

Updated December 6, 2022

The Army's Optionally Manned Fighting Vehicle (OMFV)

Background

The Army's Optionally Manned Fighting Vehicle (OMFV) is intended to replace the Army's M-2 Bradley Infantry Fighting Vehicle (IFV) (see **Figure 1** for a notional example). *Optionally manned* means the OMFV is to have the ability to conduct remotely controlled operations while a crew is not in the vehicle and to function autonomously with the Army's Robotic Combat Vehicle (RCV).

The M-2 Bradley, which has been in service since 1981, transports infantry on the battlefield and provides fire support to dismounted troops and suppresses or destroys enemy fighting vehicles. Updated numerous times since its introduction, the M-2 Bradley is widely considered to have reached the technological limits of its capacity to accommodate new electronics, armor, and defense systems. Two past efforts to replace the M-2 Bradley—the Future Combat System (FCS) Program and the Ground Combat Vehicle (GCV) Program—were cancelled for programmatic and cost-associated reasons.

Figure 1. Notional Example—OMFV



Source: U.S. Naval Institute (USNI), <https://news.usni.org/2021/12/30/report-to-congress-on-armys-optionally-manned-fighting-vehicle>, accessed April 18, 2022.

Note: This is a notional example; the Army's OMFV selected for production may differ from this example.

Role of the OMFV

According to OMFV Program Information released by the Army on February 28, 2022:

The Optionally Manned Fighting Vehicle (OMFV) will serve as the Army's Infantry Fighting Vehicle (IFV) tasked to maneuver through the enemy's security zone as part of a combined arms team for the purpose of creating an advantageous position, relative to the enemy, and providing protection and direct fire lethality while manned or remotely

operated. In the close fight, the OMFV enables the ability of dismounted elements to maneuver by detecting and destroying targets at a range beyond the enemy's capability.

OMFV Capabilities

The Army notes four planned OMFV capabilities:

- The OMFV is to enable command and control at the platoon level and higher by rapidly generating, receiving, and passing information to dismounted elements, other vehicles, and command nodes.
- The OMFV is to be able to detect, engage, and destroy enemy infantry fighting vehicles beyond the range of the enemy's primary weapon system, and rapidly defeat dismounted enemy infantry threats. The OMFV would also enhance unit-level lethality by providing target acquisition data, shared situational understanding, and the lethal effects required to protect and orient friendly dismounted infantry.
- The OMFV aims to improve organizational effectiveness by reducing the logistics burden on the Armored Brigade Combat Team (ABCT). This is to be achieved through enhanced reliability and on-board diagnostics and prognostics; ease of maintenance with the use of standard, common tools; and reduced burdens on the supply chain in terms of spare parts, fuel, and munitions.
- The OMFV is intended to allow rapid adaptation by the means of growth margins that allow for the insertion and integration of future technologies.

OMFV Acquisition Approach

OMFV is to be Army's first ground combat vehicle designed using state-of-the-art digital engineering tools and techniques. It is to be designed from the onset as a Modular Open Systems Architected (MOSA) platform based on an Army-defined and -owned open standard. As technology and software evolve, MOSA could potentially facilitate rapid OMFV to modernization at a reduced cost. The open architecture of the OMFV could also offer more opportunities for industry competition and innovations as the OMFV is upgraded over time.

The Army is conducting a five-phase acquisition approach to design, prototype, test, and produce the OMFV:

- Phase 1 consists of **Market Research and Requirement Development**.
- Phase 2, the **Concept Design Phase**, includes modeling, simulation, and analysis (MS&A) to inform requirements and support initial design activities.

- Phase 3, the **Detailed Design Phase**, includes detailed design activities to mature OMFV designs and concludes with a Critical Design Review (CDR). A CDR is a technical review to ensure the initial product baseline is established. Successful completion of CDR provides the technical basis for proceeding into fabrication, integration, development, test, and evaluation of a system.
- Phase 4, the **Prototype Build and Test Phase**, verifies prototype performance against performance specifications. Late in this phase, a Limited User Test (LUT) is to be conducted. A LUT addresses a limited number of issues identified during the acquisition process and can be conducted anytime during the acquisition process.
- Phase 5, the **Production and Fielding Phase**, is to result in a single Low-Rate Initial Production (LRIP) contract for production, testing, and initial fielding. LRIP is the point when manufacturing development is completed and the ability to produce a small-quantity set of articles for testing is achieved. LRIP also establishes an initial production base, setting the stage for a gradual increase in production rate to allow for full-rate production once testing is completed.

Program Activities

Phase Two Contracts Awarded

The Army announced the award of five firm-fixed price contracts for OMFV Phase 2 Concept Design Phase using full and open competitive procedures on July 23, 2021. The contracts were awarded to Point Blank Enterprises, Inc. (Miami Lakes, FL); Oshkosh Defense, LLC (Oshkosh, WI); BAE Systems Land and Armaments L.P. (Sterling Heights, MI); General Dynamics Land Systems, Inc. (Sterling Heights, MI); and American Rheinmetall Vehicles, LLC (Sterling Heights, MI). The total award value for all five contracts was approximately \$299.4 million. During this phase, competing firms were asked to develop digital designs. On November 1, 2022, it was reported that all five firms had submitted their OMFV digital designs prior to the November 1 deadline. All five proposals reportedly were hybrid electric vehicles. It is not known if additional companies submitted OMFV digital designs by the November 1 deadline.

Planned Future Acquisition Phases

Upon successful completion of the Concept Design Phase, the Army intends to have another full and open competition for Phase 3, the Detailed Design Phase, and plans to call for an award of up to three contracts in the second quarter of FY2023. The awardees are then to transition into Phase 4, the Prototype Build and Test Phase, in order to build and test actual prototypes. The Army then intends to select one vendor for Low-Rate Initial Production near the end of FY2027.

FY2023 OMFV Budget Request

According to the April 2022 Army Justification Book for Research, Development, Test & Evaluation (RDT&E)—Volume II, Budget Activity 5D, the Army's FY2023 OMFV budget request is \$589.762 million for

RDT&E funding. Among other things, these funds are to be used for supporting the execution of a source selection board for up to three vendors for the Phase 3 and Phase 4 contracts for Detailed Design/Prototype Build and Test.

Selected Potential Issues for Congress

The Army's Plans for OMFV Fielding

The Army has, at present, 11 Active ABCTs and 5 Army National Guard ABCTs. There are around 150 M-2 Bradleys in each ABCT, for a total of 2,400 M-2s dedicated to ABCTs. While still in Phase 2 of development, issues related to the potential eventual fielding of the OMFV include the following:

- Will OMFVs replace M-2s in ABCTs on a one-for-one basis? If not, how many OMFVs are planned for each ABCT?
- How many additional OMFVs will be required over and above those needed for ABCTs? How many OMFVs will be required for Army Prepositioned Stocks?
- In the past, the Army has fielded new systems as a brigade set. Does the Army intend to field OMFVs as a brigade set? If so, how many ABCTs per year are planned to be equipped with OMFVs?
- Will Army National Guard ABCTs be equipped with OMFVs and, if so, will they be equipped concurrently with Active ABCTs or after Active ABCTs are fully equipped?

Lessons Learned from the Ukraine Conflict

There are a number of military observations emerging from the current Ukraine conflict. One observation is that Russian armored vehicles have allegedly proven highly vulnerable to anti-tank guided missiles (ATGMs) such as the Raytheon and Lockheed Martin-manufactured Javelin ATGM. Reports suggest that the Russians have lost significant numbers of armored vehicles to ATGM systems. Given this observation and its possible implications for armored fighting vehicles in general, what are some of the lessons learned to date about armored fighting vehicle vulnerability to ATGMs? Does the Army have any plans to incorporate any Ukraine lessons learned into OMFV design? If so, what are some of the potential design changes/new capabilities planned for incorporation into final OMFV design? Are there cost implications associated with potential OMFV design changes/new capabilities?

Related CRS Products

CRS Report R45519, *The Army's Optionally Manned Fighting Vehicle (OMFV) Program: Background and Issues for Congress*, by Andrew Feickert.

CRS In Focus IFI1876, *The Army's Robotic Combat Vehicle (RCV) Program*, by Andrew Feickert.

Andrew Feickert, Specialist in Military Ground Forces

IFI2094

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.