



# **Rail Labor Dispute May Involve President and Congress**

Updated July 18, 2022

Effective July 18, 2022, President Biden created a three-person Emergency Board to resolve a labor dispute affecting six major railroads and many smaller ones. All three members are attorneys who have served on prior Emergency Boards. Depending on what actions the board and Congress take, and the ongoing bargaining between railroads and 12 unions, the dispute could still lead to a work stoppage later this summer.

Negotiations have occurred against a backdrop of declining railroad employment, a trend that began well in advance of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Since November 2018, railroad employment has shrunk by some 40,000 jobs, or by over 20%, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Some of these job losses can be attributed to the decline in the transportation of coal, while others may have been due to new approaches to staffing and asset use within the rail industry.

After more than two years of bargaining, the unions requested the assistance of the National Mediation Board (NMB)—a federal agency responsible for facilitating labor negotiations in the railroad and airline industries—in January 2022. On June 17, the NMB announced that both sides would exit mediation without a new contract in place. Since then, the parties have been in a federally mandated "cooling-off" period during which no action may be taken that would result in a work stoppage. If an Emergency Board had not been formed, this cooling-off period would have expired after 30 days, after which the railroad companies could have begun a lockout or unions could have gone on strike.

# **Overview of Rail Labor Law**

Labor disputes in the railway and airline industries are governed by the Railway Labor Act (RLA). If a dispute is not settled through RLA-prescribed negotiation, mediation, or arbitration, and if the NMB determines that the dispute "threatens substantially to interrupt interstate commerce to a degree such as to deprive any section of the country of essential transportation service," the law authorizes the President to establish an Emergency Board to investigate and issue a report. The Presidential Emergency Board's recommendations are not binding on the parties, and either party may reject them.

**Congressional Research Service** 

https://crsreports.congress.gov IN11966 The RLA is silent as to how the NMB determines what constitutes a substantial threat to interstate commerce, though in the past the NMB has considered the effect of a strike or shutdown on the state of the economy as a whole and the immediate economic impact on carriers, shippers, and travelers.

### **Negotiation Issues**

#### Workplace Rules and Precision Scheduled Railroading

Several of the largest railroads in North America employ a loosely defined set of industry practices designed to maximize efficient use of railroad assets, collectively known as "precision scheduled railroading" (PSR). Rather than adhering to regular schedules as the name suggests, these practices often involve planning train movements so as to reduce the amount of physical assets (such as yards and locomotives) needed to generate revenue, thereby improving an indicator of railroad performance called the operating ratio. PSR sometimes can be accompanied by workforce reductions, but labor unions have contended that it also has placed unrealistic workloads and duty schedules on remaining employees. Federal law limits how many hours railroad employees can work during a shift and how closely shifts can be spaced apart, but unions are demanding the retraction of specific workplace operating and attendance rules, in addition to wage increases and changes to vacation and medical benefits.

The surge of freight volume and other supply chain disruptions experienced since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic may already be prompting a retrenchment from some of the more aggressive implementations of PSR among large railroads.

#### **Train Crew Size**

Railroads have explored the use of one-person train crews to further maximize asset utilization, while unions and some lawmakers have sought to establish a two-person crew minimum on safety grounds. The Federal Railroad Administration proposed a crew size rule in 2016 after several crashes but withdrew it in 2019, stating that available data "does not establish that one-person operations are less safe than multiperson train crews."

In the run-up to the current bargaining session, some rail unions asserted that preexisting moratorium provisions prevented negotiations over train crew sizes. However, in response to a lawsuit filed by the rail carriers, a federal judge ordered that the unions must engage in good-faith negotiations over train crew size proposals put forth by rail carriers as part of a new labor agreement.

## **Options for Executive and Legislative Action**

The newly formed Emergency Board has 30 days to investigate the facts and report to the President with nonbinding recommendations for settlement of the dispute. During this initial 30-day period and for 30 days after the report has been issued, the NMB continues to mediate the dispute. If the parties do not agree on either new contract terms or an extension of the cooling-off period by September 16, either side may engage in work stoppages. The last time a Presidential Emergency Board was created to resolve a freight railroad labor dispute was in 2011. In that case, the final cooling-off period was extended several times before a new agreement was reached in April 2012 without a strike or lockout.

On several past occasions, Congress has intervened in labor disputes by enacting legislation to delay or prohibit railway and airline strikes. For example, in 1986, Congress passed P.L. 99-385, which extended the final cooling-off period by an additional 60 days to allow the unions and the Maine Central Railroad to continue negotiations. In 1992, P.L. 102-306 required Amtrak and Conrail to enter into arbitration with unions representing their employees in an effort to resolve various labor disputes. Additionally, Congress

has from time to time enacted legislation requiring the parties to a railroad labor dispute to submit to another emergency board or to accept a board's recommendations.

#### **Author Information**

Ben Goldman Analyst in Transportation Policy

#### Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.