

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Campaign Finance Policy: Recent Developments

Updated September 25, 2024

No federal statute or regulation specifically addresses artificial intelligence (AI) in political campaigns. The [Federal Election Campaign Act](#) (FECA) and Federal Election Commission (FEC) [regulations](#) govern conduct that calls for election or defeat of federal candidates or solicits funds. They also regulate some advertisements ([electioneering communications](#)) that refer to clearly identified federal candidates during preelection periods that do not call for election or defeat. [Disclaimer](#) requirements that mandate attribution for communications regulated by campaign finance law appear to apply to ads created with AI. Those requirements do not mandate that such advertising alert the audience, or regulators, to the presence of AI-generated content. Campaign management decisions, such as which technology to use, are generally not subject to regulation.

This updated CRS Insight discusses recent developments that could be relevant as Congress monitors or considers legislation related to AI and [campaign finance policy](#). It does not address legal issues. Other CRS products provide information on [generative AI](#) and other [AI policy areas](#).

AI in Political Campaigns, and Recent Legislative Developments

Recent policy attention to AI in campaigns focuses on “[deepfakes](#),” referring to artificially manipulated audio or video content in political advertising. Such advertising appears to present [new challenges](#) for campaigns and voters about how to determine whether communications are authentic.

Recent legislation proposes disclaimers, reporting requirements, or prohibitions on deepfakes in federal campaigns or elections. Bills introduced in the 118th Congress include [H.R. 3044](#); H.R. 3106; H.R. 3831; H.R. 4611; H.R. 5586; H.R. 8384; H.R. 8668; H.R. 9639; [S. 686](#); S. 1596; S. 2770; and S. 3875. The Senate Committee on Rules and Administration reported an amended version of S. 3875 on May 15, 2024. The bill would amend FECA to require disclaimers on certain political advertisements that are generated using AI. Legislation (H.R. 1; H.R. 5314) addressing various elections topics, including some provisions concerning deepfakes, passed the House in the 117th Congress but was not enacted.

In May 2023, the [American Association of Political Consultants](#) (AAPC) [issued a statement](#) explaining that its board of directors unanimously “condemn[ed] use of deceptive generative AI content in political campaigns” as inconsistent with the [organization’s code of ethics](#). The AAPC position represents a

Congressional Research Service

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

IN12222

voluntary professional standard, not a regulatory requirement. The AAPC also [stated its support](#) for a February 2024 Federal Communications Commission (FCC) [declaratory ruling](#) that calls made with AI-generated voices are “artificial” under the [Automated Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 \(47 U.S.C. §227\)](#), and that using AI-generated voice for robocalls absent prior consumer consent is “illegal.” FCC activity on robocalls is otherwise beyond the scope of this Insight.

Despite the focus on AI’s role in political advertising, AI also can serve campaign-management functions. For example, political professionals or volunteers could use AI to automate, or supplement human labor to complete, various internal campaign tasks. According to [media reports](#), campaigns [have used](#) AI to perform data analysis, compile opposition research, or draft fundraising appeals.

Federal Election Commission Rulemaking Activity

On June 22, 2023, members of the FEC deadlocked on whether to issue a [notice of availability](#) (NOA) to receive comments on an AI [rulemaking petition](#) from the interest group Public Citizen. The request asked the FEC to issue rules specifying that the FEC fraudulent misrepresentation of campaign authority prohibition ([52 U.S.C. §30124](#)) applied to AI-generated ads. [At the June 22 meeting](#), some commissioners expressed skepticism about the agency’s statutory authority to regulate AI ads; others expressed support for a rulemaking. On July 13, 2023, several Members of Congress [wrote to the commission](#) expressing “disappoint[ment]” with the FEC’s action and requested additional information.

The commission [considered](#) a second Public Citizen [rulemaking petition](#) on August 10, 2023. In this case, it [approved an NOA](#). Discussion at the August 10 meeting suggested that at least some commissioners continued to have reservations about the commission’s authority concerning regulating AI ads in particular; about the appropriateness of the FECA fraudulent misrepresentation provision as an avenue to do so; or both. Fifty-two Members of Congress [submitted joint comments](#) encouraging the FEC to adopt rules specifying that the fraudulent-misrepresentation provisions apply to ads created using generative AI, and to require disclaimers on ads created with the technology. The matter remained under consideration for the next year.

On September 19, 2024, the commission took two actions.

- It approved a notice of disposition (NOD) to resolve the July 2023 Public Citizen petition without issuing new rules. The [NOD notes](#) that the fraudulent-misrepresentation provision is “technology neutral and applies on its face to all means” of fraudulent misrepresentation, “including AI-assisted media.”
- It approved an [interpretive rule](#), based on existing regulation and statute, confirming that the FECA fraudulent misrepresentation provision also covers communications generated using AI.

To summarize, the commission did not issue new rules concerning AI. It clarified that the existing fraudulent misrepresentation provisions in FECA and FEC regulations ([11 C.F.R. §110.16\(a\)](#)) apply to communications generated using AI. Those actions do not affect AI or campaign finance beyond the fraudulent misrepresentation provision, nor do they require additional disclaimers on political advertising. Discussion at the [open meeting](#) suggests that a [majority](#) of the commission believes that it currently lacks legislative authority to issue broader rules concerning AI.

FEC Responses to Federal Communications Commission Activity

Some [House](#) and [Senate](#) activity has examined a proposed FCC rulemaking that does not directly implicate campaign finance policy and which is largely beyond the scope of this Insight. On [July 25](#), 2024, the FCC approved a [notice of proposed rulemaking](#) (NPRM), published in the *Federal Register* on

August 5. If approved, the rules would require certain licensees (e.g., broadcasters) to (1) announce on air that a political ad contains “AI-generated content”; and (2) include the information in their “political files” of advertising contracts. [Another CRS product](#) discusses identification requirements on political advertising in telecommunications law and regulation.

On June 3, 2024, FEC Chair Sean Cooksey [wrote](#) to FCC Chair Jessica Rosenworcel, stating that the reportedly forthcoming FCC proposed rules would infringe on FEC jurisdiction and could cause confusion before the general election. Three days later, FEC Vice Chair Ellen Weintraub [wrote](#) to Rosenworcel stating that the FCC could add telecommunications expertise to AI regulation. It is unclear how or whether the FEC might respond if the FCC adopted the proposed rules.

Potential Policy Considerations for Congress

If pursuing legislation, Congress might need to determine whether to do so narrowly, such as by addressing specific AI issues, or to also address other campaign finance or elections topics. Congress has pursued both approaches to campaign finance regulation [recently](#). If Congress chose to task the FEC with pursuing rulemaking without also providing additional statutory guidance, it is possible that the commission would be unable to agree, [with the four of six minimum required votes](#), about how to proceed.

Maintaining the status quo likely would reinforce the emerging debate about whether additional regulation is needed, including [about what role industry should play](#). Congress could also require agency (or committee or task force) study of AI issues before, or in addition to, other policymaking.

Amending FECA would be a typical approach to further regulate ads that are made by [political committees](#); or that solicit funds, engage in express advocacy, or refer to federal candidates through electioneering communications. Although Congress could also amend FECA or another statute to require disclaimers on ads that do not meet those requirements (e.g., issue advocacy), federal campaign finance law currently generally does not regulate issue advocacy. As noted above, amending telecommunications law or regulation could affect broadcasters or other entities that transmit ads, and could affect issue advocacy in ways that campaign finance law and regulation do not. Prohibiting AI-generated ads or requiring additional disclaimers might raise First Amendment concerns, such as those discussed in another [CRS campaign finance product](#).

Author Information

R. Sam Garrett
Specialist in American National Government

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of

information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.