

FY2023 State Grants Under Title I-A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)

July 17, 2025

FY2023 State Grants Under Title I-A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)

The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), most recently comprehensively amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA; P.L. 114-95), is the primary source of federal aid to support elementary and secondary education. The Title I-A program is the largest grant program authorized under the ESEA and was funded at \$18.4 billion for FY2023. It is designed to provide supplementary educational and related services to low-achieving and other students attending elementary and secondary schools with relatively high concentrations of students from low-income families.

SUMMARY

R48607

Policy

July 17, 2025

Rebecca R. Skinner Specialist in Education

Isobel Sorenson Research Assistant

Under current law, the U.S. Department of Education (ED) determines Title I-A allocations to local educational agencies (LEAs) based on four separate funding formulas: Basic Grants, Concentration Grants, Targeted Grants, and Education Finance Incentive Grants (EFIG). State grants are the total of the allocations for all LEAs in the state under all four formulas. The four Title I-A formulas have somewhat distinct allocation patterns, providing varying shares of allocated funds to different types of LEAs and states. Thus, for some states, certain formulas are more favorable than others.

This report provides FY2023 state grant amounts under each of the four formulas used to determine Title I-A grants. Overall, California received the largest FY2023 Title I-A grant amount (\$2.1 billion, or 11.60% of total Title I-A grants to states). Vermont received the smallest FY2023 Title I-A grant amount (\$42.8 million, or 0.24% of total Title I-A grants to states).

Contents

Introduction
Methodology for Determining Title I-A Grants
FY2023 Title I-A Grants
Figures
Figure 1. FY2023 Title I-A State Grants by Amount and Share of Grant Provided Under Each Formula
Tables
Table 1. FY2023 Title I-A State Grants, and Percentage Shares of Funds Received Under Each Title I-A Formula Relative to All States
Table A-1. FY2023 Title I-A State Grants by Amount and Share of State Grant Provided Under Each Formula
Appendixes
Appendix. Comparison of FY2023 Title I-A State Grants by Amount and Share of State Grant Provided Under Each Formula
Contacts
Author Information

Introduction

The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), most recently comprehensively amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA; P.L. 114-95), is the primary source of federal aid to support elementary and secondary education. Title I-A¹ is the largest program in the ESEA, funded at \$18.4 billion for FY2023. Title I-A is designed to provide supplementary educational and related services to low-achieving and other students attending elementary and secondary schools with relatively high concentrations of students from low-income families. The U.S. Department of Education (ED) determines Title I-A allocations to local educational agencies (LEAs) based on four separate funding formulas: Basic Grants, Concentration Grants, Targeted Grants, and Education Finance Incentive Grants (EFIG). Grants to states² are the total of the allocations for all LEAs in the state under all four formulas.

This report provides FY2023³ state grant amounts under each of the four formulas used to determine Title I-A grants.⁴ For a general overview of the Title I-A formulas, see CRS Report R44164, *ESEA Title I-A Formulas: In Brief.* For a more detailed discussion of the Title I-A formulas, see CRS Report R48165, *The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as Amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA): An Analytical Review of the Allocation Formulas.*

Methodology for Determining Title I-A Grants

Under Title I-A, ED allocates funds to LEAs via state educational agencies (SEAs) using the four Title I-A formulas. Annual appropriations acts specify portions of each year's Title I-A appropriation to be allocated under each of the formulas. In FY2023, the Department of Education Appropriations Act, 2023 provided \$18.4 billion for the Title I-A program and designated 35.13% (\$6.5 billion) of Title I-A appropriations for the Basic Grant formula, 7.41% (\$1.4 billion) for the Concentration Grant formula, 28.73% (\$5.3 billion) for the Targeted Grant formula, and 28.73% (\$5.3 billion) for the EFIG formula. After reserving funds for the U.S. Census Bureau, the Bureau of Indian Education, and the outlying areas as required under Title I-

¹ Title I-A is officially titled "Improving Basic Programs Operated by Local Educational Agencies" in the ESEA, but it is commonly referred to as Title I-A.

² For the purposes of Title I-A, the term *states* includes the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

³ A CRS report on FY2024 Title I-A grants will be forthcoming when FY2025 appropriations data are available from ED.

⁴ This report continues a series of annual reports on Title I-A state grants. Similar analyses are available for FY2015 through FY2022: CRS Report R47598, FY2022 State Grants Under Title I-A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA); CRS Report R47111, FY2021 State Grants Under Title I-A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA); CRS Report R47078, FY2020 State Grants Under Title I-A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA); CRS Report R46269, FY2019 State Grants Under Title I-A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA); CRS Report R45662, FY2018 State Grants Under Title I-A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA); CRS Report R44873, FY2017 State Grants Under Title I-A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA); CRS Report R44486, FY2016 State Grants Under Title I-A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA); CRS Report R44097, FY2015 State Grants Under Title I-A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).

⁵ The analysis presented in this report is based on unpublished data provided to CRS by ED on November 15, 2023. As such, CRS is unable to present the specific underlying data used to determine Title I-A grants for a given state.

⁶ Division H, Title III of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023 (P.L. 117-328).

⁷ Individual grant formula appropriations may not add to \$18.4 billion due to rounding.

A, \$18.2 billion was available for FY2023 Title I-A grants to LEAs.⁸ Once states allocate funds received from ED to LEAs, the amounts allocated under the four formulas are combined and used jointly by each LEA.

For each formula, grants are calculated using a *formula child count*, a *formula child rate*, and an *expenditure factor*. The EFIG formula has two additional factors that are used to calculate grant amounts.⁹

Formula child counts used to determine Title I-A grants for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico include children who are ages 5-17 and meet at least one of the following criteria: (1) living in families in poverty, according to estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau's Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates (SAIPE) program; ¹⁰ (2) living in institutions for neglected or delinquent children or in foster homes; or (3) living in families with income above the federal poverty level receiving Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) payments. ¹¹ To obtain the percentage of children in an LEA that are formula children (also referred to as the *formula child rate*), the formula child count is divided by the total number of children ages 5-17 living in the LEA's geographic boundaries. The data on the total number of children also are based on SAIPE estimates. In FY2023, children in poor families accounted for 97.15% of the total formula child count, aligning with the first criterion listed above. Each element of the formula child count and formula child rate factors is updated annually.

In general, LEAs must have a minimum number of formula children and/or a minimum formula child rate to be eligible to receive a grant under a specific Title I-A formula. Some LEAs may qualify for a grant under only one of the formulas, while others may be eligible to receive grants under multiple formulas.

For LEAs in a given state, the *expenditure factor* for all four Title I-A formulas is equal to state average per pupil expenditures (APPE) for public elementary and secondary education, subject to a minimum and a maximum percentage of the national average, further multiplied by 0.40. State APPE is subject to a minimum of 80% and a maximum of 120% of the national APPE for Basic Grants, Concentration Grants, and Targeted Grants. That is, if a state's APPE is less than 80% of the national APPE, the state's APPE is automatically raised to 80% of the national APPE. If a state's APPE is more than 120% of the national APPE, the state's APPE is automatically reduced to 120% of the national APPE. For EFIG, the minimum and maximum thresholds for state APPE relative to national APPE are 85% and 115%, respectively. After adjustments, should they be needed, a state's APPE is multiplied by 0.40 as specified in statute.

After calculating LEA grant amounts, ED provides these amounts to each state. States need to make adjustments to these LEA grant amounts to account for LEAs for which ED is unable to estimate Title I-A grant amounts, such as charter schools that operate as independent LEAs or

-

⁸ From the appropriation for Basic Grants, \$5 million was reserved for the U.S. Census Bureau to provide the data needed to determine Title I-A grant amounts. The amount reserved for this purpose is specified annually in the Department of Education appropriations act. From the remaining funds, a statutorily determined 1.1% was reserved from the appropriations for each of the four formulas for the Bureau of Indian Education and the outlying areas. For the purposes of Title I-A, the outlying areas include American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

⁹ The two factors are the *equity* and *effort* factors. For more information about these factors, see CRS Report R48165, The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as Amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA): An Analytical Review of the Allocation Formulas.

¹⁰ SAIPE produces single-year estimates of income and poverty for all U.S. states and counties as well as estimates of school-age children in poverty for all 13,000+ school districts.

¹¹ For a description of the TANF program, see CRS In Focus IF10036, *The Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Block Grant.*

newly formed LEAs.¹² In addition, each state must reserve Title I-A funds for school improvement and may also reserve Title I-A funds for administration and direct student services. Thus, the LEA grant amounts calculated by ED will likely be higher than what an LEA will actually receive after state adjustments and reservations are made.

FY2023 Title I-A Grants

Figure 1 depicts the composition of each state's Title I-A grant based on the share of total state funds received under each of the formulas. The figure also provides the amount of funding each state received under each of the formulas. The data in **Figure 1** are also presented in **Table A-1**.

State grant amounts can also be examined based on each state's share of the amount of funds available overall and under each of the formulas relative to other states. **Table 1** provides each state's grant amount and relative percentage share of funds allocated under each of the Title I-A formulas for FY2023. The latter was determined for each state by dividing the state's grant amount under that formula by the total amount of funding allocated to states for a given Title I-A formula. Total Title I-A grants, calculated by summing the state level grant for each of the four formulas, also are shown in **Table 1**, along with each state's relative percentage shares of total Title I-A grants.

In general, grant amounts for states vary across the Title I-A formulas due to the different allocation amounts for the formulas provided through the appropriations process and the characteristics of the formulas themselves. For example, with respect to appropriations for each of the formulas, the Basic Grant formula receives a greater share of overall Title I-A appropriations than the Concentration Grant formula, so states receive higher grant amounts and a greater share of their total Title I-A funds under the Basic Grant formula than under the Concentration Grant formula (**Figure 1**). With respect to formula characteristics, ¹³ the amount of funding received under each formula is related, in part, to an LEA's, and by extension a state's, number or percentage of formula children. For example, while Florida and Nevada had similar percentages of formula children and identical expenditure factors in FY2023, Florida had a larger population of children included in the formula calculations than Nevada and, therefore, received a higher grant amount and larger share of Title I-A funds in FY2023 (**Table 1**).

It is also possible for states to have similar numbers of formula children but have different expenditure factors, contributing to a lower Title I-A grant amount for the state with the lower expenditure factor. For example, Virginia and Indiana had similar numbers of formula children in FY2023, but Virginia had a higher expenditure factor. This difference contributed to Virginia receiving a Title I-A grant that was \$45.9 million (16.03%) higher than the grant amount provided to Indiana (**Table 1**).

Different Title I-A formulas also have different eligibility requirements for LEAs to receive a grant (e.g., minimum number of formula children, minimum formula child rate), which affects which LEAs receive funds under a particular Title I-A grant formula, thereby affecting the total amount of funding received by a state under a specific Title I-A formula. In addition, the Targeted

¹² For example, during the 2021-2022 school year, there were 4,149 independent charter districts in the United States (ED, National Center for Education Statistics, *Digest of Education Statistics*, 2022, Table 214.30, https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d22/tables/dt22_214.30.asp). Independent charter districts are not included in the SAIPE dataset used by ED to calculate Title I-A grants, so ED is unable to calculate grant amounts for these LEAs.

¹³ For more information about the characteristics of the Title I-A formulas and how they affect grant amounts, see CRS Report R45141, *Analysis of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act Title I-A Allocation Formulas: Factors, Design Elements, and Allocation Patterns*.

Grant and EFIG formulas use weighted formula child counts¹⁴ in determining LEA grant amounts. These differences can also affect total state grant amounts.

Overall, California received the largest total Title I-A grant amount (\$2.1 billion) and, as a result, the largest percentage share (11.60%) of Title I-A grants. Vermont received the smallest total Title I-A grant amount (\$42.8 million) and, as a result, the smallest percentage share (0.24%) of Title I-A grants (**Table 1**).

Within a state, the relative percentage share of funds received from each formula may vary by formula, as certain formulas are more favorable to states with certain characteristics. For example, in addition to the formula features discussed above, EFIG is generally more favorable to states with comparatively equal levels of spending per pupil among their LEAs. ¹⁵ If a state's share of a given Title I-A formula exceeds its share of overall Title I-A funds, this is generally an indication that this particular formula was more favorable to the state than formulas for which the state's share of funds is below its overall share of Title I-A funds for the fiscal year being examined. For example, in FY2023 Florida received a higher percentage share of Concentration Grants and Targeted Grants than its overall share of Title I-A funds, indicating that the Concentration Grant and Targeted Grant formulas were more favorable to Florida than the Basic Grant or EFIG formulas. Utah, on the other hand, received a higher percentage share of Basic Grants and EFIG than its overall share of Title I-A funds in FY2023, indicating that the Basic Grant and EFIG formulas are more favorable to Utah than the Concentration Grant or Targeted Grant formulas may be (Table 1).

Another factor affecting state grant amounts is the state minimum grant provision included in each of the four Title I-A formulas. The minimum grant provisions applicable to each of the Title I-A formulas provide states with different minimum shares of the funds allocated under the respective formulas. The highest minimum state grant shares are provided under Targeted Grants and EFIG and lower minimum state grant shares are provided under Basic Grants and Concentration Grants. This is evident in the shares of each Title I-A formula grant provided to the states that received a minimum grant under all four formulas in FY2023 (Alaska, Montana, New Hampshire, North Dakota, South Dakota, Vermont, and Wyoming). ¹⁶ Each of these states received a higher share of the total available funds under the Targeted Grant and EFIG formulas than under the Basic Grant or Concentration Grant formulas. As a state may receive a state minimum grant amount under any or all of the formulas, **Table 1** indicates which states received a minimum grant under each of the Title I-A formulas.

-

¹⁴ Under the Targeted Grant and EFIG formulas, weights are applied to formula child counts on the basis of (1) each LEA's formula child rate (commonly referred to as *percentage weighting*), and (2) each LEA's number of formula children (commonly referred to as *number weighting*). Under both percentage weighting and number weighting, a weighted formula child count is produced. The higher of the two weighted formula child counts for a given LEA is then used in the formula for determining grants. For more information about the Title I-A formulas, see CRS Report R44461, *Allocation of Funds Under Title I-A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act*.

¹⁵ This is due to the equity factor used in the EFIG formula. For more information about this factor, see CRS Report R44461, *Allocation of Funds Under Title I-A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act*.

¹⁶ Each of the minimum grant provisions included in the four Title I-A formulas have multiple minimum grant calculation formulas. By law, the calculation option that produces the lowest grant is chosen for each state, resulting in states that benefit from the minimum state grant provisions receiving different shares of the same Title I-A formula appropriation.

■ Basic Grants ■ Concentration Grants ■ Targeted Grants ■ EFIG Share of state's total allocation Total allocation (\$ thousands) 40% 60% 80% 100% All States \$18,179,602 AL\$303,246 ΑK \$53,818 ΑZ \$356,281 AR \$177,372 CA \$2,108,516 CO \$187,782 CT \$156,414 DE \$58,187 DC \$61,296 FL \$1,037,800 GΑ \$674,982 Н \$73,084 ID \$62,701 IL \$766,836 IN \$286,422 IΑ \$114,425 KS \$120,784 ΚY \$276,219 LA \$404,062 ME \$62,310 MD \$354,313 MA \$290,494 ΜI \$530,826 MN \$188,504 MS \$233,873 MO \$273,962 ΜT \$57,694 NE \$80,473 NV \$173,053 NH \$52,870 NJ \$465,623 MM \$140,631 NY \$1,454,590 NC \$523,159 ND \$49,280 OH \$654,440 OK \$224,659 OR \$164,133 PA \$762,332 PR \$447,701 RI \$61,608 SC \$285,173 SD \$57,246 ΤN \$346,346 ΤX \$1,813,228 UT \$78,722 VT \$42,812 VA \$332,344 WA \$304,013 WV \$105,342 WI \$242,679 WY \$44,940

Figure 1. FY2023 Title I-A State Grants by Amount and Share of Grant Provided Under Each Formula

(figure is interactive in the HTML version of this report)

Source: Figure prepared by CRS based on unpublished data provided by the U.S. Department of Education (ED), Budget Service. FY2023 Title I-A grant amounts were calculated by ED using the most current data available. Percentage shares of state grant FY2023 allocation amounts from each formula were calculated by CRS.

Notes: The percentages represented by the bars for each state show the share of a state's total Title I-A funding being provided under each of the four Title I-A formulas. Thus, across states the same percentage of funds being provided by a particular Title I-A formula may not correspond to an identical dollar amount of funds being provided by that formula to the relevant states. Details may not add to totals due to rounding. Percentages were calculated based on unrounded numbers. Amounts shown in the figure only reflect Title I-A funds provided to states. These amounts are determined after funds have been reserved from the total Title I-A appropriation (\$18.4 billion) for the Census Bureau, Bureau of Indian Education, and outlying areas. For the purposes of Title I-A, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico are considered states. See Table A-I for further detail on amounts and shares of state grants provided under each formula.

Table 1. FY2023 Title I-A State Grants, and Percentage Shares of Funds Received Under Each Title I-A Formula Relative to All States

(dollars in thousands)

	Basic Grants		Concentration Grants		Targeted Grants		Е	FIG	Total Title I-A Grants		
State	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total Amount Available for State Grants	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total Amount Available for State Grants	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total Amount Available for State Grants	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total Amount Available for State Grants	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total Amount Available for State Grants	
Total, All States	\$6,383,403	100%	\$1,347,316	100%	\$5,224,442	100%	\$5,224,442	100%	\$18,179,602	100%	
Alabama	\$105,031	1.65%	\$25,648	1.90%	\$83,237	1.59%	\$89,330	1.71%	\$303,246	1.67%	
Alaska	\$17,744a	0.28%	\$2,391a	0.18%	\$16,894a	0.32%	\$16,789a	0.32%	\$53,818	0.30%	
Arizona	\$129,354	2.03%	\$26,280	1.95%	\$99,588	1.91%	\$101,059	1.93%	\$356,281	1.96%	
Arkansas	\$63,737	1.00%	\$14,575	1.08%	\$44,143	0.84%	\$54,917	1.05%	\$177,372	0.98%	
California	\$752,709	11.79%	\$153,941	11.43%	\$628,315	12.03%	\$573,552	10.98%	\$2,108,516	11.60%	
Colorado	\$70,744	1.11%	\$11,389	0.85%	\$51,069	0.98%	\$54,581	1.04%	\$187,782	1.03%	
Connecticut	\$60,036	0.94%	\$10,895	0.81%	\$36,595	0.70%	\$48,889	0.94%	\$156,414	0.86%	
Delaware	\$18,238	0.29%	\$3,378a	0.25%	\$18,286a	0.35%	\$18,286a	0.35%	\$58,187	0.32%	
District of Columbia	\$18,271	0.29%	\$4,785	0.36%	\$19,954	0.38%	\$18,286a	0.35%	\$61,296	0.34%	
Florida	\$328,837	5.15%	\$83,403	6.19%	\$343,721	6.58%	\$281,840	5.39%	\$1,037,800	5.71%	
Georgia	\$231,331	3.62%	\$55,087	4.09%	\$203,554	3.90%	\$185,010	3.54%	\$674,982	3.71%	
Hawaii	\$23,495	0.37%	\$5,030	0.37%	\$22,274	0.43%	\$22,285	0.43%	\$73,084	0.40%	
Idaho	\$23,236	0.36%	\$2,894a	0.21%	\$18,286a	0.35%	\$18,286a	0.35%	\$62,701	0.34%	
Illinois	\$277,233	4.34%	\$54,680	4.06%	\$222,887	4.27%	\$212,036	4.06%	\$766,836	4.22%	
Indiana	\$107,412	1.68%	\$20,904	1.55%	\$72,218	1.38%	\$85,889	1.64%	\$286,422	1.58%	

	Basic G	Basic Grants		Concentration Grants		Targeted Grants		FIG	Total Title I-A Grants	
State	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total Amount Available for State Grants	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total Amount Available for State Grants						
lowa	\$44,168	0.69%	\$6,811	0.51%	\$24,911	0.48%	\$38,535	0.74%	\$114,425	0.63%
Kansas	\$46,133	0.72%	\$8,389	0.62%	\$28,963	0.55%	\$37,298	0.71%	\$120,784	0.66%
Kentucky	\$96,741	1.52%	\$23,187	1.72%	\$73,835	1.41%	\$82,456	1.58%	\$276,219	1.52%
Louisiana	\$135,500	2.12%	\$34,565	2.57%	\$121,465	2.32%	\$112,533	2.15%	\$404,062	2.22%
Maine	\$21,954	0.34%	\$3,785	0.28%	\$18,286ª	0.35%	\$18,286a	0.35%	\$62,310	0.34%
Maryland	\$114,901	1.80%	\$25,178	1.87%	\$111,465	2.13%	\$102,769	1.97%	\$354,313	1.95%
Massachusetts	\$110,397	1.73%	\$18,929	1.40%	\$73,856	1.41%	\$87,311	1.67%	\$290,494	1.60%
Michigan	\$190,743	2.99%	\$38,381	2.85%	\$140,297	2.69%	\$161,405	3.09%	\$530,826	2.92%
Minnesota	\$74,230	1.16%	\$9,197	0.68%	\$44,624	0.85%	\$60,454	1.16%	\$188,504	1.04%
Mississippi	\$81,845	1.28%	\$19,831	1.47%	\$65,226	1.25%	\$66,971	1.28%	\$233,873	1.29%
Missouri	\$103,491	1.62%	\$21,334	1.58%	\$68,518	1.31%	\$80,619	1.54%	\$273,962	1.51%
Montana	\$17,744a	0.28%	\$3,378a	0.25%	\$18,286ª	0.35%	\$18,286a	0.35%	\$57,694	0.32%
Nebraska	\$30,120	0.47%	\$4,259	0.32%	\$20,783	0.40%	\$25,312	0.48%	\$80,473	0.44%
Nevada	\$52,142	0.82%	\$13,216	0.98%	\$62,455	1.20%	\$45,240	0.87%	\$173,053	0.95%
New Hampshire	\$17,744a	0.28%	\$2,528a	0.19%	\$15,904ª	0.30%	\$16,695a	0.32%	\$52,870	0.29%
New Jersey	\$173,999	2.73%	\$31,168	2.31%	\$118,377	2.27%	\$142,079	2.72%	\$465,623	2.56%
New Mexico	\$47,688	0.75%	\$12,158	0.90%	\$39,447	0.76%	\$41,338	0.79%	\$140,631	0.77%
New York	\$481,058	7.54%	\$108,725	8.07%	\$480,883	9.20%	\$383,923	7.35%	\$1,454,590	8.00%
North Carolina	\$180,798	2.83%	\$44,181	3.28%	\$149,000	2.85%	\$149,180	2.86%	\$523,159	2.88%

State	Basic Grants		Concentration Grants		Targeted Grants		EFIG		Total Title I-A Grants	
	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total Amount Available for State Grants	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total Amount Available for State Grants	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total Amount Available for State Grants	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total Amount Available for State Grants	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total Amount Available for State Grants
North Dakota	\$16,411a	0.26%	\$2,143a	0.16%	\$15,363a	0.29%	\$15,362a	0.29%	\$49,280	0.27%
Ohio	\$235,832	3.69%	\$48,188	3.58%	\$171,378	3.28%	\$199,043	3.81%	\$654,440	3.60%
Oklahoma	\$81,323	1.27%	\$17,854	1.33%	\$59,298	1.14%	\$66,184	1.27%	\$224,659	1.24%
Oregon	\$62,477	0.98%	\$10,265	0.76%	\$39,215	0.75%	\$52,176	1.00%	\$164,133	0.90%
Pennsylvania	\$277,296	4.34%	\$53,823	3.99%	\$214,174	4.10%	\$217,040	4.15%	\$762,332	4.19%
Puerto Rico	\$152,616	2.39%	\$39,681	2.95%	\$126,248	2.42%	\$129,155	2.47%	\$447,701	2.46%
Rhode Island	\$21,385	0.34%	\$3,652	0.27%	\$18,286a	0.35%	\$18,286a	0.35%	\$61,608	0.34%
South Carolina	\$99,300	1.56%	\$24,087	1.79%	\$78,110	1.50%	\$83,676	1.60%	\$285,173	1.57%
South Dakota	\$17,744a	0.28%	\$2,931a	0.22%	\$18,286ª	0.35%	\$18,286a	0.35%	\$57,246	0.31%
Tennessee	\$121,294	1.90%	\$27,954	2.07%	\$96,690	1.85%	\$100,409	1.92%	\$346,346	1.91%
Texas	\$621,205	9.73%	\$142,110	10.55%	\$532,781	10.20%	\$517,132	9.90%	\$1,813,228	9.97%
Utah	\$31,227	0.49%	\$3,156a	0.23%	\$18,544	0.35%	\$25,795	0.49%	\$78,722	0.43%
Vermont	\$14,068a	0.22%	\$2,029a	0.15%	\$13,321a	0.25%	\$13,394	0.26%	\$42,812	0.24%
Virginia	\$123,798	1.94%	\$23,623	1.75%	\$91,812	1.76%	\$93,111	1.78%	\$332,344	1.83%
Washington	\$116,640	1.83%	\$17,301	1.28%	\$71,613	1.37%	\$98,459	1.88%	\$304,013	1.67%
West Virginia	\$37,825	0.59%	\$8,956	0.66%	\$25,494	0.49%	\$33,066	0.63%	\$105,342	0.58%
Wisconsin	\$89,283	1.40%	\$13,134	0.97%	\$62,165	1.19%	\$78,097	1.49%	\$242,679	1.33%
Wyoming	\$14,873a	0.23%	\$1,947a	0.14%	\$14,066a	0.27%	\$14,055a	0.27%	\$44,940	0.25%

Source: Table prepared by CRS based on unpublished data provided by the U.S. Department of Education (ED), Budget Service. FY2023 Title I-A grant amounts were calculated by ED using the most current data available. Percentage shares of FY2023 allocation amounts were calculated by CRS.

Notes: Details may not add to totals due to rounding. Percentages were calculated based on unrounded numbers. Amounts shown in the table only reflect Title I-A funds provided to states. These amounts are determined after funds have been reserved from the total Title I-A appropriation (\$18.4 billion) for the Census Bureau, Bureau of Indian Education, and outlying areas. For the purposes of Title I-A, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico are considered states.

a. The state received only a minimum state grant under this Title I-A formula.

Appendix. Comparison of FY2023 Title I-A State Grants by Amount and Share of State Grant Provided Under Each Formula

Table A-I. FY2023 Title I-A State Grants by Amount and Share of State Grant Provided Under Each Formula

(dollars in thousands)

	Basic Grants		Concentra	Concentration Grants		Targeted Grants		EFIG		Total Title I-A Grants	
State	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total State Grant									
Total, All States	\$6,383,403	35.11%	\$1,347,316	7.41%	\$5,224,442	28.74%	\$5,224,442	28.74%	\$18,179,602	100.00%	
Alabama	\$105,031	34.64%	\$25,648	8.46%	\$83,237	27.45%	\$89,330	29.46%	\$303,246	100.00%	
Alaska	\$17,744a	32.97%	\$2,391a	4.44%	\$16,894a	31.39%	\$16,789a	31.20%	\$53,818	100.00%	
Arizona	\$129,354	36.31%	\$26,280	7.38%	\$99,588	27.95%	\$101,059	28.36%	\$356,281	100.00%	
Arkansas	\$63,737	35.93%	\$14,575	8.22%	\$44,143	24.89%	\$54,917	30.96%	\$177,372	100.00%	
California	\$752,709	35.70%	\$153,941	7.30%	\$628,315	29.80%	\$573,552	27.20%	\$2,108,516	100.00%	
Colorado	\$70,744	37.67%	\$11,389	6.06%	\$51,069	27.20%	\$54,581	29.07%	\$187,782	100.00%	
Connecticut	\$60,036	38.38%	\$10,895	6.97%	\$36,595	23.40%	\$48,889	31.26%	\$156,414	100.00%	
Delaware	\$18,238	31.34%	\$3,378a	5.81%	\$18,286a	31.43%	\$18,286a	31.43%	\$58,187	100.00%	
District of Columbia	\$18,271	29.81%	\$4,785	7.81%	\$19,954	32.55%	\$18,286a	29.83%	\$61,296	100.00%	
Florida	\$328,837	31.69%	\$83,403	8.04%	\$343,721	33.12%	\$281,840	27.16%	\$1,037,800	100.00%	
Georgia	\$231,331	34.27%	\$55,087	8.16%	\$203,554	30.16%	\$185,010	27.41%	\$674,982	100.00%	
Hawaii	\$23,495	32.15%	\$5,030	6.88%	\$22,274	30.48%	\$22,285	30.49%	\$73,084	100.00%	
Idaho	\$23,236	37.06%	\$2,894a	4.62%	\$18,286a	29.16%	\$18,286a	29.16%	\$62,701	100.00%	
Illinois	\$277,233	36.15%	\$54,680	7.13%	\$222,887	29.07%	\$212,036	27.65%	\$766,836	100.00%	

	Basic Grants		Concentration Grants		Targeted Grants		EFIG		Total Title I-A Grants	
State	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total State Grant	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total State Grant	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total State Grant	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total State Grant	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total State Grant
Indiana	\$107,412	37.50%	\$20,904	7.30%	\$72,218	25.21%	\$85,889	29.99%	\$286,422	100.00%
Iowa	\$44,168	38.60%	\$6,811	5.95%	\$24,911	21.77%	\$38,535	33.68%	\$114,425	100.00%
Kansas	\$46,133	38.19%	\$8,389	6.95%	\$28,963	23.98%	\$37,298	30.88%	\$120,784	100.00%
Kentucky	\$96,741	35.02%	\$23,187	8.39%	\$73,835	26.73%	\$82,456	29.85%	\$276,219	100.00%
Louisiana	\$135,500	33.53%	\$34,565	8.55%	\$121,465	30.06%	\$112,533	27.85%	\$404,062	100.00%
Maine	\$21,954	35.23%	\$3,785	6.07%	\$18,286a	29.35%	\$18,286a	29.35%	\$62,310	100.00%
Maryland	\$114,901	32.43%	\$25,178	7.11%	\$111,465	31.46%	\$102,769	29.01%	\$354,313	100.00%
Massachusetts	\$110,397	38.00%	\$18,929	6.52%	\$73,856	25.42%	\$87,311	30.06%	\$290,494	100.00%
Michigan	\$190,743	35.93%	\$38,381	7.23%	\$140,297	26.43%	\$161,405	30.41%	\$530,826	100.00%
Minnesota	\$74,230	39.38%	\$9,197	4.88%	\$44,624	23.67%	\$60,454	32.07%	\$188,504	100.00%
Mississippi	\$81,845	35.00%	\$19,831	8.48%	\$65,226	27.89%	\$66,971	28.64%	\$233,873	100.00%
Missouri	\$103,491	37.78%	\$21,334	7.79%	\$68,518	25.01%	\$80,619	29.43%	\$273,962	100.00%
Montana	\$17,744a	30.76%	\$3,378a	5.86%	\$18,286a	31.69%	\$18,286a	31.69%	\$57,694	100.00%
Nebraska	\$30,120	37.43%	\$4,259	5.29%	\$20,783	25.83%	\$25,312	31.45%	\$80,473	100.00%
Nevada	\$52,142	30.13%	\$13,216	7.64%	\$62,455	36.09%	\$45,240	26.14%	\$173,053	100.00%
New Hampshire	\$17,744a	33.56%	\$2,528a	4.78%	\$15,904a	30.08%	\$16,695a	31.58%	\$52,870	100.00%
New Jersey	\$173,999	37.37%	\$31,168	6.69%	\$118,377	25.42%	\$142,079	30.51%	\$465,623	100.00%
New Mexico	\$47,688	33.91%	\$12,158	8.65%	\$39,447	28.05%	\$41,338	29.39%	\$140,631	100.00%
New York	\$481,058	33.07%	\$108,725	7.47%	\$480,883	33.06%	\$383,923	26.39%	\$1,454,590	100.00%
North Carolina	\$180,798	34.56%	\$44,181	8.45%	\$149,000	28.48%	\$149,180	28.52%	\$523,159	100.00%
North Dakota	\$16,411a	33.30%	\$2,143a	4.35%	\$15,363a	31.18%	\$15,362a	31.17%	\$49,280	100.00%

State	Basic Grants		Concentration Grants		Targeted Grants		EFIG		Total Title I-A Grants	
	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total State Grant	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total State Grant	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total State Grant	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total State Grant	Grant Amount	Percentage Share of Total State Grant
Ohio	\$235,832	36.04%	\$48,188	7.36%	\$171,378	26.19%	\$199,043	30.41%	\$654,440	100.00%
Oklahoma	\$81,323	36.20%	\$17,854	7.95%	\$59,298	26.39%	\$66,184	29.46%	\$224,659	100.00%
Oregon	\$62,477	38.06%	\$10,265	6.25%	\$39,215	23.89%	\$52,176	31.79%	\$164,133	100.00%
Pennsylvania	\$277,296	36.37%	\$53,823	7.06%	\$214,174	28.09%	\$217,040	28.47%	\$762,332	100.00%
Puerto Rico	\$152,616	34.09%	\$39,681	8.86%	\$126,248	28.20%	\$129,155	28.85%	\$447,701	100.00%
Rhode Island	\$21,385	34.71%	\$3,652	5.93%	\$18,286a	29.68%	\$18,286a	29.68%	\$61,608	100.00%
South Carolina	\$99,300	34.82%	\$24,087	8.45%	\$78,110	27.39%	\$83,676	29.34%	\$285,173	100.00%
South Dakota	\$17,744a	31.00%	\$2,931a	5.12%	\$18,286a	31.94%	\$18,286a	31.94%	\$57,246	100.00%
Tennessee	\$121,294	35.02%	\$27,954	8.07%	\$96,690	27.92%	\$100,409	28.99%	\$346,346	100.00%
Texas	\$621,205	34.26%	\$142,110	7.84%	\$532,781	29.38%	\$517,132	28.52%	\$1,813,228	100.00%
Utah	\$31,227	39.67%	\$3,156a	4.01%	\$18,544	23.56%	\$25,795	32.77%	\$78,722	100.00%
Vermont	\$14,068a	32.86%	\$2,029a	4.74%	\$13,321a	31.12%	\$13,394a	31.29%	\$42,812	100.00%
Virginia	\$123,798	37.25%	\$23,623	7.11%	\$91,812	27.63%	\$93,111	28.02%	\$332,344	100.00%
Washington	\$116,640	38.37%	\$17,301	5.69%	\$71,613	23.56%	\$98,459	32.39%	\$304,013	100.00%
West Virginia	\$37,825	35.91%	\$8,956	8.50%	\$25,494	24.20%	\$33,066	31.39%	\$105,342	100.00%
Wisconsin	\$89,283	36.79%	\$13,134	5.41%	\$62,165	25.62%	\$78,097	32.18%	\$242,679	100.00%
Wyoming	\$14,873a	33.10%	\$1,947a	4.33%	\$14,066a	31.30%	\$14,055a	31.27%	\$44,940	100.00%

Source: Table prepared by CRS based on unpublished data provided by the U.S. Department of Education (ED), Budget Service. FY2023 Title I-A grant amounts were calculated by ED using the most current data available. Percentage shares of FY2023 allocation amounts were calculated by CRS.

Notes: Details may not add to totals due to rounding. Percentages were calculated based on unrounded numbers. Amounts shown in the table only reflect Title I-A funds provided to states. These amounts are determined after funds have been reserved from the total Title I-A appropriation (\$18.4 billion) for the Census Bureau, Bureau of Indian Education, and outlying areas. For the purposes of Title I-A, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico are considered states.

a. The state received only a minimum state grant under this Title I-A formula.

Author Information

Rebecca R. Skinner Specialist in Education Policy Isobel Sorenson Research Assistant

Acknowledgments

The interactive graphic in this report was created by CRS Visual Information Specialist Amber Wilhelm.

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.