



Disaster Relief Fund State of Play: In Brief

Updated October 9, 2024

Congressional Research Service

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

R47676

Contents

Introduction	1
What Is the DRF Used For?	2
DRF Structure	2
Major Disasters Category	2
DRF Base Category	3
DRF Appropriations	3
Annual and Supplemental	3
Continuing Resolutions.....	6
Historical DRF Funding and Obligation Levels	7
When the DRF Runs Low.....	8
Why Does the DRF Run Low?	10

Figures

Figure 1. DRF Appropriations History, FY1964-FY2024.....	5
Figure 2. DRF Annual and Supplemental Appropriations, FY2015-FY2024	7
Figure 3. DRF Unobligated Balances and Obligations, FY2015-FY2024.....	8

Tables

Table A-1. DRF Annual and Supplemental Appropriations, FY2015-FY2024.....	12
Table A-2. DRF Unobligated Balances and Obligations, FY2015-FY2024.....	12

Appendices

Appendix. Data Tables	12
-----------------------------	----

Contacts

Author Information.....	13
-------------------------	----

Introduction

The Disaster Relief Fund (DRF) is one of the most-tracked single accounts funded by Congress each year. It is the primary source of funding for the federal government's domestic general disaster relief programs.

The DRF receives appropriations in excess of the annually requested level through annual and supplemental appropriations on a frequent basis. Even so, FEMA has projected that the unobligated balance available to pay the costs associated with major disaster declarations would be inadequate from the beginning of the fiscal year. This occurred both in FY2023 and in FY2024.

From the beginning of FY2023, FEMA had projected a shortfall in funding for major disaster costs. Delaying more than \$8 billion of long-term recovery and mitigation obligations through Immediate Needs Funding (INF) restrictions prevented depletion of the DRF before the end of the fiscal year. After the DRF received interim budget authority for FY2024, and a supplemental appropriation of \$16 billion,¹ the INF restrictions were lifted on October 2, 2023.

Three weeks later, the Biden Administration requested \$9 billion in supplemental appropriations for the DRF, to cover another anticipated shortfall, caused in part by catastrophic disaster activity and the shift of delayed project costs into the next fiscal year. It would also restore a \$2 billion reserve intended to pay immediate response costs from an otherwise unanticipated large incident.²

Once the annual level of FY2024 DRF appropriations was set in March 2024, FEMA reported a projected shortfall of nearly \$7.4 billion for the DRF major disasters subaccount, with the subaccount being depleted in August 2024.³ On August 7, 2024, FEMA announced the implementation of INF restrictions, delaying obligations for long-term recovery and mitigation projects in favor of preserving resources for response and recovery activities.⁴ With the enactment of a continuing resolution (CR) on September 26, 2024, \$20.261 billion in temporary budget authority became available on October 1, and the restrictions were lifted.⁵ Implementation of INF restrictions for that 55-day period had allowed FEMA to meet the immediate needs stemming from major disasters through the end of the fiscal year, and carry over over \$1.97 billion into FY2025.⁶

This report summarizes

- what the DRF is used for, and how its structure reflects that;
- how it is funded;

¹ P.L. 118-15, Division A, Sections 128 and 129.

² Office of Management and Budget, “Technical Materials Regarding Critical Funding Needs for FY2024,” posted on OMB website, October 25, 2023, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/10/Funding-Request-to-Meet-Critical-Needs.pdf>, p. 4. The Administration’s October 2023 supplemental appropriations request had not been acted on by Congress as of the date of publication of this report.

³ FEMA, *Disaster Relief Fund: Monthly Report as of March 31, 2024*, April 9, 2024, p. 4.

⁴ Federal Emergency Management Agency, “FEMA Announces Implementation of Immediate Needs Funding,” FEMA Advisory, August 7, 2024, https://content.govdelivery.com/attachments/USDHSFEMA/2023/08/29/file_attachments/2597953/

FEMA%20Advisory%20FEMA%20Announces%20Implementation%20of%20Immediate%20Needs%20Funding%2020230829.pdf.

⁵ The CR (P.L. 118-83) and the associated temporary budget authority will expire on December 20, 2024. For more details on the CR and its provisions, see CRS Report R48214, *Overview of Continuing Appropriations for FY2025 (Division A of P.L. 118-83)*, by Drew C. Aherne.

⁶ FEMA, *Disaster Relief Fund Monthly Report as of September 30, 2024*, p. 4.

- its recent funding history; and
- why it remains reliant on supplemental appropriations even when its budget request is met or exceeded, as was the case in FY2023.

More detailed history and policy discussion of the DRF is included in CRS Report R45484, *The Disaster Relief Fund: Overview and Issues*.

What Is the DRF Used For?

The DRF funds disaster activity pursuant to the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, as amended (Stafford Act; 42 U.S.C. §5121 et seq.). It pays for several key disaster response, recovery, and mitigation programs that provide assistance to communities impacted by presidentially declared emergencies and disasters.⁷

The DRF does not fund all federal disaster assistance. Many federal agencies other than FEMA have specific authorities and resources to support certain disaster response and recovery efforts. However, the DRF does provide *most* of the federal government's support for immediate disaster response, through FEMA's own capabilities, and through the mission assignment process, whereby FEMA coordinates a government-wide response and reimburses agencies it calls into action that do not have independent authority nor funding for disaster recovery operations.⁸

DRF Structure

Major Disasters Category

Since 2012, the DRF has been split into two categories. The larger of the two—the “major disasters” category—is for costs pursuant to specifically declared major disasters. In recent years, this category has represented more than 95% of DRF obligations.

The DRF “major disasters” category funds several different Stafford Act programs identified as “Direct Disaster Programs”:

- Individual Assistance (IA);⁹
- Public Assistance (PA);¹⁰ and
- Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP).¹¹

⁷ For more details on disaster declarations, see CRS Report R41981, *Congressional Primer on Responding to and Recovering from Major Disasters and Emergencies*, by Elizabeth M. Webster and Bruce R. Lindsay.

⁸ For details on how this process, known as “mission assignments,” works, see <https://www.fema.gov/partnerships/mission-assignments>.

⁹ For more detail, see CRS In Focus IF11298, *A Brief Overview of FEMA's Individual Assistance Program*, by Elizabeth M. Webster.

¹⁰ For more detail, see CRS In Focus IF11529, *A Brief Overview of FEMA's Public Assistance Program*, by Erica A. Lee.

¹¹ For more detail, see CRS Insight IN11187, *Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Hazard Mitigation Assistance*, by Diane P. Horn.

Under the Disaster Recovery Reform Act,¹² an automatic set-aside was created within the “major disasters” category for pre-disaster mitigation grants through the Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC) grant program.¹³

Most public discussion about depletion of the DRF is technically a discussion about depletion of the unobligated balance of the major disasters category of funding, not including the BRIC set-aside.

DRF Base Category

The smaller category, known as the DRF “base,” covers most other Stafford Act-related costs including

- Pre-disaster surge activities;
- Activity pursuant to emergency declarations;
- Fire Management Assistance Grants; and
- Disaster Readiness and Support Activities.

The reason for the bifurcation of the DRF was the implementation of special budgetary treatment for the costs of major disasters in 2012. Under the Budget Control Act of 2011,¹⁴ a special accommodation was made that allowed for congressionally designated appropriations for costs incurred pursuant to Stafford Act major disaster declarations to not count against discretionary spending limits.¹⁵ Therefore, that spending had to be specifically identified, and the distinction between “major disasters” and the DRF “base” emerged.

Base funding for the DRF cannot be used for the costs of major disasters. Under appropriations law, providing a specific amount for an activity in statute means other resources not specifically designated for that activity cannot be applied to it without specific statutory direction.¹⁶

DRF Appropriations

Annual and Supplemental

The DRF receives an annual appropriation under FEMA within the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act. When that annual appropriation proves inadequate to meet Stafford Act assistance needs, Congress has generally provided supplemental appropriations to ensure adequate resources are available. Once the budgetary treatment of major disaster costs was

¹² P.L. 115-254, Division D.

¹³ While the funding is “set aside” for BRIC, it remains available for broader use for other activities within the “major disasters” category in the event the DRF runs low on budget authority. For more information on the BRIC program, see CRS Insight IN11515, *FEMA Pre-Disaster Mitigation: The Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC) Program*, by Diane P. Horn.

¹⁴ P.L. 112-25.


¹⁵ For more detail, see CRS Report R45778, *Exceptions to the Budget Control Act’s Discretionary Spending Limits*, by Megan S. Lynch; and CRS In Focus IF10720, *Calculation and Use of the Disaster Relief Allowable Adjustment*, by William L. Painter.

¹⁶ See “Augmentation of Appropriations,” in Government Accountability Office, *Principles of Appropriations Law* (aka. “the Red Book), Third Edition, Volume II, pp. 6-162 et seq., available at <https://www.gao.gov/legal/appropriations-law/red-book>.

implemented in the annual appropriations process, beginning in the FY2013 cycle, DRF annual appropriations covered a much larger proportion of actual DRF spending than before.¹⁷

¹⁷ For the underlying analysis, see CRS Report R45484, *The Disaster Relief Fund: Overview and Issues*, by William L. Painter.

Figure 1. DRF Appropriations History, FY1964-FY2024



Source: CRS analysis of appropriations legislation.

Notes: Totals for FY2005, FY2006, FY2013, FY2018, and FY2020-FY2024, referenced by the arrows, are beyond the scale of the main graph and are shown on the inset. FY2013 numbers do not reflect the impact of sequestration. Supplemental data include contingent appropriations and all appropriations under the heading of “Disaster Relief” or “Disaster Relief Fund” including the language “for an additional amount.” Reductions reflected in the Net Total data include transfers and rescissions specifically enumerated in appropriations acts. For information on trends in the declarations that helped drive the demand for these appropriations, see CRS Report R42702, *Stafford Act Declarations 1953-2016: Trends, Analyses, and Implications for Congress*, by Bruce R. Lindsay.

As **Figure 1** indicates, the DRF has relied significantly on supplemental appropriations to ensure it has the resources to fund Stafford Act programs. Prior to the implementation of budget controls, funding for disasters was provided as needed. With the implementation of deficit reduction efforts in the 1980s, disaster assistance had to “compete” for a limited pool of discretionary budget authority in the appropriations process. The use of “emergency” exceptions in supplemental appropriations allowed Congress to fund more disaster relief outside the annual appropriations process, “making room” for other priorities. These “emergency” designations were rarely used in annual appropriations measures. The creation of the limited disaster relief adjustment to discretionary budget limits in the Budget Control Act created a mechanism that allowed more of those Stafford Act disaster-related costs to be funded in annual appropriations measures. While a handful of other disaster-related appropriations have periodically used the disaster-related flexibility, the DRF has exercised 95% of the available disaster relief adjustment.¹⁸

Even with this flexibility with regard to limits on discretionary spending, the annual appropriations request for the DRF notes, and has noted for many years, that in the event of a catastrophic incident (a disaster resulting in more than \$500 million in spending from the DRF), a supplemental appropriation would be required.¹⁹

Continuing Resolutions

If annual appropriations for the coming fiscal year are not enacted prior to the end of the current fiscal year, Congress often passes a continuing resolution (CR) to provide temporary budget authority so that federal government agencies can continue to operate until annual appropriations are finalized. This temporary funding is provided at a rate for operations, which is usually based on the prior year annual appropriation (with some adjustments or exceptions), and is usually provided for a limited period of time. Under a CR, in most cases budget authority is gradually apportioned to agencies (as the final level of appropriations has not been set) because spending too large a proportion of an as-yet determined annual budget early in the fiscal year may create challenges later on.

The DRF appropriation is atypical in that its appropriations do not expire at the end of a given fiscal year, but are available for obligation until expended. This means that Stafford Act programs are often somewhat protected from the effects of a lapse in appropriations because the DRF usually has carryover balances available to continue to fund its operations. However, this is not always the case, and several times in recent years the unobligated balance in the DRF has fallen to levels that risked impacting disaster response operations.

Since FY2018, every continuing resolution that has funded DHS has included a provision that allows the temporary budget authority for the DRF to “be apportioned at a rate for operations necessary to carry out response and recovery activities under the Stafford Act.”²⁰ This anomaly ensures that budget authority, rather than being slowly apportioned to FEMA like a typical continuing appropriation, would be available as needed in the event the DRF’s existing carryover balances are spent down while the CR is in effect. The anomaly essentially allows the temporary budget authority of the CR to act as a temporary supplemental appropriation.

¹⁸ See CRS In Focus IF10720, *Calculation and Use of the Disaster Relief Allowable Adjustment*, by William L. Painter.

¹⁹ See, for example, FEMA, “Disaster Relief Fund: Fiscal Year 2019 Funding Requirements,” Fiscal Year 2018 Report to Congress, p. 4, https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/2020-07/disaster-relief-funding-requirements_fy-2019.pdf.


²⁰ Most recently, P.L. 118-15, Division A, Section 128.

Historical DRF Funding and Obligation Levels

Over the last 10 years, the amount of budget authority appropriated to the DRF has risen substantially, as has the amount obligated from each year.

Figure 2 shows the total appropriations for the DRF, broken down by annual and supplemental appropriations, as well as the major disasters portion and the DRF base.

Figure 2. DRF Annual and Supplemental Appropriations, FY2015-FY2024
(\$thousands of nominal budget authority)




Source: CRS analysis of FEMA monthly DRF reports. Data available in the **Appendix**.

Notes: Information shown reflects data as shown in FEMA's monthly reports, and do not reflect reprogramming, transfers, or rescissions.

Figure 3 shows the year-ending unobligated balance in the major disasters portion of the DRF, compared to the DRF overall, as well as a similar comparison for obligations. FY2024 data represents the data before final closeout of FY2024 financial records. Note the declining unobligated balances from year to year after FY2020, while overall rates of obligation have remained high. Unobligated balances in FY2023 and FY2024 are the result of FEMA restricting obligations for long-term recovery and mitigation projects to preserve resources for immediate needs.

Figure 3. DRF Unobligated Balances and Obligations, FY2015-FY2024

(nominal \$billions of budget authority, as recorded at the end of the fiscal year)

**Source:** CRS analysis of FEMA monthly DRF reports. Data available in the **Appendix**.**Notes:** As “obligated” is not an end-state for budget authority, obligated funding levels rise and fall for a variety of reasons. These figures represent snapshots in time of how things stood after closeout of the financial records for that fiscal year, as reflected in Appendix A of the monthly reports.**When the DRF Runs Low**

At times, the balance in the DRF has dropped to a point that raises concerns about the availability of adequate resources in the DRF to address current and/or impending incidents. When this occurs, FEMA implements “Immediate Needs Funding” (INF) restrictions, which allow FEMA to prioritize, to an extent, obligation of funds from the DRF, limiting them to “life-safety and life sustaining efforts.”

FY2023 Case Study

On August 29, 2023, FEMA announced the implementation of INF restrictions, noting that while FEMA “had intended to provide ten full days [sic] notice, the current disaster environment with a

major fire and multiple hurricanes make it necessary to implement INF immediately.”²¹ The unobligated balance in the DRF was \$3.4 billion that morning.

FEMA indicated that it would pause new Public Assistance (PA) and Hazard Mitigation obligations that are not essential for lifesaving and life-sustaining activities. It further indicated that it would continue

- Individual Assistance payments directly to survivors for critical needs and housing;
- Public Assistance for states, tribes and territories essential for lifesaving and life-sustaining activities;
- State management costs;
- Mission assignments of federal partners for critical response activities;
- Fire Management Assistance grants; and
- Essential ongoing disaster operations, including salaries of FEMA field staff (Stafford Act employees).²²

On October 2, 2023, after enactment of a continuing resolution²³ that provided up to \$19.95 billion in temporary budget authority for the DRF through November 17, 2023, and a \$16 billion supplemental appropriation (\$15.50 billion for the costs of major disasters, and \$500 million for the DRF base), FEMA announced the suspension of the INF restriction.²⁴ Roughly \$8 billion in obligations for long-term recovery and mitigation projects had been pushed from FY2023 into FY2024 by the INF restrictions.²⁵

Other Recent Cases

Prior to 2023, the most recent example of the implementation of INF restrictions was in August 2017, when Hurricane Harvey hit Texas, and Hurricane Irma was anticipated to strike U.S. interests. FEMA initiated INF restrictions on August 28, 2017, as the unobligated balance in the DRF fell below \$2.8 billion in the middle of responses to multiple major disasters. FEMA lifted the INF restrictions on October 2, 2017, when the DRF was replenished by a \$7.4 billion supplemental enacted on September 8, 2017,²⁶ and by the release of additional temporary budget authority pursuant to a continuing resolution.²⁷

Prior to that implementation, INF restrictions were put into place seven times: each year from 2003 through 2006, as well as each year from 2009 through 2011.²⁸ After FY2011, when the DRF

²¹ FEMA, “FEMA Advisory: FEMA Announces Implementation of Immediate Needs Funding,” Office of External Affairs email, August 29, 2003.

²² FEMA, “Immediate Needs Funding Fact Sheet,” Office of External Affairs email attachment, August 29, 2003.

²³ P.L. 118-15.

²⁴ FEMA, “Continuing Resolution Allows FEMA to Lift Restrictions on Disaster Relief Funding,” October 3, 2023, press release (FEMA Release Number HQ-23-205), <https://www.fema.gov/press-release/20231003/continuing-resolution-allows-fema-lift-restrictions-disaster-relief-funding>.

²⁵ CRS analysis of FEMA, *Disaster Relief Fund: Monthly Report as of September 30, 2023*, October 10, 2023, Appendix F.

²⁶ P.L. 115-56, Division B.

²⁷ P.L. 115-56, Division D, §129.

²⁸ FEMA, “Immediate Needs Funding Fact Sheet,” Office of External Affairs email attachment, August 29, 2023.

came very close to depletion, FEMA changed the internal processes of obligation from the DRF, to maintain unobligated balances longer over the course of regular operations.²⁹

FEMA indicated in recent years that BRIC mitigation funding could be redirected to help cover the immediate response and recovery needs pursuant to major disasters once the major disasters subaccount is otherwise depleted.³⁰ The mitigation funding set-aside has never been actually used in this way before.³¹

Why Does the DRF Run Low?

In recent history, three major factors have contributed to the need for frequent supplemental appropriations for the DRF:

- **The formula for calculating the requirement for the DRF does not include “new” catastrophic disasters:** FEMA uses a combination of cost estimates from past catastrophic disasters where recovery is ongoing, and a 10-year rolling average of non-catastrophic disaster obligations to develop the annual budget request for the “major disasters” element of the DRF. The formula does not include funding for any “new” catastrophic incidents—neither those occurring in the year the request is made, nor those potentially occurring in the year the request covers. In recent years it has included a reserve for initial response to a “significant event.”³²
- **The timing of the budget process:** The request for annual appropriations for the DRF for a given fiscal year is based on data finalized roughly nine months prior to the start of that year. Therefore, the public debate and appropriations developed in Congress are not necessarily responsive to the current situation.
- **The volatility of disaster needs:** The request for the major disasters portion of the DRF relies heavily on bottom-up cost estimates developed at the state level to project the need for a given fiscal year. The estimates used to develop the request are not necessarily congruent with the spending plans in place at the beginning of the funded fiscal year, and the timing of actual obligations is subject to clearance and approval processes on both the federal side and recipient side. For instance, projecting obligations for the COVID-19 pandemic response, with its unprecedented scope and application of the Stafford Act, has proven difficult for FEMA and its state-level partners.

A new factor arose in the FY2025 request for the DRF. Rather than basing its request for major disasters on the formula it has relied on in recent years, the Administration’s official request for DRF major disaster funding for FY2025 was constrained by the size of the allowable adjustment to budget limits to cover the costs associated with major disasters. According to FEMA’s annual

²⁹ This reformed approach, known as Strategic Funds Management, obligates certain recovery projects costing more than \$1 million on a rolling basis. For details, see FEMA, “Recovery Standard Operating Procedure 9570.24: Strategic Funds Management—Implementation Procedures for the Public Assistance Program,” December 21, 2012, https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/2020-07/fema_9570.24_startegic-funds-mgmt_SOP_12-21-2012.pdf.

³⁰ FEMA, *Disaster Relief Fund: Monthly Report as of August 31, 2023*, September 11, 2023, p. 4, footnote 3.

³¹ According to FEMA, there was roughly \$4.6 billion of available mitigation funding as of the end of June 2024.

³² In the FY2025 request, there was a \$2 billion reserve for catastrophic incidents, and \$1 billion for BRIC mitigation funding.

statement of the requirements for the DRF for FY2025, this reduced the request by more than \$5.2 billion.³³

These factors all contribute to an increased likelihood of annual appropriations action underfunding the DRF. However, given the unpredictability of catastrophic incidents, Congress may find itself weighing which of these options is preferable: providing larger annual appropriations for the DRF in anticipation of events which may not occur, or continuing to rely on a limited reserve and faster-moving supplemental appropriations, or making significant changes to the disaster relief programs under the Stafford Act that drive these costs.

For further discussion, see CRS Report R45484, *The Disaster Relief Fund: Overview and Issues*, by William L. Painter.

³³ FEMA, *Disaster Relief Fund Annual Report: Fiscal Year 2025 Funding Requirements*, May 6, 2024, p. 7. https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/documents/fema_disaster-relief-fund-funding-requirements_fy25.pdf.

Appendix. Data Tables

Table A-1. DRF Annual and Supplemental Appropriations, FY2015-FY2024
(\$thousands of nominal budget authority)

Fiscal Year	Annual Appropriations— Major Disasters	Supplemental Appropriations— Major Disasters	Other DRF Annual Appropriations	Other DRF Supplemental Appropriations
2013	6,400	11,488	608	0
2014	5,626	0	595	0
2015	6,438	0	595	0
2016	6,713	0	661	0
2017	6,713	7,400	615	0
2018	7,366	42,170	535	0
2019	12,000	0	258	0
2020	17,352	40,000	511	5,000
2021	17,142	52,000	0	0
2022	18,799	0	0	0
2023	19,945	5,000	0	0
2024	20,261	15,500	0	500

(Provided as of
6/30/2024)

Source: CRS analysis of FEMA monthly DRF reports.

Notes: Information shown reflects data as shown in FEMA's monthly reports, and do not reflect reprogramming, transfers, or rescissions.

Table A-2. DRF Unobligated Balances and Obligations, FY2015-FY2024
(nominal \$billions of budget authority, as recorded at the end of the fiscal year)

Fiscal Year	Unobligated Balance— Major Disasters	Total DRF Unobligated Balance	Obligations— Major Disasters	Total DRF Obligations
2013	6,682	8,492	10,435	11,005
2014	4,968	6,978	7,754	8,540
2015	3,133	5,317	8,545	9,120
2016	85	1,819	9,954	10,479
2017	2,966	3,356	12,562	13,207
2018	27,500	28,285	25,932	26,693
2019	28,470	28,975	12,943	13,763
2020	10,347	14,835	76,598	78,041
2021	28,327	32,364	57,870	58,911
2022	9,110	12,624	41,987	42,744

2023	2,547	3,261	37,126	37,893
2024	-6,767	-6,244	47,566	48,386
(Projected as of 7/31/2024)				

Source: CRS analysis of FEMA monthly DRF reports.

Notes: As “obligated” is not an end-state for budget authority, obligated funding levels rise and fall for a variety of reasons. These figures represent snapshots in time of how things stood after closeout of the financial records for that fiscal year, as reflected in Appendix A of the monthly reports.

Author Information

William L. Painter
Specialist in Homeland Security and Appropriations

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS’s institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.