



The National Cybersecurity Strategy—Going Where No Strategy Has Gone Before

Updated July 17, 2023

On March 2, 2023, the Biden Administration released their *National Cybersecurity Strategy* (Strategy). This Strategy follows in a long line of national [cybersecurity](#) strategies—including those of the George W. Bush, Obama, and Trump Administrations. Like its predecessors, the Strategy seeks to incentivize adequate and long-term investment in cybersecurity to combat current risks and mitigate future ones. Unlike previous strategies, the Biden Administration's seeks to [reshape the landscape of responsibilities](#) in cyberspace—placing a greater obligation on certain types of private sector companies.

This CRS Insight summarizes the Strategy and provides policy context for decisionmakers and considerations relevant to the 118th Congress.

The National Cybersecurity Strategy

In examining the cyberspace threat environment, this Strategy rethinks the understanding of [threat actors](#). First, it states that cybercrime is a national security threat, one which warrants the use of the full array of national powers (i.e., diplomacy, intelligence, military, economic, financial, and informational, in addition to law enforcement capabilities) to combat. The use of non-cyber capabilities to respond to cyberattacks has long been government policy. Then-Vice President Biden [implied](#) such a strategy in response to [Russia's election interference](#) in 2016. Second, the Strategy states that the People's Republic of China has supplanted the Russian Federation as the primary threat actor to the United States in cyberspace.

To address these threats, the Strategy organizes around five pillars:

- defending critical infrastructure;
- disrupting and dismantling threat actors;
- shaping market forces to drive security and resilience;
- investing in a resilient future; and
- forging international partnerships to pursue shared goals.

Congressional Research Service

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

IN12123

These pillars have common objectives that are shared across many strategic documents relating to national cybersecurity, including those from the congressionally authorized [Cyberspace Solarium Commission](#), the executive-directed [Commission on Enhancing National Cybersecurity](#), and the private sector's [Cyber Policy Task Force](#). Such objectives include

- [harmonizing](#) regulations;
- [collaborating](#) between the [public](#) and [private](#) sectors;
- integrating [cybersecurity centers](#);
- updating the [response plan](#);
- improving [federal cybersecurity](#);
- coordinating [activities](#) to [disrupt malicious actors](#);
- sharing [information](#);
- protecting U.S. [cloud computing](#) from abuses;
- disrupting [ransomware](#);
- securing [internet-of-things](#) devices;
- incentivizing cybersecurity with [grants](#);
- using federal [procurement](#) to improve cybersecurity;
- securing the [foundational technologies](#) of the [internet](#);
- spurring federal [research](#) in cybersecurity;
- developing quantum resistant [encryption](#);
- ensuring the cybersecurity of the nation's [energy](#) systems;
- improving the [digital identity](#) system;
- using [coalitions](#) to fight cyber threats;
- building partner [capacity](#);
- extending [capabilities](#) to allies;
- reinforcing [norms of responsible state behavior in cyberspace](#); and
- securing information and communication technology (ICT) [supply chains](#).

Some of the objectives are new or push existing policy in new directions. These objectives include

- regulating for cybersecurity across [critical infrastructure sectors](#);
- legislating the [privacy](#) of data held by stewards;
- holding the final assembler of software responsible for [security-by-design](#);
- leveraging federal funds as [a backstop for insurance](#) claims; and
- developing a national cyber [workforce strategy](#).

The document as published lays out a strategic intent for the Administration; the [National Cyber Director](#) is responsible for planning and coordinating its implementation. In July 2023, the Administration released the [National Cybersecurity Strategy Implementation Plan](#). It follows the strategy's organization of a number of initiatives for each strategic objective, that are organized under pillars. For each initiative, the plan further describes it, assigns a responsible agency, coordinating agencies, and sets a due date.

Considerations for Congress

The Administration [has already begun work](#) on advancing much of the Strategy. Congress may choose to exercise oversight of these activities and/or provide additional resources to the Administration in pursuing its objectives.

The five new objectives may require greater investment (and possibly legislative authorization) to accomplish. This affords Congress greater opportunity to debate the merits of each objective and provide direction to agencies.

Two areas that have gained congressional attention are the objectives related to [regulation](#) and [software liability](#). In pursuing both of these approaches, the Administration seeks to address an issue with current computing wherein end users bear the [burden](#) of software vulnerabilities and their malicious exploitation. The Administration is pursuing a strategic shift of cybersecurity responsibility away from end users towards companies and other parties that are in centralized positions to improve cybersecurity. [Previous efforts](#) directed at these parties have sought to encourage responsible behavior with [voluntary standards](#); however, the voluntary nature of such standards led to uneven adoption.

Some organizations have [welcomed](#) potential government efforts to clarify the actions that organizations must take for cybersecurity (and the potential liability they might face) in the event of an incident. Uniform expectations and simplified [regulatory environments](#) remove certain investment burdens for cybersecurity. However, organizations may also be [wary](#) of unintended consequences of government mandates and the impacts those mandates have on their operations. They may also be skeptical that government mandates will be effective at reducing risk or efficiently integrate with business operations. These concerns have already been expressed in the public comments that the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency has solicited as they seek to implement a new [regulatory authority](#) in the [Cyber Incident Reporting for Critical Infrastructure Act of 2022](#).

Author Information

Chris Jaikaran
Specialist in Cybersecurity Policy

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However,

as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.