

Senate Consideration of Presidential Nominations: Committee and Floor Procedure

Updated October 1, 2025

SUMMARY

RL31980

October 1, 2025

Elizabeth Rybicki

Specialist on Congress and the Legislative Process

Michael Greene

Analyst on Congress and the Legislative Process

Senate Consideration of Presidential Nominations: Committee and Floor Procedure

Article II, Section 2, of the Constitution provides that the President shall appoint officers of the United States "by and with the Advice and Consent of the Senate." Senate committees play a central role in the advice and consent process through investigations and hearings. Senate Rule XXXI provides that nominations shall be referred to appropriate committees "unless otherwise ordered." Most nominations are referred, although a Senate standing order provides that some "privileged" nominations to specified positions will not be referred unless requested by a Senator. The Senate rule concerning committee jurisdictions (Rule XXV) broadly defines issue areas for committees, and the same jurisdictional statements generally apply to nominations as well as legislation. A committee considering a nomination can report the nomination to the Senate favorably, unfavorably, or without recommendation, or it can choose to take no action at

all. It is more common for a committee to fail to take action on a nomination than to report unfavorably or without recommendation.

The Senate handles executive business, which includes both nominations and treaties, separately from its legislative business. All nominations reported from committee are listed on the Executive Calendar, a separate document from the Calendar of Business, which concerns legislation.

The Senate can call up a nomination that is on the Calendar expeditiously, because a motion to enter executive session to consider a specific nomination on the Calendar is not debatable. This motion requires a majority of Senators present and voting, a quorum being present, for approval. Generally speaking, the majority leader schedules floor consideration of nominations on the Calendar, because it is the majority leader who usually makes the motion to take up a nomination. Nominations are considered in "executive session," a parliamentary form of the Senate in session that has its own journal and, to some extent, its own rules of procedure.

After a nomination has been called up, the question before the Senate is "will the Senate advise and consent to this nomination?" A majority of Senators voting is required to approve a nomination. However, Senate rules place no limit on how long a nomination may be debated, and ending consideration could require invoking cloture. Cloture can be filed on a nomination once it has been taken up by the full Senate, and the vote on cloture occurs two days of Senate session later (unless that timing is altered by unanimous consent). Cloture on all nominations requires majority support for approval. If cloture is successful, further consideration of the nomination is limited to two hours for most nominations. For nominations to 21 high-ranking executive branch positions, as well as to the Supreme Court and the U.S. Circuit Courts of Appeals, the maximum post-cloture consideration time is 30 hours. The Senate must be in session considering the nomination for post-cloture time to be consumed, unless the Senate agrees otherwise by unanimous consent.

Several reinterpretations of Senate Rule XXII altered the cloture process on nominations, making the process for nominations different from the process for legislation. On November 21, 2013, the Senate reinterpreted Rule XXII to allow cloture to be invoked on any nominations, except those to the Supreme Court, by a majority of Senators voting, instead of by three-fifths of the Senate. On April 6, 2017, the Senate voted to extend this reinterpretation to allow cloture to be invoked by a majority on nominations to the Supreme Court as well. After the 2013 decision, the number of nominations subjected to a cloture process increased. On April 3, 2019, the Senate reinterpreted Rule XXII again. The Senate reduced, from 30 hours to 2 hours, the maximum time nominations can be considered after cloture has been invoked for most nominations.

As a result of a series of Senate decisions made in September of 2025, the Senate may consider certain executive branch nominations *en bloc* through a two-step process. First, the Senate considers and agrees to an executive resolution—a form of a simple resolution exclusively concerned with nominations or treaties—identifying nominations that will be taken up *en bloc*. Second, the Senate considers the nominations *en bloc*. Each step will likely include a multi-day cloture process requiring the support of a simple majority of Senators, unless unanimous consent agreements are reached to expedite the process.

Nominations that are pending when the Senate adjourns sine die at the end of a session or recesses for more than 30 days are returned to the President unless the Senate, by unanimous consent, waives the rule requiring their return.

Contents

Introduction	
Receipt and Referral and "Privileged Nominations")
Committee Procedures	
Written Rules	3
Investigations	ļ
Hearings5	
Reporting5	5
Discharging a Committee from Consideration of a Nomination)
Floor Procedures	7
Executive Calendar	7
Executive Session	7
Taking Up a Single Nomination	3
Consideration and Disposition	3
Cloture9	
Stacked Cloture Motions	
Holds11	
Floor Procedures for Consideration of Nominations en bloc	Ĺ
Nominations Eligible for <i>en bloc</i> Consideration)
Executive Resolutions	3
Floor Consideration of en bloc Nominations	ļ
Reduced Post-Cloture Time on Nominations: Reinterpretation of the Rule	ļ
Nominations Returned to the President15	5
Recess Appointments	5
1000007 appointments	•
Figures	
Figure 1. Senate Executive Resolution	;
Tables	
Table 1. Maximum Number of Hours of Post-Cloture Consideration of Individual Nominations)
Contacts	
Author Information	7

Introduction

Article II, Section 2, of the Constitution provides that the President shall appoint officers of the United States "by and with the Advice and Consent of the Senate." The method by which the Senate provides advice and consent on presidential nominations, referred to broadly as the confirmation process, serves several purposes. First, largely through committee investigations and hearings, the confirmation process allows the Senate to examine the qualifications of nominees and any potential conflicts of interest. Second, Senators can influence policy through the confirmation process, either by rejecting nominees or by extracting promises from nominees before granting consent. Also, the Senate sometimes has delayed the confirmation process in order to increase its influence with the executive branch on unrelated matters.

Senate confirmation is required for several categories of government officials. Military appointments and promotions make up the majority of nominations, approximately 45,000 per two-year Congress, and most are confirmed routinely. Each Congress, the Senate also considers approximately 4,000 civilian nominations, and, again, many of them, such as appointments to or promotions within the Foreign Service, are routine. Civilian nominations considered by the Senate also include federal judges and specified officers in executive departments, independent agencies, and regulatory boards and commissions.

Many presidential appointees are confirmed routinely by the Senate. With tens of thousands of nominations each Congress, the Senate cannot possibly consider them all in detail. A regularized process facilitates quick action on thousands of government positions. The Senate may approve *en bloc* hundreds of nominations at a time, especially military appointments and promotions.

The process also allows for close scrutiny of candidates when necessary. Each year, a few hundred nominees to high-level positions are regularly subject to Senate investigations and public hearings. Most of these are approved, and a small number of nominations are disputed and receive more attention from the media and Congress. Judicial nominations, particularly Supreme Court appointees, are generally subject to greater scrutiny than nominations to executive posts, partly because judges may serve for life. Among the executive branch positions, nominees for policymaking positions are more likely to be examined closely, and are slightly less likely to be confirmed, than nominees for nonpolicy positions.

There are several reasons that the Senate confirms a high percentage of nominations. Most nominations and promotions are not to policymaking positions and are of less interest to the Senate. In addition, some sentiment exists in the Senate that the selection of persons to fill executive branch positions is largely a presidential prerogative. Historically, the President has been granted wide latitude in the selection of his Cabinet and other high-ranking executive branch officials.³

Another important reason for the high percentage of confirmations is that Senators are often involved in the nomination stage. The President would prefer a smooth and fast confirmation

¹ For more information on the consideration of Supreme Court nominations, see CRS Report RL33225, Supreme Court Nominations, 1789 to 2020: Actions by the Senate, the Judiciary Committee, and the President; CRS Report RL33247, Supreme Court Nominations: Senate Floor Procedure and Practice, 1789-2011; and CRS Report RL33118, Speed of Presidential and Senate Actions on Supreme Court Nominations, 1900-2010.

² CRS Report 93-464, Senate Action on Nominations to Policy Positions in the Executive Branch, 1981-1992. (For a copy of this out-of-print CRS report, congressional clients may contact Elizabeth Rybicki.)

³ Joseph P. Harris, *The Advice and Consent of the Senate* (New York: Greenwood Press Publishers, 1968), p. 2; Richard Allan Baker, "Legislative Power Over Appointments and Confirmations," in Joel Silbey, ed., *Encyclopedia of the American Legislative System* (New York: C. Scribner's Sons, 1994), vol. 3, p. 1616.

process, so he may decide to consult with Senators prior to choosing a nominee. Senators most likely to be consulted, typically by White House congressional relations staff, are Senators from a nominee's home state, leaders of the committee of jurisdiction, and leaders of the President's party in the Senate. Senators of the President's party are sometimes invited to express opinions or even propose candidates for federal appointments in their own states.⁴ There is a long-standing custom of "senatorial courtesy," whereby the Senate will sometimes decline to proceed on a nomination if a home-state Senator expresses opposition.⁵ Positions subject to senatorial courtesy include U.S. attorneys, U.S. marshals, and U.S. district judges.

Over the past decade, Senators have expressed concerns over various aspects of the confirmation process, including the rate of confirmation for high-ranking executive branch positions and judgeships, as well as the speed of Senate action on routine nominations. When the Senate is controlled by the party of the President, this concern has often been raised as a complaint that minority party Senators are disputing a higher number of nominations, and have increasingly used their leverage under Senate proceedings to delay or even block their consideration. These concerns have led the Senate to make several changes to the confirmation process since 2011. The changes are taken into account in the following description of the process and are described in detail in other CRS Reports.

Receipt and Referral and "Privileged Nominations"

The President customarily sends nomination messages to the Senate in writing. Once received, nominations are numbered by the executive clerk and read on the floor. The clerk actually assigns numbers to the presidential messages, not to individual nominations, so a message listing several nominations would receive a single number. Except by unanimous consent, the Senate cannot vote on nominations the day they are received, and most are referred immediately to committees.

Senate Rule XXXI provides that nominations shall be referred to appropriate committees "unless otherwise ordered." A standing order of the Senate provides that some nominations to specified positions will not be referred unless a Senator requests referral. Instead of being immediately referred, the nominations are instead listed in a special section of the *Executive Calendar*, a document distributed daily to congressional offices and available online. This section of the Calendar is titled "Privileged Nominations." After the chair of the committee with jurisdiction

⁹ See CRS Report 98-438, *The Senate's Executive Calendar*.

⁴ "Report of the Task Force on the Confirmation Process," *Congressional Record*, vol. 138 (February 4, 1992), pp. 1348-1352.

⁵ For more on senatorial courtesy and its history, see CRS Report RL34405, *Role of Home State Senators in the Selection of Lower Federal Court Judges*; and CRS Report RL31948, *Evolution of the Senate's Role in the Nomination and Confirmation Process: A Brief History*. (For a copy of this out-of-print CRS report, congressional clients may contact Elizabeth Rybicki.)

⁶ Sen. Bill Frist, "Judicial Nominations," *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 149 (November 12, 2003), pp. S14528-S14529; Sen. Bill Frist, "Fulfilling Duty, Preserving Legacy: Nominee Confirmations," *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 152 (December 6, 2006), p. S115999; Sen. Harry Reid, "Stopping Progress," *Congressional Record*, daily edition, October 31, 2009, pp. S10946-S10947; Sen. Harry Reid, "Rules Reform," *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 159 (November 21, 2013), pp. S8414-S8415.

⁷ CRS Report R41872, Presidential Appointments, the Senate's Confirmation Process, and Changes Made in the 112th Congress; CRS Report R42996, Changes to Senate Procedures at the Start of the 113th Congress Affecting the Operation of Cloture (S.Res. 15 and S.Res. 16); CRS Report R43331, Majority Cloture for Nominations: Implications and the "Nuclear" Proceedings of November 21, 2013; and CRS Report R44819, Senate Proceedings Establishing Majority Cloture for Supreme Court Nominations: In Brief.

⁸ S.Res. 116, 112th Congress.

over a nomination has notified the executive clerk that biographical and financial information on the nominee has been received, this is indicated in the Calendar. After 10 days of session, the nomination is moved from the "Privileged Nominations" section of the Calendar and placed on the "Nominations" section with the same status as a nomination that had been reported by a committee. (See "Executive Calendar" below.) Importantly, at any time that the nomination is listed in the new section of the *Executive Calendar*, any Senator can request that a nomination be referred, and it is then sent to the appropriate committee of jurisdiction. ¹⁰

Formally the presiding officer, but administratively the executive clerk's office, refers the nominations to committees according to the Senate's rules and precedents. The Senate rule concerning committee jurisdictions (Rule XXV) broadly defines issue areas for committees, and the same jurisdictional statements generally apply to nominations as well as legislation. An executive department nomination can be expected to be referred to the committee with jurisdiction over legislation concerning that department or to the committee that handled the legislation creating the position. Judicial branch nominations, including judges, U.S. attorneys, and U.S. marshals, are under the jurisdiction of the Judiciary Committee. In some instances, the committee of jurisdiction for a nomination has been set in statute. 12

The number of nominations referred to various committees differs considerably. The Committee on Armed Services, which handles all military appointments and promotions, receives the most. The two other committees with major confirmation responsibilities are the Committee on the Judiciary, with its jurisdiction over nominations in the judicial branch, and the Committee on Foreign Relations, which considers ambassadorial and other diplomatic appointments.

Occasionally, nominations are referred to more than one committee, either jointly or sequentially. A joint referral might occur when the jurisdictional claim of two committees is essentially equal. In such cases, both committees must report on the nomination before the whole Senate can act on it, unless the Senate discharges one or both committees. If two committees have unequal jurisdictional claims, then the nomination is more likely to be sequentially referred. In this case, the first committee must report the nomination before it is sequentially referred to the second committee. The second referral often is subject to a requirement that the committee report within a certain number of days. Typically, nominations are jointly or sequentially referred by unanimous consent. Sometimes the unanimous consent agreement applies to all future nominations to a position or category of positions.¹³

Committee Procedures

Written Rules

Most Senate committees that consider nominations have written rules concerning the process. Although committee rules vary, most contain standards concerning information to be gathered

-

¹⁰ For more information on privileged nominations, see CRS Report R46273, *Consideration of Privileged Nominations in the Senate*.

¹¹ For a list of appointee positions requiring Senate confirmation and the committees to which they are referred, see CRS Report RL30959, *Presidential Appointee Positions Requiring Senate Confirmation and Committees Handling Nominations*.

¹² For example, nominations of two members of the Thrift Depositor Protection Oversight Board are referred to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs (12 U.S.C. 1441a). Nominations of the U.S. Trade Representative and Deputy U.S. Trade Representative are referred to the Committee on Finance (19 U.S.C. 2171).

¹³ See, for example, "Joint Referral of Department of Energy Nominations," *Congressional Record*, vol. 136 (June 28, 1990), pp. 16573-16574.

from a nominee. Many committees expect a biographical résumé and some kind of financial statement listing assets and liabilities. Some specify the terms under which financial statements will or will not be made public.

Committee rules also frequently contain timetables outlining the minimum layover required between committee actions. A common timing provision is a requirement that nominations be held for one or two weeks before the committee proceeds to a hearing or a vote, permitting Senators time to review a nomination before committee consideration. Other committee rules specifically mandate a delay between steps of the process, such as the receipt of pre-hearing information and the date of the hearing, or the distribution of hearing transcripts and the committee vote on the nomination. Some of the written rules also contain provisions for the rules to be waived by majority vote, by unanimous consent, or by the chair and the ranking minority member.

Investigations

Committees often gather and review information about a nominee either before or instead of a formal hearing. Because the executive branch acts first in selecting a nominee, congressional committees are sometimes able to rely partially on any field investigations and reports conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). Records of FBI investigations are provided only to the White House, although a report or a summary of a report may be shared, with the President's authorization, with Senators on the relevant committee. The practices of the committees with regard to FBI materials vary. Some rarely if ever request them. On other committees, the chair and ranking member review any FBI report or summary, but on some committees these materials are available to any Senator upon request. Committee staff usually do not review FBI materials.

Almost all nominees are also asked by the Office of the Counsel to the President to complete an "Executive Personnel Financial Disclosure Report, SF-278," which is reviewed and certified by the relevant agency as well as the Director of the Office of Government Ethics. The documents are then forwarded to the relevant committee, along with opinion letters from ethics officers in the relevant agency and the director of the Office of Government Ethics. In contrast to FBI reports, financial disclosure forms are made public. All committees review financial disclosure reports and some make them available in committee offices to Members, staff, and the public.

To varying degrees, committees also conduct their own information-gathering exercises. Some committees, after reviewing responses to their standard questionnaire, might ask a nominee to complete a second questionnaire. Committees frequently require that written responses to these questionnaires be submitted before a hearing is scheduled. The Committee on the Judiciary sends form letters, sometimes called "blue slips," to Senators from a nominee's home state to determine whether they support the nomination. ¹⁴ The Committee on the Judiciary also has its own investigative staff. The Committee on Rules and Administration handles relatively few nominations and conducts its own investigations, sometimes with the assistance of the FBI or the Government Accountability Office (GAO).

It is not unusual for nominees to meet with committee staff prior to a hearing. High-level nominees may meet privately with Senators. Generally speaking, these meetings, sometimes initiated by the nominee, serve basically to acquaint the nominee with the Members and committee staff, and vice versa. They occasionally address substantive matters as well. A

¹⁴ For more information, see CRS Report R44975, *The Blue Slip Process for U.S. Circuit and District Court Nominations (1917-Present).*

nominee also might meet with the committee's chief counsel to discuss the financial disclosure report and any potential conflict-of-interest issues.

Hearings

Historically, approximately half of all civilian appointees were confirmed without a hearing. ¹⁵ All committees that receive nominations do hold hearings on some nominations, and the likelihood of hearings varies with the importance of the position and the workload of the committee. The Committee on the Judiciary, for example, which receives a large number of nominations, does not usually hold hearings for U.S. attorneys, U.S. marshals, or members of part-time commissions. The Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, on the other hand, typically hold hearings on most nominations that are referred to them. Committees often combine related nominations into a single hearing.

The length and nature of hearings varies. One or both home-state Senators will often introduce a nominee at a hearing. The nominee typically testifies at the hearing, and occasionally the committee will invite other witnesses, including Members of the House of Representatives, to testify as well. Some hearings function as routine welcomes, while others are directed at influencing the policy program of an appointee. In addition to policy views, hearings might address the nominee's qualifications and potential conflicts of interest. Senators also might take the opportunity to ask questions of particular concern to them or their constituents.

Committees sometimes send questions to nominees in advance of a hearing and ask for written responses. Nominees also might be asked to respond in writing to additional questions after a hearing. Especially for high-level positions, the nomination hearing may be only the first of many times an individual will be asked to testify before a committee. Therefore, the committee often gains a commitment from the nominee to be cooperative with future oversight activities of the committee.

Hearings, under Senate Rule XXVI, are open to the public unless closed by majority vote for one of the reasons specified in the rule. Witness testimony is sometimes made available online through the website of the relevant committee and also through several commercial services, including Congressional Quarterly. Most committees print the hearings, although no rule requires it. The number of Senators necessary to constitute a quorum for the purpose of taking testimony varies from committee to committee, but it is usually smaller than a majority of the membership. ¹⁶

Reporting

A committee considering a nomination has four options. It may report the nomination to the Senate favorably, unfavorably, or without recommendation, or it may choose to take no action at all. It is more common for a committee to take no action on a nomination than to report unfavorably. Particularly for policymaking positions, committees sometimes report a nomination favorably, subject to the commitment of the nominee to testify before a Senate committee. Sometimes, committees choose to report a nomination without recommendation. Even if a

¹⁵ The estimate excludes military appointees as well as civilian appointees usually submitted on lists to the Senate. Civilian nominations usually submitted on lists include appointments to, and promotions in, the Foreign Service, and prior to October 9, 2012 (when P.L. 112-166 took effect) appointments to, and promotions in, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and Public Health Service.

¹⁶ For more details concerning hearings, see CRS Report 98-337, *Senate Committee Hearings: Scheduling and Notification*.

majority of Senators on a committee do not agree that a nomination should be reported favorably, a majority might agree to report a nomination without a recommendation in order to permit a vote by the whole Senate.

The timing of a vote to report a nomination varies in accordance with committee rules and practice. In most committees, a nomination is not ordered reported on the same day that a hearing is held on it. Senate Rule XXVI, clause 7(a)(1) requires that a quorum for making a recommendation on a nomination consist of a majority of the membership of the committee.

Most of the time, committees do not formally present reports on nominations on the floor of the Senate. Instead, committee staff prepare the appropriate paperwork on behalf of the committee chair and file it with the clerk. The executive clerk then arranges for the nomination to be printed in the *Congressional Record* and placed on the *Executive Calendar*. If a report were presented on the floor, it would have to be done in executive session. Executive session and the *Executive Calendar* will be discussed in the next section. According to Senate Rule XXXI, the Senate cannot vote on a nomination the same day it is reported except by unanimous consent.¹⁷

Discharging a Committee from Consideration of a Nomination

The Senate sometimes discharges a committee from consideration of an unreported nomination by unanimous consent. This removes the nomination from the committee in order to allow the full Senate to consider it. When the Senate discharges a committee by unanimous consent, it is doing so with the support of the committee for the purposes of simplifying the process.

Under the regular rules of the Senate, it is unusual for Senators to attempt to discharge a committee by motion or resolution, instead of by unanimous consent, and only a few attempts have ever been successful. Senate Rule XVII does permit any Senator to submit a motion or resolution that a committee be discharged from the consideration of a subject referred to it. The discharge process, however, does not allow a simple majority to quickly initiate consideration of a nomination still in committee. It requires several steps and, most notably, a motion or resolution to discharge is debatable. This means that a cloture process may be necessary to discharge a committee. Cloture on a discharge motion or resolution requires the support of three-fifths of the Senate, usually 60 Senators, and several days of floor time.

In the 117th Congress, the Senate created a temporary process to allow a Senate majority to discharge a committee from consideration of a nomination. The process, established in S.Res. 27, is no longer available.²⁰

¹⁷ The reference in the rule to a "day" refers to a calendar day, not a legislative day. See Floyd M. Riddick and Alan S. Frumin, *Riddick's Senate Procedure: Precedents and Practices*, 101st Cong., 2nd sess., S.Doc. 101-28 (Washington: GPO, 1992) (hereinafter *Riddick's Senate Procedure*), p. 943. A legislative day begins the first time the Senate meets after an adjournment and ends when the Senate adjourns again. A legislative day is not necessarily a calendar day because the Senate does not always adjourn each calendar day.

¹⁸ For a detailed description of the discharge process and the five instances since 1916 when discharge was successful, see CRS congressional distribution memorandum, "Discharging a Committee from Consideration of a Nomination: Current Procedure and Historical Practice" (available to congressional clients on request from the author of this report).

¹⁹ For a full description, see CRS In Focus IF12915, *Discharging a Senate Committee from Consideration of a Nomination*.

²⁰ For more information on the prior procedure, see CRS Report R46769, *The Senate Powersharing Agreement of the 117th Congress (S.Res. 27).*

Floor Procedures

The Senate handles executive business, which includes both nominations and treaties, separately from its legislative business. All nominations reported from committee, regardless of whether they were reported favorably, unfavorably, or without recommendation, are listed on the *Executive Calendar*, a separate document from the *Calendar of Business*, which concerns bills and resolutions. Nominations are considered in executive session, a parliamentary form of the Senate in session that has its own journal and, to some extent, its own rules of procedure.

Executive Calendar

After a committee reports a nomination, the nomination is assigned a number by the executive clerk and placed on the *Executive Calendar*. Under a standing order of the Senate, certain nominations might also be placed in this status on the *Executive Calendar* after certain informational and time requirements are met.²¹ The list of nominations in the *Executive Calendar* includes basic information such as the name and office of the nominee, the name of the previous holder of the office, and whether the committee reported the nomination favorably, unfavorably, or without recommendation. Long lists of routine nominations are printed in the *Congressional Record* and identified only by a short title in the *Executive Calendar*, such as "Foreign Service nominations (84) beginning John F. Aloia, and ending Paul G. Churchill." In addition to reported nominations and treaties, the *Executive Calendar* contains the text of any unanimous consent agreements concerning executive business that are still applicable.

The *Executive Calendar* is available online by following the link to "Floor Proceedings" under the "Legislation and Records" tab of the Senate website (www.Senate.gov).²²

Executive Session

Business on the *Executive Calendar*, which consists of nominations and treaties, is considered in executive session. In contrast, all measures and matters associated with lawmaking are considered in legislative session. Until 1929 executive sessions were also closed to the public, but now they are open unless ordered otherwise by the Senate.

The Senate usually begins the day in legislative session and enters executive session either by a non-debatable motion or by unanimous consent. Only if the Senate adjourned or recessed while in executive session would the next meeting automatically open in executive session. The motion to go into executive session can be offered at any time, is not debatable, and cannot be laid upon the table.

All business concerning nominations, including seemingly routine matters such as requests for joint referral or motions to print hearings, must be done in executive session. Senators can make such motions or unanimous consent requests "as if in executive session." These usually brief proceedings during a legislative session do not constitute an official executive session. In addition, at the start of each Congress, the Senate adopts a standing order, by unanimous consent, that allows the Senate to receive nominations from the President and for them to be referred to committees even on days when the Senate does not meet in executive session.

²¹ S.Res. 116, 112th Congress. See discussion above under "Receipt and Referral," and CRS Report R46273, *Consideration of Privileged Nominations in the Senate*.

²² See also CRS Report 98-438, The Senate's Executive Calendar.

Taking Up a Single Nomination

The majority leader, by custom, makes most motions and requests that determine when or whether a nomination will be called up for consideration. By precedent, the motion to go into executive session to take up a specified nomination is not debatable.²³ The nomination itself, however, is debatable.

It is not in order for a Senator to move to consider a nomination that is not on the Calendar, and, except by unanimous consent, a nomination on the Calendar cannot be taken up until it has been on the Calendar at least one day (Rule XXXI, clause 1). A day for this purpose is a calendar day. In other words, a nomination reported and placed on the Calendar on a Monday can be considered on Tuesday, even if it is the same legislative day.²⁴

If the Senate simply resolved into executive session, the business immediately pending would be the first item on the *Executive Calendar*. A motion to proceed to another matter on the Calendar would be debatable and subject to a filibuster. For this reason, the Senate does not begin consideration of executive business this way. Instead, the motion made to call up a nomination is a motion to proceed to executive session to consider that specific nomination. If the Senate is already in executive session, and the leader wishes to call up a nomination, the leader will first move that the Senate enter legislative session and then that the Senate enter executive session to take up the nomination. Both motions (to enter legislative session and to enter executive session) are not subject to debate and are decided by a simple majority. Typically they are approved by voice vote.

Consideration and Disposition

The question before the Senate after a nomination is taken up is "will the Senate advise and consent to this nomination?" The Senate can approve or reject a nomination.²⁵ A majority of Senators present and voting, a quorum being present, is required to approve a nomination.

Nominations can be brought up by unanimous consent and approved without objection; certain routine nominations are sometimes grouped by unanimous consent in order to be brought up and approved together, or *en bloc*; this may occur without any period for debate. Some nominations, however, once taken up, are debated individually on the Senate floor. The chair of the committee of jurisdiction often speaks on the nomination. For positions within a state, Senators from the state may wish to speak on the nominee, particularly if they were involved in the selection process. Under Senate rules, there are no time limits on debate except when conducted under cloture or a unanimous consent agreement.

According to Senate Rule XXXI, any Senator who voted with the majority on the nomination has the option of moving to reconsider a vote on the day of the vote or the next two days the Senate meets in executive session. Only one motion to reconsider is in order on each nomination, and often the motion to reconsider is laid upon the table, by unanimous consent, shortly after the vote

²³ Riddick's Senate Procedure, p. 941.

²⁴ The reference in Senate Rule XXXI, clause 1 to a "day" refers to a calendar day, not a legislative day. See *Riddick's Senate Procedure*, p. 943. See footnote 17 for a definition of a legislative day.

²⁵ In addition to approving and rejecting a nomination, the Senate has the option of sending a nomination back to a committee for further consideration. Although infrequently used, the motion to recommit is available and may allow a panel to reconsider its recommendation when information concerning a nominee comes to light after the committee has reported to the full Senate.

on the nomination. This action prevents any subsequent attempt to reconsider.²⁶ After the Senate acts on a nomination, the Secretary of the Senate attests to a resolution of confirmation or disapproval and transmits it to the White House.

Cloture

Senate Rule XXII provides a means to bring debate on a nomination to a close, if necessary.²⁷ Under the terms of Rule XXII, at least 16 Senators sign a cloture motion to end debate on a pending nomination. The motion proposed is "to bring to a close the debate upon [the pending nomination]." A Senator can interrupt a Senator who is speaking to present a cloture motion. Cloture may be moved only on a question that is pending before the Senate; therefore, absent unanimous consent, the Senate must be in executive session and considering the nomination when the motion is filed. After the clerk reads the motion, the Senate returns to the business it was considering before the presentation of the motion.

Unless a unanimous consent agreement provides otherwise, the Senate does not vote on the cloture motion until the second day of session after the day it is presented. For example, if the motion was presented on a Monday, and the Senate was in session on Tuesday, the Senate would vote on the cloture motion Wednesday. One hour after the Senate has convened on the day the motion "ripened," the presiding officer can interrupt the proceedings to present a cloture motion for a vote. According to Rule XXII, the presiding officer first directs the clerk to call the roll to ascertain that a quorum is present, although this requirement is often waived by unanimous consent. Senators then vote either yea or nay on the question: "Is it the sense of the Senate that the debate shall be brought to a close?" In April 2017, the Senate reinterpreted Rule XXII in order to allow cloture to be invoked on all nominations by a majority of Senators voting (a quorum being present), including Supreme Court justice nominations. 28 This expanded the results of similar actions taken by the Senate in November 2013, which changed the cloture vote requirement to a majority for nominations except to the Supreme Court.²⁹ Since the Senate has reinterpreted the cloture rule, the number of nominations subjected to cloture motions increased significantly in the Congresses in which the Senate was controlled by the same party as the President.30

Once cloture is invoked, for most nominations there can be a maximum of two hours of postcloture consideration. The two hour maximum includes debate as well as any actions taken while

²⁶ Senate Rule XXXI requires that the Secretary of the Senate wait until the time for moving to reconsider has expired before sending notice to the President; in practice, however, notice is usually sent immediately, permitted by unanimous consent. If notice has already been sent to the President, a motion to reconsider is accompanied by a request to the President to return the nomination. If the President does not comply with the request, the Senate cannot reconsider the nomination (*Riddick's Senate Procedure*, p. 948).

²⁷ Although Senate rules have permitted cloture to be moved on nominations since 1949, cloture was not sought on a judicial nomination until 1968 or on an executive branch nomination until 1980. For data on the nominations subjected to cloture attempts through the reinterpretation of the cloture rule in 2013, see CRS Report RL32878, *Cloture Attempts on Nominations: Data and Historical Development Through November 20, 2013*.

²⁸ Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 163 (April 6, 2017), pp. S2388-S2390.

²⁹ Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 159 (November 21, 2013), pp. S8417-S8418. See also CRS Report R43331, Majority Cloture for Nominations: Implications and the "Nuclear" Proceedings of November 21, 2013; and CRS Report R44819, Senate Proceedings Establishing Majority Cloture for Supreme Court Nominations: In Brief.

³⁰ CRS Testimony TE10106, Senate Procedures to Confirm Nominees; CRS Report RL32878, Cloture Attempts on Nominations: Data and Historical Development Through November 20, 2013; CRS congressional distribution memorandum, "Nominations with Cloture Motions, 113th, 114th, and 115th Congresses" (available to congressional clients on request from the author of this report).

the nomination is formally pending, including quorum calls.³¹ If cloture is invoked on nominations to the highest ranking executive branch positions, or on nominations to the Supreme Court or the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals, then the maximum time for consideration after cloture is invoked is 30 hours (see **Table 1**). Under the rule, the 2 or 30 hours is floor time spent considering the nomination in the Senate, not simply the passage of time. Thus, for time to count against the 2 or 30-hour maximum, the Senate must be in session and the question must be pending. Time spent in recess or adjournment does not count, and if the Senate were to take up other business by unanimous consent, the time spent on that other business also would not count against the post-cloture time.

Table 1. Maximum Number of Hours of Post-Cloture Consideration of Individual Nominations

Pursuant to a Reinte	erpretation of Senate	Rules on	April 3, 2019

Nomination	Maximum Consideration	
U.S. district courts and all other judicial positions except the U.S. Supreme Court and the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals	2 hours	
All executive branch positions except 21 high-level positions	2 hours	
21 high-level executive branch positions, including the head of each executive department ^a	30 hours	
The U.S. Supreme Court and the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals	30 hours	

Source: Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 165 (April 3, 2019), pp. S2220 and S2225.

a. The decision excluded positions "at level I of the Executive Schedule under section 5312 of title 5, United States Code," which, in addition to the 15 heads of departments (14 Secretaries and the Attorney General), includes the United States Trade Representative, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, the Commissioner of Social Security, the Director of National Drug Control Policy, the Chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, and the Director of National Intelligence.

Stacked Cloture Motions

Because of the two-session-day waiting period between when cloture is filed on a nomination and the cloture vote, it is common for the majority leader to file cloture on several nominations sequentially on the same day. Each nomination must be considered separately and sequentially (absent unanimous consent), but the cloture motions can mature simultaneously. The practice of filing a series of cloture motions on multiple nominations in one day has become common, because it can expedite the process.

To begin, the Senate agrees to enter executive session to take up a specific nomination, and the majority leader files cloture on that nomination. The Senate next agrees to return to legislative session; this allows the majority leader to make a motion to enter executive session to take up a second specific nomination (to which the Senate can again immediately agree), and then file cloture on that second nomination. This process can be repeated for any number of nominations. The votes to enter legislative and executive session are typically voice votes, not roll call votes. (See the section "Taking Up a Single Nomination" for more information about moving in and out of executive session.)

Two days of Senate session later, all the cloture motions that were filed mature. The first vote the Senate will take is on the cloture motion that was filed first. If cloture is invoked on that

³¹ For full details on the cloture process, see CRS Report RL30360, Filibusters and Cloture in the Senate.

nomination, the cloture motion on the next nomination would not be voted on until the Senate has voted on confirmation of the first nomination. This is because once cloture is invoked on a matter, the Senate considers that matter to the exclusion of other business, including pending cloture motions that have matured. (If cloture on the first nomination failed, however, the Senate would vote immediately on the cloture motion on the second nomination on which cloture was filed.)

This practice allows several cloture motions to mature simultaneously over two days. The Senate could potentially agree to several nominations on the day that the cloture motions mature because post-cloture consideration is limited to a maximum of two hours on most nominations. It is faster than filing cloture on a single nomination, waiting two session days, voting for cloture on that nomination, debating, and then voting on the nomination—and only then taking up another nomination and filing cloture on it to start another two session-day waiting period.

Holds

A hold is a request by a Senator to his or her party leader to prevent or delay action on a nomination or a bill. Holds are not mentioned in the rules or precedents of the Senate, and they are enforced only through the agenda decisions of party leaders. A standing order of the Senate aims to ensure that any Senator who places a hold on any matter (including a nomination) make public his or her objection to the matter.³²

Senators have placed holds on nominations for a number of reasons. One common purpose is to give a Senator more time to review a nomination or to consult with the nominee. Senators may also place holds because they disagree with the policy positions of the nominee. Senators have also announced they are placing holds in order to gain concessions from the executive branch on matters not directly related to the nomination.

The Senate precedents reducing the threshold necessary to invoke cloture on nominations, and the precedent reducing the time necessary for a cloture process, could affect the practice of holds. In some sense, holds are connected to the Senate traditions of mutual deference, since they may have originated as requests for more time to examine a pending nomination or bill. The effectiveness of a hold, however, ultimately has been grounded in the power of the Senator placing the hold to filibuster the nomination and the difficulty of invoking cloture to overcome a filibuster. Invoking cloture is now easier because the support of fewer Senators is necessary, and in most cases, the floor time required for a cloture process is less. In addition, since September of 2025, it has been possible to consider multiple executive branch nominations at the same time, using a process discussed below.

Floor Procedures for Consideration of Nominations en bloc

While reaching unanimous consent is the fastest way to process multiple nominations at once on the floor, a majority in the Senate has other procedural tools to set up a single confirmation vote on groups of certain nominations all at once, i.e., *en bloc*. These procedures first became available during the 119th Congress, when the Senate reinterpreted Rule XXII to lower the threshold from

³² The standing order can be found in S.Res. 28 of the 112th Congress. For more information concerning holds, see CRS Insight IN12200, *Holds on Nominations*; and CRS Report R43563, "*Holds*" in the Senate. For more information concerning the history, types, and potency of holds, see CRS Report RL31685, *Proposals to Reform* "*Holds*" in the Senate. (For a copy of this out-of-print CRS report, congressional clients may contact Elizabeth Rybicki.)

three-fifths to a simple majority for invoking cloture on executive resolutions that make in order the consideration of certain nominations *en bloc*, as well as for confirming nominations *en bloc*.³³

Nominations Eligible for en bloc Consideration

Ending debate with a simple majority in the Senate only applies to the consideration of certain nominations *en bloc*. According to the criteria established by the Senate's reinterpretation of Rule XXII, nominations included in an executive resolution must:

- Be pending on the Executive Calendar with a calendar number;
- Not be at level 1 of the Executive Schedule (see **Table 1**); ³⁴ and,
- Not be an Article III judge (i.e., primarily nominations to the Supreme Court, Circuit Courts, and District Courts do not qualify). 35

The Senate could consider an executive resolution providing for *en bloc* consideration of any nominations—including those that do not meet the criteria discussed above. However, invoking cloture on such a resolution would require the support of three-fifths of the Senate rather than a simple majority of Senators voting.

The Executive Calendar primarily displays nominations in three different sections: "Nominations," "Nominations Placed on the Secretary's Desk," and "Privileged Nominations." Only Nominations appearing on the "Nominations" section of the Executive Calendar receive a calendar number and qualify for inclusion in an executive resolution. The "Nominations Placed on the Secretary's Desk" section is primarily used for military promotions whose nominations are submitted to the Senate in lists and are routinely confirmed by unanimous consent. "Privileged" nominations are not referred to committee and move directly to the "Nominations" portion of the calendar 10 days of session after the receipt of requested background information.

Nominations NOT ELIGIBLE for en bloc Consideration Under Senate Precedents Established September, 2025

An *en bloc* package containing any nominations meeting any of the criteria below would not be subject to a simple majority threshold to invoke cloture.

- Nominations where the committee of jurisdiction has not reported or been discharged from further consideration
- Article III Judges
- Nominations at pay level 1 of the Executive Schedule codified at 5 U.S.C. 5312
- Nominations on the "Privileged Nominations" section of the Executive Calendar.
- Nominations on the "Nominations Placed on the Secretary's Desk" section of the Executive Calendar.

³³ Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 171 (September 11, 2025), p. 6567-8 and Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 171 (September 17, 2025), p. S6671.

³⁴ Level 1 of the Executive Schedule currently applies to 21 high-level executive branch positions that are identified in 5 U.S.C. 5312. These are the same 21 positions that are subject to up to 30 hours of post-cloture consideration when taken up on the floor individually. Table 1, above, identifies the 21 executive branch positions.

³⁵ The procedural actions that resulted in the lower cloture threshold excluded "article III judges," which are judges in courts established by Congress pursuant to Article III of the Constitution. For more information, see "Types of Federal Judges," on the United States Courts website, https://www.uscourts.gov/about-federal-courts/types-federal-judges.

Executive Resolutions

To take up nominations *en bloc*, the Senate must first adopt an executive resolution making it in order to do so.³⁶ The executive resolution contains language making in order a motion to proceed to the *en bloc* consideration of certain nominations specifically identified in the measure itself (**see Figure 1**). The list of nominations is numbered sequentially, with each entry containing the name of the nominee, the position they are nominated to, and the nomination's corresponding Executive Calendar number. This information allows individual Senators to quickly gauge the size and scope of the *en bloc* package being proposed for floor consideration.

Figure 1. Senate Executive Resolution

S.Res. 377, 119th Congress (2025-2026)

EXECUTIVE RESOLUTION

Authorizing the en bloc consideration in Executive Session of certain nominations on the Executive Calendar.

- 1 Resolved, That it shall be in order to move to proceed
- 2 to the en bloc consideration of the following nominations
- 3 on the Executive Calendar:
- 4 (1) Calendar Number 89: Jessica Kramer, of
- 5 Wisconsin, to be an Assistant Administrator of the
- 6 Environmental Protection Agency.

Source: Congress.gov

Notes: S.Res. 377 (excerpt above) made in order a motion to proceed to 48 nominations, each listed and numbered in the text of the executive resolution itself.

In practice, an executive resolution is not submitted to the Senate for referral to committee. Instead, its sponsor will seek recognition on the floor to "send to the desk" the executive resolution and ask unanimous consent for its immediate consideration.³⁷ The Senator will then object to his or her own request in order to place the measure onto the Executive Calendar. (Alternatively, absent any objection, the Senate could instead immediately proceed to considering the executive resolution.)

On the next calendar day, any Senator may make a non-debatable motion to proceed to executive session to take up the executive resolution. Taking up the executive resolution is not debatable, but consideration of the measure itself is debatable, meaning a cloture process may be necessary to reach a vote on the resolution itself. If cloture is filed on the executive resolution, it is not

³⁶ An executive resolution is a simple resolution (S.Res.) proposing action on some item of executive business (nominations or treaties)

³⁷ When a Senator sends a measure to the desk, it is being introduced to the Senate for the first time.

voted on until two calendar days of session later and requires the support of a simple majority of those voting. If cloture is invoked, there are up to 30 additional hours of post-cloture consideration before the Senate votes on adoption of the executive resolution. The question of agreeing to the resolution is a simple majority threshold. Adopting the resolution does not have the effect of confirming the nominations listed in the measure, but instead allows for the Senate to proceed to consider those nominations with a single motion (whereas otherwise it would only be in order to proceed to one nomination at a time).

Floor Consideration of en bloc Nominations

Once the Senate has adopted an executive resolution making it in order to move to proceed to nominations *en bloc*, the floor confirmation process is effectively the same as it is for individual nominations. Any Senator may make a motion to proceed to executive session to consider the *en bloc* nominations listed in the adopted executive resolution. The motion is not debatable, voted on immediately, and requires the support of a simple majority of Senators voting. If the motion is agreed to, the *en bloc* nominations become pending before the Senate as a single item of business. The question of confirmation is debatable and a cloture process may be necessary. One cloture motion can be filed to end debate on all of the pending nominations *en bloc*. The vote to invoke cloture occurs two calendar days of session later and requires the support of a simple majority of Senators voting.³⁸

If cloture is invoked on the *en bloc* nominations, there are up to 30 hours of post-cloture consideration before the Senate proceeds to vote on confirmation.³⁹ Once all post-cloture time has expired (or no Senator seeks recognition for further debate), the Senate takes a single vote to confirm all nominations in the *en bloc* package. This vote, as with all confirmation votes, requires the support of a simple majority of Senators voting.

Reduced Post-Cloture Time on Nominations: Reinterpretation of the Rule

On April 3, 2019, the Senate reinterpreted Senate Rule XXII to reduce, from 30 hours to 2 hours, the maximum time allowed for consideration of most nominations after cloture is invoked. The Senate took this step by reversing two rulings by the presiding officer. The first vote established that "post-cloture time under rule XXII for all executive branch nominations other than a position at level 1 of the Executive Schedule under section 5312 of title 5 of the United States Code is 2 hours." On the second vote, the Senate established that "post-cloture time under rule XXII for

³⁸ More specifically, the cloture threshold requires only a simple majority if the *en bloc* package of nominations have been taken up pursuant to an executive resolution, those nominations each have a calendar number on the Executive Calendar, and are not on level 1 of the executive schedule under 5 U.S.C. 5312 and are not an article III judge. If one or more nominations in the *en bloc* package did not meet these criteria, the threshold to invoke cloture would be three-fifths of Senators duly sworn and chosen (60, if no more than one vacancy in the chamber).

³⁹ Incidentally, each nomination in the *en bloc* package would be subject to a (separate) maximum of only 2 hours postcloture consideration if they were called up individually. This suggests that the Senate will likely continue to selectively confirm nominations individually or in small groups using stacked cloture motions in order to process them more quickly than would occur if done *en bloc*. In other words, taking up nominations either individually or *en bloc* are both relevant procedural pathways; having both paths provides additional flexibility for the Senate to consider and confirm specific nominees.

⁴⁰ The majority leader made a point of order that such executive branch nominations were subject to two hours of post-cloture consideration. The presiding officer did not sustain the point of order. The majority leader appealed the ruling (continued...)

all judicial nominations, other than circuit courts or Supreme Court of the United States, is 2 hours"⁴¹ (see **Table 1**).

Any Senator can attempt to reverse a ruling by making an appeal, and except in specific cases, ⁴² appeals are decided by majority vote. In most circumstances, however, appeals are debatable, and therefore supermajority support (through a cloture process) is typically necessary to reach a vote to reverse a decision of the presiding officer. In the April 3 proceedings, however, the appeal was raised after cloture had been invoked. Senate Rule XXII states that after a successful cloture vote, "appeals from the decision of the presiding officer, shall be decided without debate." Therefore, when the majority leader appealed the rulings of the presiding officer, the questions on whether the ruling should stand as the judgment of the Senate received a vote without an opportunity for extended debate. The Senate voted that the ruling should not stand, and thereby upheld instead the position of the majority leader.

Nominations Returned to the President

Nominations that are not confirmed or rejected are returned to the President at the end of a session or when the Senate adjourns or recesses for more than 30 days (Senate Rule XXXI, paragraph 6). If the President still wants a nominee considered, he must submit a new nomination to the Senate. The Senate can, however, waive this rule by unanimous consent, and it often does to allow nominations to remain "in status quo" between the first and second sessions of a Congress or during a long recess. The majority leader or his designee also may exempt specific nominees by name from the unanimous consent agreement, allowing them to be returned during the recess or adjournment.⁴⁴

Recess Appointments

The Constitution, in Article II, Section 2, grants the President the authority to fill temporarily vacancies that "may happen during the Recess of the Senate." These appointments do not require the advice and consent of the Senate; the appointees temporarily fill the vacancies without Senate confirmation. In most cases, recess appointees have also been nominated to the positions to which

of the chair, and the Senate voted 51-48 to reverse the ruling. *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 165 (April 3, 2019), p. S2220.

⁴¹ The majority leader made a point of order that such judicial branch nominations were subject to two hours of post-cloture consideration. The presiding officer did not sustain the point of order. The majority leader appealed the ruling of the chair, and the Senate voted 51-48 to reverse the ruling. *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 165 (April 3, 2019), p. S2225.

⁴² For example, a limited number of appeals (e.g., in relation to certain points of order under the Congressional Budget Act) require a three-fifths vote to reverse a ruling of the chair. Other appeals are decided by a majority. For more information, see CRS Report 98-306, *Points of Order, Rulings, and Appeals in the Senate*.

⁴³ This was a different parliamentary situation than when the Senate reinterpreted the rule to lower the threshold necessary to invoke cloture. In those cases, the appeal could not be made after cloture was invoked, since it was necessary to reinterpret the rule prior to the cloture vote in order to establish the lower threshold. In those cases, the majority leader made the appeal in between two questions that were not debatable. *Riddick's Senate Procedure* states that "appeals arising in connection with a non-debatable motion" are not debatable (p. 726). The particular parliamentary actions of November 21, 2013, were unique in that it was the first time an appeal was made after a motion to reconsider a cloture vote was agreed to, but before the cloture vote. The same procedural steps were followed on April 6, 2017, in relation to the vote necessary to invoke cloture on Supreme Court nominations.

⁴⁴ For more information, see CRS Report R46664, Return of Nominations to the President Under Senate Rule XXXI.

they were appointed. Furthermore, when a recess appointment is made of an individual previously nominated to the position, the President usually submits a new nomination to the

Senate in order to comply with a provision of law affecting the pay of recess appointees (5 U.S.C. 5503(a)). Recess appointments have sometimes been controversial and have occasionally led to inter-branch conflict.⁴⁵

Author Information

Elizabeth Rybicki Specialist on Congress and the Legislative Process Michael Greene Analyst on Congress and the Legislative Process

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

⁴⁵ For more information on recess appointments, see CRS Report RS21412, *Temporarily Filling Presidentially Appointed, Senate-Confirmed Positions*; CRS Report RS21308, *Recess Appointments: Frequently Asked Questions*; CRS Report RL33310, *Recess Appointments Made by President George W. Bush*; and CRS Report R42329, *Recess Appointments Made by President Barack Obama*.