



**Congressional  
Research Service**

Informing the legislative debate since 1914

---

# **Pandemic Relief: The Emergency Rental Assistance Program**

Updated October 21, 2021

**Congressional Research Service**

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

R46688



## **Pandemic Relief: The Emergency Rental Assistance Program**

In response to concerns about the economic effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on renters and their landlords, Congress created a \$25 billion Emergency Rental Assistance (ERA) program in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 (Division N of P.L. 116-260). A second round of ERA funding—\$21.55 billion—was included in Section 3201 of the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (P.L. 117-2).

The ERA program is funded through the Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF) that was established by the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act, P.L. 116-136) and implemented by the Department of the Treasury. The ERA program directed resources to states, localities, and tribes via a per capita formula allocation. The second round of ERA funding included a set-aside of \$2.5 billion for “high need” grantees and did not include a set-aside for tribes.

P.L. 116-260 established various parameters for how the first round of ERA funding (ERA-1) can be used. Among other requirements, states and localities must use the bulk of funds for financial assistance, which is defined to include rental assistance and utility assistance (including payment of arrearages). Remaining funds may be used for housing stability services (case management and other supports to help families retain their housing) and administrative expenses. Renters are eligible for assistance if they are low-income, experiencing financial hardship, and at risk of homelessness or housing insecurity. Grantees are directed to prioritize very low-income renters for assistance. The law also established obligation and expenditure deadlines and imposed various reporting requirements on the Treasury Secretary.

These parameters were changed somewhat for the second round of ERA funding under P.L. 117-2. Specifically, the amount that can be spent on administrative expenses was increased, and grantees may be able to use funds that remain unobligated as of October 1, 2022, for a broader range of affordable housing and eviction prevention activities. P.L. 117-2 also extended the availability of first round ERA funding from December 31, 2021, to September 30, 2022.

Within the statutory requirements—and any additional guidance established by Treasury—states and localities have flexibility in designing their rental assistance programs. The ability of states and localities to structure their programs differently means that the experience of similarly situated renters seeking assistance will likely vary geographically. Similarly, there may be geographic variability in the degree to which existing resources—both ERA and other funds—are adequate to meet demand for rental assistance and the speed at which grantees are able to disburse assistance.

Treasury data on spending of ERA-1 funds showed that approximately \$7.5 billion of the \$25 billion in ERA-1 funding allocated to states and localities had been spent on household rent, utilities, and arrears during the first eight months of the program (through the end of August 2021). The rate of expenditure of ERA-1 funds has caused some to raise concerns about the effectiveness of the program in preventing evictions as eviction moratoriums end, and in addressing the backlog of rent and utility debt (estimates of which have ranged from more than \$20 billion to more than \$50 billion). Grantees with excess unobligated ERA-1 funds are subject to recapture and reallocation of those funds beginning in fall 2021.

**R46688**

October 21, 2021

**Grant A. Driessen**

Specialist in Public Finance

**Maggie McCarty**

Specialist in Housing Policy

**Libby Perl**

Specialist in Housing Policy

For a copy of the full report, please call 7-5700 or visit [www.crs.gov](http://www.crs.gov).

## Contents

Introduction .....	1
Background: Rental Assistance During the COVID-19 Pandemic .....	1
State and Local Allocations .....	3
P.L. 116-260 (ERA-1) .....	3
P.L. 117-2 (ERA-2) .....	4
ERA Program Parameters.....	7
Eligible Use of Funds.....	8
Financial Assistance.....	8
Administrative Costs and Housing Stability Services .....	9
Individual Eligibility and Prioritization .....	10
Eligibility .....	10
Prioritization .....	10
Documentation.....	11
Funding Availability and Reallocation.....	11
ERA-1 Recapture and Reallocation Process.....	11
Reporting Requirements.....	12
Outstanding Questions .....	13
How are local programs structured?.....	13
Will rental assistance prevent loss of housing?.....	14
Will state and local governments use other federal funding for rental assistance and eviction prevention?.....	16

## Tables

Table 1. Emergency Rental Assistance Allocations in P.L. 116-260 (ERA-1) and P.L. 117-2 (ERA-2, ERA-2 High Need).....	5
--	---

## Contacts

Author Information.....	17
-------------------------	----

## Introduction

The Emergency Rental Assistance (ERA) program was created to help cover the unmet rent and utility expenses of low-income households affected by the economic consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic. It has received two rounds of funding.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 (P.L. 116-260) initially funded the ERA program with an appropriation of \$25 billion.<sup>1</sup> The ERA program was funded through the Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF), a program created as part of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act (P.L. 116-136), and administered by the Department of the Treasury, to assist state, local, territorial, and tribal governments.<sup>2</sup> While the CARES Act CRF appropriation could be used for multiple purposes, the ERA appropriation in P.L. 116-260 was directed only to rent and utility assistance and related housing stability services. A second appropriation—of \$21.550 billion—for ERA was included in Section 3201 of the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (P.L. 117-2).

This report briefly describes the need for rental assistance during the COVID-19 pandemic, provides information about the allocation of ERA funds, describes the parameters of the ERA program, and discusses outstanding questions about the program and renter needs.

## Background: Rental Assistance During the COVID-19 Pandemic

Even before the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, low-income renters struggled with housing affordability. The Joint Center on Housing Studies reported that in 2018, nearly half (48%) of all renters were cost burdened (i.e., paying more than 30% of their income in rent), with higher numbers for lower-income (80%), Black (55%), and Hispanic (53%) renters.<sup>3</sup> The pandemic may have made renter housing arrangements even more precarious. Renters have been more likely to lose employment income than homeowners.<sup>4</sup> This is particularly the case for Black and Hispanic renters, who are also estimated to face the greatest threat of eviction during the pandemic.<sup>5</sup> Millions of renters report being behind on their rent, lacking confidence in their ability to pay next month's rent, and facing a likelihood of leaving their housing due to eviction.<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> See Division N, Title V, Section 501 of P.L. 116-260.

<sup>2</sup> For more information about CRF in the CARES Act, see CRS Report R46298, *General State and Local Fiscal Assistance and COVID-19: Background and Available Data*.

<sup>3</sup> Joint Center for Housing Studies, *America's Rental Housing 2020*, January 2020, pp. 26-29, [https://www.jchs.harvard.edu/sites/default/files/reports/files/Harvard\\_JCHS\\_Americas\\_Rental\\_Housing\\_2020.pdf](https://www.jchs.harvard.edu/sites/default/files/reports/files/Harvard_JCHS_Americas_Rental_Housing_2020.pdf).

<sup>4</sup> Alexander Hermann and Sharon Cornelissen, *Using the Census Bureau's Household Pulse Survey to Assess the Economic Impacts of COVID-19 on America's Households*, Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies, July 2, 2020, <https://www.jchs.harvard.edu/blog/using-the-census-bureaus-household-pulse-survey-to-assess-the-economic-impacts-of-covid-19-on-americas-households/>.

<sup>5</sup> Whitney Airgood-Obrycki, "The Impact of COVID-19 on Renters and Rental Markets," Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies virtual event, September 4, 2020, <https://www.jchs.harvard.edu/calendar/impact-covid-19-renters-and-rental-markets>. See also, Sophia Wedeen, *Black and Hispanic Renters Face Greatest Threat of Eviction in Pandemic*, Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies, January 11, 2021, <https://www.jchs.harvard.edu/blog/black-and-hispanic-renters-face-greatest-threat-eviction-pandemic>.

<sup>6</sup> The Census Bureau has surveyed samples of households on their pandemic-related housing situations every two weeks since the beginning of the pandemic, starting on April 23, 2020. See Census Bureau Pulse survey data, available

Efforts to assist renters include eviction moratoriums at the state and federal levels. A national eviction moratorium issued by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) took effect on September 4, 2020, and was extended several times before it expired on August 1, 2021. Following the expiration of the moratorium, CDC issued a new eviction moratorium, on August 3, 2021, applicable in areas with substantial and high rates of community spread of COVID-19. However, on August 26, 2021, the Supreme Court blocked enforcement of the new eviction moratorium, allowing evictions to proceed in areas that do not have their own state or local eviction moratoriums.<sup>7</sup>

While the moratoriums may prevent eviction for nonpayment of rent, they do not prevent arrearages from accumulating, which can result in eviction when moratoriums lift. These missed rent payments also affect landlords. This may particularly result in hardship for smaller landlords, who are estimated to have lower incomes and make up larger shares of Black and Hispanic landlords.<sup>8</sup> At the end of January 2021, it was estimated that total rental arrears were \$57 billion;<sup>9</sup> more recent estimates suggest the total may be closer to \$20 billion.<sup>10</sup>

At the outset of the COVID-19 pandemic, some states and localities used federal funds appropriated as part of the CARES Act, including funds distributed through CRF, to operate rental assistance programs.<sup>11</sup> Further, households may have drawn on CARES Act stimulus checks, expanded unemployment benefits, and borrowing to cover rent. However, some of these sources of funds became depleted as the pandemic continued.<sup>12</sup>

Organizations representing both tenants and landlords advocated for additional federal funds to help tenants pay their rent.<sup>13</sup> Legislation was introduced in the 116<sup>th</sup> Congress that would have provided as much as \$100 billion to help tenants pay rent.<sup>14</sup> Ultimately, Congress appropriated nearly \$47 billion for emergency rent and utility assistance through the ERA program.

---

at <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/household-pulse-survey/data.html>.

<sup>7</sup> For more information, see CRS Insight IN11673, *The CDC's Federal Eviction Moratorium*.

<sup>8</sup> Small landlords in the analysis are those owning 2-4 unit properties; Jung Hyun Choi and Caitlin Young, *Owners and Renters of 6.2 Million Units in Small Buildings Are Particularly Vulnerable during the Pandemic*, The Urban Institute, August 10, 2020, <https://www.urban.org/urban-wire/owners-and-renters-62-million-units-small-buildings-are-particularly-vulnerable-during-pandemic>.

<sup>9</sup> Jim Parrott and Mark M. Zandi, *Averting an Eviction Crisis*, Urban Institute, January 25, 2021, <https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/103532/averting-an-eviction-crisis.pdf> (hereinafter, "*Averting an Eviction Crisis*").

<sup>10</sup> Sarah Treuhaft, *Rent Debt in America: Stabilizing Renters Is Key to Equitable Recovery*, *National Equity Atlas*, August 10, 2021, <https://nationalequityatlas.org/rent-debt-in-america> (hereinafter, "*Rent Debt in America*").

<sup>11</sup> See examples from the National Conference of State Legislatures, which tracks the ways in which states are using their CRF allocations: <https://www.ncsl.org/research/fiscal-policy/state-actions-on-coronavirus-relief-funds.aspx>.

<sup>12</sup> *Averting an Eviction Crisis*.

<sup>13</sup> See, for example, National Housing Conference, "31 housing organizations tell administration and Congress to immediately return to negotiations," press release, August 21, 2020, <https://nhc.org/press-release/31-housing-organizations-tell-administration-and-congress-to-immediately-return-to-negotiations/>.

<sup>14</sup> See the Heroes Act (H.R. 6800) and the Emergency Rental Assistance and Rental Market Stabilization Act (H.R. 6820, S. 3685).

## State and Local Allocations

### P.L. 116-260 (ERA-1)

P.L. 116-260 provided a total of \$25.000 billion in ERA support to governments in states, territories, and tribal areas. Payments (denoted as ERA-1 payments here and in Treasury documentation) are distributed across these jurisdictions as follows:

- \$23.785 billion is allocated for governments in the 50 states and the District of Columbia based on their populations (as projected by the U.S. Census Bureau for July 2020),<sup>15</sup> with no state receiving less than \$0.200 billion;
- \$0.800 billion is set aside for governments in tribal areas, with individual government allocations distributed in proportion to relative payments made under the Native American Housing Block Program in FY2020;<sup>16</sup>
- \$0.400 billion is allocated to the territories of Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and American Samoa, with \$0.325 billion provided to Puerto Rico and \$0.075 billion distributed to the remaining territories based on their relative population share; and
- \$0.015 billion is set aside to cover federal administrative costs related to program implementation.

ERA-1 payments are generally provided to state (or territorial) governments, though state governments may transfer any funds received to local governments so long as funds are used for eligible purposes. Local governments serving a population of at least 200,000 (as measured by the U.S. Census Bureau in 2019),<sup>17</sup> may elect to receive assistance directly from Treasury. Any payments made directly to localities reduce the allocation made to the state government (keeping the total amount provided across each state constant), and are the product of (1) the state or territorial allocation amount, (2) the percentage of the state or territorial population attributable to the local government, and (3) 45%.

In many cases, populations are served by more than one local government that is eligible for direct assistance from the CRF (e.g., a city with a population of 300,000 located in a county with 200,000 other people and thus having a county population of 500,000). Treasury clarified that in such cases, all overlapping governments are eligible for assistance.<sup>18</sup> However, direct assistance payments to larger localities is calculated using only their unique population, or will be reduced by any amounts also attributable to smaller localities receiving assistance (i.e., in the above

---

<sup>15</sup> U.S. Census Bureau, “Vintage 2020 Population Estimates for the United States and States,” December 2020, <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/popest/technical-documentation/research/evaluation-estimates.html>. Allocations are determined by total state populations, including nonrenters; for recent estimates of state renter populations, see U.S. Census Bureau, “American Community Survey 2015-2019 5-Year Data Release,” December 2020, <https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-kits/2020/acs-5-year.html>.

<sup>16</sup> For more on the Native American Housing Block Grant program, see CRS Report R43307, *The Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (NAHASDA): Background and Funding*, by Katie Jones.

<sup>17</sup> U.S. Census Bureau, “Subcounty Resident Population Estimates: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2019,” May 2020, <https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/popest/2010s-total-cities-and-towns.html>.

<sup>18</sup> U.S. Treasury, “Emergency Rental Assistance Program: Data and Methodology for State, Local Government, and Territory Allocations,” January 2021, <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/Emergency-Rental-Assistance-Data-and-Methodology-1-11-21.pdf>.

example, the county government would only use a population of 200,000 for its direct payment calculation).

## **P.L. 117-2 (ERA-2)**

P.L. 117-2 appropriated a total of \$21.550 billion in ERA support to governments in states and territories. Unlike P.L. 116-260, P.L. 117-2 did not include a separate allocation of funds for tribal governments, and the payments were classified as mandatory spending rather than discretionary spending. Payments (denoted as ERA-2 payments here and in Treasury documentation) are distributed across these jurisdictions as follows:

- \$18.712 billion is allocated for governments in the 50 states and the District of Columbia based on their populations (as projected by the U.S. Census Bureau for July 2020),<sup>19</sup> with no state receiving less than \$0.152 billion;
- \$0.305 billion is allocated to the territories of Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and American Samoa, with \$0.240 billion provided to Puerto Rico and \$0.065 billion distributed to the remaining territories based on their relative population share;
- \$2.500 billion is set aside for high-need grantees, to be distributed by the Treasury Secretary using statistics on high-need housing, rental market costs, and unemployment (ERA-2 High Need); and
- \$0.033 billion is set aside to cover federal administrative costs related to program implementation.

Direct local allocation identifications, calculations, and division of payments across overlapping governments in P.L. 117-2 are consistent with the methodology from P.L. 116-260. However, P.L. 117-2 directed that ERA-2 funds be staggered in their distribution. Specifically, Treasury was directed to distribute no less than the first 40% of ERA-2 funds within 60 days of enactment, with the remainder to be distributed after grantees expend 75% of their initial ERA-2 allocation.<sup>20</sup>

**Table 1** shows ERA-1 and ERA-2 allocations and estimates broken out by state and territory, and government level.<sup>21</sup>

---

<sup>19</sup> U.S. Census Bureau, “Vintage 2020 Population Estimates for the United States and States,” December 2020, <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/popest/technical-documentation/research/evaluation-estimates.html>. Allocations are determined by total state populations, including nonrenters; for recent estimates of state renter populations, see U.S. Census Bureau, “American Community Survey 2015-2019 5-Year Data Release,” December 2020, <https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-kits/2020/acs-5-year.html>.

<sup>20</sup> See P.L. 117-2, §3201(c) available at <https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/1319/text#H61B6162AB8EC496ABB590ADA8F6898FF>.

<sup>21</sup> U.S. Treasury, “Emergency Rental Assistance Program,” May 2021, <https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program>.

**Table 1. Emergency Rental Assistance Allocations in P.L. 116-260 (ERA-1) and P.L. 117-2 (ERA-2, ERA-2 High Need)**

(All allocations in millions of dollars)

State or Territory	Allocations to State Governments			Allocations to Local Governments			Grand Total
	ERA-1	ERA-2	ERA-2 High Need	ERA-1	ERA-2	ERA-2 High Need	
Alabama	263	208	21	63	50	10	616
Alaska	165	125	0	35	27	0	352
American Samoa	10	9	0	0	0	0	19
Arizona	290	229	0	203	160	39	920
Arkansas	174	137	0	27	22	0	360
California	1,498	1,185	26	1,113	881	495	5,197
Colorado	248	196	0	137	109	29	719
Connecticut	236	187	35	0	0	0	457
Delaware	200	152	0	0	0	0	352
District of Columbia	200	152	0	0	0	0	352
Florida	872	689	51	570	451	124	2,757
Georgia	552	437	42	158	125	34	1,348
Guam	33	29	0	0	0	0	62
Hawaii	125	95	0	75	57	14	366
Idaho	176	134	0	24	18	0	352
Illinois	566	448	32	268	212	70	1,597
Indiana	372	294	32	76	60	11	845
Iowa	195	154	0	15	12	0	376
Kansas	169	129	0	31	23	0	353
Kentucky	264	209	24	33	26	6	562
Louisiana	249	197	0	59	47	18	570
Maine	200	152	0	0	0	0	352
Maryland	258	204	0	143	114	34	754
Massachusetts	421	333	55	36	29	20	893
Michigan	623	493	25	38	30	45	1,254
Minnesota	289	229	0	86	68	18	690
Mississippi	187	145	0	13	10	2	358
Missouri	324	256	23	84	67	18	772
Montana	200	152	0	0	0	0	352
Nebraska	159	121	0	41	31	5	357
Nevada	125	99	0	83	66	21	394



State or Territory	Allocations to State Governments			Allocations to Local Governments			Grand Total
	ERA-1	ERA-2	ERA-2 High Need	ERA-1	ERA-2	ERA-2 High Need	
New Hampshire	179	136	0	21	16	0	352
New Jersey	354	280	0	235	186	86	1,141
New Mexico	161	123	0	39	29	6	358
New York	801	634	39	481	381	258	2,594
North Carolina	547	432	57	156	124	14	1,331
North Dakota	200	152	0	0	0	0	352
Northern Mariana Islands	10	9	0	0	0	0	19
Ohio	565	447	49	210	167	39	1,476
Oklahoma	210	166	0	54	43	12	485
Oregon	204	162	0	77	61	22	526
Pennsylvania	570	451	49	278	220	43	1,610
Puerto Rico	325	240	0	0	0	0	565
Rhode Island	200	152	0	0	0	0	352
South Carolina	272	215	31	74	59	0	651
South Dakota	200	152	0	0	0	0	352
Tennessee	383	303	29	73	58	16	862
Texas	1,308	1,035	66	639	506	133	3,686
U.S. Virgin Islands	21	18	0	0	0	0	40
Utah	150	119	0	65	52	6	392
Vermont	200	152	0	0	0	0	352
Virginia	525	415	43	45	36	15	1,079
Washington	322	255	24	188	149	41	979
West Virginia	200	152	0	0	0	0	352
Wisconsin	322	255	26	65	51	16	735
Wyoming	200	152	0	0	0	0	352
All Tribal Governments	800	0	0	0	0	0	800
<b>Totals</b>	<b>18,305</b>	<b>14,195</b>	<b>779</b>	<b>6,680</b>	<b>4,822</b>	<b>1,721</b>	<b>46,502</b>

**Source:** U.S. Treasury, “Emergency Rental Assistance Program,” May 2021, <https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/cares/emergency-rental-assistance-program>.

**Notes:** Recipients may choose to transfer funds to governments within their jurisdiction, but are not obligated to do so. Sums may not equal totals due to rounding.

### State and Local Distribution Information

Treasury and stakeholder groups have assembled various resources to provide information on state and local ERA programs.

For detailed state and local allocations under ERA-1 and ERA-2, Treasury provides information on its website:

- ERA-1: <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/Emergency-Rental-Assistance-Payments-to-States-and-Eligible-Units-of-Local-Government.pdf>
- ERA-2: [https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA2\\_Allocations\\_Eligible\\_Entities\\_572021.pdf](https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA2_Allocations_Eligible_Entities_572021.pdf)

Treasury also periodically releases data on program expenditures by grantee:

- See <https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program> (scroll to the bottom of the page)

To identify specific grantees and programs in states and localities, Treasury has developed a program locator tool:

- See <https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program/program-index>

The National Low Income Housing Coalition (a nonprofit advocacy organization) has developed a set of tools for analyzing selected features of state and local ERA programs as well as a spending tracker:

- See <https://nlihc.org/era-dashboard>

## ERA Program Parameters

When P.L. 116-260 created the ERA program under the CRF, it established parameters for how the funds could and should be spent. Treasury has issued Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) and other guidance documents regarding how certain aspects of the law are to be applied.<sup>22</sup> For the second round of ERA funding, P.L. 117-2 made some changes that are applicable to the first round of funding (i.e., expenditure deadlines); and others that are applicable only to the second round (i.e., income eligibility and a different cap on administrative expenses). Treasury has revised its FAQs multiple times to reflect the requirements of both ERA-1 and ERA-2 and in response to stakeholder feedback.<sup>23</sup>

As noted, ERA funds are provided from Treasury to states and localities, which can use the funds to design their own rental assistance programs within the requirements of the law and Treasury guidance. Some states and localities were able to use the new funds to supplement existing rental assistance programs created with CARES Act or other funds, to the extent their existing programs aligned with the emergency rental assistance statutory requirements (which are outlined below); others had to develop new programs from scratch.

<sup>22</sup> Treasury guidance can be found at <https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/cares/emergency-rental-assistance-program>.

<sup>23</sup> Treasury has revised FAQs in February, March, May, June, and August of 2021. See the February FAQs at [https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA-Frequently-Asked-Questions\\_Pub-2-22-21.pdf](https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA-Frequently-Asked-Questions_Pub-2-22-21.pdf), March FAQs at [https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA-Frequently-Asked-Questions\\_Pub-3-16-21.pdf](https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA-Frequently-Asked-Questions_Pub-3-16-21.pdf), May FAQs at <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA2FAQs%205-6-21.pdf> (hereinafter, “Treasury May 7, 2021, FAQs”), June FAQs at [https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA\\_FAQs\\_6-24-21.pdf](https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA_FAQs_6-24-21.pdf) (hereinafter, “Treasury June 24, 2021, FAQs”), and August FAQs at <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA-FAQ-8-25-2021.pdf> (hereinafter, “Treasury August 25, 2021, FAQs”).

## **Eligible Use of Funds**

P.L. 116-260 directed that 90% of ERA funds be spent on direct financial assistance and that up to 10% could be spent on administrative expenses and housing stability services.<sup>24</sup> Note that Treasury guidance further interpreted these limits; see the “Administrative Costs and Housing Stability Services” section.

For the second round of ERA funding, P.L. 117-2 directed that no more than 15% be spent on administrative expenses and 10% be spent on housing stability services, leaving at least 75% to be spent on direct financial assistance.

## **Financial Assistance**

P.L. 116-260 defined financial assistance as assistance to tenants for

- rent and rental arrears,
- utilities and home energy costs and arrears, and
- other expenses related to housing incurred due, directly or indirectly, to the COVID-19 outbreak, as defined by the Treasury Secretary.

The definition of financial assistance under P.L. 117-2 is nearly identical, except when it comes to other expenses related to housing. The P.L. 117-2 definition does not require that the expenses be related to the COVID-19 outbreak.

Treasury issued an FAQ document in January 2021 clarifying that telecommunications services are not considered utilities under this program.<sup>25</sup> However, Treasury later revised the FAQs to define “other expenses” eligible for assistance to include internet service, if it allows renters to engage in distance learning, telework, and telemedicine and obtain government services.<sup>26</sup> Additional “other expenses” identified in the FAQ include relocation expenses and rental fees (if a household has been displaced due to COVID-19), and accrued late fees.

## ***Length of Assistance***

Under P.L. 116-260, assistance can be provided for no more than 12 months, with the possibility of one 3-month extension. Payments made for prospective rent are subject to additional limitations; they can only be provided in 3-month increments and only if rental arrearages are addressed.

Under the terms of P.L. 117-2, recipients can receive no more than 18 months of assistance under both rounds of ERA combined.

Treasury’s May 7, 2021, FAQs clarified that grantees must prohibit landlords from evicting tenants for nonpayment of rent during the period for which they have received prospective rent payments. Treasury’s guidance also encourages grantees to set policies prohibiting landlords who

---

<sup>24</sup> Treasury’s initial guidance interpreted this 10% limitation as applying to both administrative fees and housing stability services combined. Subsequent guidance has amended that interpretation to allow grantees to use up to 10% of ERA-1 funds for housing stability services, and up to 10% of total funds for administrative expenses.

<sup>25</sup> See the January FAQ at [https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA-Frequently-Asked-Questions\\_Pub-1-19-21.pdf](https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA-Frequently-Asked-Questions_Pub-1-19-21.pdf).

<sup>26</sup> See the February FAQ revision at [https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA-Frequently-Asked-Questions\\_Pub-2-22-21.pdf](https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA-Frequently-Asked-Questions_Pub-2-22-21.pdf), FAQ 7, p. 4.

receive payment for rental arrearages from evicting tenants for nonpayment of rent for some period, consistent with applicable law.<sup>27</sup>

### ***Payments***

P.L. 116-260 directed that payments be made directly to landlords or utility providers, but it allows payments to be made directly to tenants if landlords or utility providers are unwilling to accept such payments. According to the May 7, 2021, FAQs, Treasury has reduced the amount of time grantees must wait for landlords or utility providers to respond to outreach efforts before making payments directly to tenants.<sup>28</sup>

Landlords are permitted to aid their tenants in applying for assistance, or they may apply directly. Landlords who apply directly must meet certain conditions (including obtaining tenant signatures, notifying tenants of the application, and ensuring any funds received are applied to tenants' rental obligations).

The requirements in P.L. 117-2 are not as specific about landlord involvement, and, according to guidance in the May 7, 2021, FAQs, grantees may use their ERA-2 funding to offer assistance directly to tenants without first attempting to contact landlords or utility providers.<sup>29</sup>

Additionally, a revision to the FAQs issued on June 24, 2021, permitted grantees to enter into data sharing agreements and bulk payment arrangements for large landlords and utility providers.<sup>30</sup> The August revisions to the FAQs allow grantees to make bulk payments to landlords and utility providers in advance of tenant eligibility determination, as long as application and documentation requirements are met within six months.<sup>31</sup>

The August FAQs further allow that, upon the request of a tenant, a grantee may provide assistance for rental and utility arrears after an otherwise eligible tenant has vacated a unit.<sup>32</sup>

### **Administrative Costs and Housing Stability Services**

Under P.L. 116-260, the remaining 10% of grant funds can be used for grantee administrative costs and housing stability services, which Treasury has interpreted as allowing grantees to use up to 10% of grant amounts each for housing stability services and administrative costs.<sup>33</sup>

P.L. 116-260 defined “housing stability services” as case management and other services related to COVID-19, to be defined by the Secretary, that are intended to keep tenants stably housed. It restricted administrative expenses to those tied to providing financial assistance and housing stability services, including for data collection and reporting requirements.

P.L. 117-2 established a cap of up to 15% of total grant funding for administrative expenses and up to 10% for housing stability services. The law defined “housing stability services” as case management and other services intended to keep households stably housed, without reference to COVID-19. It defined “administrative expenses” as those included under P.L. 116-260, as well as costs associated with other affordable rental housing and eviction prevention activities.

---

<sup>27</sup> Treasury May 7, 2021, FAQs, FAQ 32, p. 14.

<sup>28</sup> Treasury May 7, 2021, FAQs, FAQ 12, p. 8.

<sup>29</sup> Treasury May 7, 2021, FAQs, FAQ 12, p. 8.

<sup>30</sup> Treasury June 24, 2021, FAQs, FAQ 38, p. 16.

<sup>31</sup> Treasury August 25, 2021, FAQs, FAQ 38, p. 17.

<sup>32</sup> Treasury August 25, 2021, FAQs, FAQ 40, p. 17.

<sup>33</sup> See footnote 24.

## Individual Eligibility and Prioritization

P.L. 116-260 established a three-part eligibility test based on income level, income loss or other financial hardship, and risk of homelessness or housing instability. It also established a set of income targeting requirements to guide states and localities in prioritizing assistance. P.L. 117-2 largely adopted the same requirements, but with some changes.

### Eligibility

Under P.L. 116-260, to be eligible for direct financial assistance or housing stability services, households must be renters and

- low-income, defined (consistent with federal housing law) as having income at or below 80% of local area median income as established by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD);
- experiencing financial hardship, as evidenced by receipt of unemployment benefits or a written attestation of other financial hardship (income loss or increased expenses) related directly or indirectly to the COVID-19 pandemic; and
- have at least one member at risk of homelessness or housing instability, as evidenced by past due rent or utility notices (including eviction notices), unsafe living conditions, or other evidence as established by the grantee.

The eligibility definition in P.L. 117-2 does not include the detail as to how an individual can demonstrate a risk of homelessness or housing insecurity that was included in P.L. 116-260; nor does it require that financial hardship be related to the COVID-19 pandemic. A household is eligible for assistance under ERA-2 as long as hardship has occurred due to *or* during the pandemic.

Neither law addresses noncitizen eligibility for assistance under the ERA program.<sup>34</sup>

### Prioritization

P.L. 116-260 directs grantees to prioritize the following individuals for direct financial assistance and housing stability services:

- very low-income tenants, defined (consistent with federal housing law) as having income at or below 50% of local area median income as established by HUD; and
- applicants who are unemployed and have been unemployed for the prior 90 days.

The law permits states and localities to further establish their own prioritization policies.

P.L. 117-2 made these provisions applicable to the second round of ERA funding.

---

<sup>34</sup> Some questions have arisen as to whether noncitizen eligibility restrictions under the Personal Responsibility and Work Responsibility Act of 1996 (PRWORA; Title IV of P.L. 104-193, as amended) apply to assistance under the ERA program. To date, Treasury has not issued guidance on the applicability of PRWORA noncitizen restrictions to these funds. For more information about PRWORA's restrictions, see CRS Report R46510, *PRWORA's Restrictions on Noncitizen Eligibility for Federal Public Benefits: Legal Issues*.

## **Documentation**

P.L. 116-260 specifies that grantees may determine an applicant’s income eligibility based on annual income or current monthly income (subject to three-month recertification).

P.L. 117-2 does not include provisions related to income determination.

Treasury’s May 7, 2021, FAQs state: “Treasury strongly encourages grantees to avoid establishing documentation requirements that are likely to be barriers to participation for eligible households.”<sup>35</sup> For example, the FAQs say a grantee may rely on an applicant’s self-attestation of income under certain circumstances.<sup>36</sup>

## **Funding Availability and Reallocation**

P.L. 116-260 made first round ERA funds available to grantees through December 31, 2021; the deadline was subsequently extended to September 30, 2022, by P.L. 117-2. However, beginning September 30, 2021, the law directs the Treasury Secretary to recapture any “excess” unobligated funds (as determined by the Secretary) and to reallocate them to grantees that have obligated at least 65% of their ERA-1 funds for eligible purposes (discussed below). Grantees receiving reallocated funds may request up to a 90-day extension of the availability deadline, to December 29, 2022.

Funding provided through P.L. 117-2 is available until September 30, 2025. Beginning March 31, 2022, the Treasury Secretary is directed to reallocate undisbursed funds<sup>37</sup> to grantees that have obligated 50% or more of their total allocated funds. These reallocated funds can only be used for financial assistance. Grantees that have obligated at least 75% of their funds for eligible purposes as of October 1, 2022, may obligate remaining unobligated funds for a broader range of other affordable rental housing and eviction prevention purposes for very low-income families.

## **ERA-1 Recapture and Reallocation Process**

On October 4, 2021, Treasury released initial guidance on its plan for reallocating “excess” unobligated ERA-1 funding, which is summarized below.<sup>38</sup>

Grantees that have obligated less than 65% of their ERA-1 allocations are required to submit a Program Improvement Plan to Treasury no later than November 15, 2021, as well as progress reports thereafter. The Program Improvement Plan is a factor Treasury will consider in determining excess funds.

Beginning in November 2021, and every two months thereafter, through at least March 31, 2022, Treasury will assess grantees for excess funds. Treasury will determine excess funds based on the following factors:

---

<sup>35</sup> Treasury May 7, 2021, FAQs, FAQ 1, p. 2.

<sup>36</sup> Treasury May 7, 2021, FAQs, FAQ 4, p. 5.

<sup>37</sup> As noted earlier, P.L. 117-2 directed Treasury to disburse ERA-2 funding allocations in phases, based on grantee spending rates. Specifically, Treasury was directed to distribute no less than the first 40% of ERA-2 funds within 60 days of enactment, with the remainder to be distributed after grantees expend 75% of their initial ERA-2 allocation. It is these undisbursed ERA-2 funds held by Treasury that are subject to potential reallocation.

<sup>38</sup> Department of the Treasury, “Emergency Rental Assistance Under the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 Reallocation Guidance,” October 4, 2021, <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA-Reallocation-Guidance.pdf> (hereinafter, “Treasury, October 4, 2021, guidance”).

- **Insufficient Expenditure Ratios:** Grantees with an expenditure ratio below a specified threshold may be determined to have excess funds based on that threshold. The expenditure ratio is calculated as the share of a grantee’s allocation (excluding 10% for administrative costs) spent on assistance to eligible households.
  - For the first assessment, in November 2021, the threshold is 30%, based on expenditure data through September 30, 2021.
  - Thereafter, the threshold will be increased by five percentage points each month.
- **Failure to Make Required Submissions:** Grantees that have obligated less than 65% of their funds and fail to submit a Program Improvement Plan, or fail to confirm progress on that plan, may be determined to have excess funds measured at 10% of their allocation.
- **Unobligated Funds at Final Assessment:** Grantees that have unobligated funds remaining on March 31, 2022, may have those funds determined to be excess.

Whether Treasury will recapture funds from a grantee, and the amount to be recaptured, depends on various formulas and mitigating considerations, including the Program Improvement Plan, outlined in the Treasury guidance.<sup>39</sup>

Once Treasury has made a recapture, it may reallocate funds to other grantees. According to the Treasury guidance,

- grantees will be eligible to apply for reallocated funds if they have obligated at least 65% of their own initial ERA-1 allocations and they submit a request to Treasury;
- Treasury will “periodically” determine whether there are sufficient requests for reallocated funding, based on capacity and indicators of need, to trigger a reallocation; and
- if more requests are received than there are excess funds to be allocated, Treasury will develop a relative share formula for allocating funds:
  - when feasible, Treasury will prioritize reallocation within the same state; and
  - Treasury may also prioritize grantees likely to expend all remaining ERA-1 and ERA-2 funds “promptly.”

Grantees may also voluntarily request that their ERA-1 funds be reallocated to another grantee within the same state that has obligated at least 65% of its funds.

## Reporting Requirements

The Treasury Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, is required under P.L. 116-260 to provide quarterly reports on a number of specified program indicators, including the number of households served by the program, their income profiles, the acceptance rate of applicants, and the types and amounts of assistance. Grantees must establish

---

<sup>39</sup> For example, a grantee can avoid recapture if, by November 15, 2021, it certifies it has met either the 30% expenditure ratio or the 65% obligation threshold. For more details, see Treasury, October 4, 2021, guidance.

data privacy guidelines for collecting information. Treasury has begun publishing data from these reports on its website.<sup>40</sup>

P.L. 117-2 did not contain reporting requirements for ERA-2. Treasury's May 7, 2021, FAQs encouraged ERA-2 grantees to comply with the data privacy and security requirements established for ERA-1.<sup>41</sup> Treasury subsequently released reporting guidance applicable to both ERA-1 and ERA-2 grantees.<sup>42</sup>

## Outstanding Questions

This section discusses possible questions about the implementation of the ERA program.

### How are local programs structured?

States and localities are charged with distributing ERA assistance to eligible renters based on a limited set of program parameters. Within those parameters, states and localities have authority to determine, for example,

- who will administer assistance (i.e., a government entity vs. a nonprofit or community partner);
- how to prioritize among the eligible uses of the funds (e.g., arrears vs. prospective payments; utilities vs. rent);
- how to ration limited benefits (e.g., lotteries for assistance vs. first-come first-served or referral-based processes);
- whether and how to further prioritize applicants (e.g., adopting deeper income targeting than is required by law);
- how much documentation to require of applicants and for recertification periods;
- the amount and duration of benefits provided;
- whether to place conditions on the recipients of assistance (e.g., requiring landlords to accept partial payment to reduce debt obligations, prohibiting landlords that accept payment from pursuing eviction); and
- whether and what to provide in terms of housing stability services.

One factor that may influence state and local decisions is the extent to which any previous emergency rental assistance programs they administered already met, or could be easily adjusted to meet, the requirements for ERA funding. To the extent states and localities were able to fund existing programs with their ERA dollars instead of having to establish new programs, the assistance could potentially be distributed more quickly. Treasury found this to be the case in a "Key Findings" interim reporting document issued in July 2021.<sup>43</sup>

---

<sup>40</sup> See <https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program>.

<sup>41</sup> Treasury May 7, 2021, FAQs, FAQ 14, p. 9.

<sup>42</sup> See ERA Reporting Guidance, issued June 30, 2021, at <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA-Reporting-Guidance.pdf>.

<sup>43</sup> U.S. Treasury, "Emergency Rental Assistance Data Shows Programs Ramping Up, but States and Localities Must Do More to Accelerate Aid," p. 4, available at <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/2021-07-02-ERA-Data-Blog-Post-vF.pdf>.



The ability of state and local governments to structure their programs differently means that the experience of similarly situated renters seeking emergency rental assistance will likely vary geographically, including how and whether individual renters are made aware of the availability of ERA funds in their communities, as well as their individual eligibility and likelihood of being assisted.

Treasury's June FAQ revision included encouragement for grantees to coordinate to reduce barriers and delays in providing assistance created by differences in local programs in the same region. The agency also released "promising practices" to ensure assistance can quickly reach renters in need.<sup>44</sup>

## **Will rental assistance prevent loss of housing?**

Both the amount and geographic distribution of ERA funding, as well as how quickly it is distributed, could determine the extent to which the assistance may help protect renters from eviction.

Because there is no definitive estimate of renters in arrears and the amounts they owe, it is unknown whether all renters who are behind will be able to receive assistance with available funding. Estimates of the need for rental assistance vary and may depend on the data source and methodology (which are not examined in this report).<sup>45</sup> Research released in January 2021 predicted that the first round of ERA rental assistance would be insufficient to meet the needs of all delinquent renters.<sup>46</sup> Since that time, P.L. 117-2 funded another \$21.550 billion in rental assistance as well as additional direct aid to individuals via stimulus payments and refundable child tax credits. Newer estimates project rental arrearages to be less than total ERA funding.<sup>47</sup> Whether existing ERA funding will be sufficient to address outstanding arrearages and avoid widespread housing disruption when eviction moratoriums end is yet to be seen.

More recently, the speed and effectiveness of the distribution of ERA funds has been of increasing concern to stakeholders and policymakers. The need for more time to distribute ERA assistance to eligible households to forestall evictions was asserted by the Administration in its June 2021 extension of the CDC eviction moratorium (through the end of July)<sup>48</sup> and in its issuance of a new eviction moratorium in August 2021 (through October 3, 2021).<sup>49</sup> (The CDC's

---

<sup>44</sup> See <https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program/promising-practices>.

<sup>45</sup> Various estimates made in 2020 predicted arrears of \$7 billion, \$25-\$34 billion, and \$70 billion by the end of the year. See Davin Reed and Eileen Divringi, *Household Rental Debt During COVID-19*, Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, October 2020, <https://www.philadelphiafed.org/-/media/frbp/assets/community-development/reports/household-rental-debt-during-covid-19.pdf>; Stout, Risius Ross LLC, *Analysis of Current and Expected Rental Shortfall and Potential Eviction Filings in the U.S.*, National Council of State Housing Finance Agencies, September 25, 2020, [https://www.ncsha.org/wp-content/uploads/Analysis-of-Current-and-Expected-Rental-Shortfall-and-Potential-Evictions-in-the-US\\_Stout\\_FINAL.pdf](https://www.ncsha.org/wp-content/uploads/Analysis-of-Current-and-Expected-Rental-Shortfall-and-Potential-Evictions-in-the-US_Stout_FINAL.pdf); and John Lonski, *Weekly Market Outlook: Markets Avoid Great Recession's Calamities*, Moody's Analytics, August 13, 2020, p. 9, <https://www.moodyanalytics.com/-/media/article/2020/weekly-market-outlook-markets-avoid-great-recessions-calamities.pdf>.

<sup>46</sup> *Averting an Eviction Crisis*.

<sup>47</sup> *Rent Debt in America*.

<sup>48</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Temporary Halt in Residential Evictions to Prevent the Further Spread of COVID-19," 86 *Federal Register* 34010-34018, June 28, 2021, <https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2021-13842/p-65>.

<sup>49</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Temporary Halt in Residential Evictions in Communities With Substantial or High Transmission of COVID-19 To Prevent the Further Spread of COVID-19," 86 *Federal Register* 43244-43252, August 6, 2021, <https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2021-16945/p-80>.

federal eviction moratorium was made unenforceable as the result of a Supreme Court decision on August 26, 2021.<sup>50</sup>)

At the end of June 2021, Treasury released initial data on ERA-1 expenditures. It found that, of the \$25 billion allocated, grantees had spent approximately \$1.45 billion on rent, utilities, and arrears from January to May 2021, serving approximately 345,000 unique households, and separately had committed roughly \$1 billion to administrative costs and housing stability services. The data showed spending that was accelerating; roughly half of total spending occurred in the last month (May) of the reporting period.<sup>51</sup> Treasury’s accompanying analysis of these data stated “while some state and local programs are increasingly reaching households in need, others lag far behind, and many programs have just launched in recent weeks.”<sup>52</sup>

On June 24, 2021, the White House announced a series of actions designed to help state and local governments prevent evictions. These included the previously mentioned June revisions to Treasury’s ERA FAQs, designed to speed the delivery of assistance; a letter to state and local courts from the Deputy Attorney General encouraging the adoption of eviction diversion efforts and guidance on how ERA funds can be used to support such efforts; and implementation of “a whole-of-government effort to raise awareness about emergency rental assistance.”<sup>53</sup> Treasury has since posted “Promising Practices” for speeding the delivery of aid and eviction diversion to its website,<sup>54</sup> and the White House has hosted two summits on eviction prevention related to distribution of ERA assistance.<sup>55</sup>

Treasury has subsequently released three additional months of data on ERA-1 expenditures, through the end of June, July, and August 2021. These data show that the pace of expenditure continued to grow in June, with \$1.5 billion being spent on rent, utilities, and arrears serving approximately 291,000 households in that month alone.<sup>56</sup> Total spending increased again in July, but at a slower pace, with just under \$1.7 billion spent on rent, utilities, and arrears serving 341,000 households that month. The pace of spending increased again in August, with grantees spending nearly \$2.3 billion on assistance to serve nearly 400,000 households.<sup>57</sup> According to these data, in the first eight months of the program (January-August 2021), of the \$25 billion in

---

<sup>50</sup> For more information, see CRS Legal Sidebar LSB10638, *Supreme Court Blocks Enforcement of the CDC’s Eviction Moratorium*.

<sup>51</sup> See <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/ERA-Compliance-Report-06302021.xlsx>.

<sup>52</sup> Treasury Department, “Emergency Rental Assistance Data Shows Programs Ramping Up, but States and Localities Must Do More to Accelerate Aid,” <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/2021-07-02-ERA-Data-Blog-Post-vF.pdf>.

<sup>53</sup> White House, “FACT SHEET: Biden-Harris Administration Announces Initiatives to Promote Housing Stability By Supporting Vulnerable Tenants and Preventing Foreclosures,” June 24, 2021, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/06/24/fact-sheet-biden-harris-administration-announces-initiatives-to-promote-housing-stability-by-supporting-vulnerable-tenants-and-preventing-foreclosures/>.

<sup>54</sup> See <https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program>.

<sup>55</sup> White House, “Readout of the White House Eviction Prevention Summit,” press release, June 30, 2021, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/06/30/readout-of-the-white-house-eviction-prevention-summit/>; and White House, “Readout of the Second White House Eviction Prevention Convening,” press release, July 21, 2021, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/07/21/readout-of-the-second-white-house-eviction-prevention-convening/>.

<sup>56</sup> See <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/July-ERA-data.xlsx>.

<sup>57</sup> See <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/August-ERA-Data.xlsx>.

ERA-1 funding distributed, grantees reported spending just over \$7.5 billion on assistance, or about 30% of total ERA-1 funding.<sup>58</sup>

## **Will state and local governments use other federal funding for rental assistance and eviction prevention?**

How state and local governments choose to distribute ERA funds across programs and other governments may depend on the availability of other federal assistance during the COVID-19 pandemic for rental assistance. Separate from the ERA funding, the federal government provided a total of \$512 billion in general assistance to state and local governments through the CARES Act (\$150 billion) and P.L. 117-2 (\$362 billion). General assistance through the CARES Act was used by government recipients to fund a number of housing initiatives,<sup>59</sup> and eligible uses of P.L. 117-2 general assistance include programs “to respond to the public health emergency ... or its negative economic impacts, including assistance to households.”<sup>60</sup> The White House fact sheet released in June 2021 highlighted the authority to use state and local fiscal relief funds for eviction diversion purposes.<sup>61</sup> In late August 2021, following the Supreme Court ruling making the CDC’s federal eviction moratorium unenforceable, Treasury Secretary Yellen, Attorney General Garland, and Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Fudge sent a joint letter to governors and mayors encouraging the use of state and local general assistance funds provided in P.L. 117-2 for eviction prevention.<sup>62</sup>

Treasury published its Interim Final Rule for allowable uses of state and local recovery funds received through P.L. 117-2 in May 2021.<sup>63</sup> The interim rule includes an intent to make eligible for funding programs that respond to the negative economic impacts of the COVID-19 public health crisis, with emphasis on mitigating any exacerbation of pre-existing economic disparities. The interim rule also allows for payments to replace lost revenues to the extent that annual own-source revenue growth is below the greater of (1) 4.1% or (2) the government’s average revenue growth rate in the three years prior to the COVID-19 crisis. Such revenue replacement can then be used for the general provision of government services under the Interim Final Rule. The comment period for the Interim Final Rule closed on July 16, 2021, with a Final Rule expected to be released after those comments have been considered.

---

<sup>58</sup> Ibid.

<sup>59</sup> Pandemic Oversight, “Coronavirus Relief Fund,” accessed March 23, 2021, available at <https://www.pandemicoversight.gov/track-the-money/funding-charts-graphs/coronavirus-relief-fund>.

<sup>60</sup> 42 U.S.C. 801, Section 602(c)(1)(A), which provides eligible uses for the Coronavirus State Fiscal Recovery Fund. Identical language is included in statute for the Coronavirus Local Fiscal Recovery Fund.

<sup>61</sup> White House, “FACT SHEET: Biden-Harris Administration Announces Initiatives to Promote Housing Stability By Supporting Vulnerable Tenants and Preventing Foreclosures,” June 24, 2021, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/06/24/fact-sheet-biden-harris-administration-announces-initiatives-to-promote-housing-stability-by-supporting-vulnerable-tenants-and-preventing-foreclosures/>.

<sup>62</sup> U.S. Treasury, “Eviction Moratorium Joint Letter,” August 27, 2021, available at <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/Eviction-Moratorium-Joint-Letter.pdf>.

<sup>63</sup> For access to the Interim Final Rule and more information on funding, see Treasury Department, “Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds,” May 2021, available at <https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/state-and-local-fiscal-recovery-funds>.

## **Author Information**

Grant A. Driessen  
Specialist in Public Finance

Libby Perl  
Specialist in Housing Policy

Maggie McCarty  
Specialist in Housing Policy

---

## **Disclaimer**

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.