



October 13, 2021

GILTI: Proposed Changes in the Taxation of Global Intangible Low-Taxed Income

The treatment of income earned abroad in U.S.-controlled foreign corporations (CFCs) has been extensively debated over the years. In the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (P.L. 115-97), the tax treatment of foreign source income was altered. The prior system imposed taxes on CFCs' income only when they paid dividends to their U.S. owners. The system taxed in full income earned in branches and certain types of easily shifted income of CFCs, referred to as Subpart F income. CFCs are foreign incorporated firms at least 50% owned by U.S. shareholders who hold at least 10% of the share by value or vote.

Current Treatment

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act eliminated the tax on dividends and instead imposed a lower tax on CFCs aimed at intangible income, the tax on global intangible low-taxed income, or GILTI. GILTI targeted intangible income due to concerns about profit shifting (i.e., moving income to low-tax countries), because intellectual property is more easily moved than tangible property.

In calculating income for GILTI, CFCs are allowed two deductions. One is a deemed deduction of 10% of tangible assets (buildings and equipment, called qualified business asset investment, or QBAI). The deduction is intended to offset the normal return from tangible investments, such as factories, leaving GILTI to largely reflect the return from intangible assets, such as drug formulas, computer programs, technology, and brand names. The other is a deduction of 50% on the remaining amount, so that, with the corporate tax rate of 21%, the effective tax rate is 10.5% (21% times 50%). This deduction is scheduled to fall to 37.5% after 2025, leading to an effective tax rate of 13.125% (21% times 62.5%).

Taxpayers can credit foreign taxes paid, limited to the amount of U.S. tax due. The limit is on an overall basis so that foreign taxes in excess of the U.S. tax in a high-tax country can be used to offset U.S. tax due in a low-tax country. For GILTI, 80% of foreign taxes can be credited to offset GILTI tax. The general provision allowing unused foreign tax credits to be carried back one year and carried forward 10 years does not apply to GILTI; any excess credits are lost.

Changes in the Reconciliation Bill

The Build Back Better Act, H.R. 5376, considered under reconciliation, would make several changes that affect GILTI. It would raise the corporate tax rate to 26.5%, raising the GILTI rate. It would reduce the deduction for tangible investment to 5% and the GILTI deduction to 37.5% that, with the new corporate tax rate, leads to an effective tax rate of 16.565% (62.5% times 26.5%). It

would apply the foreign tax credit on a country-by-country (or per country) basis and would allow unused excess credits to be carried forward for five years.

Example of Tax Computation

Table 1 provides an example of the calculation of the initial GILTI tax before foreign tax credits for current law and under H.R. 5376. **Table 2** provides the calculation of the foreign tax credit and final tax liability.

Table 1. Calculation of GILTI Before Foreign Tax Credits, Assuming QBAI of \$200 million
(dollars in millions)

	Current Law	H.R. 5376
Income	100	100
QBAI Deduction	20	10
Income after QBAI Deduction	80	90
GILTI Deduction	40	33.75
Income After GILTI Deduction	40	56.25
Tax on GILTI	8.4	14.91

Source: CRS calculation.

Notes: QBAI deduction is 10% under current law and 5% under H.R. 5376. The GILTI deduction is 50% under current law and 37.5% under H.R. 5376. The corporate tax rate is 21% under current law and 26.5% under H.R. 5376.

As can be seen in **Table 1**, all three revisions in H.R. 5376 relating to GILTI tax before foreign tax credits increase the GILTI tax. The smaller tangible deduction in H.R. 5376 leaves a larger amount of GILTI. The smaller GILTI deduction in H.R. 5376 leaves a larger amount of income subject to the statutory rate, and the higher statutory rate increases the tax.

Calculation of final tax liability taking into account the foreign tax credit, as illustrated in **Table 2**, assumes a U.S. parent has identical operations in a high-tax and a low-tax country. The calculation requires several steps. First, only a proportional share of foreign taxes is eligible, as no foreign tax credits are allowed for the excluded income under the QBAI deduction. Out of the remainder, the percentage allowed (80% under current law and 95% under H.R. 5376) is calculated. The table also illustrates the effects of eliminating the per-country limit.

Table 2. Foreign Tax Credits and U.S. Final Tax Assuming Identical Operations in a High-Tax and Low-Tax Country

(dollars in millions)

	Current Law		H.R. 5376	
	High Tax	Low Tax	High Tax	Low Tax
Foreign Taxes	20	5	20	5
Foreign Taxes, Net of QBAI	16	4	18	4.5
Share of Taxes for GILTI Credited	12.8	3.2	17.1	4.275
U.S. Tax (from Table 1)	8.4	8.4	14.91	14.91
Residual U.S. Tax	0	5.2	0	10.635
Excess Foreign Tax Credit	4.4	0	2.19	0
Final Tax	0.8		10.635	
Excess Foreign Tax Credits Carried Forward	—		2.19	

Source: CRS Calculations and **Table 1**.

Notes: The share of taxes associated with GILTI that can be credited is 80% for current law and 95% for H.R. 5376. The U.S. parent has a firm in each country with \$100 million of income and \$200 million of QBAI.

As shown in **Table 2**, the creditable foreign taxes are reduced by the share of income associated with the tangible (QBAI) deduction, and then further reduced by the limits on the amount of foreign taxes associated with GILTI. The available foreign tax credits are higher for H.R. 5376 because the tangible deduction is lower and percentage of foreign taxes associated with GILTI that can be credited is higher. For current law, there is a residual tax in the low-tax country that is offset by the unused credit in the high-tax country leaving a net liability of \$0.8 million (\$5.2 million minus \$4.4 million) and no unused excess credits (which could not have been carried forward in any case). For the proposal in H.R. 5376, there is a larger tax in the low-tax country and no offset from excess credits in the high-tax country. The firm has an excess credit that can be carried forward for five years but only to offset tax in the high-tax country (which it might not ever be able to use).

Other Provisions in the Reconciliation Bill Affecting GILTI

Under current law, a share of U.S. interest and head office expenses (which are deducted against all income) is allocated to foreign source income for the foreign tax credit

limit. H.R. 5376 would limit interest deducted to the share of interest that is 110% of the share of worldwide income. Consistent with that change, U.S. interest would no longer be allocated to foreign source income. The bill also provides that no head office expenses would be allocated.

Currently, losses in one country can be used to offset income in another country. H.R. 5376 would provide a per-country treatment for losses, and only allow losses to offset income in another operation in the same country. GILTI losses cannot be carried forward; the proposal would allow a one-year carryforward.

Current law limits the deduction for GILTI and another provision providing benefits for foreign derived tangible income, or FDII (i.e., a deduction aimed at providing lower taxes on export income reflecting intangible income by U.S. firms), to taxable income, with no carry over for excess deductions. Under the revision, these excess deductions could be carried forward indefinitely.

Under current law, oil and gas extraction income is excluded from GILTI. The proposal includes extraction income, including production from tar sands and oil shale. (A special rule prevents foreign credits on oil and gas income to offset other income; that rule is unchanged.)

The revisions in 2017 provided “downward attribution” rules in which ownership by U.S. interests in a foreign subsidiary for purposes of determining CFC status could be attributed through a foreign parent of a U.S. subsidiary. The scope of this provision would be reduced by applying only to firms that are 50% owned by the foreign parent rather than 10%.

The proposal would move certain income items called foreign base company sales and services from Subpart F to GILTI. As noted earlier, Subpart F applies full taxation (with foreign tax credits) to certain easily shifted income. Foreign base company income is income where neither the customers nor the producers are located in the country. Income that involves transactions with related U.S. firms would be retained in Subpart F whereas transactions with foreign-related firms would be in GILTI. Although tax rates are higher in Subpart F, GILTI would now be more restrictive because of the limits on losses and foreign tax credits, and the revision is expected to raise revenue.

For a discussion of the overall international tax regime, see CRS Report R45186, *Issues in International Corporate Taxation: The 2017 Revision (P.L. 115-97)*, by Jane G. Gravelle and Donald J. Marples.

Jane G. Gravelle, Senior Specialist in Economic Policy

IF11943

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.