

Updated June 27, 2023

The Army's XM-30 Mechanized Infantry Combat Vehicle (Formerly Known as the Optionally Manned Fighting Vehicle [OMFV])

Background

The Army's Optionally Manned Fighting Vehicle (OMFV) is being designed to replace the M-2 Bradley Infantry Fighting Vehicle (IFV) (see **Figure 1** for a notional example). *Optionally manned* means the OMFV is to have the capability to conduct remotely controlled operations while a crew is not in the vehicle. The M-2 Bradley, which has been in service since 1981, transports infantry on the battlefield, provides fire support to dismounted troops, and can destroy enemy fighting vehicles. Updated numerous times since its introduction, the M-2 Bradley is widely considered to have reached the technological limits of its capacity to accommodate new electronics, armor, and defensive systems. Two past efforts to replace the M-2 Bradley—the Future Combat System (FCS) Program and the Ground Combat Vehicle (GCV) Program—were cancelled for programmatic and cost-associated reasons.

Figure 1. Notional Example—OMFV



Source: U.S. Naval Institute (USNI), <https://news.usni.org/2021/12/30/report-to-congress-on-armys-optionally-manned-fighting-vehicle>, accessed April 18, 2022.

Note: This is a notional example; the Army's OMFV selected for production may differ from this example.

OMFV Redesignated XM-30 Mechanized Infantry Combat Vehicle

On June 26, 2023, upon the completion of the initial digital design phase, the Army redesignated the OMFV as the XM-30 Mechanized Infantry Combat Vehicle.

Note: CRS Reports and Future References to OMFV

Until the Army adopts the XM-30 designation in official documents transmitted to Congress and replaces references to OMFV, CRS will continue to use OMFV in reports for historical purposes and to avoid confusion.

Role of the OMFV

According to OMFV Program Information released by the Army on February 28, 2022:

The Optionally Manned Fighting Vehicle (OMFV) will serve as the Army's Infantry Fighting Vehicle (IFV) tasked to maneuver through the enemy's security zone as part of a combined arms team for the purpose of creating an advantageous position, relative to the enemy, and providing protection and direct fire lethality while manned or remotely operated. In the close fight, the OMFV enables the ability of dismounted elements to maneuver by detecting and destroying targets at a range beyond the enemy's capability.

OMFV Capabilities

The Army notes four planned OMFV capabilities:

- Enable command and control at the platoon level and higher by rapidly generating, receiving, and passing information to dismounted elements, other vehicles, and command nodes.
- Detect, engage, and destroy enemy infantry fighting vehicles beyond the range of the enemy's primary weapon system, and rapidly defeat dismounted enemy infantry threats. The OMFV would also enhance unit-level lethality by providing target acquisition data, shared situational understanding, and the lethal effects required to protect and orient friendly dismounted infantry.
- Improve organizational effectiveness by reducing the logistics burden on the Armored Brigade Combat Team (ABCT) through enhanced reliability and on-board diagnostics and prognostics; ease of maintenance; and reduced burdens on the supply chain in terms of spare parts, fuel, and munitions.
- Allow rapid adaptation by the means of growth margins that allow for the insertion and integration of future technologies.

OMFV Acquisition Approach

OMFV is to be Army's first ground combat vehicle designed using state-of-the-art digital engineering tools and techniques. It is to be designed from the onset as a Modular

Open Systems Architected (MOSA) platform based on an Army-defined and -owned open standard. As technology and software evolve, MOSA could potentially facilitate rapid OMFV modernization at a reduced cost. The open architecture of the OMFV could also offer more opportunities for industry competition and innovations as the OMFV is upgraded.

The Army is conducting a five-phase acquisition approach to design, prototype, test, and produce the OMFV:

- Phase 1 consists of **Market Research and Requirement Development**.
- Phase 2, the **Concept Design Phase**, includes modeling, simulation, and analysis (MS&A) to inform requirements and support initial design activities.
- Phase 3, the **Detailed Design Phase**, includes detailed design activities to mature OMFV designs and concludes with a Critical Design Review (CDR). A CDR is a technical review to ensure the initial product baseline is established. Successful completion of CDR provides the technical basis for proceeding into fabrication, integration, development, test, and evaluation of a system.
- Phase 4, the **Prototype Build and Test Phase**, verifies prototype performance against performance specifications. Late in this phase, a Limited User Test (LUT) is to be conducted.
- Phase 5, the **Production and Fielding Phase**, is to result in a single Low-Rate Initial Production (LRIP) contract for production, testing, and initial fielding.

Program Activities

Phase Two Contracts Awarded

The Army announced the award of five firm-fixed price contracts for OMFV Phase 2 Concept Design Phase using full and open competitive procedures on July 23, 2021. The contracts were awarded to Point Blank Enterprises, Inc. (Miami Lakes, FL); Oshkosh Defense, LLC (Oshkosh, WI); BAE Systems Land and Armaments L.P. (Sterling Heights, MI); General Dynamics Land Systems, Inc. (Sterling Heights, MI); and American Rheinmetall Vehicles, LLC (Sterling Heights, MI). The total award value for all five contracts was approximately \$299.4 million. During this phase, competing firms were asked to develop digital designs. On November 1, 2022, it was reported that all five firms had submitted their OMFV digital designs prior to the November 1 deadline. All five proposals reportedly were hybrid electric vehicles. It is not known if other companies submitted OMFV digital designs by the November 1, 2022 deadline.

Planned Future Acquisition Phases

Upon successful completion of the Concept Design Phase, the Army intends to have another full and open competition for Phase 3, the Detailed Design Phase, and plans called for an award of up to three contracts in the second quarter of FY2023. The awardees are then to transition into Phase 4, the Prototype Build and Test Phase, in order to build and test physical prototypes. The Army then intends to select one vendor for Low-Rate Initial Production near the end of FY2027.

Phase 3 and 4 Contracts Awarded

On June 26, 2023, the Army announced:

The award of two firm-fixed price contracts for the Optionally Manned Fighting Vehicle Phase 3 and 4 Detailed Design and Prototype Build and Testing phases, using full and open competitive procedures. The contracts were awarded to General Dynamics Land Systems Inc. (Sterling Heights, MI) and American Rheinmetall Vehicles LLC (Sterling Heights, MI). The total award value for both contracts is approximately \$1.6 billion.

The Army further noted:

Following the detailed design and prototype build and testing phases, the Army intends to have a limited competition to down select to one vendor at Milestone C near the end of FY2027, with first unit equipped anticipated in FY2029.

FY2024 OMFV Budgetary Information

Table 1. FY2024 OMFV Budget Request

Funding Category	Total Request (\$M)	Total Request (Qty.)
RDT&E	\$996.7	—

Source: Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)/Chief Financial Officer, Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System: United States Department of Defense Fiscal Year 2024 Budget Request, March 2023, p. 3-10.

Notes: RDT&E = Research, Development, Test & Evaluation: \$M = U.S. dollars in millions; Qty. = FY2024 procurement quantities.

Potential Issues for Congress

The Army's Plans for OMFV Fielding

The Army has, at present, 11 Active ABCTs and 5 Army National Guard ABCTs. There are around 150 M-2 Bradley IFVs in each ABCT, for a total of 2,400 M-2s dedicated to ABCTs.

- Will OMFVs replace M-2s in ABCTS on a one-for-one basis? If not, how many OMFVs are planned for each ABCT?
- How many additional OMFVs will be required over and above those needed for ABCTs? How many OMFVs will be required for Army Prepositioned Stocks?
- In the past, the Army has fielded new systems as a brigade set. Does the Army intend to field OMFVs as a brigade set? If so, how many ABCTs per year are planned to be equipped with OMFVs?

Lessons Learned from the Ukraine Conflict

There are a number of military observations emerging from the current Ukraine conflict. Reports suggest the Russians have lost significant numbers of armored vehicles to anti-tank guided missiles (ATGM) systems. What are some of the lessons learned about armored fighting vehicle vulnerability to ATGMs? Does the Army have plans to incorporate Ukraine lessons learned into OMFV design?

Andrew Feickert, Specialist in Military Ground Forces

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.