

# **IN FOCUS**

Updated May 17, 2024

# The Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States

The Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS) is an interagency committee chaired by the Secretary of the Treasury. It serves the President in overseeing the national security risks of certain foreign direct investment (FDI) in the U.S. economy. CFIUS jurisdiction includes the review of mergers, acquisitions, and takeovers that could result in foreign control of a U.S. business; certain noncontrolling investments in businesses involved in critical technologies, critical infrastructure, or sensitive personal data (so-called "TID U.S. businesses"); and certain real estate transactions. At the recommendation of CFIUS, the President may suspend or prohibit transactions that threaten to impair U.S. national security. The United States is the world's largest foreign investor and recipient of foreign investment. U.S. policy supports a rules-based and open investment environment domestically and globally to promote U.S. economic growth and ensure that the United States remains a premier FDI destination. The Biden Administration has stated that it will retain an open U.S. investment posture, while ensuring the CFIUS review process "remains responsive to an evolving national security landscape and the nature of the investments that pose related risks." Congressional and stakeholder debate over CFIUS activities has intensified amid growing concern that certain foreign investments by firms directed, controlled, or funded by a foreign government, notably the People's Republic of China (PRC), raise additional national security risks. These debates involve oversight of CFIUS reforms mandated by Congress in 2018. The 118th Congress is considering various legislation to address perceived jurisdiction gaps and evolving priorities.

Source of Authority. CFIUS derives its authorities from Section 721 of the Defense Production Act (DPA), as amended (50 U.S.C. §4565), and implementing regulations (31 C.F.R. Chapter VIII). CFIUS initially was created and operated through a series of Executive Orders. In 1988, Congress passed the "Exon-Florio" amendment to the DPA (50 U.S.C. App. §2170), which codified the review process, at the time largely driven by concerns over Japanese firms' acquisitions of U.S. defense-related firms. In 2007, amid concerns over the proposed foreign purchase of commercial operations of U.S. ports, Congress passed the Foreign Investment and National Security Act of 2007 (P.L. 110-49), which formally gave CFIUS statutory authority. In 2018, Congress passed the Foreign Investment Risk Review Modernization Act (FIRRMA, Title XVII, P.L. 115-232), which expanded CFIUS's jurisdiction and review process in key ways. FIRRMA was intended to "strengthen and modernize" CFIUS and enhance its ability to address concerns involving nonpassive, noncontrolling investments in TID business and real estate transactions in proximity to military installations, or part of maritime ports or airports.

**Membership of CFIUS.** The committee consists of nine members: the Secretary of the Treasury (chair), Secretaries

of State, Defense, Homeland Security, Commerce, and Energy; Attorney General; U.S. Trade Representative; and Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy. The Secretary of Labor and Director of National Intelligence (DNI) serve as ex-officio members. Five White House offices are observers or participate in CFIUS, as appropriate (e.g., the Council of Economic Advisers and National Security Council). The President can appoint other officials to serve on a case-by-case basis.

### **CFIUS Review Process**

The review process begins with notification by the parties to the transaction, which is a voluntary step except in certain cases. Even when notification is not mandatory, firms have an incentive to do so to receive potential "safe harbor" from CFIUS, which limits future action after a transaction is cleared. Non-notified transactions remain subject indefinitely to future CFIUS review and possible divestment or other actions mandated by the President. As directed by FIRRMA, CFIUS has increased attention and resources to monitoring non-notified transactions of concern. CFIUS may also unilaterally initiate a review.

The President can exercise authority to suspend or prohibit a foreign investment, subject to a CFIUS review, if he/she finds that (1) credible evidence exists that the foreign person might take action that threatens to impair national security; and (2) no other laws provide "adequate and appropriate authority" to protect the national security risks.

**Notification.** A party's notification of a transaction can follow two-tracks: a declaration, an abbreviated, short-form filing (30-day assessment); or a traditional written notice (45-day review). Declarations and notices are generally distinguished by submission length, timeline for CFIUS's consideration, and CFIUS's options for disposition of the submission. A declaration is mandatory in cases where (1) a foreign government is acquiring a "substantial interest" in TID U.S. businesses, and (2) a transaction involves a TID U.S. business that produces, designs, manufactures, etc. a critical technology subject to export licensing/controls.

**National Security Review.** Treasury and a co-lead agency conduct a 45-day review to determine the effects of the transaction on U.S. national security, informed by a DNI threat analysis. CFIUS's "risk-based assessment" considers the threat, vulnerabilities, and consequences to national security related to the transaction. In its assessment, CFIUS is to consider an illustrative list of national security factors. Factors include the domestic production needed for projected national defense requirements; the control of domestic industries and commercial activity by foreign citizens; effects on potential sales of military goods, equipment, or technology to a country that supports terrorism or proliferates missile technology or chemical and biological weapons; U.S. technological leadership in areas affecting national security; and effects on U.S. critical

infrastructure, including major energy assets, and critical technologies. In September 2022, amid an evolving national security landscape, President Biden issued E.O. 14083 to elaborate and expand on the factors in statute. These include the transaction's effect on resilience of U.S. critical supply chains and technological leadership; aggregate industry investment trends; cybersecurity risks; and risks to U.S. person's sensitive data. See CRS In Focus IF12415.

**National Security Investigation.** The transaction proceeds to a 45-day investigation if CFIUS finds the transaction threatens to impair U.S. national security and the risk has not been mitigated; is foreign-government controlled; or would result in foreign control of any U.S. critical infrastructure. A 15-day extension is permitted in the event of "extraordinary circumstances." CFIUS can negotiate and impose mitigation agreements or conditions on the parties to address concerns identified; a lead agency is tasked with monitoring compliance. In October 2022, Treasury issued its first Enforcement and Penalty Guidelines, emphasizing compliance with mitigation measures as a priority. In April 2024, Treasury issued a proposed rule to update mitigation and enforcement provisions of CFIUS regulations.

**Presidential Decision.** If CFIUS determines the transaction poses unresolved concerns, it may recommend to the President that the deal be prohibited, unless the parties choose to abandon the transaction. The President has 15 days to take action. Presidents have prohibited eight transactions, the majority in the past decade (Table 1). In 2020, President Trump ordered PRC ByteDance Ltd. to divest from Musical.ly, a social media firm; this 2017 acquisition formed the basis of U.S. operations of TikTok. After a legal challenge by TikTok, the Justice Department sought a national security agreement with the firm, despite CFIUS's determination in referring the case to the President that mitigation was not feasible (see CRS In Focus IF12640). In May 2024, President Biden ordered a PRC cryptocurrency mining firm to divest its real estate acquisition and operations located one mile from Francis E. Warren Air Force Base, a strategic missile base.

Table 1. Tresidential Blocks of Foreign Transactions								
Year U.S. Business		Acquirer	Sector					
1990	MAMCO	CATIC	Aerospace					
2012	4 wind farms	Ralls Corp.	Renewables					
		(Sany Group)						
2016	Aixtron SE	Grand Chip	Semiconductor					
		(China IC Fund)						
2017	Lattice	Canyon Bridge	Semiconductor					
		Capital Partners						
2018	Qualcomm	Broadcom	Semiconductor					
2020	StayNTouch	Shiji Information	Software					
	-	Technology Co.						
2020	Musical.ly	ByteDance	Digital platform					
2024	Real estate	MineOne	Crypto mining					

Source: Presidential orders.

#### **Recent Activity**

CFIUS must report annually to Congress on its activities (**Table 2**). In most years since FIRRMA, there has been an increase in transactions reviewed. In 2022, CFIUS reviewed 440 filings (154 declarations, 286 notices). CFIUS cleared 90 declarations, and requested parties submit a subsequent written notice in 32% of cases. Five declarations involved real estate transactions. Nearly 60% of total notices

proceeded to an investigation. In 87 cases, parties withdrew the notice during investigation to address issues, and the majority refiled with CFIUS. CFIUS adopted mitigation measures for 41 notices (14% of total notices). In 12 cases, parties abandoned the deal after CFIUS said it was unable to identify mitigation that would resolve its concerns, or after proposed measures were not accepted by the parties.

Table 2. Transactions Reviewed by CFIU
--

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total	
Declarations	20	94	126	164	154	558	
Notices	229	231	187	272	286	1,205	
Investigations	158	113	88	130	162	651	
Notices	64	30	28	72	87	281	
Withdrawn							
Presidential	1	1	1	0	0	3	
Decision							

Source: CFIUS Annual Report to Congress for CY2022, July 2023.

#### **Issues for Congress**

Congress remains engaged in oversight of implementation of FIRRMA and CFIUS activities. Some Members say that, despite FIRRMA, PRC and other state-directed investments require a more proactive and strategic approach. Some are concerned that PRC investments, particularly in emerging tech and new operations, may evade or fall outside current authorities. Some Members have introduced related legislation in the 118th Congress. A Senate version of the National Defense Authorization Act for FY2024 (S. 2226) would have expanded CFIUS jurisdiction over agricultural land and investments in U.S. companies in agriculture or biotechnology; banned PRC and some others' investments in these areas; and added the Secretary of Agriculture as a CFIUS member. These efforts stem in part from reports of an uptick in PRC land purchases and Treasury's 2022 decision that it did not find jurisdiction to review a PRC firm's land purchase in North Dakota near a U.S. Air Force base. Some in Congress have expressed concerns about Japanese firm Nippon Steel's proposed acquisition of U.S Steel Corporation, announced in December 2023, which is reportedly under CFIUS review. Other issues include

- How well is CFIUS balancing an open U.S. investment posture with the aim to protect national security? How should CFIUS protect critical technologies in ways that promote competitiveness and a market-driven economy?
- Six years post FIRRMA, how sufficient are CFIUS's current authorities to achieve policy objectives? How has E.O. 14083 affected CFIUS reviews in practice?
- There appear to be incentives for parties to a transaction to use declarations, which are shorter and have fast turnaround relative to notices. To what extent is CFIUS clearing transactions based on declarations and in what instances should full filing notices be required?
- How is the Commerce Department's process of identifying "emerging and foundational technologies" for export controls facilitating or hindering CFIUS reviews of transactions related to such technologies?
- In what ways has CFIUS improved coordination with allies and partners in information sharing and in investment screening efforts as mandated by FIRRMA?

Cathleen D. Cimino-Isaacs, Specialist in International Trade and Finance

Karen M. Sutter, Specialist in Asian Trade and Finance

### Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.