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Russia’s full-scale invasion of neighboring Ukraine in February 2022 marked the start of Europe’s deadliest armed conflict in decades. After a steady buildup of military forces along Ukraine’s borders since 2021, Russia invaded Ukraine on February 24, 2022, with Russian ground forces attacking from multiple directions.

Initially, Russian forces made gains along all lines of advance. However, Russian forces ran into effective and likely unexpected levels of Ukrainian resistance from the invasion’s outset. In addition, many analysts and officials assess that the Russian military has performed poorly overall and has been hindered by specific tactical choices, poor logistics, ineffective communications, and command-and-control issues. The Ukrainian military, while at a quantitative and qualitative disadvantage in personnel, equipment, and resources, has proven more resilient and adaptive, including by using guerrilla tactics effectively, than Russia appeared to expect.

Over the course of the first several weeks of the war, Russian President Vladimir Putin and the Russian military had to adjust to various setbacks and other developments on the ground. In late March, Russian defense officials announced the military operation would focus on eastern Ukraine, including the regions of Donetsk and Luhansk (collectively known as the Donbas, where Russian-led separatists have been fighting since 2014) and Russia would withdraw its forces around Kyiv and Chernihiv. Analysts have anticipated that the redeployment of Russian military units would enable further offensives in the east. Meanwhile, Russia has been accused of repeated war crimes by Ukraine, nongovernmental organizations, multiple states, and the Biden Administration. Russian forces allegedly have targeted and bombed civilian targets, including a maternity hospital in Mariupol. Russian forces also have been accused of causing indiscriminate killings, particularly after the discovery of mass graves and killed civilians following the Russian military’s withdrawal from the Kyiv suburb of Bucha.

Congress is likely to continue to track these developments closely, especially as it considers U.S. and international efforts to support Ukraine militarily and respond to events on the ground.
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Introduction

Russia’s full-scale invasion of neighboring Ukraine in February 2022 marked the start of Europe’s deadliest armed conflict in decades. It also prompted intensive international efforts to respond to the war. Multiple Members of Congress have engaged with U.S. and international measures, including by considering sanctions against Russia, providing assistance to Ukraine, and bolstering support to neighboring NATO countries. The immediate and long-term implications of the war are likely to be far-reaching, affecting numerous policy dimensions of concern to Congress.

This report addresses military and intelligence aspects of Russia’s war in Ukraine, which are of interest to many in Congress as Congress considers various legislative measures and conducts oversight of U.S. policy. It provides an overview of the conflict, including the run-up to the invasion, the performance and conduct of the Russian and Ukrainian militaries, possible Russian intentions, and recent developments. For information related to numerous implications of Russia’s invasion of Ukraine, including a wide array of U.S. policy dimensions, see CRS Report R47054, Russia’s 2022 Invasion of Ukraine: Related CRS Products, by Zoe Danon and David A. Blum.

Prelude to Invasion: Military Buildup and Force Posture

In mid-October 2021, social media and news outlets began to report significant movement by Russian military forces, with limited Russian transparency, on or near the Ukrainian border and within Ukraine’s occupied Crimea region. The buildup came after a sustained increase in Russia’s permanent force posture on the Ukrainian border. Since 2014, Russia has created two new Combined Arms Armies (CAAs), one in the Western Military District (20th CAA, headquartered in Voronezh) and one in the Southern Military District (8th CAA, headquartered in Rostov-on-Don and Novocherkassk) bordering Ukraine. Russia created these CAAs to oversee, coordinate, and manage command and control of units transported to the border. The 8th CAA also reportedly commands the separatist units in the Russia-controlled regions two Russia-controlled areas in eastern Ukraine (the so-called Donetsk and Luhansk People’s Republics, or DNR/LNR).

Throughout December 2021, Russia continued to build up its forces in the region. Prior to the February 2022 invasion, Russia had mobilized between 150,000 and 190,000 personnel and 120 Battalion Tactical Groups (BTGs) on its border with Ukraine, in Belarus, and in Ukraine’s occupied Crimea region, according to U.S. government estimates.¹

¹ Michael Kofman, “Putin’s Wager in Russia’s Standoff with the West,” War on the Rocks, January 24, 2022.
² Battalion Tactical Groups (BTGs) are ad hoc, task-specific formations designed to operate autonomously as combined arms formations. BTGs are built around infantry and armor units, with supporting air defense, artillery, and other units. BTGs comprise the higher readiness units of the Russian military and are staffed by professional (also known as contract) personnel. Each Russian regiment or brigade is intended to generate two BTGs. In August 2021, Russian Defense Minister Sergei Shoigu stated the Russian military had 168 BTGs. Lester W. Grau and Charles K. Bartles, The Russian Way of War: Force Structure, Tactics and Modernization of the Russian Ground Forces (Leavenworth, KS: Foreign Military Studies Office, 2016), pp. 34-40; Tass, “Russian Army Operates Around 170 Battalion Tactical Groups—Defense Chief,” August 20, 2021; Sebastien Roblin, “Russian Battalion Groups Are Assembling Around Ukraine. What Is Putin Up To?” 19FortyFive, December 17, 2021; Conflict Intelligence Team, “‘Almost All Contract Soldiers Are Going to the Border:’ Comments on Social Media Shed Light on Russian Troop Transfer,” January 19, 2022.
During this buildup, analysts and observers documented the movement of Russian units from across Russia toward Ukraine.\(^4\) The 41\(^{st}\) and 2\(^{nd}\) CAAs moved from the Central Military District into Belarus and to Ukraine’s northeast border with Russia; the 1\(^{st}\) Guards Tank Army and the 6\(^{th}\) CAA moved from the Western Military District to Ukraine’s eastern border with Russia; the 49\(^{th}\) and 58\(^{th}\) CAAs moved from the Southern Military District to occupied Crimea and to Ukraine’s southeast border with Russia; and the 35\(^{th}\) and 36\(^{th}\) CAAs (and elements of the 29\(^{th}\) and 5\(^{th}\) CAAs) moved from the Eastern Military District to Belarus (see Figure 1).\(^5\) In addition, Russia deployed elite units—such as Russian Airborne (VDV), Naval Infantry, and spetsnaz (elite light infantry units used for reconnaissance and direct action)—around Ukraine’s borders.\(^6\)

These forces included the full range of Russian military capabilities, including artillery and support systems. The ground forces included air defense, artillery and rocket artillery, long-range precision missile systems (Iskander-M short-range ballistic missile [SRBM] systems), electronic warfare, support, and logistics units.\(^7\) Additionally, by February 2022, Russia had mobilized large numbers of Aerospace Forces (VKS) fighter, fighter-bomber, and helicopter squadrons, which some observers believed would play a key role in the initial invasion.\(^8\)

On February 21, 2022, Russian President Vladimir Putin announced that Russia would recognize the independence of the DNR/LNR. Russian recognition appeared to include the entire regions of Donetsk and Luhansk (collectively known as the Donbas) and not just territory controlled by DNR/LNR, most of which had remained under Ukrainian control since Russia’s first invasion of Ukraine in 2014.

Shortly after February 21, Putin announced Russia would send “peacekeepers” into the DNR/LNR, claiming they were to defend against Ukrainian plans for invasion and sabotage attempts. These Russian charges had no basis in fact. Despite denials from Russian officials, Russia had spent months amassing a significant portion of its military capabilities around Ukraine.\(^9\)

On February 24—following months of warning and concern from the Biden Administration, European allies, NATO, and some Members of Congress—Russia invaded Ukraine. Russia claimed its invasion was to conduct a “special military operation” to protect the civilian

\(^4\) For more on the Russian military buildup, see CRS Insight IN11806, Russian Military Buildup Along the Ukrainian Border, by Andrew S. Bowen.

\(^5\) Units from all 11 Combined Arms Armies (CAAs) and one Tank Army (as well as the 14\(^{th}\) and 22\(^{nd}\) Army Corps) were present on the borders of Ukraine in the run-up to the invasion. For more, see Konrad Muzyka, “Tracking Russian Deployments near Ukraine—Autumn-Winter 2021-22,” Rochan Consulting, November 15, 2021; Dmitry Gorenburg and Michael Kofman, “Here’s What We Know About Russia’s Military Buildup near Ukraine,” Washington Post, January 15, 2022.

\(^6\) VDV include elite paratroop and air assault forces. VDV act as Russia’s elite rapid response forces. For more on Russian military capabilities and structure see CRS In Focus IF11589, Russian Armed Forces: Capabilities, by Andrew S. Bowen; Christian Haimet, “Russian Troop Buildup Continues on Ukrainian Borders,” Janes IHS, February 21, 2022.


population and to “demilitarize” and “de-Nazify” Ukraine; many observers understood the latter term as a false pretext for overthrowing the democratically elected Ukrainian government.10

**Initial Invasion**

On February 24, 2022, hours after Putin’s televised address announcing a “special military operation,” Russia invaded Ukraine with an air and missile attack, using precision-guided munitions (PGMs) against key targets. These early targets included logistics centers, naval installations, command and control centers, air defenses, and critical infrastructure.11 In the opening stages of the attack, the Pentagon assessed that Russia launched over 100 SRBMs, including Iskander-M SRBMs, and air- and sea-launched cruise missiles.12 Some observers believe Russia’s initial strategy was (or was intended) to achieve air superiority, degrade Ukrainian air defenses, and undermine the Ukrainian military’s ability to coordinate defenses and counterattacks. This initial bombardment, however, was more limited in duration and scale than some analysts expected.13 In particular, analysts noted that the Russian air forces (VKS) failed to conduct effective *suppression of enemy air defense* missions, either because of an unwillingness to act or because of a lack of capability. Russia’s failure to degrade the Ukrainian air force and air defenses, as well as Ukrainian command and control capabilities, allowed the Ukrainian military to respond more successfully to Russia’s invasion than most observers expected, both at the outset and subsequently.14

After the air assault, Russian ground forces attacked from multiple directions: north from occupied Crimea in the direction of Kherson; limited incursions west from DNR/LNR; from Russia’s Belgorod and Kursk toward Ukraine’s cities of Kharkiv and Sumy; and a strong two-pronged thrust toward the capital of Kyiv from Belarus (see Table 1).15

---


14 Some analysts speculate that this result was due to Ukraine’s decision, possibly influenced by U.S. and Western intelligence, to activate and disperse most of its units from their permanent bases in preparation for a possible Russian invasion. Warren P. Strobel and Michael R. Gordon, “Biden Administration Altered Rules for Sharing Intelligence with Ukraine,” *Wall Street Journal*, March 8, 2022.

Figure 1. Ukraine

Kyiv was an initial key Russian military target. Led by elite, but comparatively lightly equipped, VDV, spetsnaz, and reconnaissance units, Russian forces advanced along the western side of Kyiv and reached the outskirts of the city within days. In the early hours of the invasion, Russian VDV units conducted a risky air assault to seize the Antonov International Airport in Hostomel, on the outskirts of Kyiv. Analysts have argued that the Russian attack to seize the airport was intended to allow the rapid introduction of follow-on VDV units to surround and seize the Ukrainian capital. Ukrainian forces, however, responded and repulsed the attack, reportedly causing heavy Russian casualties and shooting down several helicopters.

Initially, Russian forces made gains along all lines of advance. Russia made the most progress in the south from occupied Crimea, reportedly imposing significant casualties on Ukrainian forces in the region. Russian forces advanced quickly toward Kherson (which they captured on March 2,

---

21 CRS Insight IN11872, Russia’s Invasion of Ukraine: Military and Intelligence Issues and Aspects, by Andrew S. Bowen.
and eventually turned toward the Ukrainian coastal city of Mariupol.\textsuperscript{22} Analysts argue that Russian advances in the south were successful in part because they involved some of Russia’s most modern and professional units from the Southern Military District and had better logistical support than other units, due to rail access from Crimea.\textsuperscript{23} In other regions, Russia made slow but initially steady progress, seeking to encircle rather than capture major urban centers such as Sumy, Kharkiv, and Chernihiv.\textsuperscript{24} Logistics issues and other factors soon stalled most Russian advances.\textsuperscript{25}

Russian forces ran into effective Ukrainian resistance from the invasion’s outset.\textsuperscript{26} Despite not announcing a general mobilization until February 25, after the invasion began, the Ukrainian military immediately hindered, deflected, and imposed costs on Russian forces in personnel and equipment. The Ukrainian military appeared to exploit numerous tactical and operational deficiencies of Russian forces (which were overextended in many cases), allowing the Ukrainian military to conduct ambushes and counterattacks. In addition, many analysts point to the role of significant security assistance from the United States and other Western countries (including weapons and equipment as well as military advice and training) in improving the Ukrainian military’s capacity to defend against Russia’s invasion.\textsuperscript{27}

### Analysis of Russia’s Initial Military Performance

Despite Russian forces launching heavy attacks and advancing across Ukraine, the Russian military overall performed poorly during the initial phases of the invasion, surprising many observers and analysts.\textsuperscript{28} Reports indicated that Russia’s military and political leadership appeared surprised by the military’s lack of progress and the level of resistance from Ukrainian forces.\textsuperscript{29} As Director of National Intelligence Avril Haines stated to Congress, “We assess Moscow underestimated the strength of Ukraine’s resistance and the degree of internal military challenges we are observing, which include an ill-constructed plan, morale issues and considerable logistical issues.”\textsuperscript{30}

---


\textsuperscript{27} Brett Forrest and Alan Cullison, “How Ukraine Blunted the Russian Advance,” *Wall Street Journal*, March 6, 2022; CRS In Focus IF12040, *U.S. Security Assistance to Ukraine*, by Christina L. Arabia, Andrew S. Bowen, and Cory Welt. In addition to the significant levels of security assistance, Western training for the Ukrainian military, specifically Ukrainian special operations forces, has played a key role in defending against Russia’s invasion. For more, see Andrew White, “Ukraine Conflict: Ukrainian Special Operations Forces in Focus,” *Janes IHS*, March 4, 2022.


Some Russian forces invaded with apparently little preparation. Captured Russian soldiers and intercepted communications revealed that many units were unaware they were to invade Ukraine until shortly beforehand. Reports also indicated that many in the Russian military were operating with poor morale, soon compounded by increasing casualties, poor leadership, and a lack of supplies.

According to Russian law, conscripts cannot be used in combat operations unless Russia declares a national emergency and mobilization. Nevertheless, on March 9, 2022, the Russian Ministry of Defense admitted conscripts had been involved in the invasion, contradicting Putin’s claim that no conscripts would see combat.

Russian units were operating with very little tactical sophistication and not as combined arms formations, leaving units exposed and unprepared for Ukrainian resistance, according to observers and analysts. For example, elite but relatively lightly equipped units, such as VDV and spetsnaz, conducted operations they were not trained or equipped to conduct, such as advancing into urban areas, where they appeared to suffer heavy casualties due to the lack of heavy armored support. Additionally, armored units advanced without infantry support in numerous instances. In another example, Russian National Guard (Rosgvardiya) units reportedly advanced alongside, and sometimes in front of, Russian military forces, apparently with little coordination.

During the initial phases of the invasion, the Russian military appeared not to use many of the systems and capabilities it had amassed prior to the invasion. Observers noted little evidence of Russia conducting electronic warfare or using advanced intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance assets, such as unmanned aerial vehicles. Additionally, despite having significant artillery, rocket artillery, and air capabilities, Russian forces appeared restrained in their use of these systems and capabilities during the initial phases of the invasion. Some analysts speculated that this occurred because the Russian military underestimated the level of

33 Currently, Russia states it is conducting a “special military operation.”
34 Sarah Dean and Rob Picheta, “Russia Admits Conscripts Have Been Fighting in Ukraine, Despite Putin’s Previous Denials,” CNN, March 9, 2022.
37 Rosgvardiya units are key internal security troops, neither equipped nor trained for conventional combat and likely sent into Ukraine early in the invasion to prevent protests against any new pro-Russian Ukrainian leadership. See CRS In Focus IF11647, Russian Law Enforcement and Internal Security Agencies, by Andrew S. Bowen; Vladimir Svrinovsky, “Refusing to Kill People Isn’t a Crime: The Russian National Guard Is Firing Officers Who Refuse to Join the War in Ukraine,” Meduza, March 29, 2022; Tim Ripley, “Russian National Guard Deployed Across Ukraine,” Jane’s IHS, April 4, 2022.
Ukrainian resistance, and that it indicated an initial hesitation to inflict collateral damage on civilian targets.\textsuperscript{40}

In particular, many analysts were surprised at the apparently limited role the VKS played at the outset of the invasion, beyond the initial bombardment.\textsuperscript{41} Russian ground forces appeared to have limited air cover, with Russia primarily relying on Iskander-M SRBMs or air-launched cruise missiles launched from VKS bombers inside Belarus and Russia, especially to target Ukrainian infrastructure and other targets in western Ukraine (see Figure 2).\textsuperscript{42} The Ukrainian air force continued to operate over western Ukraine, and its air defenses shot down Russian aircraft and challenged Russian air superiority.\textsuperscript{43} Specifically, Ukrainian forces used Turkish-manufactured TB2 unmanned combat aerial vehicles in reconnaissance, targeting, and attack missions against Russian forces.\textsuperscript{44}


\textsuperscript{42} Reuters, “Ukrainian Official Says Missiles Launched from Belarus to Ukraine,” February 27, 2022; Reuters, “Ukraine Says It Downed Missile Launched by Russian Bomber Flying over Belarus,” February 27, 2022; Kyrylo Ovsyaniy, “A Source of Death:’ Air Bases in Russia, Belarus, and Crimea Used in Moscow’s Assault on Ukraine,” RFE/RL, March 24, 2022.

\textsuperscript{43} Media reports have documented the loss of Su-25 (SM/SM3), Su-30SM, and Su-34 fighters and fighter-bombers, as well as numerous Mi-8, Mi-24, Mi-35, Mi-28N, and Ka-52 helicopters. Jack Siminski, “What the Air Campaign in Ukraine Tells Us About the Current State of the Russian Air Force,” \textit{Aviationist}, March 4, 2022.

The Russian military also appeared unprepared for many other critical aspects of the conflict. Observers documented Russian units operating without encrypted communications, often using civilian equipment to communicate. The lack of encrypted communications hampered the Russian military’s ability to coordinate its operations and allowed the Ukrainian military to listen and exploit intercepted information.

The Russian military’s logistics issues limited its offensives in almost all sectors. Russian units appeared poorly supplied (for example, some captured Russian food appeared expired and inedible) and seemed to advance past available logistics support. Available logistics appeared too limited to sustain multiple simultaneous offensives. For example, a 40-mile-long Russian supply convoy on its way to Kyiv stalled, which undermined Russian advances on the Ukrainian

---


capital. Moreover, the Ukrainian military appeared to prioritize ambushing Russian supply columns, exacerbating Russian logistics issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported Russian Casualties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimates of Russian casualties vary widely and may not be considered reliable. Due to the continuing state of war, verifying exact numbers of Russian casualties is nearly impossible. Below are some of the estimates that had been mentioned in various press reports by the end of March 2022.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Russia:</strong> Officially, the Russian government stated in late March that 1,351 soldiers had died and another 3,850 had been wounded. On March 20, 2022, the pro-Kremlin newspaper Komsomolskaya Pravda appeared to mistakenly publish Russian Ministry of Defense figures that listed 9,861 deaths. This figure remains unconfirmed, and the newspaper reported that it had been hacked.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>United States:</strong> The U.S. government has largely declined to release specific estimates, but U.S. Under Secretary of State Victoria Nuland stated in an interview on March 29, 2022, that Russia had sustained “incredible” losses and “by our estimates, more than 10,000 Russians dead.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NATO:</strong> NATO estimated that anywhere from 7,000 to 15,000 Russian soldiers had been killed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ukraine:</strong> Ukraine estimated that it had killed nearly 20,000 Russian troops since the war began.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, analysts have noted that the Russian military appears to have issues with command and control, both at the tactical and the operational levels. Russian commanders appeared unprepared for many aspects of the invasion, as evidenced by a lack of coordination among branches (such as VKS and Rosgvardiya) and between units. Reporting indicates that communication problems compounded these command and control issues, contributing to higher-ranking officers moving closer to the frontlines. This exposure reportedly has led to a significant number of casualties among high-ranking officers, and especially mid-ranking officers, who are crucial to tactical-level operations. Additionally, reports indicate there was no overall Russian operational commander. As a result, it appears each CAA and axis of advance was operating independently, with questionable levels of coordination. Recently, reports indicate that General

---


52 Compared with Western militaries, Russian commanders have smaller staffs to assist command and generally are closer to the frontlines, which makes casualties among Russian officers more likely. Many analysts, however, have been surprised by the number and ranks of officers killed. The high number likely indicates that problems forced Russian commanders to accept greater levels of exposure. Jack Detsch, “‘Winging It’: Russia Is Getting Its Generals Killed on the Front Lines,” Foreign Policy, March 21, 2022.

53 Some reports indicate that Ukrainian forces specifically targeted Russian commanders by exploiting their use of unsecured communications, possibly contributing to the high fatality rate. Alex Horton and Shane Harris, “Russian Troops’ Tendency to Talk on Unsecured Lines Is Proving Costly,” Washington Post, March 27, 2022.


55 Reportedly, each CAA brought and set up its own headquarters structure rather than integrating under the command of the Western or Southern Military Districts, as most analysts expected. Tim Ripley, “Russian Military Adapts Command and Control for Ukraine Operations,” Jane’s IHS, March 7, 2022.
Alexander Dvornikov, head of the Southern Military District, was given operational command of Russia’s war to help streamline command and control.56

Analysis of Ukraine’s Initial Military Performance

Many observers and analysts have been surprised and impressed by Ukraine’s military resistance. Despite having a smaller military than Russia, and a quantitative and qualitative disadvantage in equipment and resources, the Ukrainian military has proven resilient and adaptive.57 Analysts note the Ukrainian military has demonstrated greater flexibility than the Russian military and a willingness to adapt to changing conditions to exploit Russian missteps and weaknesses.58 The Ukrainian military also has been benefiting from high levels of motivation and recruitment.59

Initially, the Ukrainian military traded space to draw Russian forces in, as Russian units advanced without sufficient convoy protection and logistical support. As Russian units advanced, Ukraine emphasized guerrilla strategies, such as hit and run or ambushes, to attack supply lines.60 Ukraine also isolated Russian units in an effort to tire and deplete Russian forces. Ukraine leveraged key capabilities (such as the TB2 unmanned combat aerial vehicle for direct attack and targeting for artillery strikes), security assistance, and knowledge to stymie Russian advances and undermine Russian advantages, such as airpower.61 Easily deployable weapons systems (including foreign and domestic anti-tank and anti-air systems) have been effective at stopping Russian forces and imposing losses in Russian personnel and equipment.62 The Ukrainian military also appears to have adopted a diffuse command structure, allowing each operational command to coordinate and initiate operations according to local conditions.

Observers have been less clear on the state of Ukrainian losses, although most agree Ukraine has suffered significant personnel and equipment losses.63 Ukraine has operated air defenses that play a crucial role in the conflict, especially around Kyiv, but documented losses of strategic air-defense systems have occurred. Other losses include tank, artillery, and rocket artillery systems. Although Ukraine has effectively exploited person-operated weaponry and guerrilla tactics against Russia, Ukrainian officials have pressed other countries to supply needed armor, artillery, and rocket artillery systems to enable Ukraine to conduct larger-scale counteroffensives against the Russian military.64 In addition, Ukraine will likely need logistics and supplies not only to replace losses but also to sustain continued combat operations.

57 CRS In Focus IF11862, Ukrainian Armed Forces, by Andrew S. Bowen.
64 William Booth, Emily Rauhala, and Michael Birnbaum, “What Weapons to Send to Ukraine? How Debate Shifted
Possible Russian Intentions and Expectations

Observers continue to speculate about Russia’s initial objectives and plans in launching its offensive against Ukraine. Many analysts believe Russia’s expectations were based on faulty assumptions that undermined Russia’s conduct of the invasion.65 If this is the case, these incorrect political assumptions possibly determined and imposed unrealistic objectives and timetables onto the Russian military. This in turn may partially explain the Russian military’s unpreparedness and initial poor performance.66

On February 25, 2022, the Pentagon assessed that Russia had committed one-third of its available troops into Ukraine. U.S. officials and some analysts believe Russia’s initial operation was to “decapitate” the Ukrainian government and rely on fast-moving, elite units to quickly seize key junctures, similar to Russia’s seizure of Crimea in 2014.67 Some analysts speculate that Russia may have based such a strategy on assumptions that the Ukrainian military would be ineffective and the Ukrainian political leadership could be easily replaced.68 As Central Intelligence Agency Director William J. Burns testified before the House Intelligence Committee in early March 2022, Putin “was confident that he had modernized his military and they were capable of a quick, decisive victory at minimal cost. He’s been proven wrong on every count. Those assumptions have proven to be profoundly flawed over the last 12 days of conflict.”69

Analysts speculate that Putin and other Russian policymakers may have held these faulty assumptions in part due to poor intelligence and a willingness by subordinates to convey only positive information to Russian decisionmakers.70 Reportedly, several Russian intelligence and security officers have been arrested, which some analysts suspect occurred in response to the officers’ poor or misleading provision of intelligence.71 Additionally, many observers speculate a relatively small circle of advisers may have outsized influence on Putin and may have contributed
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Subsequent Developments

Since early March 2022, Russian forces have attempted to adapt to the reality of effective Ukrainian resistance. Analysts have noted some changes in Russian military operations, including more coordination between units and a greater capacity to operate as combined arms formations, increased air support, and significantly higher levels of artillery and rocket artillery fire. Russian forces made slow, incremental advances but continued to suffer heavy losses in both personnel and equipment. By March 7, 2022, U.S. officials believed Russia had committed “nearly 100 percent” of its available forces into Ukraine. Observers also noted that the Russian VKS increased its number of sorties and operations, although most missions appeared to employ unguided weaponry rather than PGMs. The increased sortie rate also meant heavier losses for the VKS, including some of its most advanced helicopter, fighter, and fighter-bombers.

At this stage of the conflict, instead of concentrating on one area of operations, the Russian military appeared to continue multiple lines of advance. In the north, Russian forces attempted to break through Ukrainian defenses around Kyiv, from both the northwest and the east. In the east, Russian forces surrounded Kharkiv and attacked toward Izyum. In the south, Russian forces conducted an offensive to seize Mykolaiv in the southwest and Mariupol in the southeast. Each advance appeared to compete against the others for increasingly limited reinforcements, logistics, and air support. Gradually, most Russian offensives began to stall due to a number of factors, including continued logistics issues, mounting casualties and a lack of available reinforcements, and increasing Ukrainian counterattacks.


79 For specific tracking of the conflict, see Konrad Muzyka, “Ukraine Conflict Monitor,” Rochan Consulting.


Throughout mid-March 2022, the Ukrainian military conducted effective counterattacks across most axes of advance, defeating repeated Russian offensives outside of Kyiv, outside of Kharkiv and Sumy, and in Mykolaiv.82 Ukraine also attacked captured infrastructure, such as the airbase in Kherson and the port of Berdyansk, and destroyed key Russian assets.83

Russia has been accused of repeated war crimes by Ukraine, nongovernmental organizations, multiple states, and the Biden Administration.84 Russian forces allegedly have targeted and bombed civilian targets, including a maternity hospital and theater in Mariupol, regional administration offices in Mykolaiv, and a railway station in Kramatorsk. Russian forces also have been accused of indiscriminate killings, particularly after the discovery of mass graves and murdered civilians following the Russian military’s withdrawal from the Kyiv suburb of Bucha.85 Although it is not yet possible to tally the total number of Ukrainian civilians killed, dozens and sometimes hundreds of victims reportedly have been identified at various targeted locations or mass graves. Ukrainian officials say that in Mariupol, which has been under sustained attack, civilian deaths could number in the thousands.86

**Russian Shift in Objectives and Operations**

Toward the end of March 2022, Russian offensives around Kyiv stalled. Ukrainian forces launched multiple sustained counteroffensives and pushed back Russian forces.87 Observers noted that, after failing to achieve a decisive victory quickly, Russia was reevaluating its objectives and strategy toward achieving territorial gains in the south and east of Ukraine.88 After Kyiv, one of Russia’s key objectives appeared to be the coastal city of Mariupol.89 On March 25, the Russian


83 Most analysts believe Ukraine launched Tochka-U short-range ballistic missile systems during the attacks on Kherson Airbase and the port of Berdyansk. Analysts cite this occurrence as another failure of the VKS to target and destroy such key strategic weapon systems. Joseph Trevithick and Tyler Rogoway, “Barrage Leaves Russian-Occupied Kherson Airbase in Flames,” *The Drive*, March 15, 2022; Andrew Carey et al., “Ukrainians Claim to Have Destroyed Large Russian Warship in Berdyansk,” CNN, March 25, 2022.


Ministry of Defense held a press conference asserting that Russia had mostly met its initial objectives and would move on to the second phase of the operation, focusing on eastern Ukraine, including the Donbas. Russia soon announced it was withdrawing forces from around Kyiv and Chernihiv; analysts speculated that this was aimed at allowing the redeployment of units for further offensives in the east. U.S. National Security Adviser Jake Sullivan stated on April 4, 2022, “Russia is repositioning its forces to concentrate its offensive operations in eastern and parts of southern Ukraine.... All indications are that Russia will seek to surround and overwhelm Ukrainian forces in eastern Ukraine.”

Mariupol apparently has become a key Russian objective. Russian forces have gradually surrounded and advanced into Mariupol against stiff Ukrainian resistance. Russia has employed artillery, rocket artillery, and air attacks to defeat the Ukrainian defenders of Mariupol. Russia’s effort to seize the city has benefited from Russia appearing to shift operations away from attempting to seize all of the Kherson region (which includes Mykolaiv).

Observers note that Russia also appears to be redirecting forces to support operations in the east to cut off Ukrainian military units in the Donbas. On April 12, President Putin stated that Russia’s “military operation will continue until its full completion” but said, “Our goal is to help the people in the Donbas, who feel their unbreakable bond with Russia.” Consequently, the Russian military is likely reinforcing units (many of which reportedly have sustained heavy personnel and equipment losses) and expected to focus on linking up Russian units advancing from the northeast (moving south from Kharkiv) with units moving north from the coast (if they capture Mariupol). The terrain favors Russian forces, with its advantages in armored and artillery units, and makes it more difficult for Ukrainian forces to conduct guerrilla-style attacks.

Russia has increased its use of long-range PGMs against targets in western Ukraine, but analysts have not seen indications of the VKS attempting to impose further air superiority. Russia may

96 The Joint Forces Operation (JFO) is Ukraine’s term for its military operation against the Russian-controlled Donetsk and Luhansk People’s Republics. Most analysts consider Ukrainian military forces in the JFO to be Ukraine’s best units. Barbara Starr et al., “Russia Shifting Focus to Show a Victory by Early May in Eastern Ukraine, U.S. Officials Say,” CNN, April 2, 2022.
focus further long-range PGM strikes against the Ukrainian defense industry in an attempt to cripple it and undermine the Ukrainian military’s long-term capability.

Outlook

In the coming weeks, Russia is likely to continue to reconsolidate its forces for an offensive to surround and cut off Ukrainian forces in the east.\textsuperscript{101} Russia may try to reinforce its control of Kherson to ensure water supplies to Crimea and the rest of the southern coast, including Mariupol. Few expect Russia to agree to a political settlement or cease-fire unless it believes it has realized enough territorial gains to achieve its revised objectives and present a victorious narrative to domestic audiences. Russia’s redeployment of forces away from Kyiv and toward eastern Ukraine likely indicates the Russian military’s need to rest and resupply after using most of its combat-effective units. Analysts question whether Russia has enough combat-effective units to achieve its political objectives without a national mobilization, the calling up of reserves, and the further use of conscripts in combat.\textsuperscript{102} Analysts also expect Russia to continue its use of indiscriminate bombing, using both airpower and missile strikes, likely leading to continued civilian casualties and accusations of war crimes.

Although Ukraine has been successful at defending against Russian advances and launched some counterattacks, it is unclear whether the Ukrainian military will have the resources or capabilities to prevent an encirclement of its forces in the east.

Congress is likely to continue to track these developments closely, especially as it considers U.S. and international efforts to support Ukraine militarily and respond to events on the ground.

Author Information

Andrew S. Bowen
Analyst in Russian and European Affairs


Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS’s institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.