



FY2023 NDAA: Summary of Funding Authorizations

Updated December 30, 2022

Of the \$827.0 billion requested in the FY2023 President's budget for activities within the national defense budget function, \$802.4 billion fell within the scope of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (NDAA; H.R. 7776; P.L. 117-263). While the NDAA generally does not provide funding (i.e., *budget authority*), historically it has served as an indicator of congressional intent on funding for such activities. During deliberation of the FY2023 NDAA, Congress considered whether to authorize between \$37.0 billion (4.6%) and \$44.9 billion (5.6%) more than the President requested. The enacted version of the legislation authorized \$45.0 billion (5.6%) more than the request (see **Table 1**), which comprised the largest percentage growth between requested and authorized funding in the legislation over at least the past decade (see **Table 2 shows the difference between requested and authorized funding in the NDAA over the past decade**.

Table 2).

House-passed NDAA (H.R. 7900)

H.R. 7900 would have authorized \$839.3 billion—\$37.0 billion (4.6%) more than requested. The legislation would have authorized additional funding for each Department of Defense (DOD) appropriation title, including several billion dollars more than the request to cover inflationary effects. According to CRS analysis of selected line items, these adjustments would have included \$3.5 billion in inflation adjustments to complete existing military construction projects, \$2.5 billion for increased fuel costs, and \$800 million for an "inflation bonus pay" for certain military personnel.

During markup of the legislation in the House Armed Services Committee (HASC), Representative Jared Golden offered an amendment to increase funding authorized in the bill by \$37 billion. Golden said, "We need only look to world events in Ukraine, read reports regarding China's plans and actions in the South China Sea, or simply read the latest headlines about Iranian nuclear ambitions and North Korean missile tests, as well as ongoing terrorist threats in order to see why this funding is necessary to meet the security challenges of our time." Golden also said inflationary pressures were hampering the military's ability to procure equipment, invest in emerging technologies, and adequately pay and support personnel. The committee agreed to the amendment, 42-17. Among those who voted against the amendment was Representative Adam Smith, Chair of HASC, who said, "Making sure that the Pentagon has some fiscal

Congressional Research Service

https://crsreports.congress.gov IN11990 discipline and is forced to make tough decisions and not just always rely on another pot of money coming along is crucial to modernization."

During debate of H.R. 7900 on the House floor, Representative Barbara Lee offered an amendment that would have restored funding authorized in the legislation to the level requested in the President's budget. Lee said, "Americans are demanding that Congress rebalance our priorities and invest in the biggest challenges which we face. This \$37 billion could be better spent ... to extend the child and earned income tax credit, improve healthcare access, and pay for Medicare hearing benefits for seniors." The House did not agree to the amendment, 151-277.

SASC-reported NDAA (S. 4543)

S. 4543 reported by the Senate Armed Services Committee (SASC) would have authorized \$846.9 billion—\$44.9 billion (5.6%) more than requested. The legislation would have authorized additional funding for each DOD appropriation title, including \$13 billion more than the request for "inflation effects."

Senator Jim Inhofe, Ranking Member of SASC, described his amendment to increase authorized funding for inflation and other purposes in part as "a demonstration of our commitment to our men and women in uniform and our willingness to compete, deter, and if necessary, defeat any adversaries." The committee adopted the amendment, 25-1. Senator Elizabeth Warren, who opposed the amendment, has previously said spending priorities are misaligned with threats facing Americans, such as Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19).

In Statements of Administration Policy on H.R. 7900 and S. 4543, the White House pledged to work with Congress to set "appropriate and responsible levels" of defense and non-defense spending.

Enacted NDAA (H.R. 7776; P.L. 117-263)

The enacted version of the legislation authorized \$847.3 billion—\$45.0 billion (5.6%) more than requested. The legislation authorized additional funding for most DOD appropriation titles, including \$18.9 billion—the largest increase of any title, in terms of dollar amount—for procurement (e.g., Navy ships and aircraft, Air Force aircraft; Army missiles and tracked vehicles, among other programs). The enacted FY2023 NDAA authorized \$1.9 billion less funding than requested for military personnel (e.g., basic pay and housing allowances), driven in part by the reduction to Army active component authorized end-strength by 21,000 soldiers below the Administration's request, following the service's recruiting shortfalls in FY2022.

Table 1 shows funding authorized in the FY2023 NDAA for DOD-military activities (including by major appropriation title), atomic energy defense programs, and certain defense-related activities.

Table 1. Summary of Funding Authorizations in FY2023 NDAA

Title **President's** House-passed SASC-Enacted % Change budget NDAA (H.R. reported NDAA (authorized-7900) NDAA (S. (P.L. 117request request) 4543) 263) Procurement \$144.22 \$160.20 \$157.98 \$163.15 13.1% Research and Development \$130.10 \$138.64 \$137.75 \$138.86 6.7% \$271.22 2.8% **Operation and Maintenance** \$274.27 \$282.56 \$278.79 \$174.54 Military Personnel \$173.88 \$179.76 \$172.02 -1.1%

(in billions of dollars of discretionary budget authority)

Title	President's budget request	House-passed NDAA (H.R. 7900)	SASC- reported NDAA (S. 4543)	Enacted NDAA (P.L. 117- 263)	% Change (authorized- request)
Defense Health Program and Other DOD	\$40.91	\$44.31	\$41.78	\$44.36	8.4%
Military Construction and Family Housing	\$12.15	\$16.47	\$17.33	\$19.49	60.3%
Subtotal, Department of Defense-Military (051)	\$772.48	\$808.43	\$817.15	\$816.68	5.7%
Atomic Energy Defense Programs (053)	\$29.50	\$30.54	\$29.71	\$30.27	2.6%
Defense-Related Activities (054)	\$0.38	\$0.38	\$0.00	\$.38	0.0%
Total	\$802.36	\$839.35	\$846.87	\$847.32	5.6%

Source: H.Rept. 117-397 (Part 1) accompanying H.R. 7900, pp. 405-410; S.Rept. 117-130 accompanying S. 4543, pp. 380-383; and explanatory statement accompanying P.L. 117-263 published in Part 3 of the 12/8/22 *Congressional Record*, pp. H9527-H9530.

Note: Totals may not sum due to rounding. Dollars rounded to nearest hundredth; percentages rounded to nearest tenth. The "% Change" column is the percentage change between authorized and requested amounts.

Table 2 shows the difference between requested and authorized funding in the NDAA over the past decade.

Table 2. Requested and Authorized Funding in the National Defense Authorization Act, FY2014-FY2023 (in billions of dollars of discretionary budget authority)

Fiscal Year	Public Law (P.L.)	President's Budget Request	Authorized	% Change (Authorized- Request)
2014	P.L. 113-66	\$625.15	\$625.14	0.0%
2015	P.L. 113-291	\$577.15	\$577.15	0.0%
2016	P.L. 114-92	\$604.21	\$599.21	-0.8%
2017	P.L. 114-328	\$607.98	\$611.17	0.5%
2018	P.L. 115-91	\$665.72	\$692.10	4.0%
2019	P.L. 115-232	\$708.11	\$708.10	0.0%
2020	P.L. 116-92	\$741.93	\$729.93	-1.6%
2021	P.L. 116-283	\$731.61	\$731.61	0.0%
2022	P.L. 117-81	\$743.09	\$768.21	3.4%
2023	P.L. 117-263	\$802.36	\$847.32	5.6%

Source: CRS analysis of funding tables in conference reports or explanatory statements accompanying National Defense Authorization Acts. Links to sources are embedded in figures.

Note: Dollars rounded to nearest hundredth; percentages rounded to nearest tenth. The "% Change" column is the percentage change between authorized and requested amounts.

Author Information

Brendan W. McGarry Analyst in U.S. Defense Budget

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.