed Services, on S. 1071,” *Congressional Record*, pp. H5674, H5688.

⁶⁵ H.Rept. 119-231, p. 515; and S.Rept. 119-39, p. 384.

⁶⁶ “Explanatory Statement Submitted by Mr. Cole, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, on H.R. 7148, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026,” *Congressional Record*, p. H1432.

⁶⁷ CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY2026 Reconciliation Request” in FY2026 DOD budget documentation.

⁶⁸ CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 P.L. 119-21 Spend Plan” in FY2027 DOD budget documentation. For more information, see **Appendix**.

Table 5. FY2026 Funding Authorizations and Appropriations for Selected DOD Missile Defense Systems

(in billions of dollars of budget authority and quantities, if available)

System Type and Name <i>(relevant CRS product, if available)</i>	FY2026 DOD Request				Authorization						Appropriation					
	Recon. Request ^a		Base Request ^b		H.R. 3838		S. 2296		Enacted (P.L. 119-60)		H.R. 4016 ^c		S. 2572		Enacted (P.L. 119-75)	
Missile Defense	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	# ^d	\$	#	\$	# ^d
Ground-based Midcourse Defense	\$0.06		\$2.45		\$2.05		\$2.45		\$2.45		\$1.98		\$2.33		\$2.33	
PATRIOT Lower-Tier Air and Missile Defense Sensor <i>(IFI 2297)</i>	\$0.67		\$1.84		\$1.84		\$2.45	4	\$1.84		\$1.75		\$1.73		\$1.73	
Aegis <i>(RL33745)</i>	\$0.45		\$1.36	12 ^e	\$1.36	12 ^e	\$1.76	12 ^e	\$1.76	12 ^e	\$1.43		\$1.78	12 ^e	\$1.79	
Indirect Fire Protection Capability <i>(IFI 2421)</i>	\$0.19		\$1.08		\$1.07		\$1.27		\$1.07		\$1.03		\$0.92		\$0.97	
Terminal High Altitude Air Defense <i>(IFI 2645)</i>	\$0.46	12	\$1.07	25	\$1.08	25	\$1.22	25	\$1.79	25	\$1.03		\$1.95	71	\$1.33	
PAC-3 Missile Segment Enhancement	\$0.41	12 ^f	\$0.95	233	\$0.95	233	\$1.49	233	\$2.69	233	\$0.88		\$3.04	233	\$1.45	
Maneuver SHORAD <i>(IFI 2397; R48477)</i>	\$0.11		\$0.92	44	\$0.92	44	\$0.92	44	\$0.92	44	\$0.29		\$0.87	44	\$0.87	
Medium-Range Intercept Capability	\$0.06		\$0.65		\$0.65		\$0.65		\$0.65		\$0.47		\$0.65		\$0.65	

Source: CRS analysis of DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, July 2025; FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-1)* and *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-1)* spreadsheets; FY2026 military department budget justification books; H.Rept. 119-231; S.Rept. 119-39; H.Rept. 119-162; S.Rept. 119-52; “Joint Explanatory Material Statement Submitted by Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Chair of the House Committee on Armed Services, on S. 1071,” *Congressional Record*, vol. 171, no. 208 (December 10, 2025), pp. H5571-H5764, <https://www.congress.gov/119/crec/2025/12/10/171/208/CREC-2025-12-10-pt2-PgH5571-2.pdf>; and “Explanatory Statement Submitted by Mr. Cole, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, on H.R. 7148, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026; and H.R. 7147, Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2026,” *Congressional Record*, vol. 172, no. 15 (January 22, 2026), pp. H1353-H1909.

Notes: Figures rounded to the nearest hundredth. “Recon.” stands for reconciliation.

- CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 Reconciliation Request” in FY2026 DOD budget documents, FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-1)* and *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-1)*. See “Background” for more information. For amounts in the DOD “reconciliation spend plan,” see the **Appendix**.

- b. CRS analysis of what DOD characterizes as its “FY 2026 Disc. Request,” or base budget, as in the discretionary funds that DOD requested Congress authorize and appropriate for FY2026.
- c. HAC reported its version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 (H.R. 4016), before DOD released the details of its budget request on June 26, 2025. The committee appears to have based its markup on FY2025 enacted DOD appropriations and on the department’s FY2025 projection of what it anticipated allocating in FY2026. DOD’s projected figures may or may not align with its actual request for FY2026. See “Background” for more information.
- d. H.Rept. 119-162 and the explanatory statement that accompanied the negotiated version of the FY2026 DOD appropriations act did not include funding table quantities.
- e. Reflects the quantity of Standard Missile-3 (SM-3) Block IIA missiles.
- f. Figure reflects only Navy quantities of PAC-3 Missile Segment Enhancement missiles. The Army requested reconciliation funding to procure 96 PAC-3 Missile Segment Enhancement missiles. For more information, see DOD, *Department of Defense Fiscal Year (FY) 2026 Budget Estimates, Missile Procurement, Army, Volume I*, June 2025, p. 21.

Missiles and Munitions

In its FY2026 budget submission to Congress, DOD requested \$35.7 billion in procurement and RDT&E funding for missile and munitions programs.⁶⁹ Of this amount, DOD requested a total of \$30.2 billion for the selected missile and munitions programs detailed in **Table 6**.⁷⁰ In its discretionary budget proposal, DOD requested \$22.6 billion in base budget funding for the selected missile and munitions programs.

The enacted FY2026 NDAA authorized \$25.0 billion for the selected missile and munitions programs (see **Table 6**), \$2.4 billion more than DOD requested. The law authorized more funding than requested for the Air Force LGM-35A Sentinel and the Army Precision Strike Missile, among other changes.⁷¹ The enacted NDAA did not include a SASC proposal to authorize more funding than DOD requested for the Navy Tactical Tomahawk Cruise Missile program, among other proposals.⁷²

The enacted FY2026 DOD appropriations act provided \$23.9 billion for the selected missile and munitions programs (see **Table 6**), \$1.3 billion more than DOD requested. The law provided more funding than DOD requested for the ammunition procurement, among other changes.⁷³ The law did not include a SAC proposal to provide more funding than DOD requested for the Air Force Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile.⁷⁴

Of the funding that DOD assumed Congress would provide in the FY2025 reconciliation law, DOD estimated in its FY2026 budget request that it would allocate \$7.5 billion to the selected missile and munitions programs in FY2026.⁷⁵ In May 2026, DOD reported allocating \$10.8 billion in mandatory funds Congress provided in the FY2025 reconciliation law to the selected missiles and munitions in **Table 6** (see **Appendix**).⁷⁶

⁶⁹ DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. 5-1.

⁷⁰ CRS analysis of DOD FY2026 budget documentation. CRS based its analysis in part on the missile and munitions programs identified in the “major weapons system summary” table in the annual *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System* document, as well as selected programs of congressional interest. See DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. viii.

⁷¹ “Joint Explanatory Material Statement Submitted by Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Chair of the House Committee on Armed Services, on S. 1071,” *Congressional Record*, p. H5674, H5704.

⁷² S.Rept. 119-39, p. 396.

⁷³ “Explanatory Statement Submitted by Mr. Cole, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, on H.R. 7148, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026,” *Congressional Record*, pp. H1438-1439, H1455, and H1479.

⁷⁴ S.Rept. 119-52, p. 130.

⁷⁵ CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY2026 Reconciliation Request” in FY2026 DOD budget documentation.

⁷⁶ CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 P.L. 119-21 Spend Plan” in FY2027 DOD budget documentation. For more information, see **Appendix**.

Table 6. FY2026 Funding Authorizations and Appropriations for Selected DOD Missiles and Munitions

(in billions of dollars of budget authority and quantities, if available)

System Type and Name (relevant CRS product, if available)	FY2026 DOD Request				Authorization						Appropriation					
	Recon. Request ^a		Base Request ^b		H.R. 3838		S. 2296		Enacted (P.L. 119-60)		H.R. 4016 ^c		S. 2572		Enacted (P.L. 119-75)	
Missile or Munition (IFI 1353)	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	# ^d	\$	#	\$	# ^d
Air-to-Air																
Joint Advanced Tactical Missile			\$1.10		\$1.10		\$1.10		\$1.10				\$1.24		\$1.10	
Advanced Medium Range Air-to-Air Missile	\$0.30	257	\$0.51	277	\$0.51	277	\$1.21	277	\$0.59	277	\$0.82		\$1.03	277	\$0.53	
Air Intercept Missile			\$0.31	319	\$0.31	319	\$0.31	319	\$0.31	319	\$0.24		\$0.40	319	\$0.31	
Air-to-Surface																
Long-Range Standoff Weapon			\$1.05		\$1.05		\$1.20		\$1.05		\$0.79		\$0.64		\$0.79	
Long-Range Anti-Ship Missile	\$0.40	89	\$0.76	149	\$0.76	149	\$0.98	169	\$0.92	143	\$0.95		\$0.81	149	\$1.13	
Advanced Anti-Radiation Guided Missile Extended Range			\$0.76	246	\$0.76	246	\$0.76	246	\$0.76	246	\$0.62		\$0.66	246	\$0.66	
Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile	\$0.49	245	\$0.56	144	\$0.56	144	\$0.89	144	\$0.72	144	\$0.86		\$1.56	144	\$0.56	
Small Diameter Bomb II			\$0.44	1079	\$0.44	1079	\$0.44	1079	\$0.44	1079	\$0.31		\$0.61	1086	\$0.44	
Joint Air-to-Ground Missile			\$0.22	455	\$0.22	455	\$0.22	455	\$0.22	455	\$0.23		\$0.22	455	\$0.22	
Small Diameter Bomb I			\$0.04	511	\$0.04	511	\$0.19	511	\$0.04	511	\$0.04		\$0.31	511	\$0.04	

System Type and Name (relevant CRS product, if available)	FY2026 DOD Request				Authorization						Appropriation					
	Recon. Request ^a		Base Request ^b		H.R. 3838		S. 2296		Enacted (P.L. 119-60)		H.R. 4016 ^c		S. 2572		Enacted (P.L. 119-75)	
Missile or Munition (IFI 1353)	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	# ^d	\$	#	\$	# ^d
Family of Affordable Mass Missile	\$0.66	3010														
Joint Strike Missile	\$0.38	112									\$0.17					
Other																
Ammunition	\$0.13		\$5.77	2298 ^e	\$5.76	2298 ^e	\$6.08	2298 ^e	\$5.99	2298 ^e	\$4.79		\$6.63	2298 ^e	\$6.65	
Chemical Demilitarization			\$0.21		\$0.21		\$0.21		\$0.21		\$0.21		\$0.21		\$0.21	
Surface-to-Air																
Standard Missile-6	\$0.63	129	\$0.60	10	\$0.49	10	\$0.66	10	\$0.55	10	\$1.40		\$0.60	10	\$0.90	
Sea Sparrow			\$0.58	305	\$0.58	305	\$0.58	305	\$0.58	305	\$0.54		\$0.58	305	\$0.58	
Stinger	\$0.08		\$0.43		\$0.43		\$0.45		\$0.43		\$0.06		\$0.46		\$0.43	
Rolling Airframe Missile			\$0.14	123	\$0.14	123	\$0.14	123	\$0.14	123	\$0.13		\$0.14	123	\$0.14	
Surface-to-Surface																
Trident II Ballistic Missile Modifications (IFI 0519)	\$0.06		\$3.68		\$3.68		\$3.68		\$3.68		\$3.21		\$3.65		\$3.65	
LGM-35A Sentinel (IFI 1681)	\$1.50		\$2.65		\$3.05		\$4.65		\$3.85		\$2.04		\$2.65		\$2.65	
Guided Multiple Launch Rocket System			\$1.26	6 ^f	\$1.26	6 ^f	\$1.26	6 ^f	\$1.26	6 ^f	\$1.24		\$1.51	6 ^f	\$1.26	
Strategic Mid-Range Fires System / Typhon			\$0.50		\$0.50		\$0.60		\$0.56		\$0.24		\$0.48		\$0.50	
Javelin			\$0.37	705	\$0.37	705	\$0.37	705	\$0.37	705	\$0.36		\$0.38	705	\$0.37	

System Type and Name (relevant CRS product, if available)	FY2026 DOD Request				Authorization						Appropriation					
	Recon. Request ^a		Base Request ^b		H.R. 3838		S. 2296		Enacted (P.L. 119-60)		H.R. 4016 ^c		S. 2572		Enacted (P.L. 119-75)	
Missile or Munition (IFI 1353)	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	# ^d	\$	#	\$	# ^d
Tactical Tomahawk Cruise Missile	\$0.67		\$0.28		\$0.28		\$0.51		\$0.28		\$0.87		\$0.26		\$0.27	
Naval Strike Missile			\$0.22	106	\$0.22	106	\$0.22	106	\$0.22	106	\$0.25		\$0.22	106	\$0.26	
Precision Strike Missile	\$0.40		\$0.16	45	\$0.16	45	\$0.48	299	\$0.48	299	\$0.36		\$0.45	45	\$0.27	
Sea-Launched Cruise Missile-Nuclear (IFI 2084)	\$1.93				\$0.10		\$0.32		\$0.21							

Source: CRS analysis of DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, July 2025; FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-1)* and *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-1)* spreadsheets; FY2026 military department budget justification books; H.Rept. 119-231; S.Rept. 119-39; H.Rept. 119-162; S.Rept. 119-52; “Joint Explanatory Material Statement Submitted by Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Chair of the House Committee on Armed Services, on S. 1071,” *Congressional Record*, vol. 171, no. 208 (December 10, 2025), pp. H5571-H5764; and “Explanatory Statement Submitted by Mr. Cole, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, on H.R. 7148, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026; and H.R. 7147, Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2026,” *Congressional Record*, vol. 172, no. 15 (January 22, 2026), pp. H1353-H1909.

Notes: Figures rounded to the nearest tenth. “Recon.” stands for reconciliation.

- CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 Reconciliation Request” in FY2026 DOD budget documents, FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-1)* and *Research Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-1)*. See “Background” for more information. For amounts in the DOD “reconciliation spend plan,” see the **Appendix**.
- CRS analysis of what DOD characterizes as its “FY 2026 Disc. Request,” or base budget, as in the discretionary funds that DOD requested Congress authorize and appropriate for FY2026.
- HAC reported its version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 (H.R. 4016), before DOD released the details of its budget request on June 26, 2025. The committee appears to have based its markup on FY2025 enacted DOD appropriations and on the department’s FY2025 projection of what it anticipated allocating in FY2026. DOD’s projected figures may or may not align with its actual request for FY2026. See “Background” for more information.
- H.Rept. 119-162 and the explanatory statement that accompanied the negotiated version of the FY2026 DOD appropriations act did not include funding table quantities.
- Quantity figure reflects only the number of Joint Direct Attack Munition (JDAM) bombs.
- Marine Corps quantities only. The legislative funding tables did not include quantities of the GMLRS for the Army, which requested 5,976 GMLRS rockets, according to Army budget justification documents.

Shipbuilding and Maritime Systems

In its FY2026 budget submission to Congress, DOD requested \$65.0 billion in procurement and RDT&E funding for shipbuilding and maritime systems programs.⁷⁷ Of this amount, DOD requested a total of \$48.1 billion for the selected shipbuilding and maritime systems programs detailed in **Table 7**.⁷⁸ In its discretionary budget proposal, DOD requested \$21.8 billion in base budget funding for the selected shipbuilding and maritime systems programs (see **Table 7**).

The enacted FY2026 NDAA authorized \$25.9 billion for the selected shipbuilding and maritime systems programs (see **Table 7**), \$4.1 billion more than DOD requested. The law authorized more funding than DOD requested for the Virginia-class submarine, Columbia-class submarine, and Arleigh Burke-class destroyer, among other changes.⁷⁹

The enacted FY2026 DOD appropriations act provided \$26.9 billion for the selected shipbuilding and maritime systems programs (see **Table 7**), \$5.1 billion more than DOD requested. The law provided more funding than DOD requested for the Virginia-class submarine, Arleigh Burke-class destroyer, and Medium Landing Ship, among other changes.⁸⁰

Of the funding that DOD assumed Congress would provide in the FY2025 reconciliation law, DOD estimated in its FY2026 budget request that it would allocate \$27.0 billion to the selected shipbuilding and maritime systems programs in FY2026.⁸¹ In May 2026, DOD reported allocating \$22.6 billion in mandatory funds Congress provided in the FY2025 reconciliation law to the selected shipbuilding and maritime systems programs in **Table 7** in FY2026 (see **Appendix**).⁸²

⁷⁷ DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. 6-1.

⁷⁸ CRS analysis of DOD FY2026 budget documentation. CRS based its analysis in part on the shipbuilding and maritime systems programs identified in the “major weapons system summary” table in the annual *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System* document, as well as selected programs of congressional interest. See DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, p. viii.

⁷⁹ “Joint Explanatory Material Statement Submitted by Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Chair of the House Committee on Armed Services, on S. 1071,” *Congressional Record*, pp. H5679, H5680.

⁸⁰ “Explanatory Statement Submitted by Mr. Cole, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, on H.R. 7148, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026,” *Congressional Record*, pp. H1457-H1458.

⁸¹ CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY2026 Reconciliation Request” in FY2026 DOD budget documentation.

⁸² CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 P.L. 119-21 Spend Plan” in FY2027 DOD budget documentation. For more information, see **Appendix**.

Table 7. FY2026 Funding Authorizations and Appropriations for Selected DOD Shipbuilding and Maritime Systems Programs

(in billions of dollars of budget authority and quantities, if available)

System Type and Name (relevant CRS product, if available)	FY2026 DOD Request				Authorization						Appropriation					
	Recon. Request ^a		Base Request ^b		H.R. 3838		S. 2296		Enacted (P.L. 119-60)		H.R. 4016 ^c		S. 2572		Enacted (P.L. 119-75)	
Shipbuilding Program	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	# ^d	\$	#	\$	# ^d
Amphibious Craft																
San Antonio-class Amphibious Ship (R43543)	\$2.69	1	\$0.11		\$0.11		\$0.11		\$0.10		\$0.08		\$0.20		\$0.11	
America-class Amphibious Assault Ship (R43543)	\$3.99	1	\$0.05		\$0.05		\$0.05		\$0.05		\$0.06		\$0.14		\$0.05	
Medium Landing Ship (R46374)	\$1.96	9	\$0.01		\$0.01		\$0.01		\$0.01		\$0.23		\$0.01		\$0.81	
Carriers																
Gerald R. Ford-class Nuclear Aircraft Carrier (RS20643)			\$3.62		\$3.62		\$3.62		\$3.62		\$3.49		\$3.63		\$3.62	
(Aircraft Carrier) Refueling Complex Overhaul			\$2.27		\$2.26		\$2.27		\$2.27		\$1.83		\$2.07		\$2.07	
Frigates/Destroyers																
Arleigh Burke-class Destroyer (RL32109)	\$5.58	2	\$0.36		\$0.86	1	\$8.04	2	\$1.26		\$5.46		\$2.11		\$2.11	
Constellation-class Guided Missile Frigate (R44972)/FF(X) Frigate			\$0.08		\$0.08		\$0.08				\$0.18		\$0.18		\$0.43 ^e	
Other																

System Type and Name (relevant CRS product, if available)	FY2026 DOD Request				Authorization						Appropriation					
	Recon. Request ^a		Base Request ^b		H.R. 3838		S. 2296		Enacted (P.L. 119-60)		H.R. 4016 ^c		S. 2572		Enacted (P.L. 119-75)	
Shipbuilding Program	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	#	\$	# ^d	\$	#	\$	# ^d
Unmanned Surface Vessels (R45757)	\$1.24		\$0.36		\$0.36		\$0.36		\$0.36		\$0.27		\$0.41		\$0.56	
Unmanned Undersea Vehicles	\$0.54		\$0.25		\$0.26		\$0.25		\$0.26		\$0.23		\$0.19		\$0.20	
John Lewis-class Fleet Replenishment Oiler (R43546)	\$1.93	2	\$0.09		\$0.09		\$0.09		\$0.09		\$1.69		\$0.17		\$0.09	
Submarines																
Columbia-class Ballistic Missile Submarine (R41129)	\$1.93		\$9.55	1	\$9.55	1	\$9.56	1	\$10.26	1	\$10.84		\$12.95	1	\$9.85	
Virginia-class Submarine (RL32418)	\$7.13	1	\$5.08	1	\$6.08	1	\$6.28	1	\$7.62		\$11.98		\$7.62	1	\$7.01	

Source: CRS analysis of DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, July 2025; FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-1)* and *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-1)* spreadsheets; FY2026 military department budget justification books; H.Rept. 119-231; S.Rept. 119-39; H.Rept. 119-162; S.Rept. 119-52; “Joint Explanatory Material Statement Submitted by Mr. Rogers of Alabama, Chair of the House Committee on Armed Services, on S. 1071,” *Congressional Record*, vol. 171, no. 208 (December 10, 2025), pp. H5571-H5764; and “Explanatory Statement Submitted by Mr. Cole, Chair of the House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations, on H.R. 7148, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026; and H.R. 7147, Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2026,” *Congressional Record*, vol. 172, no. 15 (January 22, 2026), pp. H1353-H1909.

Notes: Figures rounded to the nearest hundredth. “Recon.” stands for reconciliation.

- CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 Reconciliation Request” in FY2026 DOD budget documents, FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-1)* and *Research Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-1)*. See “Background” for more information. For amounts in the DOD “reconciliation spend plan,” see the **Appendix**.
- CRS analysis of what DOD characterizes as its “FY 2026 Disc. Request,” or base budget, as in the discretionary funds that DOD requested Congress authorize and appropriate for FY2026.

- c. HAC reported its version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2026 (H.R. 4016), before DOD released the details of its budget request on June 26, 2025. The committee appears to have based its markup on FY2025 enacted DOD appropriations and on the department's FY2025 projection of what it anticipated allocating in FY2026. DOD's projected figures may or may not align with its actual request for FY2026. See "Background" for more information.
- d. H.Rept. 119-162 and the explanatory statement that accompanied the negotiated version of the FY2026 DOD appropriations act did not include funding table quantities.
- e. On November 25, 2025, the Navy cancelled the Constellation-class frigate program. Figure includes funding for a different class of Navy frigates, the FF(X).

Appendix. FY2026 Funding for Selected Weapon Systems in the FY2025 Reconciliation Law

Table A-1. FY2026 Funding for Selected Weapon Systems Provided in the FY2025 Reconciliation Law

(in billions of dollars of budget authority and quantities, if available)

Weapon System Category and Name	Recon. Request ^a		P.L. 119-21 Spend Plan ^b	
	\$	#	\$	#
Aircraft and Related Systems				
B-21 Raider	\$4.49	21	\$4.50	21
F-15 Eagle	\$3.02		\$3.09	
F-35 Joint Strike Fighter	\$1.00		\$1.00	
F/A-XX			\$0.75	
Collaborative Combat Aircraft	\$0.68		\$0.68	
C-130J Hercules	\$0.21		\$0.46	2
F-47 (Next Generation Air Dominance)	\$0.90		\$0.40	
Future Long-Range Assault Aircraft			\$0.31	6
V-22 Osprey	\$0.14		\$0.25	
MH-139A Grey Wolf	\$0.15		\$0.21	
F-16 Fighting Falcon	\$0.05		\$0.19	
MQ-25 Stingray	\$0.10		\$0.10	
F-22 Raptor	\$0.10		\$0.10	
MQ-9 Reaper	\$0.01		\$0.01	
HH-60W Combat Rescue Helicopter	\$0.05			
Ground Systems				
Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle			\$0.25	38

Weapon System Category and Name	Recon. Request ^a		P.L. 119-21 Spend Plan ^b	
	\$	#	\$	#
Amphibious Combat Vehicle			\$0.24	
Stryker Family of Armored Vehicles			\$0.23	50
Hypersonic Warfare				
Hypersonic Attack Cruise Missile			\$0.13	
Long-Range Hypersonic Weapon	\$0.09		\$0.13	
Missile Defense Programs				
Sea-based Weapon System (Aegis)	\$0.41		\$0.42	16 ^c
PAC-3 Missile Segment Enhancement	\$0.41	12 ^d	\$0.20	47 ^e
Maneuver SHORAD	\$0.11		\$0.14	2
Medium-Range Intercept Capability	\$0.06		\$0.04	
PATRIOT Lower-Tier Air and Missile Defense Sensor	\$0.67		\$0.01	
Indirect Fire Protection Capability	\$0.19			
Terminal High Altitude Area Defense	\$0.46	12		
Ground-based Midcourse Defense	\$0.06			
Missiles and Munitions				
LGM-35A Sentinel	\$1.50		\$2.37	
Sea-Launched Cruise Missile-Nuclear	\$1.93		\$1.68	
Standard Missile-6	\$0.63	129	\$1.05	111
Tactical Tomahawk Cruise Missile	\$0.67	57	\$0.99	55
Ammunition	\$0.13		\$0.70	
Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile	\$0.49	245	\$0.69	237
Family of Affordable Mass Missile	\$0.66	3,010	\$0.62	1,000
Trident II Ballistic Missile Modifications	\$0.06		\$0.61	

Weapon System Category and Name	Recon. Request ^a		P.L. 119-21 Spend Plan ^b	
	\$	#	\$	#
Long-Range Anti-Ship Missile	\$0.40	89	\$0.58	85
Precision Strike Missile	\$0.40		\$0.48	
Joint Strike Missile	\$0.38	112	\$0.38	100
Advanced Medium Range Air-to-Air Missile	\$0.30	257	\$0.30	186
Joint Advanced Tactical Missile			\$0.23	
Naval Strike Missile			\$0.05	
Air Intercept Missile			\$0.05	
Rolling Airframe Missile			\$0.02	
Shipbuilding and Maritime Systems				
Arleigh Burke Class Destroyer	\$5.58	2	\$5.40	2
Virginia Class Submarine	\$7.13	1	\$4.81	1
Unmanned Surface Vessels	\$1.24		\$3.06	
John Lewis Class Fleet Replenishment Oiler	\$1.93	2	\$2.73	3
Medium Landing Ship	\$1.96	9	\$1.96	8
Columbia Class Ballistic Missile Submarine	\$1.93		\$1.93	
Unmanned Undersea Vehicles	\$0.54		\$1.21	
San Antonio Class Amphibious Ship	\$2.69	1	\$0.88	
America Class Amphibious Assault Ship	\$3.99	1	\$0.59	
Space Based Systems				
Missile Warning / Missile Tracking	\$9.44		\$0.07 ^f	
Launch Enterprise	\$0.14		\$0.20	

Source: CRS analysis of DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, July 2025; FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-1)* and *Research, Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-1)* spreadsheets; FY2026 military department budget justification books; DOD, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, April 2026; FY2027 *Procurement*

Programs (P-I) and Research, Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-I) spreadsheets; FY2027 military department budget justification books; DOD, FY 2026 Mandatory Funding Allocation Plan, May 2026.

Notes: Table reflects funding provided by Congress in the FY2025 reconciliation law (P.L. 119-21), sometimes known as the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, and allocated by DOD to the selected weapon systems programs previously identified in this report in FY2026. For more information, see the “Background” section. CRS analysis of amounts for certain programs is based on additional DOD data provided to CRS in response to requests for information on October 7, 2025, and on May 4, 2026, and on file with the author.

- a. CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 Reconciliation Request” in FY2026 DOD budget documents, FY2026 *Procurement Programs (P-I) and Research Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-I)*.
- b. CRS analysis of the column entitled “FY 2026 P.L. 119-21 Spend Plan” in FY2027 DOD budget documents, FY2027 *Procurement Programs (P-I) and Research Development, Test & Evaluation Programs (R-I)*.
- c. Figure reflects the quantity of SM-3 Block IB missiles.
- d. Figure reflects only Navy quantities of PAC-3 Missile Segment Enhancement missiles. The Army requested reconciliation funding to procure 96 PAC-3 Missile Segment Enhancement missiles. DOD, Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)/CFO, *Department of Defense Fiscal Year (FY) 2026 Budget Estimates, Missile Procurement, Army, Volume 1*, June 2025, p. 21.
- e. Figure reflects only Army quantities of PAC-3 Missile Segment Enhancement missiles. DOD did not report allocating reconciliation funding in FY2026 to the Navy PAC-3 Missile Segment Enhancement missiles.
- f. DOD has stated that some initiatives that were previously reported as part of the Missile Warning/Missile Tracking program were “realigned to the Golden Dome for America fund.” DOD, Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)/CFO, *Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System*, April 2026, p. 7-4.

Author Information

Daniel M. Gettinger
Analyst in U.S. Defense Policy

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.