



Updated December 3, 2024

Funding the State Administration of Unemployment Compensation (UC) Benefits

Background: A Joint Federal-State Program

The Unemployment Compensation (UC) program is constructed as a joint federal-state partnership providing temporary and partial wage replacement to involuntarily unemployed workers through mandatory payments of UC. Federal law sets broad guidelines regarding UC benefits and financing. State laws establish specific requirements, resulting in 53 different UC programs operating in the states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. The U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) provides oversight for state UC programs. DOL also administers the federal portion of the UC system, including grants to the states for UC administration. Additional weeks of mandatory payments of unemployment benefits may be available through the Extended Benefit (EB) program depending on state law, additional federal eligibility requirements, and economic conditions in the state. For a brief overview of the UC and EB programs, see CRS In Focus IF10336, *The Fundamentals of Unemployment Compensation*.

Dedicated Federal Tax Revenue Finances UC Administration

The UC system is financed through payroll taxes paid by employers. State unemployment taxes (SUTA) paid by state employers may fund only UC benefits and the state share of the EB program. Federal unemployment taxes (FUTA) paid by employers fund the administration of the UC and EB programs (as well as other expenditures, including the federal share of EB [50% under permanent law] and loans to insolvent states).

The net FUTA tax rate on employers in states with UC programs that are in compliance with all federal rules is 0.6% on the first \$7,000 of each worker's earnings per year. (The FUTA tax rate for employers is 6.0% on the first \$7,000 of each worker's earnings, but a 5.4% credit against the federal FUTA tax is available to employers in states with complying UC programs, bringing the net FUTA tax down to 0.6%.) DOL projects that \$7.0 billion in FUTA taxes will be collected in FY2024.

FUTA revenues are deposited into an account, the Employment Security Administration Account (ESAA), in the federal Unemployment Trust Fund (UTF), and then 20% of the deposits are immediately transferred to the Extended Unemployment Compensation Account, which funds the federal share of EB. These funds are made available through the annual federal appropriations process, designating ESAA funds to be used by DOL for the costs of administering the state UC programs.

Underlying Rationale for Federal Funding of UC Administration

The atypical arrangement of state-funded benefits and federally funded administration has its roots within the development of the Social Security program. In its 1935 report, the President's Committee on Economic Security provided an outline of the UC program. It recommended that federal grants be provided to the states for the administration of UC benefits. The committee asserted that the FUTA would be an adequate source of funds for federal and state administration and provide a level playing field for all states. By structuring the funding for administration to be paid from FUTA revenue, the federal government could require proper standards of administration at the state level. States today must comply with federal tax laws regarding the administration of their UC programs or face increased FUTA taxes.

For a discussion of the interaction of proper state administration of the UC program and federal unemployment tax law, see CRS Report R44527, *Unemployment Compensation: The Fundamentals of the Federal Unemployment Tax (FUTA)*.

The full 1935 President's Committee report can be accessed at <https://www.ssa.gov/history/reports/ces5.html>. (See the chapter titled "Unemployment Compensation: Outline of Federal Act.")

Annual Appropriations for UC Administration

As discussed above, each fiscal year, funds are made available through the appropriations process to make distributions of FUTA revenue for state UC administration and for the federal costs of administration. These discretionary annual appropriations to DOL for administrative expenses are based upon DOL's assessment of state budgetary requirements, not the size of FUTA collections. These appropriations customarily include a base level of funding as well as an additional contingent appropriation. The appropriations language customarily provides a baseline estimate of national unemployment as measured by the volume of unemployment compensation claims expected to be filed per week (the average weekly insured unemployment [AWIU]). Additionally, the contingent funding includes a trigger based upon the average volume of weekly UC claims exceeding the AWIU baseline. For example, under the President's FY2025 budget proposal, for every 100,000 increase in the total AWIU above the 2,121,000 baseline, an additional \$28.6 million in funding would be available. (The proposal may be accessed at <https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/general/budget/2025/CBJ-2025-V1-07.pdf>.)

If the need for contingency funds exceeds the base appropriation, but the national AWIU is less than the contingent appropriation threshold, then either Congress must enact a supplemental appropriation or states have to reduce administrative expenses or provide additional state funding from a different (non-SUTA) revenue stream or state general funds (as SUTA funds may pay only for UC and EB). Once the AWIU is above the contingent appropriation threshold, DOL notifies the Office of Management and Budget, which then releases funds from the contingent appropriation.

Table 1 provides information on various annual appropriations proposals and enacted funding for UC state administration for FY2023-FY2025.

Table 1. Funding for State Administration of Unemployment Compensation, FY2023-FY2025
(in thousands)

	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025
Request	\$3,184,635	\$3,506,318	\$3,422,274
House	\$3,184,635	\$3,141,635	\$2,875,635
Senate	n/a	\$3,206,635	\$3,172,635
Enacted	\$3,134,635	\$3,141,635	n/a

Source: Compiled by CRS from laws, congressional bills, reports, and DOL budget justifications.

Notes: The House and Senate rows include amounts from the most recent chamber or committee action, as compiled from the CRS Appropriations Status Table at <http://crs.gov/AppropriationsStatusTable/Index>.

Authority for DOL Grants to States for UC Administration

Federal payments from DOL to the states for the administration of UC are authorized under Title III of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. §502). The U.S. Labor Secretary determines the funds that each state receives for the program’s administrative costs. These grants to the states are based on (1) the population of the state, (2) an estimate of the number of persons covered by the state UC law and the cost of proper and efficient administration of such law, and (3) such factors as the U.S. Labor Secretary finds relevant.

How DOL Determines Administrative Grants to States

Currently, DOL determines the size of each state’s administrative grant through the Resource Justification Model (RJM). The RJM is designed to reflect the states’ current methods of administering the UC program, including their adoption of new technologies. The RJM collects data from state cost accounting records and allows states to justify additional resources above the levels in the cost accounting data for the budget year.

The RJM forms and instructions that state agencies use to request funds are available at <https://oui.doleta.gov/rjm/>.

State-Level Base and Above-Base Allotments

DOL funds grants to states for the administration of their UC programs. These grants are allocated by DOL at the beginning of the fiscal year and apportioned to the states quarterly—so long as the economic situation of the state has not dramatically changed. DOL typically withholds a portion of the total state administrative appropriation to have additional funds available for states experiencing higher workloads. These *above-base* funds are allocated at the state’s request once it has been determined that its workload has increased. For FY2025 base allotments, see <https://oui.doleta.gov/unemploy/content/futa/fy2024suia.asp>.

State-Level Supplemental Funds

If a portion of the above-base funds remains unallocated to the states, DOL may make supplemental funding available to states for various uses. In recent years, such supplemental grants from DOL have supported states’ efforts related to UC program integrity and performance improvements as well as reemployment assessments.

See, for example, DOL Unemployment Insurance Program Letter (UIPL) 13-24, “Guidelines for Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 State Agency Unemployment Insurance (UI) Resource Allocations, Supplemental Budget Requests (SBRs), and Above-Base Funding,” June 24, 2024, available at <https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/advisories/uipl-13-24>.

UC Appropriations and Sequestration

The sequester order required by the Budget Control Act of 2011 (P.L. 112-25) and implemented on March 1, 2013 (after being delayed by P.L. 112-240) affected some but not all types of UC expenditures. Most UC benefits payments are not subject to the sequester reductions. But the federal share of EB and most forms of administrative funding are subject to the sequester reductions.

The FY2025 sequestration order requires a 5.7% reduction in all nonexempt nondefense mandatory expenditures, but no sequestration reductions are applicable to discretionary programs, projects, and activities. Thus, the discretionary FY2025 UC administrative grants to the states are not subject to a reduction, while the 50% federal share of any mandatory EB payments is subject to the reduction. (As of the cover date of this report, no state has been in an EB payable period in FY2025.)

For additional details on this issue, see DOL, UIPL No. 1-25, “Implementation of Sequestration under the Budget Control Act of 2011 (BCA) for Mandatory Unemployment Insurance Programs for Fiscal Year (FY) 2025,” October 3, 2024, available at <https://www.dol.gov/agencies/eta/advisories/uipl-01-25>.

Julie M. Whittaker, Specialist in Income Security
Katelin P. Isaacs, Specialist in Income Security
Tilly Finnegan-Kennel, Research Librarian

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.