

# Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) Pipeline Development: Federal Initiatives

June 2, 2023

Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) pipelines are essential components of [carbon capture and storage \(CCS\)](#) systems which are proposed to reduce atmospheric emissions of man-made CO<sub>2</sub>, a greenhouse gas. Approximately [5,000 miles of pipeline](#) already carry CO<sub>2</sub> in the United States, primarily linking natural CO<sub>2</sub> sources to oil fields where CO<sub>2</sub> is used for [enhanced oil recovery](#). However, a [much larger](#) pipeline network likely will be needed to meet national goals for greenhouse gas reduction. Developers already are seeking permits for new CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines. [Summit Carbon Solutions](#), [Navigator CO<sub>2</sub> Ventures](#), and [Wolf Carbon Solutions](#) are developing multistate projects in the Upper Midwest which, collectively, would comprise over 3,600 miles of new pipeline for carbon capture from ethanol plants. Along with other actions to promote CCS, the federal government has been advancing initiatives to promote such CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline projects, as discussed below.

## Safety Regulation

The [Pipelines and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration \(PHMSA\)](#) within the Department of Transportation (DOT) has [statutory authority](#) over CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline safety. PHMSA has long regulated the construction, operation and maintenance of CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines ([49 C.F.R. §§190, 195-199](#)). However, a 2020 [CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline rupture](#) in Satartia, MS, which required a local evacuation and caused [45 people to be hospitalized](#), has prompted [criticism from pipeline safety advocates](#) that PHMSA's existing regulations for CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines are inadequate. Safety concerns also have given rise to siting opposition among some affected landowners and [advocacy groups](#). In response to these criticisms, and findings from its own Satartia investigation, PHMSA [announced](#) on May 26, 2022, that it was initiating a rulemaking to update its CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline safety standards. The agency plans to publish a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking [in June 2024](#), but has not set a date for a final rule. Some stakeholders have expressed concern that developers may begin constructing new CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines before a new rule is finalized.

## Financial Support

The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA, P.L. 117-58) Section 40304 established within the Department of Energy (DOE) a Carbon Dioxide Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation

**Congressional Research Service**

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

IN12169

(CIFIA) program for CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines. The act authorized and appropriated \$2.1 billion for low-interest CIFIA loans and grants. Although CIFIA has not yet funded any pipeline projects, analysts expect that developers such as Summit Carbon Solutions would apply for CIFIA funding. President Biden's FY2024 [budget request for CIFIA](#) includes \$308 million in direct loan subsidies and \$25 million in grants.

The IIJA (Section 40314) also established a DOE program to support [Regional Clean Hydrogen Hubs](#)—demonstration projects involving clean hydrogen producers and consumers and the connecting infrastructure. Division J, Title III, appropriated \$8 billion to support the program. In September 2022, DOE made a [Funding Opportunity Announcement](#) for a first tranche of up to \$7 billion to support six to ten hub proposals that were due by April 7, 2023. DOE [plans to announce](#) the projects selected for award negotiations in fall 2023. Although DOE has not publicly released hub funding applications, several [reportedly include carbon capture](#) (e.g., from methane reforming to produce hydrogen) which could require the development of CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines.

The IIJA (Section 40303) also amended DOE's existing carbon capture technology program to include support for front-end engineering and design for CO<sub>2</sub> transport infrastructure. Division J, Title III, appropriated a total of \$100 million for the period FY2022-FY2026. On May 17, 2023, DOE [announced \\$9 million in funding](#) for three projects “to perform detailed engineering design studies for regional CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline networks.” The three proposed networks would be located in Wyoming, and along the Gulf Coasts of Texas and Louisiana.

## Siting Authority

Currently, states have primary siting jurisdiction for CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines, although federal approvals may be required for certain pipeline segments (e.g., across federal lands). The USE IT Act ([Section 102 of Division S of P.L. 116-260](#)) clarified CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline eligibility for streamlined review of any necessary federal permits which might be required. The law also directed the Council on Environmental Quality to [set guidance](#) to expedite CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline development. Some analysts have asserted, however, that the absence of overall federal siting authority for CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines could be “[a significant problem](#).” Certain [proposals](#) would federalize interstate CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline siting, preempting state siting authority, akin to siting for interstate natural gas pipelines under the [Federal Energy Regulatory Commission](#). On May 10, 2023, the Biden Administration [urged Congress](#) to “address the siting of ... carbon dioxide pipelines and storage infrastructure and provide federal siting authority for such infrastructure.” Some stakeholders may object to federalization of CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline siting authority, however, contending that CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline development for CCS is relatively new and that there has not been a demonstrated need for federal preemption.

## Issues for Congress

Some Members of Congress show ongoing interest in the expansion of the U.S. CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline network. For example, at a March 2023 [hearing on pipeline safety](#) of the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, Subcommittee on Railroads, Pipelines, and Hazardous Materials, the ranking member stated, “the safe transmission of carbon dioxide to sequester locations is vital to meeting our carbon reduction goals, and I want to make sure this can be implemented without delay.” In a similar vein, the Building American Energy Security Act of 2023 (S. 1399, 118<sup>th</sup> Congress) would include CO<sub>2</sub> transportation projects among those eligible for streamlined regulatory review and would prioritize them as projects of “strategic national importance.”

Given the essential role of CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines in CCS systems, economic and regulatory challenges to CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines may limit the deployment of CCS. In particular, siting opposition due to safety concerns could prevent CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline development in some localities and increase development time and costs in others. How and when PHMSA will update its CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline safety standards might, therefore, be a key oversight issue for Congress. Congress also may evaluate whether the financial incentives it has enacted to promote

CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline development are attracting pipeline developers as intended. Additionally, Congress may monitor the progress of proposed CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline projects in securing approvals from local, state, and federal regulators to determine if further congressional action may be warranted regarding siting and permitting.

## Author Information

Paul W. Parfomak  
Specialist in Energy Policy

---

## Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.