

# Navy Large Unmanned Surface and Undersea Vehicles: Background and Issues for Congress

Updated September 5, 2023

**Congressional Research Service** https://crsreports.congress.gov R45757



# Navy Large Unmanned Surface and Undersea Vehicles: Background and Issues for Congress

Among the Navy's programs for developing and acquiring unmanned surface vehicles (USVs) and unmanned underwater vehicles (UUVs) of various sizes are programs for developing two large USVs—the Large Unmanned Surface Vehicle (LUSV) and Medium Unmanned Surface Vehicle (MUSV)—and a program for a large UUV called the Extra-Large Unmanned Undersea Vehicle (XLUUV). The Navy wants to develop and acquire LUSVs, MUSVs, and XLUUVs as

part of an effort to shift the Navy to a more distributed fleet architecture, meaning a mix of ships that spreads the Navy's capabilities over an increased number of platforms and avoids concentrating a large portion of the fleet's overall capability into a relatively small number of high-value ships (i.e., a mix of ships that avoids "putting too many eggs into one basket"). The Navy's proposed FY2024 budget requests \$117.4 million in research and development (R&D) funding for the LUSV program, \$85.8 million in R&D funding for the MUSV program, \$176.3 million in R&D funding for the XLUUV program, and \$71.2 million in additional R&D funding for core technologies for UUVs including but not limited to XLUUV.

**LUSV.** The Navy envisions LUSVs as being 200 feet to 300 feet in length and having full load displacements of 1,000 tons to 2,000 tons, which would make them the size of a corvette. (i.e., a ship larger than a patrol craft and smaller than a frigate). The Navy wants LUSVs to be low-cost, high-endurance, reconfigurable ships with ample capacity for carrying various modular payloads—particularly anti-surface warfare (ASuW) and strike payloads, meaning principally anti-ship and land-attack missiles. Each LUSV could be equipped with a vertical launch system (VLS) with 16 to 32 missile-launching tubes. Although referred to as unmanned vehicles, LUSVs might be more accurately described as optionally or lightly manned ships, because they might sometimes have a few onboard crew members, particularly in the nearer term as the Navy works out LUSV enabling technologies and operational concepts. The Navy has been using LUSV prototypes to develop LUSV operational concepts. The Navy's FY2024 budget submission programs the procurement of production LUSVs through the Navy's shipbuilding account, with the first LUSV to be procured in FY2025 at a cost of \$315.0 million, the next two in FY2026 at a combined cost of \$522.5 million (i.e., an average of about \$261.3 million each), the next three in FY2027 at a combined cost of \$722.7 million (i.e., an average of \$240.9 million each), and another three in FY2028 at a combined cost of \$737.2 million (i.e., an average of about \$245.7 million each).

**MUSV.** The Navy defines MUSVs as being 45 feet to 190 feet long, with displacements of roughly 500 tons, which would make them the size of a patrol craft. The Navy wants MUSVs, like LUSVs, to be low-cost, high-endurance, reconfigurable ships that can accommodate various payloads. Initial payloads for MUSVs are to be intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) payloads and electronic warfare (EW) systems. The Navy's FY2024 budget submission states: "While there are no MUSV[s] funded [for procurement] in the FY 2024-FY 2028 FYDP [Future Years Defense Program], the structure of the [MUSV] contract awarded to L3 Harris in July 2020 allows for [procurement] options to be added should funding become available. Delivery of the initial [MUSV] prototype is planned in Q4 [i.e., the fourth quarter of] FY 2024 followed by Developmental and Operational Testing. The prototyping efforts with the FY 2019 MUSV will inform procurement of additional MUSV units and transition to an ACAT [Acquisition Category] program with formalized requirements through a Capability Development Document [CDD] and procurement funding as part of a decision in future budgets."

**XLUUV.** XLUUVs are roughly the size of a subway car. The Navy wants to use XLUUVs to, among other things, covertly deploy the Hammerhead mine, a planned mine that would be tethered to the seabed and armed with an antisubmarine torpedo, broadly similar to the Navy's Cold War-era CAPTOR (encapsulated torpedo) mine. Five "operationally relevant prototype" XLUUVs were procured in FY2019. An additional XLUUV test and training asset has also been procured. The Navy's FY2024 budget submission programs the procurement of additional XLUUVs through the Other Procurement, Navy (OPN) account, with the one XLUUV to be procured in FY2026 at a cost of \$113.3 million, another one in FY2027 at a cost of \$115.6 million, and another one in FY2028 at a cost of \$117.9 million. The Navy's FY2024 budget submission states: "Fabrication and award of additional Orca XLUUV systems is planned to be no earlier than FY26. Transition to an Acquisition Category (ACAT) Program and production may occur as early as FY26, pending successful completion of Government testing."

## **SUMMARY**

# R45757

September 5, 2023

Ronald O'Rourke Specialist in Naval Affairs

# Contents

Introduction	1
Background	1
Navy USVs and UUVs in General	1
UVs in the Navy	
March 2021 Campaign Framework Document for UVs	2
Smaller and Larger Navy USVs and UUVs	2
Large UVs and Navy Ship Count	
Large UVs as Part of More Distributed Navy Fleet Architecture	
Acquisition Strategies and Enabling Technologies	
LUSV, MUSV, and LXUUV Programs in Brief	
LUSV Program	
MUSV Program	
XLUUV Program	. 16
Issues for Congress	. 20
Analytical Basis for Fleet Architecture Including Large UVs	. 20
Concept of Operations (CONOPS)	
Overview	. 21
December 2021 Blog Posts	. 21
Navy Efforts to Develop CONOPs	. 23
Potential Oversight Questions	. 27
Acquisition Strategies, Program Risks, and XLUUV Cost Growth and Schedule	
Delays	
Overview	
Navy UVs in General	
LUSV and USVs in General	
XLUUV	
Industrial Base Implications	
Potential Implications for Miscalculation or Escalation at Sea	
Legislative Activity for FY2024	. 38
Summary of Congressional Action on FY2024 Funding Request	. 38
FY2024 National Defense Authorization Act (H.R. 2670/S. 2226)	
House	. 38
Senate	. 39
FY2024 DOD Appropriations Act (H.R. 4365/S. 2587)	. 39
House	
Senate	. 39

# Figures

Figure 1. Prototypes Supporting the LUSV and MUSV Programs	4
Figure 2. Sea Hunter Prototype Medium Displacement USV	5
Figure 3. USV Prototypes	6
Figure 4. LUSV Prototype	6
Figure 5. LUSV Prototype	7

Figure 6. Rendering of L3Harris Design Concept for MUSV	14
Figure 7. Boeing Echo Voyager UUV	19
Figure 8. Boeing Echo Voyager UUV	19
Figure 9. Boeing Echo Voyager UUV	20

# Tables

Table 1. Congressional Action of	n FY2024 Large UV	/ Funding Request	
8	- 0	8 1	

# Contacts

Author Information
--------------------

# Introduction

This report provides background information and potential issues for Congress for three types of large unmanned vehicles (UVs) that the Navy wants to develop and procure in FY2024 and beyond:

- Large Unmanned Surface Vehicles (LUSVs);
- Medium Unmanned Surface Vehicles (MUSVs); and
- Extra-large Unmanned Undersea Vehicles (XLUUVs).

The Navy's proposed FY2024 budget requests \$117.4 million in research and development (R&D) funding for the LUSV program, \$85.8 million in R&D funding for the MUSV program, \$176.3 million in R&D funding for LUSV/MUSV enabling capabilities, \$104.3 million in R&D funding for the XLUUV program, and \$71.2 million in additional R&D funding for core technologies for UUVs including but not limited to XLUUV.

The issue for Congress is whether to approve, reject, or modify the Navy's acquisition strategies and funding requests for these large UVs. The Navy's proposals for developing and procuring them pose a number of oversight issues for Congress. Congress's decisions on these issues could substantially affect Navy capabilities and funding requirements and the shipbuilding and UV industrial bases.

In addition to the large UVs covered in this report, the Navy also wants to develop and procure smaller USVs and UUVs, as well as unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) of various sizes. Other U.S. military services are developing, procuring, and operating their own types of UVs. Separate CRS reports address some of these efforts.<sup>1</sup>

# Background

# Navy USVs and UUVs in General

# UVs in the Navy

UVs are one of several new capabilities—along with directed-energy weapons, hypersonic weapons, artificial intelligence, cyber capabilities, and quantum technologies—that the Navy and other U.S. military services are pursuing to meet emerging military challenges, particularly from China.<sup>2</sup> UVs can be equipped with sensors, weapons, or other payloads, and can be operated remotely, semi-autonomously, or (with technological advancements) autonomously. They can be individually less expensive to procure than manned ships and aircraft because their designs do not need to incorporate spaces and support equipment for onboard human operators. UVs can be particularly suitable for long-duration missions that might tax the physical endurance of onboard

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, for example, CRS Report R45519, *The Army's Optionally Manned Fighting Vehicle (OMFV) Program: Background and Issues for Congress*, by Andrew Feickert, and CRS In Focus IF11150, *Defense Primer: U.S. Policy on Lethal Autonomous Weapon Systems*, by Kelley M. Sayler.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For a CRS report on advanced military technologies, see CRS In Focus IF11105, *Defense Primer: Emerging Technologies*, by Kelley M. Sayler.

human operators, or missions that pose a high risk of injury, death, or capture of onboard human operators—so-called "three D" missions, meaning missions that are dull, dirty, or dangerous.<sup>3</sup>

The Navy has been developing and experimenting with various types of UVs for many years, and has transitioned some of these efforts (particularly those for UAVs) into procurement programs. Even so, some observers have occasionally expressed dissatisfaction with what they view as the Navy's slow pace in transitioning UV development efforts into programs for procuring UVs in quantity and integrating them into the operational fleet.

## March 2021 Campaign Framework Document for UVs

On March 16, 2021, the Department of the Navy released a "campaign framework" (i.e., overall strategy) document for developing and acquiring Navy and Marine UVs of various types and integrating them into U.S. naval operations.<sup>4</sup>

### Smaller and Larger Navy USVs and UUVs

In addition to the large UVs covered in this report, the Navy also wants to develop and procure smaller USVs and UUVs that can be deployed from manned Navy ships and submarines to extend the operational reach of those ships and submarines. The large UVs covered in this CRS report, in contrast, are more likely to be deployed directly from pier to perform missions that might otherwise be assigned to manned ships and submarines.

### Large UVs and Navy Ship Count

Because the large UVs covered in this report can be deployed directly from pier to perform missions that might otherwise be assigned to manned ships and submarines, the top-level count of the desired future number of ships in the Navy now increasingly includes two figures—one for manned ships, and another for larger USVs and UUVs.<sup>5</sup>

## Large UVs as Part of More Distributed Navy Fleet Architecture

The Navy wants to acquire these large UVs as part of an effort to shift the Navy to a more distributed fleet architecture, meaning a mix of ships that spreads the Navy's capabilities over an increased number of platforms and avoids concentrating a large portion of the fleet's overall capability into a relatively small number of high-value ships (i.e., a mix of ships that avoids "putting too many eggs into one basket").<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See, for example, Ann Diab, "Drones Perform the Dull, Dirty, or Dangerous Work," Tech.co, November 12, 2014; Bonnie Robinson, "Dull, Dirty, Dangerous Mission? Send in the Robot Vehicle," U.S. Army, August 20, 2015; Bernard Marr, "The 4 Ds Of Robotization: Dull, Dirty, Dangerous And Dear," *Forbes*, October 16, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Department of the Navy, *Department of the Navy Unmanned Campaign Framework*, March 16, 2021, 37 pp. See also Megan Eckstein, "Navy, Marines Unveil How They Will Buy and Operate Future Pilotless Aircraft and Crewless Ships," *USNI News*, March 16, 2021; Gina Harkins, "Why You Should Trust Drone Ships and Unmanned Tech, According to the Navy," *Military.com*, March 16, 2021; Stew Magnuson, "Just In: Navy, Marine Corps Unmanned Framework Calls For 'Capabilities' Over Platforms," *National Defense*, March 16, 2021; Seapower Staff, "Navy, Marine Corps Release Unmanned Campaign Plan," *Seapower*, March 16, 2021; Jordan Wolman, "Looking to the Future of Combat and Competition, Navy Releases Much-Anticipated Campaign Plan on Unmanned Systems," *Inside Defense*, March 16, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For additional discussion, see CRS Report RL32665, *Navy Force Structure and Shipbuilding Plans: Background and Issues for Congress*, by Ronald O'Rourke.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For additional discussion, see CRS Report RL32665, *Navy Force Structure and Shipbuilding Plans: Background and Issues for Congress*, by Ronald O'Rourke.

# Acquisition Strategies and Enabling Technologies

# Acquisition Strategies Restructured Following Congressional Markups

In marking up the Navy's proposed FY2020-FY2022 budgets, the congressional defense committees expressed concerns over whether the Navy's acquisition strategies provided enough time to adequately develop concepts of operations and key technologies for these large UVs, particularly the LUSV, and included legislative provisions intended to address these concerns. In response to these markups, the Navy restructured its acquisition strategy for the LUSV program so as to comply with these legislative provisions and provide more time for developing operational concepts and key technologies before entering into serial production of deployable units. Land-based testing of propulsion equipment intended for the LUSV and MUSV forms a key element of the restructured acquisition strategy.

# Prototypes

The LUSV and MUSV programs are building on USV prototypes and other development work done by the DOD's Strategic Capabilities Office (SCO). SCO's effort to develop USVs is called Ghost Fleet, and its LUSV development effort within Ghost Fleet was called Overlord. A January 12, 2022, press report stated

Project Overlord, an experimental unmanned surface vehicle program, has completed its work and has been shut down by the Strategic Capabilities Office, a secretive research and development organization within the Pentagon, a Navy official revealed today.

Its conclusion is a significant milestone, marking a period of transition between the Pentagon's research and development enterprise and a complete entry into the Navy's fleet.

Overlord, which produced four vessels in total that will be transferred to the Navy's developmental squadrons, ended in December with a capstone demonstration, Capt. Pete Small, program manager for unmanned maritime systems, told attendees at the Surface Navy Association's national symposium.

"What did we gain out of that?" Small said referring to Project Overlord. "The first thing we gained is the platforms. We're getting those free of charge... It's something on the order of \$370 million" over three years invested by the SCO into unmanned vessels.

That includes not just the platforms, but the technology and capabilities held within the ships, such as the control software. With the SCO's activities complete, the Overlord vessels will be transferred to the Surface Warfare Development Squadron this month.<sup>7</sup>

**Figure 1** shows USV prototypes that have supported or are scheduled to support the LUSV and MUSV programs. **Figure 2** shows one of those prototypes, the Sea Hunter medium displacement USV.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Justin Katz, "SCO Ends Project Overlord, Shifts Unmanned Vssels to Navy," *Breaking Defense*, January 12, 2022. See also PEO Unmanned and Small Combatants (PEO USC) Public Affairs, "Strategic Capabilities Office Transfers Overlord Unmanned Surface Vessels to U.S. Navy," Naval Sea Systems Command, March 3, 2022.



Figure 1. Prototypes Supporting the LUSV and MUSV Programs

**Source:** Slide 4 of Navy briefing entitled "PMS 406 Maritime Unmanned Systems, CAPT Pete Small," briefing to Surface Navy Association (SNA) annual symposium, January 12, 2022.

## Surface Development Squadron

In May 2019, the Navy established a surface development squadron to help develop operational concepts for LUSVs and MUSVs. The squadron was initially to consist of a Zumwalt (DDG-1000) class destroyer and one Sea Hunter prototype. A second Sea Hunter prototype was reportedly to be added around the end of FY2020, and LUSVs and MUSVs would then be added as they become available.<sup>8</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See, for example, Megan Eckstein, "Navy Stands Up Surface Development Squadron for DDG-1000, Unmanned Experimentation," *USNI News*, May 22, 2019; David B. Larter, "With Billions Planned in Funding, the US Navy Charts Its Unmanned Future," *Defense News*, May 6, 2019. See also Michael Fabey, "USN Seeks Path for Unmanned Systems Operational Concepts," *Jane's Navy International*, May 16, 2019.



Figure 2. Sea Hunter Prototype Medium Displacement USV

**Source:** Photograph credited to U.S. Navy accompanying John Grady, "Panel: Unmanned Surface Vessels Will be Significant Part of Future U.S. Fleet," *USNI News*, April 15, 2019.

# LUSV, MUSV, and LXUUV Programs in Brief

## LUSV Program

### Overview

The Navy envisions LUSVs as being 200 feet to 300 feet in length and having full load displacements of 1,000 tons to 2,000 tons, which would make them the size of a corvette (i.e., a ship larger than a patrol craft and smaller than a frigate). The Navy wants LUSVs to be low-cost, high-endurance, reconfigurable ships with ample capacity for carrying various modular payloads—particularly anti-surface warfare (ASuW) and strike payloads, meaning principally anti-ship and land-attack missiles. Each LUSV could be equipped with a vertical launch system (VLS) with 16 to 32 missile-launching tubes.<sup>9</sup> Although referred to as UVs, LUSVs might be more accurately described as optionally or lightly manned ships, because they might sometimes have a few onboard crew members, particularly in the nearer term as the Navy works out LUSV enabling technologies and operational concepts.

The Navy's FY2024 budget submission programs the procurement of production LUSVs through the Navy's shipbuilding account, with the first LUSV to be procured in FY2025 at a cost of \$315.0 million, the next two in FY2026 at a combined cost of \$522.5 million (i.e., an average of about \$261.3 million each), the next three in FY2027 at a combined cost of \$722.7 million (i.e.,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Source: Navy FY2022 program briefing on LUSV and MUSV programs for CRS and CBO, July 14, 2021.

an average of \$240.9 million each), and another three in FY2028 at a combined cost of \$737.2 million (i.e., an average of about \$245.7 million each).<sup>10</sup>

# LUSV Prototypes

Figure 3, Figure 4, and Figure 5 show photographs of LUSV prototypes.



Figure 3. USV Prototypes

**Source:** Photograph from briefing slide entitled "UMS [unmanned maritime systems] at Sea," slide 4 of 5 (including cover slide) of Navy briefing entitled "PMS 406 Unmanned Maritime Systems, Program Overview, August 2021, prepared for Sea-Air-Space Exposition. The briefing slide states that the photograph shows "Overlord USVs Ranger & Nomad on the West Coast."





**Source:** Cropped version of photograph accompanying Mallory Shelbourne, "6 Companies Awarded Contracts to Start Work on Large Unmanned Surface Vehicle," *USNI News*, September 4, 2020. The caption to the photograph states in part: "A Ghost Fleet Overlord test vessel takes part in a capstone demonstration during the conclusion of Phase I of the program in September." The photo is credited to the U.S. Navy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Department of Defense, Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Budget Estimates, Navy, Justification Book Volume 2 of 5, Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy, March 2023, p. 25 (PDF page 93 of 1568).

Figure 5. LUSV Prototype



**Source:** Cropped version of photograph accompanying Mallory Shelbourne, "6 Companies Awarded Contracts to Start Work on Large Unmanned Surface Vehicle," *USNI News*, September 4, 2020. The caption to the photograph states in part: "A Ghost Fleet Overlord test vessel takes part in a capstone demonstration during the conclusion of Phase I of the program in September." The photo is credited to the U.S. Navy.

### Navy Description

The Navy states that

LUSVs will be capable of semiautonomous operation, with operators in-the-loop or onthe-loop. USV Command and Control (C2) will be maintained via an afloat element (i.e., embarked on a United States Navy (USN) combatant/other assigned afloat asset) or via an ashore element (C2 station ashore). While MUSV (PE 0605512N) and LUSV will logically share common Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) C2 systems to support fleet integration and operations and may share other autonomy and mechanical technologies (depending on acquisition approaches), they will be primarily differentiated by size and cost driven by payload capabilities, and capacities.

LUSV is a key enabler of the Navy's Distributed Maritime Operations (DMO) concept, which includes being able to forward deploy and team with individual manned combatants or augment battle groups. LUSV will complement the Navy's manned combatant force by delivering increased readiness, capability and needed capacity at lower procurement and sustainment costs and reduced risk to sailors. While unmanned surface vehicles are new additions to the fleet units, LUSV will combine robust and proven commercial vessel specifications with existing military payloads to rapidly and affordably expand the capacity and capability of the surface fleet.

The Large Unmanned Surface Vessel (LUSV) development is supported by research and development prototype vessels (Overlord prototype vessels already purchased) intended to demonstrate successful integration of government furnished Command, Control, Communications, Computers and Intelligence (C4I), combat systems, and the reliability of automated hull, mechanical, and electrical (HM&E) systems. The program leverages years of investment and full scale demonstration efforts in autonomy, endurance, command and control, payloads and testing from the Defense Advanced research Projects Agency (DARPA) Anti-Submarine Warfare Continuous Trail Unmanned Vessel (ACTUV), Office of Naval Research (ONR) Medium Displacement Unmanned Surface Vehicle (MDUSV)/Sea Hunter (FY 2017 to FY 2021), and Office of the Secretary of Defense Strategic Capabilities Office (OSD- SCO) Ghost Fleet Overlord Large USV experimentation effort (FY 2018 - FY 2021). The combination of fleet-ready C2 solutions

developed by the Ghost Fleet Overlord program and man-in-the-loop or man-on-the-loop control will reduce the risk of fleet integration of unmanned surface vehicles and allow autonomy and payload technologies to develop in parallel with fielding vehicles with standardized interfaces.<sup>11</sup>

#### The Navy states further that

The major goal for FY 2024 is maintaining the planned Detail Design and Construction (DD&C) for the initial production LUSV in FY 2025. The Navy instituted a comprehensive system engineering framework and supporting land and sea based prototyping plan, which will be completed prior to commencing the formal program of record and LUSV production....

The supporting land and sea based prototyping plan will use the four Overlord Prototype vessels (vessels procured in FY20 will be delivered in FY22 and FY23) and various land based testing facilities to mature enabling technologies and qualify representative machinery. In support of the updated developmental and prototyping plan, the Navy is aligning Detail Design and Construction for the initial production LUSVs with the risk reduction and qualification plans described in the program System Engineering Framework (Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)). In addition, the outcome of the Offensive Surface Fires Analysis of Alternatives (OSF AoA) is supporting the refinement of program requirements leading to the validation of a Capability Development Document, acquisition strategy, and timing for procurement. The Navy's new plan does not include procurement of any additional prototype vessels.

The LUSV will be capable of weeks-long deployments and trans-oceanic transits and operate aggregated with Carrier Strike Groups (CSGs), Amphibious Ready Groups (ARGs), Surface Action Groups (SAGs), and individual manned combatants. The LUSV will be capable of autonomous navigation, transit planning, and COLREGS-compliant<sup>12</sup> maneuvering and will be designed with automated propulsion, electrical generation, and support systems. LUSV missions will be conducted with operators in-the-loop (with continuous or near-continuous observation or control) or on-the-loop (autonomous operation that prompts operator action/intervention from sensory input or autonomous behaviors). LUSVs with integrated payload capability and prototypes employing nonorganic payloads will not be capable of autonomous payload engagement or execution of a complete detect-to-engage sequence. The vessel will be incapable of payload activation, deactivation, or engagement without the deliberate action of a remote, off-hull human operator in the command and control loop. The program will integrate current Navy combat systems programs of record that have been adapted to enable remote monitoring and operational control from an off-hull command and control point, and will not be equipped with components that would enable payload engagement from onboard the vessel. USV Command and Control (C2) will be maintained via an afloat element (i.e., embarked on a United States Navy (USN) combatant), or via the ashore element (C2 station ashore).

The LUSV program is continuing to execute a comprehensive land and sea-based prototyping strategy to develop and deliver incremental capability increases, demonstrate key autonomy and automation enablers, and improve reliability of representative machinery. The Overlord research and development prototype vessels support this strategy.... Early prototype vessels are enabling the Navy to accrue operational hours to gather data on autonomy, automation, and systems reliability, increase confidence in the man-machine team, and develop and refine unmanned concepts of operation (CONOPs)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Department of Defense, Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Budget Estimates, Navy, Justification Book Volume 2 of 5, Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy, March 2023, pp. 19-20 (PDF pages 87-88 of 1568).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> This is a reference to the October 1972 multilateral convention on international regulations for preventing collisions at sea, commonly known as the collision regulations (COLREGs) or the "rules of the road" (28 UST 3459; TIAS 8587), to which the United States and more than 150 countries are parties.

and tactics, techniques, and procedures (TTPs). The overarching LUSV development strategy views the purchase, fielding, and testing of the prototype USVs through the procurement of production USVs as a single developmental effort....

The Navy is also executing a comprehensive reliability plan with the intent to discover and implement reliability enhancements into USV machinery plants... as well as provide a means to qualify LUSV-representative machinery plants prior to award of the initial production LUSVs. The effort leveraged industry engagement initially started under the LUSV Studies Contract effort, assisting the Navy to determine reliability enhancements, improvements, and other potential machinery plant architectures designed to achieve LUSV operational and reliability requirements. Additionally, the Navy is executing a parallel effort to qualify the main engines for the prototype MUSV (same as on 3 of 4 Overlord prototype USVs), which concludes in FY 2023.

The Navy is continuing to test ancillary equipment and develop solutions for governmentfurnished engineering operations autonomy modules and machinery control systems at the Land Based Test Site at Naval Surface Warfare Center, Philadelphia.<sup>13</sup>

An April 5, 2023, press report stated:

The Navy will finish the requirements for its future fleet of Large Unmanned Surface Vessels this year, Chief of Naval Operations Adm. Mike Gilday told USNI News on Tuesday [April 4].

"The [capabilities development document] is being developed right now to deliver in 2023. That actually lays out the specific requirements for LUSV," Gilday said during a press conference at the Navy League's Sea Air Space symposium....

"We are definitely going to have a requirement for crew support on LUSV, or a smaller crew, to handle those things that are just not quite there with maneuvering critical situations," Rear Adm. Casey Moton, the program executive officer for unmanned and small combatants said at Sea Air Space.

"We are trying to push the boundaries like we are pushing industry ... we don't want there to be this crutch that we're just going to fall back on the crew, right, but at the end of the day, we're fairly close on the autonomy."<sup>14</sup>

### Analysis of Alternatives (AOA)

The Navy conducted an analysis of alternatives (AOA) to compare the cost-effectiveness of the LUSV to a range of alternative surface platforms, including modified naval vessel designs such as amphibious ships, expeditionary fast transport (EPF) ships, and expeditionary sea base (ESB) ships, modified commercial vessel designs such as container ships and bulk carriers, new naval vessel designs, and new commercial vessel designs.<sup>15</sup>

(continued...)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Department of Defense, Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Budget Estimates, Navy, Justification Book Volume 2 of 5, Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy, March 2023, pp. 21-22 (PDF pages 89-90 of 1568).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Sam LaGrone, "CNO: Navy to Finalize Large Unmanned Surface Vessel Requirements Later This Year," USNI News, April 5, 2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See, for example, Megan Eckstein, "US Navy Considers Alternatives to Unmanned Boats with Missiles," *Defense News*, March 22, 2022. The Navy stated in 2021 that

As directed in the FY 2021 National Defense Authorization Act [Section 227(e) of H.R. 6395/P.L. 116-283 of January 1, 2021], the Navy is conducting a Distributed Offensive Surface Fires AoA [analysis of alternatives] to compare the currently planned large unmanned surface vessel (LUSV) with an integrated missile launcher payload against a broad range of alternative surface platforms and capabilities to determine the most appropriate vessel to deliver additional missile capability and

### September 4, 2020, Contract Awards

On September 4, 2020, DOD announced the following six contract awards for industry studies on the LUSV:

Huntington Ingalls Inc., Pascagoula, Mississippi (N00024-20-C-6319); Lockheed Martin Corp., Baltimore, Maryland (N00024-20-C-6320); Bollinger Shipyards Lockport LLC, Lockport, Louisiana (N00024-20-C-6316); Marinette Marine Corp., Marinette, Wisconsin (N00024-20-C-6317); Gibbs & Cox Inc., Arlington, Virginia (N0002420C6318); and Austal USA LLC, Mobile, Alabama (N00024-20-C-6315), are each being awarded a firm-fixed price contract for studies of a Large Unmanned Surface Vessel with a combined value across all awards of \$41,985,112.

Each contract includes an option for engineering support, that if exercised, would bring the cumulative value for all awards to \$59,476,146.

-The contract awarded to Huntington Ingalls Inc. [HII] is \$7,000,000;

-the contract awarded to Lockheed Martin Corp. is \$6,999,978;

-the contract awarded to Bollinger Shipyards Lockport LLC, is \$6,996,832;

-the contract awarded to Marinette Marine Corp. is \$6,999,783;

-the contract awarded to Gibbs & Cox Inc. is \$6,989,499; and

-the contract awarded to Austal USA LLC is \$6,999,020.

Work will be performed in various locations in the contiguous U.S. in accordance with each contract and is expected to be complete by August 2021, and if option(s) are exercised, work is expected to be complete by May 2022.

Fiscal 2020 research, development, test and evaluation (Navy) funds in the amount \$41,985,112 will be obligated at time of award and will not expire at the end of the current fiscal year.

These contracts were competitively procured via Federal Business Opportunities (now beta.SAM.gov) with eight offers received. The Naval Sea Systems Command, Washington, D.C., is the contracting activity.<sup>16</sup>

A September 4, 2020, press report about the contract awards stated

"These contracts were established in order to refine specifications and requirements for a Large Unmanned Surface Vessel and conduct reliability studies informed by industry partners with potential solutions prior to release of a Detail Design and Construction contract," Navy spokesman Capt. Danny Hernandez told USNI News in a statement.

capacity to the surface force.

<sup>(</sup>Statement of Frederick J. Stefany, Acting Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development and Acquisition (ASN (RD&A)) and Vice Admiral James W. Kilby, Deputy Chief of Naval Operations, Warfighting Requirements and Capabilities (OPNAV N9) and Lieutenant General Eric M. Smith, Deputy Commandant, Combat Development and Integration, Commanding General, Marine Corps Combat Development Command, before the Subcommittee on Seapower of the Senate Armed Services Committee on Department of the Navy Fiscal Year 2022 Budget Request for Seapower, June 8, 2021, p. 14.)

See also Jason Sherman, "Navy Considering Alternatives to LUSV, Packing Amphibs, Commercial Designs More with Long-Range Missiles," *Inside Defense*, April 9, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Department of Defense, "Contracts For Sept. 4, 2020," accessed September 8, 2020. The announcement is posted as a single, unbroken paragraph. In reprinting the text of the announcement, CRS broke the announcement into the smaller paragraphs shown here to make the announcement easier to read.

"The studies effort is designed to provide robust collaboration with government and industry to assist in maturation of platform specifications, and ensure achievable technical requirements are in place for a separate LUSV DD&C competition."...

"The LUSV studies will support efforts that facilitate requirements refinement, development of an affordable and effective platform; provide opportunities to continue maturing the performance specifications and conduct analysis of alternative design approaches; facilitate reliability improvements and plans for government-furnished equipment and mechanical and electrical systems; and support development of cost reduction and other affordability initiatives," Hernandez said.<sup>17</sup>

### July 29, 2022, Contract Modifications

On July 29, 2022, the Navy awarded modifications to the six contracts discussed above, as follows:

Huntington Ingalls Inc., Pascagoula, Mississippi, is awarded a \$13,071,106 firm-fixedprice modification to previously awarded contract N00024-20-C-6319 for continued studies of a large unmanned surface vessel. This contract modification includes options which, if exercised, would bring the cumulative value of this contract modification to \$ 15,071,106. Work will be performed in Pascagoula, Mississippi, and is expected to be completed by September 2024. If all options are exercised, work will continue through September 2024....

Lockheed Martin Corp., Baltimore, Maryland, is awarded an \$11,320,904 firm-fixed-price modification to previously awarded contract N00024-20-C-6320 for continued studies of a large unmanned surface vessel. This contract modification includes options which, if exercised, would bring the cumulative value of this contract modification to \$15,070,904. Work will be performed in Moorestown New Jersey, and is expected to be completed by September 2024. If all options are exercised, work will continue through September 2024....

Marinette Marine Corp., Marinette, Wisconsin, is awarded a \$10,212,620 firm-fixed-price modification to previously awarded contract N00024-20-C-6317 for continued studies of a large unmanned surface vessel. Work will be performed in Marinette, Wisconsin, and is expected to be completed by September 2024....

Bollinger Shipyards Lockport LLC, Lockport, Louisiana, is awarded a \$9,428,770 firmfixed-price modification to previously awarded contract N00024-20-C-6316 for continued studies of a large unmanned surface vessel. This contract modification includes options which, if exercised, would bring the cumulative value of this contract modification to \$13,958,770. Work will be performed in Lockport, Louisiana, and is expected to be completed by September 2024. If all options are exercised, work will continue through September 2024....

Austal USA LLC, Mobile, Alabama, is awarded a \$9,115,310 firm-fixed-price modification to previously awarded contract N00024-20-C-6315 for continued studies of a large unmanned surface vessel. This contract modification includes options which, if exercised, would bring the cumulative value of this contract modification to \$13,285,309. Work will be performed in Mobile, Alabama, and is expected to be completed by September 2024. If all options are exercised, work will continue through September, 2024....

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Mallory Shelbourne, "6 Companies Awarded Contracts to Start Work on Large Unmanned Surface Vehicle," *USNI News*, September 4, 2020. See also Paul McLeary, "Navy Awards Study Contracts On Large Unmanned Ship—As Congress Watches Closely," *Breaking Defense*, September 4, 2020.

Gibbs & Cox Inc., Arlington, Virginia, is awarded an \$8,981,231 firm-fixed-price modification to previously awarded contract N00024-20-C-6318 for continued studies of a large unmanned surface vessel. This contract modification includes options which, if exercised, would bring the cumulative value of this contract modification to \$15,071,231. Work will be performed in Arlington, Virginia, and is expected to be completed by September 2024.<sup>18</sup>

### **MUSV** Program

### Overview

The Navy defines MUSVs as being 45 feet to 190 feet long, with displacements of roughly 500 tons, which would make them the size of a patrol craft. The Navy wants MUSVs, like LUSVs, to be low-cost, high-endurance, reconfigurable ships that can accommodate various payloads. Initial payloads for MUSVs are to be intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) payloads and electronic warfare (EW) systems. The Navy is pursuing the MUSV program as a rapid prototyping effort under what is known as Section 804 middle tier acquisition authority.<sup>19</sup>

### Navy Description

The Navy states that

[The] Medium Unmanned Surface Vehicle (MUSV) is defined as having a reconfigurable mission capability which is accomplished via modular payloads with an initial capability to support Battlespace Awareness through supporting Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance, and Targeting (ISR&T), Counter-ISR&T, and Information Operations (IO) mission areas.

MUSVs provide affordable, high endurance, reconfigurable ships able to accommodate various payloads for unmanned missions and augment the Navy's manned surface force. MUSVs will be capable of semi-autonomous operation, with operators' in-the-loop or on-the-loop. USV Command and Control (C2) will be maintained via an afloat element (i.e., embarked on a United States Navy (USN) combatant/other assigned afloat asset) or via an ashore element (C2 station ashore).

While unmanned surface vehicles are new additions to fleet units, MUSV is intended to combine robust and proven commercial vessel specifications with existing military payloads to rapidly and affordably expand the capacity and capability of the surface fleet. The MUSV program leverages years of investment and full scale demonstration efforts in autonomy, endurance, command and control, payloads, and testing from the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) Anti-Submarine Warfare Continuous Trail Unmanned Vessel (ACTUV), Office of Naval Research (ONR) Medium Displacement Unmanned Surface Vehicle (MDUSV)/Sea Hunter (FY 2017 to FY 2021), and Office of the Secretary of Defense Strategic Capabilities Office (OSD SCO) Ghost Fleet Overlord Large USV experimentation effort (FY 2018 to FY 2021). The combination of fleet-ready C2 solutions developed by the Ghost Fleet Overlord program and initial man-in-the-loop or man-on-the-loop control will reduce the risk of fleet integration of unmanned surface

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Department of Defense, "Contracts For July 29, 2022," accessed August 29, 2022. See also Rich Abott, "Navy Continues Six LUSV Study Contracts," *Defense Daily*, August 5, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> This is a reference to Section 804 of the FY2016 National Defense Authorization Act (S. 1356/P.L. 114-92 of November 25, 2015), which provided rapid prototyping authority. For more on this authority, see "Middle Tier Acquisition (Section 804)," MITRE, undated, accessed May 11, 2022, at https://aida.mitre.org/middle-tier/; and "Acquisition Process, Middle Tier Acquisition (Section 804)," AcqNotes, updated February 13, 2022, accessed May 11, 2022, at http://acqnotes.com/acqnote/acquisitions/middle-tier-acquisitions.

vehicles and allow autonomy and payload technologies to develop in parallel with fielding vehicles with standardized interfaces.<sup>20</sup>

#### The Navy states further that

MUSVs will be capable of weeks-long deployments and trans-oceanic transits, and operate aggregated with Carrier Strike Groups (CSGs) and Surface Action Groups (SAGs), as well as have the ability to deploy independently. The MUSV will be a key enabler of the Navy's Distributed Maritime Operations (DMO) concept.

In FY 2020, the Navy conducted a full and open competition for a MUSV prototype, conducting source selection activities [during] Q1-Q3 [i.e., the first quarter to the third quarter of] of FY20. In July 2020, the Navy announced they had awarded a Detail Design & Fabrication (DD&F) contract to L3 Harris for the delivery of the first MUSV prototype for \$35M. The contract contains options for up to 8 additional MUSVs (9 total) for a total contract price of \$281M. L3 Harris will be the system integrator, while also supplying the autonomy and perception systems. Subcontractors Gibbs & Cox and Incat Crowther will provide vessel design and modification services, while the vessel will be produced by Swiftships Shipyard. All work will be performed in various sites along the Louisiana Gulf Coast.<sup>21</sup>

#### The Navy states further that

MUSV has been designated as a Rapid Prototyping Program designation and follows a Middle Tier Acquisition approach per Section 804 of the Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA), as amended in FY 2017 NDAA (codified at 10 U.S.C. sub sec 2302 note). Required capabilities were codified in a Top Level Requirements (TLR) document approved by the OPNAV Director of Surface Warfare in FY 2019. While there are no MUSV funded [for procurement] in the FY 2024-FY 2028 FYDP, the structure of the contract awarded to L3 Harris in July 2020 allows for options to be added should funding become available. Delivery of the initial [MUSV] prototype is planned in Q4 [i.e., the fourth quarter of] FY 2024 followed by Developmental and Operational Testing. The prototyping efforts with the FY 2019 MUSV will inform procurement of additional MUSV units and transition to an ACAT program with formalized requirements through a Capability Development Document and procurement funding as part of a decision in future budgets.<sup>22</sup>

### **Contract** Award

On July 13, 2020, the Navy announced that it had awarded "a \$34,999,948 contract to L3[Harris] Technologies, Inc. for the development of a single Medium Unmanned Surface Vehicle (MUSV) prototype, with options to procure up to eight additional MUSVs. The award follows a full and open competitive procurement process. Funding is in place on this contract for the initial prototype. With all options exercised, the contract is valued at \$281,435,446 if additional funding is provided in future budget years."<sup>23</sup> The Navy reportedly stated that there were five competitors

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Department of Defense, Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Budget Estimates, Navy, Justification Book Volume 2 of 5, Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy, March 2023, p. 1381 (PDF page 1449 of 1568).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Department of Defense, Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Budget Estimates, Navy, Justification Book Volume 2 of 5, Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy, March 2023, p. 1383 (PDF page 1451 of 1568).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Department of Defense, Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Budget Estimates, Navy, Justification Book Volume 2 of 5, Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy, March 2023, p. 1388 (PDF page 1456 of 1568).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> PEO Unmanned and Small Combatants Public Affairs, "Navy Awards Contract for Medium Unmanned Surface Vehicle Prototype," Naval Sea Systems Command, July 13, 2020.

for the contract, but did not identify the other four.<sup>24</sup> **Figure 6** shows a rendering of L3Harris's design concept. L3Harris states that

will integrate the company's ASView<sup>TM</sup> autonomy technology into a purpose-built 195foot commercially derived vehicle from a facility along the Gulf Coast of Louisiana. The MUSV will provide intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance to the fleet while maneuvering autonomously and complying with international Collision Regulations, even in operational environments....

L3Harris will be the systems integrator and provide the mission autonomy and perception technology as the prime contractor on the program. The program team includes Gibbs & Cox and Incat Crowther who will provide the ship design and Swiftships will complete the construction of the vehicle.<sup>25</sup>



### Figure 6. Rendering of L3Harris Design Concept for MUSV

**Source:** L3Harris Technologies, "L3Harris Technologies Awarded Medium Unmanned Surface Vehicle Program from US Navy," August 18, 2020. See also Richard R. Burgess, "Navy's Medium USV to Be Based on Commercial Vehicle," *Seapower*, August 19, 2020.

### Press Reports

A January 12, 2023, press report states

The U.S. Navy is firming up plans for the Medium Unmanned Surface Vessel, after previously questioning the need or utility of the system....

Chief of Naval Operations Adm. Mike Gilday previously [in April 2022] said Task Force 59's success using small USVs to sense the battlespace and create a common operating picture for the U.S. Navy and its partners "has changed my thinking on the direction of unmanned." If small USVs can do this ISR mission more cheaply, he said, "it will cause us to consider numbers and what potential payloads they're going to have" for medium ones.

After experimentation last year, including four medium and large USV prototypes participating in the Rim of the Pacific exercise in Hawaii, Rear Adm. Fred Pyle, who leads

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Rich Abott, "L3Harris Wins \$35 Million MUSV Prototype Contract," *Defense Daily*, July 13, 2020. See also Sam LaGrone, "Navy Awards Contract for First Vessel In Its Family of Unmanned Surface Vehicles," *USNI News*, July 14 (updated July 15), 2020; Paul McLeary, "Navy Inks Deal For New Unmanned Fleet," *Breaking Defense*, July 13, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> L3Harris Technologies, "L3Harris Technologies Awarded Medium Unmanned Surface Vehicle Program from US Navy," August 18, 2020. See also Audrey Decker, "First MUSV Platform Will Feature Broad Payload Area," *Inside Defense*, January 20, 2022.

surface warfare on the CNO's staff, said "we are very excited about the prospects of what MUSV can bring."...

He told Defense News on Jan. 11 at the annual Surface Navy Association conference that the MUSV conducting cyber, surveillance and targeting missions proved "advantageous."<sup>26</sup>

#### An April 28, 2022, press report states

The Navy is rethinking its planned portfolio of unmanned surface vehicles following testing of a variety of USVs in the Middle East, the service's top officer said on Thursday[April 28]....

On Thursday, Chief of Naval Operations Adm. Mike Gilday said the service might be rethinking buying the MUSV after a series of exercises and experiments in U.S. 5<sup>th</sup> Fleet with Combined Task Force 59, which stood up in September.

"I don't know if we'll have a medium unmanned or not. The stuff that [Vice Adm. Brad] Cooper's doing right now with CTF [combined task force] 59—using small unmanned [vehicles] on the scene in the air to sense the environment ... in order to yield a common operational picture for allies and partners, as well as 5<sup>th</sup> Fleet headquarters, has changed my thinking on the direction of unmanned," Gilday said during a Thursday U.S. Naval Institute-CSIS Maritime Security Dialogue.

"We are learning so fast and fielding these capabilities out to the fleet, or potentially fielding them quickly inside the [Future Years Defense Plan], we may be able to close capability gaps with small expendable unmanned [vehicles] off of any platform," Gilday said, "rather than thinking that we have to build, you know, a large [USV]. There may be room for that. I'm not saying that we don't need an MUSV. I'm saying it'll cause us to consider numbers [of such platforms that may be needed]."...

... the Navy might be able to get the sensor capability it wanted from MUSV through fused data from networked commercial systems to get an accurate maritime awareness picture more affordably. The 5<sup>th</sup> fleet started experimenting late last year with a 23-foot Saildrone Explorer out of Jordan and MARTAC's Mantas T12 USV out of Bahrain. Those ongoing deployments are continuing to refine the Navy's concepts for unmanned systems.<sup>27</sup>

Another April 28, 2022, press report similarly stated

Chief of Naval Operations Adm. Michael Gilday today cast doubt on whether the Medium Unmanned Surface Vessel will have a place in the service's fleet in the near future, citing work done by US 5<sup>th</sup> Fleet as having "changed my thinking on the direction of unmanned" ships.

During a virtual event at the US Naval Institute and co-hosted by Center for Strategic and International Studies, Gilday was discussing what platforms and capabilities the service is developing for the 2030s and beyond.

"Flight III DDGs [destroyers] will pave the way" for surface fleet capabilities, he said. "2030 is when we're looking at DDG(X)... By that time, I think we'll be in a better place with [the Large Unmanned Surface Vessel]. I don't know if we'll have a medium unmanned [surface vessel] or not."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Megan Eckstein, "US Navy More Certain of Role for Medium Surface Drones Following Tests," *Defense News*, January 12, 2023.

See also Rich Abott, "Navy Experiments Put MUSVs On Firmer Setting," Defense Daily, January 18, 2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Sam LaGrone, "Navy Rethinking Medium Unmanned Surface Vehicle After Middle East Tests, Says CNO Gilday," USNI News, April 28, 2022.

The Navy's top admiral said the work done by Vice Adm. Brad Cooper, US 5<sup>th</sup> Fleet chief, has led him to believe the service may be able to "close capability gaps with small expendable unmanned" vessels off of any platform. Cooper leads Task Force 59, a special panel inside the Navy, designed specifically to experiment with and test unmanned platforms.

Gilday followed those remarks with a hedge, however, suggesting the program's fate is not predetermined.

"There may be room for [larger unmanned platforms]," he added. "I'm not saying we don't need an MUSV. I'm saying that it'll cause us to consider numbers [of such platforms that may be needed] and what potential payloads they're going to have."<sup>28</sup>

### XLUUV Program

### Overview

The XLUUV program, also known as the Orca program, was established to address a Joint Emergent Operational Need (JEON). The Navy defines XLUUVs as UUVs with a diameter of more than 84 inches, meaning that XLUUVs are to be too large to be launched from a manned Navy submarine.<sup>29</sup> Consequently, XLUUVs instead will transported to a forward operating port and then launched from a pier. The Department of the Navy's March 16, 2021, unmanned campaign framework document states that the XLUUV will be designed "to accommodate a variety of large payloads…"<sup>30</sup> The Navy testified on March 18, 2021, that mines will be the initial payload for XLUUVs.<sup>31</sup> More specifically, the Navy wants to use XLUUVs to, among other things, covertly deploy the Hammerhead mine, a planned mine that would be tethered to the seabed and armed with an antisubmarine torpedo, broadly similar to the Navy's Cold War-era CAPTOR (encapsulated torpedo) mine.<sup>32</sup>

The first five XLUUVs were funded in FY2019 through the Navy's research and development appropriation account. The Navy conducted a competition for the design of the XLUUV, and announced on February 13, 2019, that it had selected Boeing to fabricate, test, and deliver the first four Orca XLUUVs and associated support elements.<sup>33</sup> (The other bidder was a team led by Lockheed Martin.) On March 27, 2019, the Navy announced that the award to Boeing had been expanded to include the fifth Orca.<sup>34</sup> An additional XLUUV test and training asset has also been procured. Boeing has partnered with the Technical Solutions division of Huntington Ingalls

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Justin Katz, "Gilday: 'I Don't Know' If Navy's Future Fleet Will Include Medium USVs," *Breaking Defense*, April 28, 2022. See also Rich Abott, "CNO Unsure If Navy Will Need MUSVs, Explains Retiring Ground-Based Growlers," *Defense Daily*, April 28, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Navy submarines equipped with large-diameter vertical launch tubes can launch missiles or other payloads with diameters of up to about 83 inches.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Department of the Navy, Department of the Navy Unmanned Campaign Framework, March 16, 2021, p. 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Richard R. Burgess, "Navy's Orca XLUUV to Have Mine-Laying Mission, Adm. Kilby Says," *Seapower*, March 18, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> For a discussion of the Hammerhead mine, see, for example, David Hambling, "With Hammerhead Mine, U.S. Navy Plots New Style Of Warfare To Tip Balance In South China Sea," *Forbes*, October 22, 2020. See also Kyle Mizokami, "The Navy's 'Ghost Fleet' of Robo-Subs Will Drop Deadly Surprises for Enemies," *Popular Mechanics*, June 2, 2022; Rich Abott, "Navy Orca XLUUV To Carry 34-Foot Payload Module, Buying 6<sup>th</sup> Test Vessel," *Defense Daily*, May 31, 2022; Dan Parsons, "Navy's 85-Foot Orca Unmanned Submarine Will Be A Minelayer First," *The Drive*, May 27, 21022; Audrey Decker, "Navy's XLUUV Will Fill 'Specific Mission' in INDOPACOM," *Inside Defense*, November 22, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Department of Defense, Contracts for Feb. 13, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Department of Defense, *Contracts for March* 27, 2019.

Industries (HII) to build Orca XLUUVs.<sup>35</sup> (Another division of HII—Newport News Shipbuilding (NNS) of Newport News, VA—is one of the Navy's two submarine builders.)

The Navy's FY2024 budget submission programs the procurement of additional XLUUVs through the Other Procurement, Navy (OPN) account, with the one XLUUV to be procured in FY2026 at a cost of \$113.3 million, another one in FY2027 at a cost of \$115.6 million, and another one in FY2028 at a cost of \$117.9 million.<sup>36</sup>

### Navy Description

The Navy states that

The Orca Extra Large Unmanned Undersea Vehicle (XLUUV) is the Navy's Extra Large UUV effort as part of the Family of UUVs. The Orca XLUUV effort is established to address a Joint Emergent Operational Need (JEON). Orca XLUUV is a multi-phased accelerated acquisition effort to rapidly deliver capability to the Fleet. Phase 1 was a competitively sourced design effort. Phase 2 down selected to one of the Phase 1 vendors in FY 2019 for fabrication and testing of the vehicle and support elements. Testing and delivery of the vehicles and support elements has been delayed to FY23-24 due to contractor challenges and supplier issues. The Navy is working with Boeing to mitigate schedule delays and execute risk reduction testing beginning in FY23 through the addition of a designated test and training asset (Vehicle 0). The Navy is updating facilities at the Naval Base Ventura County site for testing, training, and work-ups, in coordination with large unmanned surface vessel testing for cost efficiencies. Fabrication awards of additional Orca XLUUV systems are planned for FY26 and out, gradually ramping up quantities in future fiscal years, depending on the progress from the first five systems. XLUUV will have a modular payload bay, with defined interfaces that current and future payloads must adhere to for employment from the vehicle. The Orca XLUUV effort will integrate the currently required payload, and potential future payloads will be developed, evaluated, and preliminarily integrated leveraging the Core Technologies Program Element 0604029N. Additional XLUUV technologies/capabilities risk reduction will occur in parallel, leveraging the competitive Industrial base.<sup>37</sup>

The Navy states further that

Orca XLUUV is a multi-phased accelerated acquisition effort using USC Sec. 2358 authorities to rapidly deliver capability to the Fleet. Phase 1 was a competitively sourced design effort. Two design contracts were awarded to Industry in FY 2017. Phase 2 commenced with a down select in FY 2019 to one of the Phase 1 vendors for fabrication and testing of the vehicle and support elements. Five (5) Orca XLUUV operationally relevant prototype systems (vehicles, mobile C2 equipment, and support equipment) are being fabricated for demonstration and use by the Fleet. An additional test and training asset (Vehicle 0) will be delivered to support early learning, prototyping, and in-water risk reduction testing. Additional XLUUV technologies/capabilities risk reduction will occur in parallel, leveraging the competitive Industrial base. Fabrication and award of additional Orca XLUUV systems is planned to be no earlier than FY26. Transition to an Acquisition Category (ACAT) Program and production may occur as early as FY26, pending successful completion of Government testing. XLUUV will have a modular payload bay with defined interfaces that current and future payloads must adhere to for employment from the vehicle.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See, for example, Hugh Lessig, "Shipbuilder Lends a Hand with Rise of Robot Submarines," *Defense News*, May 26, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Department of Defense, Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Budget Estimates, Navy, Justification Book Volume 2 of 5, Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy, March 2023, p. 1278 (PDF page 1346 of 1568).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Department of Defense, Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Budget Estimates, Navy, Justification Book Volume 2 of 5, Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy, March 2023, p. 1273 (PDF page 1341 of 1568).

The Hammerhead payload is the next payload for integration with Orca XLUUV. Other potential future payloads, advanced energy solutions, and enhanced autonomy and command and control will be developed and evaluated under the Core Technologies PE 0604029N, and/or by other Science and technology organizations, and integrated into Orca XLUUV when ready. The Navy is concurrently updating facilities at the Naval Base Ventura County site for XLUUV testing, training, and work-ups, in coordination with large unmanned surface vessel testing for cost efficiencies. In parallel, the Navy is working through the process to establish future far-forward basing locations. Following successful Government testing, training, and work-ups at the Naval Base Ventura County site, the Navy will establish in-theater forward operational capability.<sup>38</sup>

## **Boeing Echo Voyager**

Boeing's Orca XLUUV design will be informed by (but will differ in certain respects from) the design of Boeing's Echo Voyager UUV (**Figure 7**, **Figure 8**, and **Figure 9**).<sup>39</sup> Echo Voyager is roughly the size of a subway car—it is 51 feet long and has a rectangular cross section of 8.5 feet by 8.5 feet, a weight in the air of 50 tons, and a range of up to 6,500 nautical miles. It can accommodate a modular payload section up to 34 feet in length, increasing its length to as much as 85 feet. A 34-foot modular payload section provides about 2,000 cubic feet of internal payload volume; a shorter (14-foot) section provides about 900 cubic feet. Echo Voyager can also accommodate external payloads.<sup>40</sup> The Navy states that the XLUUV

is based off Boeing's Echo Voyager, but incorporates significant changes to support military mission requirements. This has resulted in challenges in establishing the manufacturing process, building up the industrial base, and aligning material purchases to produce the first group of prototype vehicles. Orca represents the leading edge of autonomous maritime vehicle technology and will have extended range and a reconfigurable, modular payload bay to support multiple payloads and a variety of missions.<sup>41</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Department of Defense, Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Budget Estimates, Navy, Justification Book Volume 2 of 5, Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy, March 2023, p. 1278 (PDF page 1346 of 1568).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> See, for example, Hugh Lessig, "Shipbuilder Lends a Hand with Rise of Robot Submarines," *Defense News*, May 26, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Source: Boeing product sheet on Echo Voyager, accessed May 31, 2019, at https://www.boeing.com/resources/ boeingdotcom/defense/autonomous-systems/echo-voyager/echo\_voyager\_product\_sheet.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Statement of Fredrick J. Stefany, Acting Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development and Acquisition (ASN [RD&A]) and Vice Admiral James W. Kilby, Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for Warfare Systems and Lieutenant General Eric M. Smith, Deputy Commandant Combat Development and Integration & Commanding General, Marine Corps Combat Development Command, before the House Armed Services Committee Subcommittee on Seapower and Projection Forces, on Department of the Navy Unmanned Systems, March 18, 2021, p. 12.



## Figure 7. Boeing Echo Voyager UUV

**Source:** Boeing photograph posted at https://www.boeing.com/defense/autonomous-systems/echo-voyager/index.page#/gallery.



# Figure 8. Boeing Echo Voyager UUV

**Source:** Boeing photograph posted at https://www.boeing.com/defense/autonomous-systems/echo-voyager/index.page#/gallery.



Figure 9. Boeing Echo Voyager UUV

**Source:** Navy briefing entitled "Unmanned Maritime Systems," Howard Berkof, Deputy Program Manager, Unmanned Maritime Systems, PMS 406, Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited, October 23, 2019, slide 5.

An April 4, 2023, press report stated:

The Navy in March [began] underwater besting of its first extra-large unmanned underwater vehicle (XLUUV), which will help reduce risk on the first five prototype vessels, a Navy official said on Tuesday [April 4].

"Initial results are good," Capt. Scott Searles, program manager of the Unmanned Maritime Systems Program Office within the Program Executive Office for Unmanned and Small Combatants, said during the Navy League's Sea-Air-Space conference here [National Harbor, MD].<sup>42</sup>

# **Issues for Congress**

The Navy's proposals for developing and procuring the large UVs covered in this report pose a number of oversight issues for Congress, including those discussed below.

# Analytical Basis for Fleet Architecture Including Large UVs

One potential oversight issue for Congress concerns the analytical basis for the Navy's desire to shift to a more distributed fleet architecture that includes large UVs. Potential oversight questions for Congress include the following:

- What analyses led to the Navy's decision to shift toward a more distributed architecture that includes large UVs?
- What did these analyses reveal about the comparative costs, capabilities, and risks of more distributed architectures that do not include large UVs?
- How well developed and tested are the operational concepts associated with the various options for more distributed architectures that have been analyzed?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Cal Biesecker, "Navy Begins Underwater Testing Of First Orca XLUUV," *Defense Daily*, April 4, 2023. See also Laura Heckmann, "Navy's First 'Extra' Large Unmanned Sub to Go Underwater 'Very Soon," *National Defense*, January 30, 2023.

As discussed earlier, the Navy conducted an analysis of alternatives (AOA), to compare the costeffectiveness of the LUSV to a range of alternative surface platforms, including modified naval vessel designs such as amphibious ships, expeditionary fast transport (EPF) ships, and expeditionary sea base (ESB) ships, modified commercial vessel designs such as container ships and bulk carriers, new naval vessel designs, and new commercial vessel designs.

# **Concept of Operations (CONOPS)**

### Overview

Another potential oversight issue for Congress concerns the Navy's concept of operations (CONOPS) for these large UVs, meaning the Navy's understanding at a detailed level of how it will operate and support these UVs in conjunction with manned Navy ships in both combat operations and at other times, and consequently how, exactly, these UVs will fit into the Navy's overall force structure and operations.

## **December 2021 Blog Posts**

Some observers have raised questions regarding the Navy's CONOPs for operating and supporting large UVs, particularly large USVs. A December 10, 2021, blog post, for example, states

The U.S. Navy is moving forward with its plans for a more distributed fleet in which intelligent unmanned or autonomous platforms will play a significant role. Unfortunately, many of the details about these novel systems are left to the imagination—often a poor substitute for filling in the blanks. It may be that the blanks cannot be satisfactorily filled when describing the infrastructure for sustaining these unmanned systems. Rightly or wrongly, the Navy focuses most of its discussion on the direct offensive contributions of unmanned systems for combat with major powers on warfighting impact and metrics such as effects on targets, capacity, and tempo. Less discussion focuses on the indirect sustainment tasks....

Our concern ... is with offboard air, surface, and subsurface unmanned vehicles that will operate with some degree of autonomy. It matters logistically whether these offboard systems are expendable or recoverable because recoverable systems must not only be launched, but also retrieved, refueled (or recharged), and maintained during the potentially long pre-combat period....

... most of the Navy's discussions are couched in terms of operations after bullets have started flying, omitting details about what happens during the days, weeks, and months before combat begins. Because of that, there is little discussion of the infrastructure to support those pre-combat operations—infrastructure that would seem to include "motherships" and overseas land support bases for the unmanned systems if the Navy is employing tens to hundreds of these systems. Explanations from the Navy as to how this will happen are sparse, and one might be excused for thinking there is no significant cost or preparation required at all.

This leads to a fundamental tradeoff without a good solution. If the Navy wants to develop small quantities of intelligent, precision offensive unmanned systems, then those systems should be regarded as valuable and require their own (costly) defensive measures. Otherwise they become effectively expendable. Conversely, if the Navy wants to emphasize quantity over quality with inexpensive mass (such as "swarms"), it needs to recognize that there is great advantage to the side that owns the nearby land where even larger quantities of such unmanned systems can be generated. In swarm warfare, quantity trumps quality. Either way, there is an infrastructure tail that cannot be ignored....

The Navy can sustain small numbers of unmanned systems today. If that is the future that the Navy envisions, with only small quantities of systems that may be superb in quality and capability, it should say so. But the illusion created by the Navy's strategy, whether intentional or not, is that the number of offboard unmanned systems in use will not be small. Furthermore, unless the offboard systems have exceedingly long range and endurance, launching and recovering them must be done with some proximity to their operational locations, presumably at risk of attack from the adversary.

This begs the question: What part of the Navy force structure and budget will be used for large-scale sustainment of unmanned systems at sea? There are some possibilities, but none look particularly attractive....

Unmanned or autonomous platforms have some roles to play (especially in surveillance and reconnaissance), but the quantities that are required for naval operations must be married with a sustainment plan—and maybe a shipbuilding plan—to support that level of operations both during combat and in the days, weeks, and months before combat operations ratchet up. A meaningful concept of operations must address this.<sup>43</sup>

A December 28, 2021, blog post states

Two subjects are nearly inescapable in commentary about the U.S. Navy today. The first is the much-maligned, 15-year saga of the littoral combat ship (LCS), which has provided an unfortunate case study for interest group capture, misalignment of ends and means, cost overruns, and engineering failures.

The second subject is more hopeful: proposals for unmanned surface vessels that will deliver cost savings and increase the size of the fleet....

Very little commentary, however, explicitly connects the two subjects. This is unfortunate because, while the LCS is not unmanned, it is further on the unmanned spectrum than any other U.S. Navy vessel in operational use, making it the closest real-world test case for future surface fleet architecture....

... replacing sailors [on the LCS] with technology reduced maintenance at the operator level, but increased it at the regional maintenance center and original equipment manufacturer levels. This raised costs overall, meaning fewer platforms could be purchased. Second, minimal manning made platforms less resilient. Fewer sailors meant fewer problems spotted, and less capacity to fix them while underway. Hence, if fielded in anything approximating combat conditions, the LCS would not remain effective for long. We argue that these two challenges are as—if not more—likely to occur on unmanned ships as they did on minimally manned ones....

Through direct experience operating their equipment while underway, LCS sailors have developed "tribal knowledge" of their systems. They have also acquired onsite knowledge by observing contractors and regional maintenance center engineers. As sailors transition to shore tours at regional maintenance facilities and training groups, designing programs to train the next generation of LCS sailors, the Navy achieves some self-sufficiency, an experiential economy of scale that can help recoup the costs of overreliance on original equipment manufacturers and contractors.

Yet it is difficult to see how this optimistic scenario could occur with fully unmanned platforms. First, with no sailors aboard, the underway experimentation and practice that produced tribal knowledge in the LCS case can't happen. Nor will sailors be present to observe and learn from contractors who repair equipment. Without the economy of scale

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Gregory V. Cox, "The U.S. Navy's Plans for Unmanned and Autonomous Systems Leave Too Much Unexplained," *War on the Rocks*, December 10, 2021.

that began developing in the LCS case, maintenance costs will remain beholden to thirdparty contractors.

Second, while contractors can fly out to a manned platform that is underway, they cannot do so for an unmanned vessel. Without accommodations and life-support systems, unmanned vessels will have to return to port for repairs, or else be sustained at sea and in theater by amphibious ships, submarines, or expeditionary sea bases....

The minimal-manning construct of the LCS undermined its utility for distributed maritime operations in two ways. First, removing humans from the ship placed higher demands on contractor support. This drove up production and life-cycle costs, driving down the quantity of platforms that could be purchased. Second, the platform's minimal manning made it less resilient to routine wear and tear, and consequently, the Navy both decommissioned four LCS hulls early and had to withdraw others from routine operations repeatedly to conduct repairs. We conclude with three recommendations to help future unmanned surface vessels avoid a similar fate.

First, unmanned system development requires a different approach to project management than was used for the LCS....

... unlike with the LCS, where adding personnel to the original manning concept helped resolve failed integration points, fully unmanned platforms will lack this backstop. As a result, there is an even higher premium on ensuring that the integration points of the ship's networks and mechanical systems function properly before widespread fielding. Agile project management, a development style based on shorter timelines and multiple delivery dates, might help address the issue. The Navy's program executive office, Integrated Warfare Systems, is currently working to incorporate agile continuous delivery processes. In this approach, the product timeline is less definitive, changes to the product are frequent and expected, and the end user helps guide each iteration. The shipbuilding version of this would include the use of land-based testing sites, as it will for the Navy's new Constellation-class frigate....<sup>44</sup>

Second, even with perfect equipment, unmanned vessels will face attacks with a redundancy chain that is always one link shorter than it would be with sailors present.... With a distributed fleet architecture, the Navy should only use unmanned vessels for those mission areas where the ability to survive the first few salvos matters little to the extended fight.

Third, while purchasing and fielding a great number of vessels is necessary for distributed maritime operations, so is preventing them all from being sunk outright. Unmanned vessels should not be considered expendable if they are expected to provide quantity, so some proportion of them will have to be repaired in combat conditions.... This suggests that, if future fleet architecture depends heavily on unmanned vessels, the Navy will eventually bear the costs of more manned support vessels as well.<sup>45</sup>

### Navy Efforts to Develop CONOPs

As mentioned earlier, in May 2019, the Navy established a surface development squadron to help develop operational concepts for LUSVs and MUSVs. The squadron was initially to consist of a Zumwalt (DDG-1000) class destroyer and one Sea Hunter prototype medium displacement USV. A second Sea Hunter prototype reportedly was to be added around the end of FY2020, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> For more on the Constellation-class frigate program, see CRS Report R44972, *Navy Constellation (FFG-62) Class Frigate Program: Background and Issues for Congress*, by Ronald O'Rourke.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Jonathan Panter and Johnathan Falcone, "The Unplanned Costs of an Unmanned Fleet," *War on the Rocks*, December 28, 2021.

LUSVs and MUSVs would then be added as they become available.<sup>46</sup> A September 9, 2020, press report states

Development squadrons working with unmanned underwater and surface vehicles are moving out quickly to develop concepts of operations and human-machine interfaces, even as they're still using prototypes ahead of the delivery of fleet USVs and UUVs, officials said this week.

Capt. Hank Adams, the commodore of Surface Development Squadron One (SURFDEVRON), is planning an upcoming weeks-long experiment with sailors in an unmanned operations center (UOC) ashore commanding and controlling an Overlord USV that the Navy hasn't even taken ownership of from the Pentagon, in a bid to get a head start on figuring out what the command and control process looks like and what the supervisory control system must allow sailors to do.

And Cmdr. Rob Patchin, commanding officer of Unmanned Undersea Vehicles Squadron One (UUVRON-1), is pushing the limits of his test vehicles to send the program office a list of vehicle behaviors that his operators need their UUVs to have that the commercial prototypes today don't have.

The two spoke during a panel at the Association for Unmanned Vehicle Systems International (AUVSI) annual defense conference on Tuesday, and made clear that they want to have the fleet trained and ready to start using UUVs and USVs when industry is ready to deliver them.<sup>47</sup>

An October 30, 2020, press report stated

The Navy is set to complete and release a concept of operations for the medium and large unmanned surface vehicles in "the next few months," a Navy spokesman told Inside Defense.

Alan Baribeau, a spokesman for Naval Sea Systems Command, said the Navy extended the due date to allow for more flexibility during the COVID-19 pandemic and allow for sufficient time for review and staffing....

The CONOPS is currently undergoing flag-level review after completing action officerlevel review as well as O6-level review, Baribeau said.<sup>48</sup>

A December 15, 2021, press report stated

The Navy has announced new plans for a "purpose-built" facility at its warfare center in Port Hueneme, Calif., dedicated to testing its latest unmanned surface and subsurface vehicles.

"These facilities will be the focal point of Navy learning and experimentation on the capabilities, operations and sustainment of unmanned maritime vehicle prototypes to inform future programs," Capt. Pete Small, the Navy officer leading the program office for unmanned maritime systems, said in a Dec. 14 statement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> See, for example, Megan Eckstein, "Navy Stands Up Surface Development Squadron for DDG-1000, Unmanned Experimentation," *USNI News*, May 22, 2019; David B. Larter, "With Billions Planned in Funding, the US Navy Charts Its Unmanned Future," *Defense News*, May 6, 2019. See also Michael Fabey, "USN Seeks Path for Unmanned Systems Operational Concepts," *Jane's Navy International*, May 16, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Megan Eckstein, "USV, UUV Squadrons Testing Out Concepts Ahead of Delivery of Their Vehicles," USNI News, September 9, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Aidan Quigley, "Navy Finishing Unmanned Surface Vehicles Concept of Operations 'in Next Few Months," *Inside Defense*, October 30, 2020.

Some of the systems in Small's portfolio that are destined for Port Heuenme include the Extra Large Unmanned Undersea Vehicle (XLUUV), as well as prototypes for the Medium and Large Unmanned Surface Vehicles.<sup>49</sup>

A May 16, 2022, press report stated

The Pacific Fleet has stood up Unmanned Surface Vessel Division One to expedite the integration of unmanned surface vessels.

The unit will manage unmanned surface vessel experimentation for medium and large unmanned surface vessels like the Sea Hunter and the Sea Hawk, both of which will participate in anti-submarine warfare missions. The Pacific Fleet's Naval Surface Force held a ceremony May 13 establishing the command at Naval Base San Diego.

"To meet the challenges of the 21<sup>st</sup> Century, we must continue to innovate the surface force," Cmdr. Jeremiah Daley, the commanding officer of the unit, said in a Navy news release. "USVDIV One will accelerate the delivery of credible and reliable unmanned systems in conjunction with increasingly capable manned platforms into the fleet."

Vice Adm. Roy Kitchener, commander of Naval Surface Forces, was also present at the ceremony and described the command as a "catalyst for innovation as we employ unmanned surface capabilities in the Pacific Fleet."

"The implementation of unmanned systems will increase decision speed and lethality to enhance our warfighting advantage," Kitchener said.

The creation of the division follows the Navy's first fleet exercise for unmanned systems on the West Coast, called "Unmanned Integrated Battle Problem 21," last year. Both the Sea Hunter and the Sea Hawk were involved in the April 2021 exercise, however, the Navy remained tight-lipped about specifics.

Rear Adm. Jim Aiken, who oversaw the exercise, told reporters such details were classified and related to intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance. However, he did share that one scenario in the exercise required drones to extend the sight of a warship to shoot a missile from long range.

More recently, U.S. 5<sup>th</sup> Fleet hosted International Maritime Exercise 2022 and Cutlass Express 2022 in January and February, combined exercises that included 9,000 personnel, 50 ships and approximately 80 unmanned systems from 60 regional navies. The exercises was designed to advance experimentation with unmanned vehicles and artificial intelligence.<sup>50</sup>

A September 14, 2022, press report states

The U.S. Navy managed to retrieve a trio of unmanned vessels from Iranian would-be thieves recently, but the incidents highlight the need to protect maritime drones that may in the future be valuable, armed, or sensitive.

In the span of a week, Iranian forces tried to steal U.S. unmanned surface vessels in the Persian Gulf and Red Sea, and in both instances U.S. helicopters and ships stopped the Iranians and retrieved the drones. The  $5^{th}$  Fleet's Task Force 59 is using these Saildrone Explorers as part of its experimentation into how to incorporate unmanned vessels into fleet operations ... .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Justin Katz, "Navy Starts Building Hub for Surface, Subsurface Drones," *Breaking Defense*, December 15, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Diana Stancy Correll, "Navy Creates Unmanned Surface Vessel Division to Expedite Integration of Unmanned Systems," *Defense News*, May 16, 2022. See also Joshua Emerson Smith and Andrew Dyer, "Navy ramps up research and development of unmanned war vessels," *San Diego Union-Tribune*, May 16, 2022.

This was also not the first time that a U.S. naval drone has been captured. In 2016, China took an "ocean glider," an underwater drone that was being used by an oceanographic ship in international waters near the Philippines. China returned the drone several days later.

As this technology becomes more prevalent at sea, the Navy will need to consider how it will respond in similar circumstances. The recent incidents with Iran and the Navy's experimental task force raised some of those questions in this "real-world test," said Peter Singer, a strategist at New America and author of "Ghost Fleet."

"What do you do if an adversary harasses your system or even tries to take them? What are your best responses? What are the lines that are uncrossable, crossable, etc.," he said. "With a future system you may have [classified systems], and so you're going to have to work out like why I'd want to protect them. But then there's the 'Okay, how do I ensure if I lose the system, that the enemy doesn't get any kind of advantage from it?"

Chief of Naval Operations Adm. Mike Gilday appeared to agree with that sentiment Wednesday, saying the Navy is learning from what is happening to these drones.

"Well, we did have a response plan and we actually put it into effect when the Iranians grabbed two of those Saildrones," Gilday said. "That is going to be a challenge for us though, I will say, in the future ... We're learning from what happened over the past month in the Middle East and we'll be applying that as we design and grow" the unmanned surface fleet.

Gilday said the Navy might make larger unmanned vessels "initially minimally manned" and part of a group of ships like a carrier strike group or an amphibious ready group, "so they wouldn't be out there alone and unafraid, if you will."

In a statement to Defense One, a Navy official said the service follows international law in the operation of all its platforms at sea, "including the obligation to operate with due regard for the rights of other states. We expect other nations to do the same. Our policy and procedures for defending unmanned systems against unlawful uses of force are the same as those applicable to defending any U.S. property at sea."

If the Navy wants to use their drones, especially spread out across large distances, they will have to accept that some will be lost, said Bryan Clark, a senior fellow at the Hudson Institute.

"If you were looking at this in the Indo-Pacific, you know, if this was the South China Sea, then these Saildrones would be operating far enough away where you wouldn't be able to get to them before the Chinese can take them and drag them off to one of their islands or back home to China," Clark said. "So it seems like you try to operate in a more distributed manner, if you really want to exploit these vehicles, you're going to have to accept the fact that they are going to periodically get captured or lost."

Perhaps some of the bigger questions to contend with in the future of unmanned technology is not the drones themselves but the actions of humans, Singer said.

"Like how much of this is actually a technology problem and how much of it is certain states just not respecting the norms of behavior at sea, and us not having a good response for it, whether it's manned or unmanned," he said....

One of the ways the Navy can protect the technology on the drones is to have tamperresistant features that would disable hardware or erase software to ensure no one could use important parts, Clark said. However, the person probably would still be able to get at the basic drone functions like its engine.

Another way may be to place sailors on the larger drone ships for periods of time to defend it if a warship is not close enough to respond, Clark said. "Because otherwise they just sort of take up a destroyer guarding an unmanned vessel, as opposed to letting the destroyer do its job," he said.<sup>51</sup>

A September 29, 2022, press report states

Twice in the last month, Iran has attempted to abduct US unmanned surface vessels produced by Saildrone. But the company's CEO says he was unfazed by the events, instead calling the experience "valuable" and stressing the need for any organization operating unmanned ships to be anticipate hostile interference.

"It's incredibly valuable experience to truly understand what happens in the field with real adversaries," Richard Jenkins told Breaking Defense in a Sept. 21 interview. "Whether that's an actual country, whether it's just a hurricane or a physical adversary, you have to experience it to understand the features."

"And if someone takes it, good luck. Keep it, it's worthless. We've got hundreds of them," he added.

The company's eponymously named USV became the subject of headlines in late August and September when Iranian navy and paramilitary personnel twice attempted to confiscate drones while they were operating in the Middle East. In both cases, the USVs were ultimately recovered by the US Navy.

In statements following each incident, US Navy officials said the Saildrones were "unarmed and taking unclassified" photos of the environment when the Iranians approached. And to Jenkins, that the drones didn't possess anything of value is a feature, not a bug of the product.

"You have to plan ahead so that there is no classified information... no security breach, IP leak that the person who stole it could glean from" the vessel, he said. "I think it'd be a very different problem if you had a lot of [Vertical Launch Systems] or other hardware onboard. I think as soon as you weaponize unmanned systems, you actually make it a target of theft."

If a drone is stolen or destroyed, Jenkins said his company simply deploys another to replace it. $^{52}$ 

An October 17, 2022, press report stated

"The drones retain nothing of intrinsic value on them. This is part of one of the beauties of American technology. There is nothing classified or written on the platform. What is retained is minimal, and it's all unclassified. So there's no intrinsic value to get in these platforms," Vice Adm. Brad Cooper, commander of 5th Fleet, told reporters during a media roundtable on Oct. 12....

... he underscored the Navy is likely going to stick with the current model where the USVs do not retain any information they gather, but rather immediately relay it elsewhere, limiting the value from stealing them.<sup>53</sup>

### **Potential Oversight Questions**

Potential oversight questions for Congress include the following:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Caitlin M. Kenney, "Iran's Attempted Drone Thefts Highlight Challenges of Protecting Unmanned Vessels at Sea," *Defense One*, September 14, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Justin Katz, "Saildrone CEO Says Iranian Interference Was Valuable Experience, Not a Surprise," *Breaking Defense*, September 29, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Rich Abott, "5th Fleet USVs Keep Unclassified Tech, 'No Intrinsic Value' To Limit Capture Damage," *Defense Daily*, October 17, 2022.

- How fully has the Navy developed its CONOPS for these large UVs? What activities is the Navy undertaking to develop its CONOPS for them?
- What is the Navy's CONOPS for operating and sustaining these large UVs, including both combat operations and day-to-day, noncombat operations?
- How sensitive are the performance requirements that the Navy has established for these large UVs to potential changes in their CONOPS that may occur as the Navy continues to develop the CONOPS? How likely is it, if at all, that the Navy will have to change the performance requirements for these large UVs as a consequence of more fully developing their CONOPS? How do the Navy's acquisition strategies for these large UVs address the possibility that the UVs' performance requirements might need to evolve as the CONOPs are developed?

# Acquisition Strategies, Program Risks, and XLUUV Cost Growth and Schedule Delays

## Overview

Another potential oversight issue for Congress concerns

- the acquisition strategies that the Navy wants to use for these programs;
- technical, schedule, and cost risks in these programs, particularly given that these platforms potentially are to operate at sea unmanned and semi-autonomously or autonomously for extended periods of time; and
- cost growth and schedule delays that have occurred in the XLUUV program.

Potential oversight questions for Congress include the following:

- How much technical, schedule, and cost risk of this kind do these programs pose, particularly given the enabling technologies that need to be developed for them?
- Are the Navy's risk-mitigation and risk-management efforts for these programs appropriate and sufficient? Are the Navy's proposed changes to the LUSV's acquisition strategy appropriate and sufficient in terms of complying with Congress's legislative provisions and providing enough time to develop operational concepts and key technologies before entering into serial production of deployable units?
- At what point would technical problems, schedule delays, or cost growth in these programs require a reassessment of the Navy's plan to shift from the current fleet architecture to a more distributed architecture?
- To what degree, if any, can these large UV programs contribute to new approaches for defense acquisition that are intended to respond to the new international security environment?

## Navy UVs in General

## April 2022 GAO Report

An April 2022 Government Accountability Office (GAO) report on uncrewed maritime systems (i.e., Navy UVs) stated

While the Navy's shipbuilding plan outlines spending more than \$4 billion on uncrewed systems over the next 5 years, its plan does not account for the full costs to develop and operate these systems.

Once conceived, the Navy must build these vehicles with the information technology and the artificial intelligence capabilities needed to replace crews. While the Navy has established strategic objectives for these efforts, it has not established a management approach that orients its individual uncrewed maritime efforts toward achieving these objectives. As such, the Navy is not measuring its progress, such as building the robust information technology needed to operate the vehicles. GAO has previously found that portfolio management—a disciplined process that ensures new investments are aligned with an organization's strategic needs within available resources—enables agencies to implement strategic objectives and manage investments collectively. However, if it continues with its current approach, the Navy is less likely to achieve its objectives. In addition, the Navy has yet to:

· establish criteria to evaluate prototypes and

• develop improved schedules for prototype efforts.

With detailed planning, prototyping has the potential to further technology development and reduce acquisition risk before the Navy makes significant investments. Since uncrewed systems are key to the Navy's future, optimizing the prototyping phase of this effort is necessary to efficiently gaining information to support future decisions.<sup>54</sup>

#### Press Report

A March 10, 2022, press report stated

Public discussions between the Navy and Congress over unmanned technology in recent years have been circular: The service asks for funding to develop new technology, hesitant lawmakers balk at pouring millions into unproven tech, then the Navy re-ups its requests the next year, insistent the investment remains necessary.

The routine has left Congress wary of the Navy's ideas and the service struggling to refine its pitch.

But during a year filled with international exercises, with a new task force stood up by the chief of naval operations and amid significant programmatic advances, the Navy hopes to break the cycle by changing its messaging strategy around unmanned systems: More showing, less telling.

It's a slow shift, but analysts told Breaking Defense there are signs that the Navy has taken cues on what it will take to sway opinions in Congress towards backing more aggressive funding of unmanned technology.

"I think the new strategy by the Navy to focus on the core enabling technologies is the right strategy. [It] will bring about that comfort level from Congress that will enable the funding and allow industry to begin to scale these programs working hand in glove with the Navy," said Michael Robbins, a spokesman for the Association for Uncrewed Vehicles Systems International, a non-profit group focused on promoting unmanned systems technology.

Recently Chief of Naval Operations Adm. Michael Gilday acknowledged that the Navy took lessons from past missteps.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Government Accountability Office, Uncrewed Maritime Systems[:] Navy Should Improve Its Approach to Maximize Early Investments, GAO-22-104567, April 2022, highlights page.

"I think we've learned a lot, as I said, from those other classes of ships. I think that Congress is holding our feet to the fire on those lessons, and I'm 100% in support of that," he told reporters last month.

When asked about the service's messaging to lawmakers, Gilday highlighted Congress' insistence on land-based testing, a process in which the Navy attempts to install and operate a new technology ashore before tampering with an operational warship. It's a simple concept, but the Navy has infuriated lawmakers in the past when expensive programs suffered costly setbacks after skipping this step.

The Navy has learned the importance of "moving in an evolutionary, instead of a revolutionary, manner in order to deliver a platform and it's going to be reliable and its actually going to perform as intended," he said.

In other words, small changes with proven results over time are going to instill more confidence in lawmakers than grand proposals with questionable visions....

Opinions about unmanned technology, like any issue in Washington, DC, are not uniform on Capitol Hill. But the budget cuts and restrictive language in the last handful of National Defense Authorization Acts show that lawmakers have been erring on the side of caution when pitched on the biggest projects the Navy proposes.

The most ambitious efforts have usually been predicated more so on promises from service leadership rather than proven results, lawmakers complain.

"For a long time, unmanned has been the promise of the future that will always remain in the future. And that's just where we are right now," said Chris Brose, formerly the staff director on the Senate Armed Services Committee and current chief strategy officer of the defense contractor Anduril. "The new prioritization of trying to get capability out to the fleet fast to solve problems that unmanned systems can solve now... That to me is just a welcome improvement."

For example, in just the past year, the service has established two task forces focused on unmanned technology: one at the CNO's level and one based at US 5<sup>th</sup> Fleet based in Bahrain. The Strategic Capabilities Office has transferred ownership of a flagship unmanned surface vessel program to the Navy's fleet. And the service has also publicized a variety of international exercises featuring unmanned US assets.

That is not an exhaustive list of Navy unmanned activities, but they are some of the more public events the service has flaunted in recent months to get its message across to the public and lawmakers.

"The Navy has been really focused on fielding entire systems using programs of record to move large projects forward and that's received... significant push back from Congress," said Robbins.

"What we're hearing now is a different strategy from the Navy that is focused, not so much on programs of record, but instead focusing on the various enabling technologies to build these programs. I think that is a direct result of feedback from Congress," he continued.<sup>55</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Justin Katz, "Show, Don't Tell: Navy Changes Strategy to Sell Unmanned Systems to Skeptical Congress," *Breaking Defense*, March 10, 2022. See also Megan Eckstein, "Unmanned or Minimally Manned Vessels Could Deploy Alongside Strike Groups as Soon as 2027," *Defense News*, February 17, 2022; Justin Katz, "From 7 Classified 'Spirals' to Coming Robotic Ships: Gilday on Navy's Unmanned Task Force," *Breaking Defense*, February 17, 2022.

### LUSV and USVs in General

### June 2023 GAO Report

A June 2023 GAO report assessing selected major DOD weapon acquisition programs stated the following of the LUSV program:

#### **Current Status**

In May 2022, the Navy completed its Offensive Surface Fires Analysis of Alternatives, which LUSV is using to inform its requirements, according to program officials. These officials added that the Navy is making trade-offs between the capabilities the service needs and the capabilities uncrewed surface vehicles can provide in the near future. The Program Executive Office for Unmanned and Small Combatants is currently determining its acquisition strategy.

While determining its requirements and acquisition strategy, the program office plans to receive seven prototypes. To date, the program has received five—two from the Office of Naval Research, two from OSD, and one from the Navy. The Navy plans to deliver the remaining two prototypes in 2023 and 2024.

The Navy is experimenting with these prototypes to understand their capabilities, familiarize sailors with operating them, and determine if LUSV will have any potential critical technologies. The Navy completed over 100,000 nautical miles in autonomous driving with these prototypes. But the prototypes require constant monitoring offshore and hands-on crewing by humans when operating close to shore.

The Navy is working toward a milestone review in 2025, when it plans to transition LUSV to an acquisition program using the major capability acquisition pathway to begin design and development. Subsequently, the Navy plans to begin construction of the first of six production LUSVs in 2027.

In June 2022, we reported that the Navy had yet to develop schedules that would align its uncrewed maritime vehicle prototypes, including LUSV, with key investment decisions. Without a schedule to align these prototype efforts, DOD may make investment decisions for LUSV before attaining adequate knowledge.

#### **Program Office Comment**

We provided a draft of this assessment to the program office for review and comment. The program office provided technical comments, which we incorporated where appropriate. According to the program office, it took several steps to increase technical maturity, such as demonstrating technologies in an operationally relevant environment, to reduce risk prior to transitioning to an acquisition program.<sup>56</sup>

### **Blog Post and Press Reports**

A June 24, 2022, blog post states

As the U.S. Navy pivots to autonomous technologies for its future hybrid fleet of crewed and uncrewed ships, defense professionals and military officers (inspired in no small part by the novels *Ghost Fleet* and 2034) are keenly aware that every automated system is at risk of intrusion. The focus on cyber attacks, however, obscures a more fundamental cyber reliability problem. When computers replace people in the role of monitoring engineering systems, identifying equipment failures becomes more difficult. Leaving those problems

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Government Accountability Office, Weapon Systems Annual Assessment[:] Programs Are Not Consistently Implementing Practices That Can Help Accelerate Acquisitions, GAO-23-106059, June 2023, p. 170.

unfixed makes vessels fail earlier, and fixing them puts ships and people at risk. In short, automated systems can introduce system-wide vulnerability even if nobody hacks them.

Uncrewed vessels will require computers and internal networks to control and monitor hull, mechanical, and electrical systems. Critically, these systems—especially those managing the electrical power generation and cooling—will themselves power the computers and networks monitoring them. Without human operators to identify or fix potential points of failure early, small problems may compound, triggering feedback loops. Moreover, uncrewed systems will require near-real-time off-ship communications for command and control, and for monitoring how equipment failures impact the overall force's readiness. Combined with uncrewed vessels' expected role as forward sensors, this will make them persistent radiofrequency emitters, exposing them and nearby units to enemy surveillance and targeting.

Integrating hull, mechanical, and electrical systems with computerized controls is therefore an inherent obstacle to achieving a high-endurance, hybrid fleet resilient to cyber attacks, one that will affect force structure, crisis stability, and force employment. Since uncrewed vessels will most likely support forward sensing, mine countermeasures, and antisubmarine warfare, these may be among the first capabilities that a future fleet loses, even before a battle begins. In addition, since situational awareness will degrade faster than the capacity to launch missiles for air defense, anti-surface warfare, and land attack, human decision-makers may face pressure to expend missiles before they lose the ability to use them. During crises, this could increase the risk of conflict. When war has started, it could limit a commander's flexibility....

The success of distributed maritime operations will depend on robust networks among vessels that maintain stable propulsion, power, and cooling. But current plans to achieve this architecture rest on an aspirational version of uncrewed vessel technology. Even with ongoing—and well-funded—land-based testing requirements aimed at resolving reliability problems in automated systems, some of the drawbacks associated with removing people from ships are likely to remain long-term features of the Navy's future hybrid fleet.

Crewed warships will thus have to fix uncrewed vessels, step in to fill their roles, or face tough choices to employ weapons systems with incomplete information. The aspirational vision of uncrewed technologies thus makes crewed vessels more important, at the same time that it forces their premature retirement. And this is perhaps the most dangerous feedback loop of all.<sup>57</sup>

#### A February 16, 2022, press report stated

Aircraft carriers will deploy alongside large unmanned vessels within five years, if the Navy's top officer gets his way.

In 2027 or 2028—"and earlier if I can"—Adm. Mike Gilday said he wants to begin to deploy large and medium-sized unmanned vessels as part of carrier strike groups and amphibious ready groups.

For the first deployments, such vessels "may not necessarily be completely unmanned; they may be minimally manned," the chief of naval operations told reporters in a Wednesday [February 16] conference call. "But I want to be in a position where we can crawl-walk-run" and "put us in a position where we can scale [i.e., increase the numbers of these UVs] in the 2030s."

One key to this, Gilday said, is doing as much testing and prototyping as possible at landbased facilities and simulators....

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Jonathan Panter and Johnathan Falcone, "Feedback Loops and Fundamental Flaws in Autonomous Warships," War on the Rocks, June 24, 2022.

Another vital component, Gilday said, are the flexible and reliable wireless networks that will connect uncrewed vessels to the rest of a strike group.<sup>58</sup>

#### Another February 16, 2022, press report states

"We're moving in an evolutionary instead of a revolutionary manner, in order to deliver a platform [that] is going to be reliable and that's actually going to perform as intended," [Chief of Naval Operations Admiral Mike] Gilday said [February 16]. "We could actually learn greatly from our land-based engineering test sites ... specifically up in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, where we can take an engineering configuration that we want to use on a specific platform."

While the Navy is proving those systems to Congress, Gilday wants to get other types of smaller vehicles into the fleet sooner....

While the mechanical reliability of the platforms is a major point of concern, so are the networks that transmit the targeting data. The service plans to use its existing networks to transmit surveillance data and targeting information the same way a smartphones transitions from lower to different networks as a user moves from Wi-Fi to a cellular data network.

"The software on the phone shifts you to a [cell] network automatically. You don't care, the phone doesn't care, you're just getting, you're just getting the information you want when you want it. It's that same type of idea where software would decide," Gilday said.

"The system would then containerize it in a way that could ride on any one of those lightning bolts. It could move on any one of those systems to get to the endpoint system. It's leveraging the fact that every shooter doesn't necessarily have to sense the target that you're going to that it is going to fire at. That it can be set the target it can be... radio silent."

The Navy has tested the software-defined system in San Diego and Gilday said there are plans to test a battle group with the concept later this year or in early 2023.

The new tack from the Navy will get new unmanned systems to the fleet faster and inform the larger systems that are developing more slowly.

"We thought that was important, or I thought that was important from a risk-reduction standpoint so that we could begin to mature and then hopefully scale unmanned capabilities at a faster pace," he said.<sup>59</sup>

#### A January 28, 2022, press report stated

The U.S. Navy is unlikely to pursue a formal program for unmanned surface vessels in the next five years, instead focusing on the enabling technologies first, several leaders said this month.

The Navy in fiscal 2020 laid out an aggressive plan to buy a handful of prototype medium and large USVs and then quickly transition into a program of record using shipbuilding funds. The service acknowledged it would adjust the program-of-record USV design over time to incorporate lessons learned as prototypes hit the water.

Leaders argued this strategy was necessary because the technology was key to the Navy's Distributed Maritime Operations concept, and because there was no time to waste in building and fielding the vessels.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Bradley Peniston, "Navy Chief Sees Robot Ships Alongside Aircraft Carriers Within Five Years," *Defense One*, February 16, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Sam LaGrone, "CNO Gilday Taking a More 'Realistic' Approach to Unmanned Systems in the Fleet," USNI News, February 16 (updated February 17), 2022.

After two years of Congress pushing back against this quick move into unmanned programs, the Navy has quietly acknowledged a change in strategy.

"We are focused on prototyping and maturing the fundamentals, the building blocks," Rear Adm. Casey Moton, the program executive officer for unmanned and small combatants, said earlier this month at the Surface Navy Association's [SNA's] annual conference.

Though Moton said there's a lot of interest in the future large and medium USV programs—previously slated to begin as early as 2023—his team is more "focused on the system engineering pillars that we need to field any such platform."...

Rear Adm. Paul Schlise, the director of surface warfare on the chief of naval operations' staff (OPNAV N96), told Defense News following his presentation at a separate SNA panel that he wouldn't move into a program of record until all those separate pillars were more mature. One key pillar is the development and maturation of hull, mechanical and electrical systems that can support unmanned vessel operations.

Schlise said lawmakers were "crystal clear" in the fiscal 2021 defense authorization bill that they didn't want to invest in programs of record until it's clear hull, mechanical and electrical systems would work for weeks or months at a time without sailors around to perform routine maintenance or emergency repairs....

Asked how long that would take and when the Navy will begin a program of record, Schlise said he hopes by the end of the five-year Future Years Defense Program that the service will "have gotten pretty confident in what we can and can't do. And maybe we'll learn this is going to take a little bit longer. I don't have an absolute clairvoyant picture."<sup>60</sup>

### XLUUV

### June 2023 GAO Report

The June 2023 GAO report assessing selected major DOD weapon acquisition programs stated the following of the XLUUV program:

#### **Current Status**

The XLUUV is \$242 million, or 64 percent, over its original 2016 cost estimate, although the program reported that the contractor has reached the ceiling price for the fabrication work.

Even though the Navy began the XLUUV project in 2017 to meet an urgent need, the system is on track to be over 3 years late. Navy officials said that the contractor originally planned to deliver one prototype vehicle in December 2020 and five prototype vehicles by the end of 2022. But the contractor now plans to deliver them between March 2024 and August 2024. Changes to the XLUUV to meet Navy requirements combined with challenges stemming from the COVID-19 pandemic account for some of the delays. According to Navy officials, the contractor changed the originally planned battery to meet endurance requirements. As of March 2023, the new battery has yet to be completed. In addition, the Navy has yet to identify XLUUV critical technologies.

To reduce the effect of delays and gain a better understanding of the system, the Navy contracted for an unplanned sixth vehicle for \$73 million, which contributed to the program's cost growth. The Navy plans to use this vehicle to test the system while it awaits the delivery of the five originally planned vehicles. However, this prototype vehicle does

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Megan Eckstein, "US Navy Adopts New Strategy Prioritizing 'the Building Blocks' of Unmanned Tech," *Defense News*, January 28, 2022.

not have the planned battery or payload module, which is used to carry critical systems or weapons.

The Navy plans to use the major capability acquisition pathway with the intention to purchase more XLUUVs at some point in the next several years. In September 2022, we recommended that the program conduct production readiness reviews prior to additional purchases beyond the six planned XLUUVs; the Navy agreed with our recommendation.

#### **Program Office Comments**

We provided a draft of this assessment to the program office for review and comment. The program office provided technical comments, which we incorporated where appropriate. The program office stated that it is developing the first-ever autonomous uncrewed dieselelectric submarine. It noted that while the program experienced delays, it is moving faster than a traditional development effort. The program office also acknowledged the need to enhance the supplier base and stated that it is assessing potential critical technologies to inform future procurements.<sup>61</sup>

### September 2022 GAO Report

#### A September 2022 GAO report on the XLUUV program states

The Navy is attempting to rapidly deliver five Extra Large Unmanned Undersea Vehicles (XLUUV) to the fleet for deploying undersea mines without the need for sailors. However, the XLUUV effort is at least \$242 million or 64 percent over its original cost estimate and at least 3 years late. The contractor originally planned to deliver the first vehicle by December 2020 and all five vehicles by the end of calendar year 2022. The Navy and the contractor are in the process of revising the delivery dates. But both expect the contractor to complete and deliver all five vehicles between February and June 2024.

The contractor did not demonstrate its readiness to fabricate XLUUV because it was not required to do so. For acquisition programs, DOD and Navy typically conduct a production readiness review. While XLUUV is a prototype and not an acquisition program, the Navy plans to field the vehicles quickly. Key differences between the XLUUV and the contractor's prototype, the Echo Voyager, required the contractor to redesign critical components. Rather than address issues before starting fabrication, the contractor did not identify the full impact of these issues until after fabrication began. Then, significant delays were exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic. Further, the Navy has begun assessing the possibility of adding more capability and vehicles to this effort. If the Navy forgoes a production readiness review for its next XLUUV purchase, it risks beginning fabrication without information to assess the contractor's cost, schedule, and performance targets.

The Navy determined that XLUUV was critical to fulfilling an emergent need, which, under DOD policy, generally requires a capability be provided within 2 years. However, the Navy did not develop a sound business case, including cost and schedule estimates, to ensure that it could deliver the vehicles quickly to the fleet because XLUUV is a research and development effort. According to DOD urgent capability acquisition best practices, an acquiring organization should make cost and schedule trade-off decisions to get solutions to the fleet faster. Without more complete cost and schedule estimates, the Navy does not have the information it needs for decision-making and, thus, could continue experiencing cost overruns and schedule delays as it builds the XLUUV.<sup>62</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Government Accountability Office, Weapon Systems Annual Assessment[:] Programs Are Not Consistently Implementing Practices That Can Help Accelerate Acquisitions, GAO-23-106059, June 2023, p. 173.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Government Accountability Office, *Extra Large Unmanned Undersea Vehicle[:] Navy Needs to Employ Better Management Practices to Ensure Swift Delivery to the Fleet*, GAO-22-105974, highlights page. See also Anthony (continued...)

### **Press Reports**

A November 29, 2022, press report stated:

[Rear Adm. Casey Moton, the program executive officer for unmanned and small combatants] said the Orca [XLUUV] program has seen significant production delays, but he remains confident the Navy will learn from the initial prototypes being built now and then move into a program of record....

Moton attributed the delays in part to pandemic and post-pandemic challenges: production delays, shortages in parts and forgings, supply chain backups for key components like lithium ion batteries.

A Boeing spokesperson told Defense News "the Orca program is a development program involving groundbreaking technology."

"There is no other commercially available XLUUV anywhere," the spokesperson added. "Supply chain challenges combined with high quality requirements have affected timeline and schedule. The Navy has been informed and involved in the entire development program, including the analysis and thought process behind any delays."

Despite the delays, Moton said Boeing is very close to achieving full integration on the test asset system, called XLE0, which will deliver to the Navy in early 2023. Boeing said it christened this vehicle in April and will relaunch it by the end of the year to allow for sea trials and delivery next year.

The test asset will reduce risk on the following five Orca prototypes, the last of which GAO says will now deliver in mid-2024.

Moton said he couldn't discuss the timing of a program of record for Orca because that's part of ongoing FY24 budget negotiations. But he said the test asset and five prototypes will give the Navy a good understanding of the XLUUV program's anticipated cost and schedule.<sup>63</sup>

An October 14, 2022, press report quoted a Boeing official as stating that the company's efforts to stand up new manufacturing and assembly lines for the XLUUV program were "performed during the COVID-19 pandemic, so [there were] heavy travel restrictions that we were under, global parts and raw material shortages and then most recently, a lot of that further exacerbated by, Russia's invasion of Ukraine." The article quoted the official as stating that "the most significant challenges we've encountered that led to the schedule delays that are driving the program [were those associated with] our design of the new battery and the associated battery management system" for the XLUUV compared to those used on the Boeing Echo Voyager.<sup>64</sup>

A June 14, 2022, press report states

Boeing Co. is expected to deliver Orca—an underwater drone the size of a subway car that's envisioned to lay mines and perform other missions for the US Navy—as much as three years later than planned.

Capaccio and Julie Johnsson, "US Navy's Giant Underwater 'Orca' Drone Is Running Years Late," *Bloomberg*, June 14, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Megan Eckstein, "What's Ahead for Navy Unmanned Underwater Vehicle Programs?" *Defense News*, November 29, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Audrey Decker, "Boeing Says XLUUV Delays Primarily Caused by Battery Redesign," *Inside Defense*, October 14, 2022.

As the Navy works to incorporate pilotless ships in its future fleet, budget documents show the first of five operational Orca drones may be delivered in September 2023, rather than December 2020, "due to contractor challenges and supplier issues."...

"The Navy is working with Boeing to mitigate schedule delays and execute risk reduction" by paying for a prototype that's being used for testing and training, the service said. The test drone was christened April 28 and began its first in-water testing....

Boeing has "worked diligently to stand up a new industrial base and supply chain for titanium composites, pressure vessel manufacturing" at efficient production rates and "batteries necessary to enter production" on the Orca system, the Naval Sea Systems Command said in a statement.

The command didn't address why these production challenges weren't anticipated before Boeing's award over Lockheed. Nor did it address what cost growth the delays and production issues have caused....

Orca's technical issues are likely to be repeated as the service pursues unmanned systems, according to Shelby Oakley, a Government Accountability Office acquisition director who has followed the issue. "The Navy is in the beginning phases of developing uncrewed systems and, like all new technical endeavors, is likely to face some challenges," she said.

"The Navy can improve the development by changing its management approach and better planning its strategy for transitioning its prototyping efforts," she said. "We are currently in the process of reviewing the challenges facing" the Orca program "and plan to report on the Navy's path forward this summer."<sup>65</sup>

# **Industrial Base Implications**

Another oversight issue for Congress concerns the potential industrial base implications of these large UV programs as part of a shift to a more distributed fleet architecture, particularly since UVs like these can be built and maintained by facilities other than the shipyards that currently build the Navy's major combatant ships. Potential oversight questions for Congress include the following:

- What portion of these UVs might be built or maintained by facilities other than shipyards that currently build the Navy's major combatant ships?<sup>66</sup>
- To what degree, if any, might these large UV programs change the current distribution of Navy shipbuilding and maintenance work, and what implications might that have for workloads and employment levels at various production and maintenance facilities?

# Potential Implications for Miscalculation or Escalation at Sea

Another oversight issue for Congress concerns the potential implications of large UVs, particularly large USVs, for the chance of miscalculation or escalation in when U.S. Navy forces are operating in waters near potential adversaries. Some observers have expressed concern about this issue.<sup>67</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Anthony Capaccio and Julie Johnsson, "US Navy's Giant Underwater 'Orca' Drone Is Running Years Late," *Bloomberg*, June 14, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> For an opinion piece addressing this issue, see Collin Fox, "Distributed Manufacturing for Distributed Lethality," Center for International Maritime Security (CIMSEC), February 26, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> See, for example, Jonathan Panter, "Naval Escalation in an Unmanned Context," Center for International maritime (continued...)

# Legislative Activity for FY2024

# Summary of Congressional Action on FY2024 Funding Request

**Table 1** summarizes congressional action on the Navy's FY2024 funding request for the LUSV,MUSV, and XLUUV programs and their enabling technologies. Funding for UUV coretechnologies (line 77) develops technologies for various Navy UUVs, including but not limited toXLUUV.

Research and development funding	Authorization			Appropriation			
	Request	HASC	SASC	Final	НАС	SAC	Final
PE 0603178N, Large Unmanned Surface Vessels (LUSVs) (line 28)	117.4	117.4	117.4		3.	117.4	
PE 0605512N Medium Unmanned Surface Vehicles (MUSVs) (line 93)	85.8	85.8	85.8		74.2	70.1	
PE 0605513N, Unmanned Surface Vehicle (LUSV/MUSV) Enabling Capabilities (line 94)	176.3	176.3	176.3		172.0	161.7	
PE 0604536N, Advanced Undersea Prototyping (line 88) [XLUUV]	104.3	104.3	104.3		82.6	69.7	
PE 0604029N, UUV Core Technologies (line 77)	71.2	71.2	71.2		75.2	67.2	

Table 1. Congressional Action on FY2024 Large UV Funding Request

Millions of dollars, rounded to the nearest tenth

**Sources:** Table prepared by CRS based on FY2024 Navy budget submission and committee and conference reports and explanatory statements on the FY2024 National Defense Authorization Act and the FY2024 DOD Appropriations Act.

**Notes: PE** is program element (i.e., a line item in a DOD research and development account). **HASC** is House Armed Services Committee; **SASC** is Senate Armed Services Committee; **HAC** is House Appropriations Committee; **SAC** is Senate Appropriations Committee. Funding for UUV core technologies (line 77) develops technologies for various Navy UUVs, including but not limited to XLUUV.

# FY2024 National Defense Authorization Act (H.R. 2670/S. 2226)

### House

The House Armed Services Committee, in its report (H.Rept. 118-125 of June 30, 2023) on H.R. 2670, recommended the funding levels shown in the HASC column of **Table 1**.

H.Rept. 118-125 states:

Security (CIMSEC), April 26, 2023; David Axe, "Autonomous Navies Could Make War More Likely," *National Interest*, August 17, 2020; David B. Larter, "The US Navy Says It's Doing Its Best to Avoid a 'Terminator' Scenario in Quest for Autonomous Weapons," *Defense News*, September 12, 2019; Evan Karlik, "US-China Tensions—Unmanned Military Craft Raise Risk of War," *Nikkei Asian Review*, June 28, 2019;

Briefing on lessons learned from the demonstration of Unmanned Surface Vessels supporting Fifth Fleet

Advances in unmanned surface vessel technologies have allowed for new concepts of operation particularly in lower-end maritime security missions. The committee recognizes Task Force 59's successful demonstration of autonomous vessels during the Digital Horizon exercise in support of the Fifth Fleet in the U.S. Central Command area of operations for various maritime security applications. The committee similarly recognizes the successes of the Overlord program in demonstrating and prototyping additional unmanned capabilities. The committee remains interested in the Navy's plans to incorporate lessons learned from these integration and experimentation efforts into the fleet. Specifically, the committee is interested in understanding the Navy's plan to further develop integration of autonomous surface vessels based on the findings from the demonstrations and experiments conducted in support of U.S. Central Command.

Therefore, the committee directs the Chief of Naval Operations to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than March 31, 2024, on the increased utilization of Medium Unmanned Surface Vessels (MUSVs) and Small Unmanned Surface Vessels (SUSVs) to address gaps in lower-end maritime security missions. The report shall include:

- (1) information on future vessel capabilities or requirements;
- (2) planned acquisition strategies for additional MUS[V]s and SUS[V]s;
- (3) strategies for integrating data management and visualization tools at scale; and
- (4) future demonstration efforts. (Pages 50-51)

### Senate

The Senate Armed Services Committee, in its report (S.Rept. 118-58 of July 12, 2023) on S. 2226, recommended the funding levels shown in the SASC column of **Table 1**.

# FY2024 DOD Appropriations Act (H.R. 4365/S. 2587)

### House

The House Appropriations Committee, in its report (H.Rept. 118-121 of June 27, 2023) on H.R. 4365, recommended the funding levels shown in the HAC column of **Table 1**.

The recommended reduction of \$4.320 million for line 28 is for "Prior year underexecution." (Page 204)

The recommended reduction of \$11.552 million for line 93 is for "Program delays." (Page 207)

The recommended reduction of \$4.281 million for line 94 is for "Prior year underexecution." (Page 207)

The recommended reduction of \$21.725 million for line 88 is for "Program delays." (Page 207)

The recommended increase of \$4.0 million is for "Program increase—tactical data links and networks." (Page 206)

### Senate

The Senate Appropriations Committee, in its report (S.Rept. 118-81 of July 27, 2023) on S. 2587, recommended the funding levels shown in the SAC column of **Table 1**.

The recommended reduction of \$15.670 million for line 93 is for "MUSV prototype delays" (\$3.918 million), "MUSV requirements development excess to need" (\$4.7 million), and "Dock and sea trials ahead of need" (\$7.052 million). (Page 212)

The recommended reduction of \$14.549 million for line 94 is for "Overestimation of product development" (\$7.0 million) and "Overestimation of support and management" (\$7.549 million). (Page 212)

The recommended reduction of \$69.7 million for line 88 is for "Basing equipment ahead of need" (\$20.7 million), "XLUUV spares maintenance ahead of need" (\$3.338 million), and "DT&E [developmental test and evaluation] ahead of need" (10.567 million). (Page 212)

The recommended net reduction of \$4.0 million for line 77 includes recommended reduction of \$14.0 million for "Prior year carryover" and a recommended transfer into line 77 of \$10.0 million from line 69 in the Defense Wide research and development for "AUKUS innovation initiatives."<sup>68</sup> (Page 212)

#### S.Rept. 118-81 states:

*Open Autonomous Underwater Vehicle Software Architecture.*—The Committee notes the significant proposed Navy investment to develop and acquire a variety of unmanned surface vehicles [USVs] and unmanned undersea vehicles [UUVs] as part of an effort to shift the Navy to a more distributed fleet architecture. The fiscal year 2024 President's budget request contains more than \$867,117,000 in research, development, test and evaluation funding in fiscal year 2024 and \$4,409,700,000 in the Future Years Defense Program for the development and procurement of such systems. The Committee is concerned that despite this and previous significant investments, the request also reflects significant programmatic setbacks for many of these same systems and technologies, including: the truncation of the Barracuda UUV, pausing the Knifefish UUV program prior to production, the cancelation of the Snakehead UUV program, delivery delays for the first Medium USV, and ongoing additional requirements definition for the Large USV. Further, the Committee notes that the Extra Large UUV [XLUUV] program, which is supposed to deliver five XLUUVs to the fleet, is at least \$242,000,000 or 64 percent over its original cost estimate and over 3 years late.

In contrast, the Committee is also aware that the Navy's Anguilla Large UUV program is using a fundamentally different development approach from other Navy USVs and UUVs. This program is executing on time and on budget and reached mission capable status only 4 years after its initial design review. This approach is known as the Open Autonomous Underwater Vehicle [OpenAUV] software architecture, which features the payload controller extensible [PCX] modular open architecture. While recognizing each vehicle will require a tailored approach, the Committee believes that establishing the OpenAUV and PCX architectures as the Navy technical standard for UUVs and USVs would enable greater speed and flexibility in fielding, upgrading, modifying, and sustaining these vehicles for a range of missions. In addition, broader adoption of the OpenAUV architecture would enable greater commercial participation and competition opportunities through the lifecycle of a USV or UUV platform. The Committee is encouraged that the Navy recognizes the potential utility of broader OpenAUV applicability based on the successful integration of the OpenAUV architecture on one Razorback UUV.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> AUKUS is a trilateral arrangement for enhanced security cooperation announced in September 2021 by the governments of Australia, the UK, and the United States. The effort includes, among other things, enhanced cooperation on certain military technologies. For more on technology cooperation under AUKUS, see CRS Report R47599, *AUKUS Pillar 2: Background and Issues for Congress*, by Patrick Parrish and Luke A. Nicastro.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to assess the feasibility and advisability of: establishing the OpenAUV and PCX architectures as the Navy standard for

UUVs and USVs; accelerating OpenAUV integration on more Razorback UUVs; requiring USV and UUV program managers to review Navy's OpenAUV lessons learned, incorporate best practices, and engage in technical exchanges with performers; implementing OpenAUV on Snakehead UUVs; and maximizing full-and-open competition on UUV and USV solicitations with OpenAUV architectures prescribed. The Secretary is directed to submit this assessment to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this act. (Pages 214-215)

# **Author Information**

Ronald O'Rourke Specialist in Naval Affairs

# Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.