

Election Worker Safety and Privacy

Updated October 19, 2022

Concerns about election workers' safety and privacy have been [reported following the 2020 election](#), in news reports and testimony before House and Senate committees. Some election workers have raised concerns about physical safety while performing official duties, as well as more general threats outside the workplace or related psychological effects. These threats, along with a perceived increase in politically motivated job scrutiny, have led [some to leave, or consider leaving](#), their roles.

This Insight provides a brief overview of recent developments, legislative proposals, and policy considerations related to election worker safety and privacy. For more information, see CRS Legal Sidebar LSB10781, *Overview of Federal Criminal Laws Prohibiting Threats and Harassment of Election Workers*, by Jimmy Balser.

Background

Federal law prohibits certain types of intimidation of or interference with election workers, including intimidation to discourage serving as a poll watcher or election official or intimidation as a result of such service ([18 U.S.C. §245](#)); interference by members of the Armed Forces with election officials' exercise of their duties ([18 U.S.C. §593](#)); and intimidation for helping voters register ([52 U.S.C. §20511](#)) or vote ([52 U.S.C. §§10307, 20511](#)). Many states have laws that address other threats to election workers, such as through [privacy protections for election commissioners](#). More general laws—such as prohibitions against voter intimidation (e.g. [52 U.S.C. §§10101, 10307, 20511](#)) or [harassing or threatening interstate communications](#)—might also apply to some conduct.

Some state and local officials have responded to recent reports of threats to election workers with administrative action or legislative proposals. Election officials have included local law enforcement in poll worker trainings, for example, and implemented new security measures in their offices. Bills to establish new prohibitions or protections have been [introduced or enacted in state legislatures](#), including a [Michigan proposal](#) to prohibit intimidation of election workers, a [Washington State bill](#) to extend existing privacy protections to election officials, and a [Colorado law](#) providing for new protections against doxing and intimidation.

Congressional Research Service

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

IN11831

Recent Federal Developments

The executive branch has taken recent actions on election worker safety and privacy concerns. On July 29, 2021, the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) [announced](#) the creation of an Election Threats Task Force comprised of representatives of DOJ Offices, the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), and interagency partners, such as the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), “to address the rise in threats against election workers, administrators, officials, and others associated with the electoral process.” DOJ [announced](#) the first guilty plea in connection with the task force on June 16, 2022.

In addition to enforcement efforts, federal agencies have provided resources or support for election workers. For example, [DOJ](#), [DHS](#), and the [U.S. Election Assistance Commission \(EAC\)](#) administer certain grant funds that might be used to address threats to election workers. DHS’s Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) also offers [publications and services](#) to help election officials respond to [physical](#) and [online](#) threats, the [EAC](#) has released a [webpage](#) and [series of videos](#) about election official security, and the EAC’s Board of Advisors [adopted a resolution](#) condemning threats against election workers and calling for additional agency resources to help protect them.

There has also been activity on the issue in Congress. Election administrators and other witnesses have addressed recent threats to election workers in testimony to several congressional committees, including the House Select Committee to Investigate the January 6th Attack on the United States Capitol ([June 21, 2022](#)); House Homeland Security Committee ([July 20, 2022](#)); Committee on House Administration (CHA) ([July 28, 2021](#)) and CHA subcommittees ([June 22, 2022](#), and [July 27, 2022](#)); House Committee on Oversight and Reform ([August 11, 2022](#)); Senate Committee on Rules and Administration ([October 26, 2021](#), [May 19, 2022](#), and [August 3, 2022](#)); and Senate Committee on the Judiciary ([August 3, 2022](#)).

On August 11, 2022, the majority staff of the House Committee on Oversight and Reform released a [report about the effects of election misinformation and disinformation](#), including threats to election workers, that drew on responses from election officials to [letters sent by the Committee and CHA](#). Some Senators have also sent letters expressing concern over the threats to election workers; requesting [updates on the DOJ task force](#) and making [recommendations for its work with state and local officials and election workers](#); requesting [EAC guidance on resources for addressing threats](#) and [updates on its efforts to support election officials](#); and asking [DHS and the FBI to consider a public service announcement to local law enforcement agencies](#) about these threats and related federal resources.

Some bills introduced in the 117th Congress would address election worker security directly, including through provisions that would

- prohibit harassment of election workers with intent to interfere with or retaliate for performance of official duties (H.R. 4064/S. 2155; H.R. 5746; S. 2093; S. 2747; S. 4920);
- prohibit intimidation for the purpose of interfering with election administration duties (H.R. 777);
- prohibit physically damaging election infrastructure, with enhanced penalties for certain types of threats and harms (H.R. 5746; H.R. 6872/S. 3142; S. 4; S. 2928);
- extend a [prohibition on certain types of intimidation in federal elections](#) to intimidation for processing ballots or tabulating, canvassing, or certifying votes (H.R. 5053/S. 2626; H.R. 5746; S. 2747; S. 4920);
- extend a [prohibition on intimidating poll watchers and election officials](#) to cases involving violence or threats of harm to people or property and to election agents, vendors, and contractors (S. 4; S. 2928);

- prohibit intimidation of poll watchers, election officials, and election agents, vendors, and contractors that involves violence or threats of harm, with enhanced penalties for certain types of threats and harms (H.R. 5746; H.R. 6872/S. 3142);
- prohibit removal or suspension of local election administrators except for specified reasons, such as neglect of duty or malfeasance in office (H.R. 4064/S. 2155; H.R. 5746; S. 2747);
- [extend](#) or create privacy protections for election workers and their families (H.R. 4064/S. 2155; H.R. 5314; H.R. 5746; S. 2093; S. 2747; S. 4920);
- increase the penalty for violating a [prohibition on intimidating poll watchers and election officials](#) (S. 4574);
- establish in statute a DOJ-led election officials security task force (H.R. 5314); and
- direct DOJ to provide law enforcement and federal attorneys with training and resources on addressing threats to election workers (S. 4920).

Legislation has also been introduced in the 117th Congress that would authorize or appropriate funding states might choose to use to address threats to election workers. Recent appropriations measures have provided (P.L. 117-103) or would provide (H.R. 8254; H.R. 8294) funding for improvements to the administration of federal elections, for example. Members have also introduced legislation that would authorize grant programs for recruiting, training, or protecting election workers (H.R. 7992/S. 4239; S. 4920); supplementing election worker pay (H.R. 8015); providing election workers with physical security services and social media threat monitoring (S. 4920); and preventing disclosure of election workers' personal information (S. 4920).

Potential Considerations for Congress

As noted above, states, localities, and federal agencies have taken some steps to address threats to election workers. Congress might choose to leave any further action to federal agencies under their existing authorities or to state and local officials.

Members might also see a role for Congress in strengthening protections—or helping states and localities strengthen protections—for election workers. The following questions might be relevant to Members who are considering whether or how to engage with this issue.

- **How might threats be addressed?** Would action be aimed at addressing obstacles to enforcement of existing laws, for example, or at helping fund security efforts or establishing new penalties, prohibitions, or protections?
- **What types of threats might be addressed?** Would proposed action focus on activities affecting election workers' physical, psychological, or economic well-being or a combination? Would it address threats to election workers while they were conducting their official duties, in their private lives, or both?
- **Who might be covered by proposed protections?** Would protections apply only to election officials or also to volunteer workers, vendors and contractors, or family members?

Author Information

Sarah J. Eckman
Analyst in American National Government

Karen L. Shanton
Analyst in American National Government

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.