



# Proposed Transfer of Space Aligned National Guard Units

May 14, 2024

On March 29, 2024, the Secretary of the Air Force (SECAF) submitted a legislative proposal (LP480) asking Congress to allow transfer of "covered space functions" of the Air National Guard (ANG) to the U.S. Space Force (USSF) and waive 32 U.S.C. §104(c) and 10 U.S.C. §18238, which generally require state governors to approve changes in the organization, allotment, relocation, or withdraw of National Guard units within their states. The National Guard Bureau (NGB) (the federal agency responsible for administration of the National Guard), governors from 50 states and 5 U.S. territories, and others oppose LP480. Congress may consider whether to accept, reject, or modify LP480 as part of the FY2025 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA).

# **Background**

The FY2020 NDAA, P.L. 116-92, Subtitle D, established USSF as an armed service within the Department of the Air Force (DAF). Upon USSF establishment in 2019, space functions of the Air Force, and the Departments of the Army and Navy, transferred to the USSF. Some space functions remained in the Air National Guard (ANG). Congress has not enacted legislation to transfer space functions from the ANG to the USSF or to establish a Space National Guard.

FY2024 NDAA, Section 924, directed the Secretary of Defense to study "the feasibility and advisability of transferring the space components of the Air National Guard to the Space Force," and address three courses of action (1) maintaining the current model with ANG units and personnel performing space functions; (2) transferring ANG space functions, including units and personnel, to the USSF; and (3) establishing a Space National Guard. The resulting study, dated April 2024, recommends "transfer of covered space functions from the ANG to the Space Force," including nine units totaling 578 positions in six states (see **Table 1**).

Table I. DAF Recommended Air National Guard Units for Space Force Transfer

| Unit                         | Base                      | State  |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|
| 213rd Space Warning Squadron | Clear Space Force Station | Alaska |

**Congressional Research Service** 

https://crsreports.congress.gov

IN12377

| Unit                              | Base                                | State      |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|
| 148th Space Operations Squadron   | Vandenberg Space Force Base         | California |
| 216th Electronic Warfare Squadron | Vandenberg Space Force Base         | California |
| 137th Space Warning Squadron      | Greeley Air National Guard Station  | Colorado   |
| 138th Electronic Warfare Squadron | Peterson Space Force Base           | Colorado   |
| 114th Electronic Warfare Squadron | Cape Canaveral Space Force Station  | Florida    |
| 109th Electronic Warfare Squadron | Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam      | Hawaii     |
| 150th Electronic Warfare Squadron | Pacific Missile Range Facility      | Hawaii     |
| 126th Intelligence Squadron       | Springfield Air National Guard Base | Ohio       |

**Source:** DAF Report to Congressional Committees, "Report on the Feasibility of the Consolidation or Transfer of Space Functions of the National Guard to the Space Force," April 2024.

Notes: The DAF analyzed eleven units and recommended the above nine units for transfer to the Space Force.

### **Legislative Proposal 480 (LP480)**

According to the DAF study, "at the request of the Congressional defense committees, a legislative proposal [LP480] to accomplish the transfer has been provided for [Congress]." LP480, if enacted, would allow the transfer of "covered space functions" and personnel of the ANG to the USSF waiving the consent of state governors, and the consent of the district commanding general of the National Guard per 10 U.S.C. §18238, in the case of District of Columbia units.

FY2024 NDAA, Section 924 (e) and LP 480 Section (j), define "covered space functions" as "all Federal missions, units, personnel billets, equipment, and resources of the Air National Guard associated with performance of core space-related functions of the Space Force or other related functions integral to the mission of the Space Force that is (as determined by the Secretary of the Air Force, in consultation with the Chief of Space Operations)—(1) a core space-related function of the Space Force; or (2) otherwise integral to the mission of the Space Force."

LP480 would increase USSF end strength by the number of billets transferred and decreasing ANG end strength by an equivalent amount in relevant fiscal years.

LP480 would authorize the Secretary to (1) change a transferred ANG unit's status to USSF; (2) deactivate the unit; or (3) assign the unit a new federal mission. Changing a unit's mission would require 120-day notice and delay before DAF could move "any of the Space Force units, equipment, or billets out of the affected state." LP480 would direct the Secretary to establish Space Force units as "tenant units on ANG installations." If Congress adopts LP480, the DAF projects a \$442.3 million shift in funds between the USSF, USAF, and ANG over five years. The DAF study estimates "less than 3% of the ANG billets in any of the six states would be affected."

In correspondence with Utah Governor Spencer Cox, National Governors Association (NGA) Chair, and separately with Colorado Governor Jared Polis, NGA Vice Chair, SECAF Frank Kendall states that "[LP480] would facilitate a one-time transfer of certain missions into the [USSF]," and "does not authorize the transfer of any other units from the National Guard, nor is it meant to set a precedent for the transfer of any other units or disregard the critical role of Governors."

# **Differing Perspectives**

Opponents of LP480 include governors from all 50 states and 5 territories. Of these, 53 state and territory governors signed a joint letter from the National Governor's Association arguing LP480 "disregards gubernatorial authorities regarding the National Guard" and "undermines longstanding partnerships, precedence, military readiness and operational efficacy," impacting relationships between governors and the Department of Defense (DOD) "at a time when [governors] need to have full trust and confidence between [governors and DOD] to meet growing threats posed by the era of strategic competition as well as natural disasters." The Governors of Florida and Texas sent letters with similar arguments.

The National Guard Association of the United States (NGAUS) reported that "(s)urveys of Air National Guard space professionals indicate that most do not want to transfer to the Space Force. Many are part-time members with civilian jobs in high-tech fields." NGAUS President, Major General Francis M. McGinn, reportedly said many of them do not want to serve full time and do not want to be subject to moving. One ANG leader reportedly said a survey of affected servicemembers found 70% would rather retrain or retire than be transferred into the active-duty Space Force. National Guard leaders also reportedly estimate the number of affected space personnel could be closer to 1,000 National Guard members.

## **Issues for Congress**

As written in LP480, the DAF recommendation to transfer ANG space units to the USSF could not be implemented without congressional waiver of statutory provisions that require gubernatorial consent. Congress would also need to consider whether to authorize and appropriate funding to facilitate transfer of members, missions, and associated equipment to the USSF. Congress may consider whether to accept, amend, or reject DOD's proposal. Congress could consider the DAF's alternative options and could consider how the implementation of the Space Force Personnel Management Act (FY2024 NDAA (P.L. 118-31) could apply to National Guardsmen assigned to space functions. Congress could also initiate further reviews of policy implications through hearings or studies.

#### **Author Information**

Sarah Gee National Defense Fellow Jennifer DiMascio Analyst in U.S. Defense Policy

#### Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However,

as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.