



The CDC's Federal Eviction Moratorium

Updated June 30, 2021

On September 4, 2020, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [imposed a nationwide temporary federal moratorium on residential evictions](#) for nonpayment of rent. The order is intended to prevent the spread of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) by preventing homelessness and overcrowded housing conditions resulting from eviction. The action, which followed an [Executive Order](#) directing the CDC to consider such a measure, was unprecedented, both in terms of the federal reach into what is traditionally state and local governance of landlord-tenant law and its use of [a public health authority](#). Since the issuance of the order, several courts have issued conflicting decisions on the eviction moratorium's legality and, while no court has issued an order enjoining the moratorium's enforcement nationwide, the conflicting judicial rulings have left a [cloud of uncertainty](#) regarding the order's enforceability.

Overview

The CDC eviction moratorium took effect on September 4, 2020, shortly after the expiration of a narrower set of eviction protections established by [the CARES Act \(§4024\)](#). The original CDC order had an expiration date of [December 31, 2020](#). Prior to its expiration, it was extended legislatively [through January 31, 2021](#). The CDC administratively extended the order three additional times: [through March 31, 2021](#), [June 30, 2021](#), and [July 31, 2021](#). The latest order [stated](#) that “absent an unexpected change in the trajectory of the pandemic, CDC does not expect to extend the Order further.”

The CDC's national eviction moratorium applies to all renters who attest to meeting the order's [income and other eligibility criteria](#). The criteria include having made all efforts to obtain government assistance for rent and being at risk of homelessness or overcrowded housing conditions upon eviction. Renters must assert their right to protection under the order by [submitting a signed declaration](#) of eligibility to their landlords. The CDC moratorium [does not supersede](#) more protective [state and local government eviction protections](#).

[The moratorium](#) prohibits evictions only for nonpayment of rent and related fees, not other causes, and it does not prohibit landlords from charging fees or penalties, nor does it forgive unpaid rent amounts.

The CDC order contains several enforcement provisions, including [penalties for landlords that violate the order](#) and a [penalty of perjury](#) for tenants who falsely declare their eligibility. On April 19, 2021, the [Consumer Financial Protection Bureau published a rule](#) requiring debt collectors—which can include

Congressional Research Service

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

IN11673

attorneys representing landlords in court—to provide written notice to tenants of their rights under the moratorium and prohibiting them from misrepresenting tenants' eligibility for protection under the moratorium. This rule followed [a joint announcement with the Federal Trade Commission](#) of the agencies' intent to monitor and investigate eviction practices.

Status of the CDC Order

Legal Status

Federal courts have issued conflicting rulings when addressing legal challenges to the CDC's eviction moratorium order. At least three federal courts have refused to enjoin enforcement of the CDC's order at the preliminary injunction phase, determining in part that the plaintiffs had not shown a substantial likelihood of success on the merits of the case or sufficient irreparable harm—the U.S. District Courts for the Northern District of Georgia, [Western District of Louisiana](#), and [Eastern District of Arkansas](#). At least three federal courts have found the order unlawful because it exceeds the CDC's statutory authority—the U.S. District Courts for the Northern District of Ohio, [Western District of Tennessee](#) (courts [denied](#) government's motions to stay decisions pending appeal), and District of Columbia (court granted government's motion to stay order pending appeal). However, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit indicated in an [order](#) upholding the stay that “the CDC's eviction moratorium falls within the plain text of 42 U.S.C. § 264(a).” (Plaintiffs/appellees [appealed](#) the D.C. Circuit's order to the Supreme Court. On June 29, 2021, the [Court denied the appeal](#) on a 5-4 vote, allowing the moratorium to remain in place through its current expiration (July 31, 2021). Lastly, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas held that the order was unlawful because it exceeds the government's authority under the [Commerce](#) and [Necessary and Proper Clauses](#) of the U.S. Constitution. Some courts that found the order unlawful have issued orders enjoining enforcement of the CDC eviction moratorium in [certain jurisdictions](#) or [against certain plaintiffs](#), but as of the date of this Insight, no court has enjoined enforcement of the moratorium nationwide. These orders are pending appeals.

Effectiveness

Since the CDC eviction moratorium has been in place, news articles report that evictions have continued, with landlords often raising [lease violations](#) or [lease expiration](#) as grounds for evictions, rather than nonpayment of rent. Further, courts have [determined](#) that some tenants who submitted a declaration of eligibility did not meet the order's requirements. Although evictions have continued, some [researchers have found](#) that moratoriums at the federal, state, and local level significantly reduced evictions.

Even if moratoriums have been effective at reducing evictions, questions remain about the implications of the moratoriums, particularly when they expire, for both landlords, [who are owed significant back rent](#), and for tenants, who owe rent and could face displacement.

The federal government has appropriated funds to assist renters. The [CARES Act provided funding](#) that [some states and localities](#) used to fund rental assistance. The [FY2021 Consolidated Appropriations Act](#) (December 2020) included \$25 billion for states and localities to administer a new [Emergency Rental Assistance program](#) (Division N; §501). The [American Rescue Plan Act](#) (March 2021) provided an additional \$21.55 billion for the program (§3201). As the expiration date of the CDC moratorium nears, it is unclear [how rapidly states and localities have been, or will be, able to deploy these funds](#) to address arrearages and prevent evictions. The CDC [cited the need for more time to deploy rental assistance](#) as part of the justification for the order's third administrative extension. On the same day the extension was announced, the [White House announced additional actions](#) designed to “help state and local governments prevent evictions.”

Author Information

Maggie McCarty
Specialist in Housing Policy

David H. Carpenter
Legislative Attorney

Libby Perl
Specialist in Housing Policy

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.