

## **State Innovation Waivers: Frequently Asked Questions**

Updated January 29, 2021

**Congressional Research Service** https://crsreports.congress.gov R44760



### State Innovation Waivers: Frequently Asked Questions

Section 1332 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA; P.L. 111-148, as amended) provides states with the option to waive specified requirements of the ACA. In the absence of these requirements, a state is to implement its own plan to provide health insurance coverage to state residents that meets the ACA's terms.

Under a state innovation waiver, a state can apply to waive ACA requirements related to qualified health plans, health insurance exchanges, premium tax credits, cost-sharing subsidies, the individual mandate, and the employer mandate. The state can apply to waive any or all of these requirements, in part or in their entirety.

To obtain approval for a waiver application, a state must show that the plan it will implement in the absence of the waived provision(s) meets certain requirements. Under current guidance, the state's plan must ensure that a comparable number of state residents have health insurance coverage under the plan as would have had coverage absent the waiver. It also must provide a comparable number of residents with the opportunity to purchase coverage that is as affordable and comprehensive as would have been available absent the waiver. However, applications do not need to demonstrate that the comparable number of residents would be enrolled in the affordable and comprehensive coverage, as would have been required under previous guidance. Finally, the state's plan cannot increase the federal deficit.

The Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and the Secretary of the Treasury share responsibility for reviewing state innovation waiver applications and deciding whether to approve applications. State innovation waivers cannot extend longer than five years, unless a state requests continuation and the appropriate Secretary does not deny such request. The earliest a state innovation waiver could have gone into effect was January 1, 2017.

In October 2018, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) released updated guidance regarding the state innovation waiver process that superseded previously issued CMS guidance from December 2015. In general, the updated guidance attempts to make it easier for a state plan to be approved. The updated guidance applies to all waiver applications that had not been approved prior to the date of the guidance's release. Waivers approved under the previously issued guidance did not require reconsideration.

As of the date of this report, 16 states—Alaska, Colorado, Delaware, Georgia, Hawaii, Maine, Maryland, Minnesota, Montana, New Hampshire, New Jersey, North Dakota, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Wisconsin—have approved state innovation waivers. Eight of these waivers were considered and approved under the initial state innovation waiver guidance, and eight were considered and approved under the current state innovation waiver guidance. Fifteen of the 16 approved waivers implement a variant of a statewide individual market reinsurance program.

Idaho, Massachusetts, Ohio, and Vermont have submitted applications and received notification that their applications were incomplete. It does not appear that any of these states has modified its application in response to the notification (as of the date of this report). If these states take action, any further review of their waiver application would be under the updated state innovation waiver guidance. Three states—California, Iowa, and Oklahoma—submitted waiver applications and have since withdrawn their applications.

#### **SUMMARY**

#### R44760

January 29, 2021

**Ryan J. Rosso** Analyst in Health Care Financing

### Contents

Which ACA Provisions May a State Waive Under a State Innovation Waiver?	1
Which Federal Agencies Have the Authority to Grant a Waiver?	2
What Are the Minimum Requirements for a Successful Application?	2
May a State Modify Its Use of the Federally Facilitated Health Insurance Exchange Platform Under a State Innovation Waiver?	6
Are There Any Limitations on the Scope of State Innovation Waivers?	6
What Is the Application Process for a State Innovation Waiver?	7
Is Any Federal Funding Available Under a State Innovation Waiver?	8
How Long Can a State Innovation Waiver Be in Effect?	8
May States Submit State Innovation Waiver Applications in Coordination with Other Federal Waiver Applications?	8
How Many States Have Applied for State Innovation Waivers?	

### Tables

Table 1.	Requirements for a Successful State Innovation Waiver Application	3
Table 2.	States That Have Applied for State Innovation Waivers 1	0

#### Contacts

Author	Information					23
--------	-------------	--	--	--	--	----

Services' (CMS's) initial interpretation of these requirements was published in guidance released in 2015 but has since been superseded, as with other aspects of the waiver process, in updated guidance released by the agency on October 24, 2018.<sup>1</sup> Many aspects of the 2018 guidance were codified in a final rule issued on January 19, 2021.<sup>2</sup>

Under current guidance, the state's plan must ensure that a comparable number of state residents have health insurance coverage under the plan as would have had coverage absent the waiver. It also must provide a comparable number of residents with the opportunity to purchase coverage that is as affordable and comprehensive as would have been available absent the waiver. However, applications do not need to demonstrate that the comparable number of residents would be enrolled in the affordable and comprehensive coverage, as would have been required under previous guidance. Finally, the state's plan cannot increase the federal deficit.

This report answers frequently asked questions about how states can use and apply for state innovation waivers. It also addresses changes to the Section 1332 waiver process, as made by the 2018 CMS guidance. Finally, it summarizes states' submitted waiver applications.

## Which ACA Provisions May a State Waive Under a State Innovation Waiver?

A state may apply to waive *any or all* of the ACA provisions listed below for plan years beginning on or after January 1, 2017.<sup>3</sup>

- **Part I of Subtitle D of the ACA:** Part I of Subtitle D comprises Sections 1301-1304. In general, the provisions in Part I relate to the establishment of qualified health plans (QHPs).<sup>4</sup>
- **Part II of Subtitle D of the ACA:** Part II of Subtitle D comprises Sections 1311-1313, which largely include provisions related to the establishment of health insurance exchanges and related activities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The requirements are not specified in regulations. Department of the Treasury, Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), "Waivers for State Innovation," 80 *Federal Register* 78131, December 16, 2015. Department of the Treasury, HHS, "State Relief and Empowerment Waivers," 83 *Federal Register* 53575, October 24, 2018 (Hereinafter "State Relief and Empowerment Waivers guidance").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act; HHS Notice of Benefit and Payment Parameters for 2022; Updates to State Innovation Waiver (Section 1332 Waiver) Implementing Regulations," 86 *Federal Register* 6138, January 19, 2021. However, this rule did not take effect before the presidential transition. As a result, it may be reviewed by the Biden Administration in accordance with a memorandum issued by Ronald A. Klain, Assistant to the President and Chief of Staff. Office of Management and Budget, "Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments and Agencies," 86 *Federal Register* 7424, January 28, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 42 U.S.C. §18052(a)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A qualified health plan (QHP) is a plan that meets certain requirements and is certified to be sold through a health insurance exchange (in the non-group or small-group market). Although QHPs are certified to be sold through exchanges, they also can be sold in the non-group or small-group market outside of exchanges. For more information, see CRS Report R44065, *Overview of Health Insurance Exchanges*.

- Section 1402 of the ACA: This section includes the provision of cost-sharing reductions to eligible individuals who purchase individual market coverage through a health insurance exchange.<sup>5</sup>
- Section 36B of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC): This section includes the provision of premium tax credits to eligible individuals who purchase individual market coverage through a health insurance exchange.
- Section 4980H of the IRC: This section includes the shared responsibility requirement for large employers (often called the *employer mandate*).<sup>6</sup>
- Section 5000A of the IRC: This section includes the requirement for individuals to maintain health insurance coverage (often called the *individual mandate*).<sup>7</sup>

Each part noted above is comprised of many provisions, which makes the scope of the provisions that can be waived under a state innovation waiver quite broad. For example, Part I of Subtitle D of the ACA includes provisions that outline requirements for health plans to be certified as QHPs. It defines the essential health benefits (EHB) package that each QHP must offer, places limitations on the enrollee cost sharing that QHPs may impose, and requires that QHPs provide coverage meeting a minimum level of actuarial value.<sup>8</sup> Additionally, Part I of Subtitle D establishes requirements for catastrophic health plans and determines eligibility for such plans.

# Which Federal Agencies Have the Authority to Grant a Waiver?

The Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) is to review and grant waiver requests for provisions not included in the IRC; the Secretary of the Treasury is to review and grant requests to waive provisions in the IRC (the availability of premium tax credits and the application of the employer and individual mandates).<sup>9</sup>

# What Are the Minimum Requirements for a Successful Application?

The Secretary of HHS or the Treasury is to assess a waiver application to determine whether the state's plan meets the requirements related to coverage, affordability, comprehensiveness, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For more information about the current status of the cost-sharing reductions, see archived CRS Insight IN10786, *Payments for Affordable Care Act (ACA) Cost-Sharing Reductions*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For more information about the employer mandate, see CRS Report R45455, *The Affordable Care Act's (ACA's) Employer Shared Responsibility Provisions (ESRP)*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> For more information about the individual mandate, see CRS Report R44438, *The Individual Mandate for Health Insurance Coverage: In Brief.* The 2017 tax revision, P.L. 115-97, effectively eliminated the individual mandate penalty beginning in 2019. However, the 2017 tax revision did not make any other substantive changes to the statutory language establishing the mandate and its associated penalty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Note that essential health benefit (EHB) and related cost-sharing and actuarial value requirements apply to all nongrandfathered plans in the individual and small group markets, including QHPs. For more information about the essential health benefits package, see CRS Report R44163, *The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act's Essential Health Benefits (EHB)*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> 42 U.S.C. §18052(a)(6).

federal-deficit neutrality outlined in statute and further described in guidance.<sup>10</sup> These requirements are described in **Table 1**. The Secretary or Secretaries (as appropriate) may grant a request for a state innovation waiver if a state's application meets the requirements. In making this determination, the Secretaries will "consider favorably" any waiver that incorporates some or all of the following principles: provide increased access to affordable private market coverage, encourage sustainable spending growth, foster state innovation, support and empower those in need, and promote consumer-driven health care.<sup>11</sup>

In guidance, HHS and the Treasury note that their assessment of a state's waiver application considers changes to the state's health care system that are contingent only upon approval of the waiver.<sup>12</sup> Their assessment does not consider policy changes that are dependent on further state action or other federal determinations. For example, the Secretary's or Secretaries' (as appropriate) assessment of a state innovation waiver application would not consider changes to Medicaid or the state Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) that require approval outside of the state innovation waiver process, and savings accrued as a result of changes to Medicaid or CHIP would not be considered when determining whether the state innovation waiver meets the deficit-neutrality requirement. HHS and the Treasury indicate that this is the case regardless of whether a state's application for a state innovation waiver is submitted alone or in coordination with another waiver application. (For more information about the coordinated waiver process, see "May States Submit State Innovation Waiver Applications in Coordination with Other Federal Waiver Applications?")

Statute	Current Guidance <sup>a</sup>	Previous Guidance
<b>Coverage</b> : The state's plan must provide coverage to at least a comparable number of individuals as the provisions of Title I of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA; P.L. 111- 148, as amended) would provide.	At least a comparable number of individuals who are forecasted to have health care coverage absent a waiver must have health care coverage under the waiver. <sup>b</sup> This requirement generally must be forecast to be met for each year the waiver is in effect, but a waiver may be approved if a temporary reduction in coverage would produce longer-term increases in coverage. In considering whether this requirement is met, the plan's impact on all state residents, regardless of coverage type, will be considered. Whether the plan sufficiently prevents gaps in or discontinuations of coverage also will be considered.	At least as many individuals who are forecasted to have minimum essential coverage (MEC) absent a waiver must have MEC under the waiver. <sup>c</sup> This requirement generally must be forecast to be met for each year the waiver is in effect. In considering whether this requirement is met, the plan's impact on all state residents, regardless of coverage type, will be considered and the plan's effects on different groups of individuals in the state, particularly those considered vulnerable, will be assessed. <sup>d</sup> A state plan that satisfied this requirement in the aggregate but reduced coverage for vulnerable populations would not be approved. Whether the plan sufficiently prevents gaps in or discontinuations of coverage also will be considered.

## Table 1. Requirements for a Successful State Innovation Waiver Application (as described in statute and guidance)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 42 U.S.C. §18052(b)(1) and State Relief and Empowerment Waivers guidance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> State Relief and Empowerment Waivers guidance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> State Relief and Empowerment Waivers guidance.

Statute	Current Guidance <sup>a</sup>	Previous Guidance
Affordability: The state's plan must provide coverage and cost-sharing protections that are at least as affordable as the provisions of Title I of the ACA.	Individuals must be provided with the opportunity to purchase coverage that is at least as affordable and comprehensive as coverage available absent the waiver. <sup>e</sup> Applications do not need to demonstrate that the affordable and comprehensive coverage will actually be received by a comparable number of state residents. Affordability is generally measured by comparing the sum of an individual's premium contributions and cost-sharing responsibilities for a health plan or direct payments for health care to the individual's income. In considering whether this requirement is met, the plan's impact on all state residents, regardless of coverage type, and the plan's effects on all groups of individuals in the state, including low- income residents and those with high expected health care costs, will be considered. In assessing the plan, access to affordable coverage will be considered according to the number of individuals for whom available coverage has become	Individuals' health care coverage under the waiver must be as affordable as coverage would be absent the waiver. Affordability is generally measured by comparing the sum of an individual's premium contributions and cost-sharing responsibilities for a health plan to the individual's income. Spending on health care services that are not covered by a health plan may be considered if the services are affected by the state's plan. This requirement generally must be forecast to be met for each year the waiver is in effect. In considering whether this requirement is met, the plan's impact on all state residents, regardless of coverage type, will be considered, and the plan's effects on different groups of individuals in the state. particularly those considered vulnerable, will be assessed. <sup>d</sup> A state plan that satisfied this requirement in the aggregate but reduced affordability for vulnerable populations would not be approved. In assessing the plan, the affordability of
<b>Comprehensiveness</b> : The state's plan must	according to the number of individuals for	populations would not be approved. In
provide coverage that is at least as comprehensive as the essential health benefits (EHB), <sup>f</sup> as certified by the Office of the Actuary of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS).	at least as affordable and comprehensive as coverage available absent the waiver. <sup>e</sup> Applications do not need to demonstrate that the affordable and comprehensive coverage will actually be received by a comparable number of state residents. Comprehensiveness is measured by comparing coverage under the plan to coverage under the state's EHB benchmark plan, any other state's benchmark plan chosen by the state, or any benchmark plan chosen by the state	comprehensive overall as their coverage would be absent the waiver. Comprehensiveness is measured by comparing coverage under the plan to coverage under the state's EHB benchmark plan or coverage under the state's Medicaid program and/or the State Children's Health Insurance Programs (CHIP), as appropriate. This requirement generally must be forecast to be met for each year the waiver is in effect.
	that could potentially become its EHB benchmark plan. In considering whether this requirement is met, the proposal's impact on all state residents, regardless of coverage type, will be considered.	In considering whether this requirement is met, the proposal's impact on all state residents, regardless of coverage type, wi be considered, and the effects of the proposal on different groups of individual in the state, particularly those considered vulnerable, will be assessed. <sup>d</sup> A state plan that satisfied this requirement in the aggregate but reduced comprehensiveness for vulnerable populations would not be approved.

Statute	Current Guidance <sup>a</sup>	Previous Guidance
<b>Deficit Neutral</b> : The state's plan must not increase the federal deficit.	Projected federal spending net of federal revenues must be equal to or lower than it would be absent the waiver. The state's plan must not increase the federal deficit over the period of the waiver or in total over the 10-year budget plan submitted by the state as part of its application. <sup>g</sup>	Projected federal spending net of federal revenues must be equal to or lower than it would be absent the waiver. The state's plan must not increase the federal deficit over the period of the waiver or in total over the 10-year budget plan submitted by the state as part of its application. <sup>g</sup>

**Source:** Congressional Research Service's compilation and summary of statute (42 U.S.C. §18052(b)(1)) and guidance (80 Federal Register 78131, December 16, 2015, and 83 Federal Register 53575, October 24, 2018). The requirements are not covered in regulations.

**Notes:** Previous guidance applies to all waivers approved prior to October 24, 2018. The Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) is to review requests to waive provisions not included in the Internal Revenue Code (IRC); the Secretary of the Treasury is to review requests to waive provisions in the IRC (the availability of premium tax credits and the application of the employer and individual mandates).

- Many aspects of the current guidance were codified in a final rule issued on January 19, 2021. This rule did not take effect before the presidential transition. As a result, it may be reviewed by the Biden Administration in accordance with a memorandum issued by Ronald A. Klain, Assistant to the President and Chief of Staff. See "Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act; HHS Notice of Benefit and Payment Parameters for 2022; Updates to State Innovation Waiver (Section 1332 Waiver) Implementing Regulations," 86 Federal Register 6138, January 19, 2021 and Office of Management and Budget, "Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments and Agencies," 86 Federal Register 7424, January 28, 2021.
- b. Health care coverage includes all types of coverage that would qualify as MEC or would be included in the definition of the term *health insurance coverage* in regulations. MEC, as defined in the tax code (26 U.S.C. §5000A(f)), includes most types of comprehensive coverage, including public coverage, such as coverage under programs sponsored by the federal government (e.g., Medicaid, Medicare), as well as private insurance (e.g., employer-sponsored insurance, non-group coverage). Health insurance coverage, as defined in regulations (45 C.F.R. §144.103), includes group health insurance coverage (e.g., employer-sponsored insurance, association health plans), individual health insurance coverage, and short-term, limited-duration insurance.
- c. MEC is defined in the tax code (26 U.S.C. §5000A(f)) and includes most types of comprehensive coverage, including public coverage, such as coverage under programs sponsored by the federal government (e.g., Medicaid, Medicare), as well as private insurance (e.g., employer-sponsored insurance, non-group coverage).
- d. Vulnerable individuals include "low-income individuals, elderly individuals, and those with serious health issues or who have a greater risk of developing serious health issues" (80 Federal Register 78131, December 16, 2015, p. 78132).
- e. The affordability and comprehensiveness guardrails are considered in conjunction and not in isolation (i.e., a state plan must make coverage that is both comprehensive *and* affordable available to a comparable number of individuals).
- f. Under the ACA, certain health plans must cover the EHB. The ACA does not explicitly define the EHB; rather, it lists 10 broad categories from which benefits and services must be included and requires the Secretary of HHS to further define the EHB. For information about the 10 categories as well as how the EHB are currently defined, see the Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight (CCIIO) webpage, "Information on Essential Health Benefits (EHB) Benchmark Plans," at https://www.cms.gov/cciio/resources/data-resources/ehb.
- g. The state innovation waivers cannot extend longer than five years unless a state requests continuation and such request is not denied by the appropriate Secretary. Statute requires that an application for a waiver include a 10-year budget plan that is budget neutral for the federal government (42 U.S.C. §18052(a)(1)(B)(ii)). This determination takes into account costs associated with changes to federal administrative processes.

## May a State Modify Its Use of the Federally Facilitated Health Insurance Exchange Platform Under a State Innovation Waiver?

HHS administers all federally facilitated exchanges (FFEs), and it operates the same information technology platform (Healthcare.gov) in each state that has an FFE. Some states administer their own state-based exchanges, except they also use the federal information technology platform (SBE-FP).<sup>13</sup>

Initially, it was not possible for states that use the federal technology platform to make eligibility and enrollment changes related to that platform.<sup>14</sup> However, HHS and the Treasury indicated in the updated guidance released in October 2018 that technical enhancements made it feasible for CMS to support certain variations. For example, waivers that would require a state to create its own website to replace the consumer-facing aspects of HealthCare.gov can incorporate CMS's enrollment functionalities (e.g., account creation, application, enrollment and coverage maintenance experience for consumers). States are asked to work with HHS early in the waiver application process to determine whether specific modifications can be accommodated.

States are responsible for funding all FFE platform modifications and associated operational support. Any changes to CMS administrative processes are taken into account when determining whether a waiver application satisfies the deficit neutrality requirement; however, waiver costs for technical and specialized services that CMS typically provides to states (and states cover the cost of) would not be included in such determinations.<sup>15</sup>

## Are There Any Limitations on the Scope of State Innovation Waivers?

In guidance issued in October 2018, HHS and the Treasury described some federal operational considerations that may limit the scope of the waivers.<sup>16</sup> Specifically, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) generally is not able to accommodate any state-specific changes to tax rules.

However, the IRS may be able to accommodate small changes to the administration of federal tax provisions, in particular when such changes overlap with the IRS's current capabilities.<sup>17</sup> For example, waivers that would require the IRS to expand premium tax credit eligibility to individuals with household income under 100% of the federal poverty level may be feasible, because it incorporates a similar special rule that the IRS currently administers.<sup>18</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> For more information about exchange types, see CRS Report R44065, *Overview of Health Insurance Exchanges*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> State Relief and Empowerment Waivers guidance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Specifically, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) services covered under the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act (ICA) are not considered for deficit neutrality purposes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> State Relief and Empowerment Waivers guidance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> States are responsible for funding all changes to IRS administrative processes associated with waiver implementation. These costs are incorporated into the assessment of whether a waiver application satisfies the deficit neutrality requirement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> For more information about how household income is calculated to determine premium tax credit eligibility, see CRS Report R43861, *The Use of Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) in Federal Health Programs*.

# What Is the Application Process for a State Innovation Waiver?

A state seeking a state innovation waiver must enact a law that allows the state to carry out the actions under the waiver prior to submitting an application for a waiver.<sup>19</sup> In certain circumstances, a state can be considered to have enacted such a law by coupling a state law that enforces ACA provisions and/or the state plan with administrative or executive actions.<sup>20</sup> Prior to submitting an application, a state must provide a public notice and comment period and conduct public hearings regarding the state's application.<sup>21</sup> Upon conclusion of these activities, a state may submit its application to the Secretary of HHS. The Secretary of HHS is to transmit any application seeking to waive requirements in the IRC to the Secretary of the Treasury for review.

The Secretary or Secretaries (as appropriate) are to review a state's application to determine whether it is complete. A state's application is not considered complete unless it includes the materials identified in regulations.<sup>22</sup> The materials include, but are not limited to, information about the enacted state legislation allowing the state to carry out the actions under the waiver, a description of the plan or program the state expects to implement in place of the waived provisions, and analyses showing that the state's plan or program meets the requirements for granting a waiver. If a state's application is not complete, the state is to be notified about the missing elements and given an opportunity to submit them. Once the Secretary or Secretaries (as appropriate) make a preliminary determination that a state's application is complete, the entire application is to be made available to the public for review and comment.<sup>23</sup>

The final decision of the Secretary or Secretaries on a state's application must be issued no later than 180 days after the determination that the Secretary of HHS received a complete application from a state.<sup>24</sup>

<sup>22</sup> 45 C.F.R. §155.1308(f).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> 42 U.S.C. §18052(b)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> State Relief and Empowerment Waivers guidance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> The public notice and comment period is to be "sufficient to ensure a meaningful level of public input for the application for a section 1332 waiver." In general, the comment period cannot be less than 30 days. However, in light of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, HHS and the Treasury provided states submitting a waiver application during the COVID-19 public health emergency period with the ability to request modification of the public notice and comment procedures and requirements (e.g., the requirement that states conduct in -person public hearings). The Secretaries may grant such requests if a delay of the proposed waiver would undermine the waiver request and if the state satisfies certain requirements. 45 C.F.R. §§ 155.1312 and 155.1318. HHS, Department of the Treasury, and Department of Labor, "Additional Policy and Regulatory Revisions in Response to the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency," 85 *Federal Register* 71142, November 2, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> The public notice and comment period is to be "sufficient to ensure a meaningful level of public input and does not impose requirements that are in addition to, or duplicative of, requirements imposed under the Administrative Procedures Act, or requirements that are unreasonable to unnecessarily burdensome with respect to state compliance." 45 C.F.R. §155.1316(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> 42 U.S.C. §18052(d)(1) and 45 C.F.R. §155.1316(c).

## Is Any Federal Funding Available Under a State Innovation Waiver?

It is possible for a state to receive federal funding under an approved waiver. A state's receipt of a state innovation waiver could result in the residents of the state not receiving the "premium tax credits, cost-sharing reductions, or small business credits under sections 36B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 or under part I of subtitle E for which they would otherwise be eligible."<sup>25</sup> If this occurs, the state is to receive the aggregate amount of subsidies that would have been available to the state's residents had the state not received a state innovation waiver—this is referred to as *pass-through funding*. The amount of pass-through funding is to be determined annually by the appropriate Secretary and may be updated at any time to account for changes in state or federal law. The state is to use the pass-through funding for purposes of implementing the plan or program established under the waiver.<sup>26</sup>

# How Long Can a State Innovation Waiver Be in Effect?

State innovation waivers cannot extend longer than five years, unless a state requests continuation and the appropriate Secretary does not deny such request.<sup>27</sup> Requests for continuation are to be deemed granted unless, within 90 days of submission, the appropriate Secretary either denies the request or informs the state that additional information is needed for the Secretary to consider such request.

## May States Submit State Innovation Waiver Applications in Coordination with Other Federal Waiver Applications?

The Secretaries were required to develop a process for coordinating applications for state innovation waivers and applications for other existing waivers under federal law relating to the provision of health care, including waivers available under Medicare, Medicaid, and CHIP.

Under the coordinated process, a state must be able to submit a single application for a state innovation waiver and any other applicable waivers available under federal law.<sup>28</sup> The single application must comply with the procedures described for state innovation waiver applications and the procedures in any other applicable federal law under which the state seeks a waiver.<sup>29</sup>

As discussed in the answer to the question "What Are the Minimum Requirements for a Successful Application?," HHS and the Treasury have indicated that an application for a state innovation waiver will be assessed on its own terms and that assessment of the state innovation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> 42 U.S.C. §18052(a)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> 42 U.S.C. §18052(a)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> 42 U.S.C. §18052(e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> 42 U.S.C. §18052(a)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> 45 C.F.R. §155.1302(a).

waiver will not consider the impact of changes that require separate federal approval. This is the case even if the state submits a single application for multiple waivers.

## How Many States Have Applied for State Innovation Waivers?

As of the date of this report, 23 states have submitted applications for state innovation waivers— Alaska, California, Colorado, Delaware, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Montana, New Hampshire, New Jersey, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Wisconsin.<sup>30</sup> HHS and the Treasury have approved 16 applications, from Alaska, Colorado, Delaware, Georgia, Hawaii, Maine, Maryland, Minnesota, Montana, New Hampshire, New Jersey, North Dakota, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Wisconsin.

Eight of these waivers were considered and approved under the initial state innovation waiver guidance, and eight were considered and approved under the current state innovation waiver guidance. Fifteen of the 16 approved waivers implement a variant of a statewide individual market reinsurance program.<sup>31</sup>

Idaho, Massachusetts, Ohio, and Vermont received notification from HHS and the Treasury that their applications were incomplete, and it does not appear that any of these states has modified its application in response to the notification. If one of these four states does take action, any review of its waiver application would be under the updated state innovation waiver guidance (even if such state initially submitted its waiver under the initial guidance).

California, Iowa, and Oklahoma have withdrawn their applications.<sup>32</sup>

See Table 2 for more details.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> For information about each state's application, see CMS, Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight (CCIIO), "Section 1332: State Innovation Waivers," at https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Programs-and-Initiatives/State-Innovation-Waivers/Section\_1332\_State\_Innovation\_Waivers-.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> CMS issued a data brief that compares various aspects of the state-based reinsurance programs implemented through the Section 1332 waiver process. See CMS, CCIIO, *State Relief and Empowerment Waivers: State-based Reinsurance Programs*, June 2020, https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Programs-and-Initiatives/State-Innovation-Waivers/Downloads/ 1332-Data-Brief-June2020.pdf. As described in **Table 2**, Georgia's waiver included two parts: a reinsurance program and the Georgia Access Model. For more information on reinsurance, see CRS In Focus IF10707, *Reinsurance in Health Insurance*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> To read the withdrawal letters, see CMS, CCIIO, "Section 1332: State Innovation Waivers," at https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Programs-and-Initiatives/State-Innovation-Waivers/Section\_1332\_State\_Innovation\_Waivers-.html.

#### Table 2. States That Have Applied for State Innovation Waivers

(as of January 29, 2021)

	Applicatio	n Information	Waiver Information			
State	Submitted	Status	Overview	Estimated Pass-Through Fundingª	Effective Period	
			Approved Waivers			
Alaska	Jan. 3, 2017	Approved— July 17, 2017	Alaska established a state-based reinsurance program, the Alaska Reinsurance Program (ARP), to help health insurance issuers offering plans in the individual market offset the cost of covering enrollees with 1 or more of 33 specified high-cost conditions.	The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	CY2018- CY2022	
			Under the approved waiver, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA; P.L. 111- 148) provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to be members of a single risk pool is waived, <sup>b</sup> to the extent the provision prohibits issuers from including expected reinsurance payments from the ARP when establishing market-wide index rates.	indicated Alaska would receive \$58.5 million for CY2018, \$68.7 million for CY2019, and \$76.7	would receive \$58.5 million for CY2018, \$68.7 million for CY2019, and \$76.7	
			The expected effect of allowing issuers to consider the ARP payments when setting market- wide rates is to reduce premiums in the individual market, and the expected effect of the reduced premiums is reduced federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of Alaska.	million for CY2020.		
			The state is to receive the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding. Under the waiver, Alaska is to use the pass-through funding to support ARP and			
			corresponding payments to issuers beginning in calendar year (CY) 2018 and continuing through CY2022.			
			The approved waiver does not modify the eligibility criteria for premium tax credits for residents of Alaska.			

	Application	Information	Waiver Information		
State	Submitted	Status	Overview	Estimated Pass-Through Funding <sup>a</sup>	Effective Period
Colorado	May 20, 2019	Approved— July 31, 2019	Colorado established a state-based reinsurance program. From CY2020 through CY2021, the program is reimbursing issuers selling coverage in the state's individual market for a percentage of enrollees' claims between an attachment point and a cap. The reimbursement percentage varies across geographic rating areas in the state.	CMS indicated Colorado would receive \$169.4 million for CY2020.	CY2020- CY2021
			Colorado's approved waiver is similar to other reinsurance-type waivers in that the ACA provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to be members of a single risk pool is waived, <sup>b</sup> which allows issuers to consider Colorado reinsurance program payments when setting market-wide index rates. The expected effect is that individual market premiums will decrease and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of Colorado will decrease. The state is to receive the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding. Under the waiver, Colorado is to use the pass-through funding to support the reinsurance program and corresponding payments to issuers beginning in CY2020 and continuing through CY2021. <sup>c</sup> The approved waiver does not modify the eligibility criteria for premium tax credits for residents of Colorado.		
Delaware	July 10, 2019	Approved— Aug. 20, 2019	Delaware established a state-based reinsurance program, the Delaware Health Insurance Individual Market Stabilization Reinsurance Program, which reimburses issuers selling coverage in the state's individual market for a percentage of enrollees' claims between an attachment point and a cap. Delaware's approved waiver is similar to other reinsurance-type waivers in that the ACA provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to be members of a single risk pool is waived, <sup>b</sup> which allows issuers to consider Delaware reinsurance program payments when setting market-wide index rates. The expected effect is that individual market premiums will decrease and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of Delaware will decrease. The state is to receive the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding. Under the waiver, Delaware is to use the pass- through funding to support the reinsurance program and corresponding payments to issuers beginning in CY2020 and continuing through CY2024. The approved waiver does not modify the eligibility criteria for premium tax credits for residents of Delaware.	CMS indicated Delaware would receive \$21.7 million for CY2020.	CY2020- CY2024

	Application	n Information	Waiver Information		
State	Submitted	Status	Overview	Estimated Pass-Through Funding <sup>a</sup>	Effective Period
Georgia	December 23, 2019, application was updated on July 31, 2020, and modified on Oct. 9, 2020.	Approved— Nov. I, 2020	Georgia's approved waiver has two parts: a reinsurance program scheduled to begin in CY2022 and the Georgia Access Model, which is to begin in CY2023. With respect to first part of the waiver, Georgia established a state-based reinsurance program. Starting in 2022, the program is to reimburse issuers selling coverage in the state's individual market for a percentage of enrollees' claims between an attachment point and a cap. The reimbursement percentage is to vary across geographic rating areas in the state. Georgia's approved waiver is similar to other reinsurance-type waivers in that the ACA provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to be members of a single risk pool is waived, <sup>b</sup> which allows issuers to consider Georgia's reinsurance program payments when setting market-wide index rates. The expected effect is that individual market premiums will decrease and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of Georgia will decrease. The state is to receive the resulting reductions in federal spending payments to issuers beginning in CY2022 and continuing through CY2026. With respect to the second part of the waiver, Georgia is shifting its individual market exchange enrollment to the Georgia Access Model beginning in CY2023. Under the Georgia Access Model peal in individual market exchange enrollment to the Georgia Access Model beginning in CY2023. Under the Georgia have and would instead only be able to utilize commercial market web brokers or buy directly from issuers. Residents are still to be eligible for premium tax credits while enrolling in coverage through the Georgia Access Model.	Georgia estimated it would receive \$306 million for CY2022 and \$1.6 billion over the period CY2022- CY2026.	CY2022- CY2026
			Under the approved waiver, ACA requirements relating to the state establishment of health insurance exchanges are waived to the extent they are inconsistent with the Georgia Access Model. <sup>d</sup> As a result, Georgia is able to implement the Georgia Access Model. Georgia anticipates web brokers will be incentivized to bring in new consumers, and, when combined with other outreach and support, there will be increased enrollment in the individual market. Georgia anticipates the net effect of these new enrollees will be an increase in the amount of premium tax credits received by residents. Georgia requested to reduce the pass-through funding that would be provided in accordance with the reinsurance program aspect of the waiver to account for these costs. The approved waiver does not modify the eligibility criteria for premium tax credits for residents of Georgia.		

	Application	n Information	Waiver Information		
State	Submitted	Status	Overview	Estimated Pass-Through Fundingª	Effective Period
Hawaii	Aug. 10, 2016	Approved— Dec. 30, 2016	Under the approved waiver, multiple ACA provisions relating to the establishment and operation of a Small Business Health Options Program (SHOP) exchange, as they pertain to small employers and SHOP exchanges, are waived. <sup>e</sup> As a result, Hawaii is no longer required to operate SHOP exchanges for small employers. The amount that small employers in Hawaii would have received in small business tax credits for coverage purchased through a SHOP exchange is provided to the state in pass-through funding to support a program that assists small employers with the cost of health insurance coverage.	CMS indicated Hawaii would receive \$428,864 for CY2017, \$933,130 for CY2018, \$287,409 for CY2019, and \$120,361 for CY2020. CMS estimated the state would receive \$64,544 in CY2021. <sup>f</sup>	CY2017- CY2021
Maine	May 9, 2018	Approved— July 30, 2018	Maine established a hybrid state-based reinsurance/invisible high-risk pool program administered by the Maine Guaranteed Access Reinsu rance Association (MGARA), which helps health insurance issuers offering plans in the individual market offset the cost of covering enrollees with one or more of eight specified high-cost conditions. Under the waiver, health insurance issuers offering plans in the individual market also have the discretion to include additional enrollees in the program if such determinations are made during the first 60 days of an enrollee's plan year.	CMS indicated Maine would receive \$62.3 million for CY2019 and \$26.3 million for CY2020.	CY2019- CY2023
			Maine's approved waiver is similar to other reinsurance-type waivers in that the ACA provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to be members of a single risk pool is waived, <sup>b</sup> which allows issuers to consider MGARA payments when setting market-wide index rates. The expected effect is that individual market premiums will decrease and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of Maine will decrease. The state is to receive the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding. Under the approved waiver, Maine is to use the pass-through funding to support MGARA and corresponding payments to issuers beginning in CY2019 and continuing through CY2023.		

	Application	Information	Waiver Information		
State	Submitted	Status	Overview	Estimated Pass-Through Funding <sup>a</sup>	Effective Period
Maryland	May 31, 2018	Approved— Aug. 22, 2018	Maryland established a state-based reinsurance program administered by the Maryland Health Benefit Exchange, which reimburses issuers selling coverage in the state's individual market for a percentage of enrollees' claims between an attachment point and a cap. Maryland's approved waiver is similar to other reinsurance-type waivers in that the ACA provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to be members of a single risk pool is waived, <sup>b</sup> which allows issuers to consider the state's reinsurance program payments when setting market-wide index rates. The expected effect is that individual market premiums will decrease and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of Maryland will decrease. The state requested to receive a portion of the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding. Under the waiver, Maryland is to use the pass-through funding to support the reinsurance program and corresponding payments to issuers beginning in CY2019 and continuing through CY202 I, unless additional funds become available. <sup>h</sup>	CMS indicated Maryland would receive \$373.4 million for CY2019 and \$447.3 million for CY2020.	CY2019- CY2023 <sup>h</sup>
Minnesota	May 30, 2017	Approved <sup>i</sup> — Sept. 22, 2017	residents of Maryland. Minnesota established a state-based reinsurance program, the Minnesota Premium Security Plan (MSPS), which reimburses issuers selling coverage in the state's individual market for a percentage of enrollees' claims between an attachment point and a cap. Minnesota's approved waiver is similar to other reinsurance-type waivers in that the ACA provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to	CMS indicated Minnesota would receive \$130.7 million for CY2018, \$84.8 million for	CY2018- CY2022
			be members of a single risk pool is waived, <sup>b</sup> which allows issuers to consider MSPS payments when setting market-wide index rates. The expected effect is that individual market premiums will decrease and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of Minnesota will decrease. The state is to receive the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding. Under the waiver, Minnesota is to use the pass-through funding to support MSPS and corresponding payments to issuers beginning in CY2018 and continuing through CY2022. The approved waiver does not modify the eligibility criteria for premium tax credits for residents of Minnesota.	CY2019, and \$86.1 million for CY2020.	

	Application	Information	Waiver Information		
State	Submitted	Status	Overview	Estimated Pass-Through Fundingª	Effective Period
Montana	June 19, 2019	Approved— Aug. 16, 2019	Montana established a state-based reinsurance program, the Montana Reinsurance Program, which reimburses issuers selling coverage in the state's individual market for a percentage of enrollees' claims between an attachment point and a cap. Montana's approved waiver is similar to other reinsurance-type waivers in that the ACA provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to be members of a single risk pool is waived, <sup>b</sup> which allows issuers to consider Montana reinsurance program payments when setting market-wide index rates. The expected effect is that individual market premiums will decrease and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of Montana will decrease. The state is to receive the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding. Under the waiver, Montana is to use the pass-through funding to support the reinsurance program and corresponding payments to issuers beginning in CY2020 and continuing through CY2024. The approved waiver does not modify the eligibility criteria for premium tax credits for residents of Montana.	CMS indicated Montana would receive \$22.5 million for CY2020.	CY2020- CY2024
New Hampshire	Apr. 23, 2020	Approved— Aug. 5, 2020	New Hampshire established a state-based reinsurance program, which reimburses issuers selling coverage in the state's individual market for a percentage of enrollees' claims between an attachment point and a cap. New Hampshire's approved waiver is similar to other reinsurance-type waivers in that the ACA provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to be members of a single risk pool is waived, <sup>b</sup> which allows issuers to consider reinsurance program payments when setting market-wide index rates. The expected effect is that individual market premiums will decrease and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of New Hampshire will decrease. The state is to receive the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding. Under the waiver, New Hampshire is to use the pass-through funding to support the reinsurance program and corresponding payments to issuers beginning in CY202 I and continuing through CY2025. The approved waiver does not modify the eligibility criteria for premium tax credits for residents of New Hampshire.	New Hampshire estimated it would receive \$32.9 million for CY2021 and \$180.9 million over the period CY2021- CY2025.	CY2021- CY2025

	Application Information		Waiver Information		
State	Submitted	Status	Overview	Estimated Pass-Through Fundingª	Effective Period
New Jersey	July 2, 2018	Approved— Aug. 16, 2018	New Jersey established a state-based reinsurance program, the Health Insurance Premium Security Plan, which reimburses issuers selling coverage in the state's individual market for a percentage of enrollees' claims between an attachment point and a cap. New Jersey's approved waiver is similar to other reinsurance-type waivers in that the ACA provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to be members of a single risk pool is waived, <sup>b</sup> which allows issuers to consider the state's reinsurance program payments when setting market-wide index rates. The expected effect is that individual market premiums will decrease and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of New Jersey will decrease. The state is to receive the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding. Under the waiver, New Jersey is to use the pass- through funding to support the reinsurance program and corresponding payments to issuers beginning in CY2019 and continuing through CY2023. The approved waiver does not modify the eligibility criteria for premium tax credits for	CMS indicated New Jersey would receive \$180.2 million for CY2019 and \$190.0 million for CY2020.	CY2019- CY2023
North Dakota	May 10, 2019	Approved— July 31, 2019	residents of New Jersey. North Dakota established a state-based reinsurance program, the Reinsurance Association of North Dakota (RAND), which reimburses issuers selling coverage in the state's individual market for a percentage of enrollees' claims between an attachment point and a cap. North Dakota's approved waiver is similar to other reinsurance-type waivers in that the ACA provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to be members of a single risk pool is waived, <sup>b</sup> which allows issuers to consider RAND payments when setting market-wide index rates. The expected effect is that individual market premiums will decrease and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of North Dakota will decrease. The state is to receive the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding. Under the waiver, North Dakota is to use the pass-through funding to support RAND and corresponding payments to issuers beginning in CY2020 and continuing through CY2024. The approved waiver does not modify the eligibility criteria for premium tax credits for residents of North Dakota.	CMS indicated North Dakota would receive \$21.5 million for CY2020.	CY2020- CY2024

State	Application Information		Waiver Information			
	Submitted	Status	Overview	Estimated Pass-Through Fundingª	Effective Period	
Oregon	Aug. 31, 2017	Approved— Oct. 18,2017	Oregon established a state-based reinsurance program, the Oregon Reinsurance Program (ORP), which reimburses issuers selling coverage in the state's individual market for a percentage of enrollees' claims between an attachment point and a cap. Oregon's approved waiver is similar to other reinsurance-type waivers in that the ACA provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to be members of a single risk pool is waived, <sup>b</sup> which allows issuers to consider ORP payments when setting market-wide index rates. The expected effect is that individual market premiums will decrease and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of Oregon will decrease. The state is to receive the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding. Under the waiver, Oregon is to use the pass-through funding to support ORP and corresponding payments to issuers beginning in CY2018 and continuing through CY2022. The approved waiver does not modify the eligibility criteria for premium tax credits for residents of Oregon.	CMS indicated that Oregon would receive \$54.5 million for CY2018, \$41.8 million for CY2019, and \$54.4 million for CY2020.	CY2018- CY2022	
Pennsylvania	Feb. 11, 2020	Approved— July 24, 2020	Pennsylvania established a state-based reinsurance program, which reimburses issuers selling coverage in the state's individual market for a percentage of enrollees' claims between an attachmentpoint and a cap. Pennsylvania's approved waiver is similar to other reinsurance-type waivers in that the ACA provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to be members of a single risk pool is waived, <sup>b</sup> which allows issuers to consider reinsurance program payments when setting market-wide index rates. The expected effect is that individual market premiums will decrease and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of Pennsylvania will decrease. The state is to receive the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding. Under the waiver, Pennsylvania is to use the pass-through funding to support the reinsurance program and corresponding payments to issuers beginning in CY2021 and continuing through CY2025. The approved waiver does not modify the eligibility criteria for premium tax credits for residents of Pennsylvania.	Pennsylvania estimated it would receive \$95.1 million for CY2021 and \$547.5 million over the period CY2021- CY2025.	CY2021- CY2025	

State	Application Information		Waiver Information		
	Submitted	Status	Overview	Estimated Pass-Through Funding <sup>a</sup>	Effective Period
Rhode Island	July 8, 2019	Approved— Aug.26,2019	Rhode Island established a state-based reinsurance program, the Rhode Island Reinsurance Program, which reimburses issuers selling coverage in the state's individual market for a percentage of enrollees' claims between an attachment point and a cap. Rhode Island's approved waiver is similar to other reinsurance-type waivers in that the ACA provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to be members of a single risk pool is waived, <sup>b</sup> which allows issuers to consider Rhode Island reinsurance program payments when setting market-wide index rates. The expected effect is that individual market premiums will decrease and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of Rhode Island will decrease. The state is to receive the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding. Under the waiver, Rhode Island is to use the pass- through funding to support the reinsurance program and corresponding payments to issuers beginning in CY2020 and continuing through CY2024. The approved waiver does not modify the eligibility criteria for premium tax credits for residents of Rhode Island.	CMS indicated Rhode Island would receive \$5.2 million for CY2020.	CY2020- CY2024
Wisconsin	Apr. 18, 2018	Approved— July 29, 2018	Wisconsin established a state-based reinsurance program, the Wisconsin Healthcare Stability Plan (WIHSP), which reimburses issuers selling coverage in the state's individual market for a percentage of enrollees' claims between an attachment point and a cap. Wisconsin's approved waiver is similar to other reinsurance-type waivers in that the ACA provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to be members of a single risk pool is waived, <sup>b</sup> which allows issuers to consider WIHSP payments when setting market-wide index rates. The expected effect is that individual market premiums will decrease and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of Wisconsin will decrease. The state is to receive the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding. Wisconsin is to use the pass-through funding to support WIHSP and corresponding payments to issuers beginning in CY2019 and continuing through CY2023. The approved waiver does not modify the eligibility criteria for premium tax credits for residents of Wisconsin.	CMS indicated Wisconsin would receive \$127.7 million for CY2019 and \$142.0 million for CY2020.	CY2019- CY2023

	Application Information		Waiver Information		
State	Submitted	Status	Overview	Estimated Pass-Through Funding <sup>a</sup>	Effective Period
			Pending Applications		
Idaho	July 15,2019	Received notification of incomplete application— Aug. 29, 2019	Idaho is seeking to waive the requirements that prevent individuals purchasing health insurance through an exchange from being eligible to claim premium tax credits and cost sharing reductions if they are eligible for other types of qualifying coverage (e.g., Medicaid). <sup>j</sup> At the time the application was submitted, Idaho residents with incomes between 100% and 138% of the federal poverty level (FPL) who purchased health insurance through the individual exchange were eligible for premium tax credits and cost-sharing reductions (assuming other eligibility criteria also were met). However, Idaho was in the process of expanding Medicaid eligibility to individuals with incomes between 100% and 138% of the FPL, which was assumed by Idaho to be effective beginning CY2020. Once the Medicaid expansion went into effect, those who were newly eligible for Medicaid would no longer be eligible for premium tax credits and cost-sharing reductions through the exchange. By waiving the specified provisions, Idaho indicates that individuals with incomes between 100% and 138% of the FPL would be able to choose between subsidized exchange coverage	ldaho is not requesting pass- through funding.	N.A.
			and Medicaid.		
Massachusetts	Sept. 8, 2017	Received notification of incomplete application— Oct. 23, 2017	Massachusetts is seeking to create a Premium Stabilization Fund (PSF), which would be used to reimburse issuers amounts equal to what would have been provided by cost-sharing reduction payments. At the time the application was submitted, cost-sharing reduction payments were still being made to insurers; however, there was uncertainty as to whether the current administration would continue to make payments moving forward. Massachusetts sought to use the waiver process to avoid the need for a rate revision in the event that cost- sharing reduction payments stopped.	Massachusetts estimated it would receive between \$143 and \$146 million for CY2018.	N.A.
			Under the proposed waiver, the ACA provision that provides for cost-sharing subsidy payments to issuers from HHS would be waived, <sup>k</sup> which Massachusetts indicates would allow the state to substitute these payments with allocations from the PSF. The expected effect is that individual market premiums would decrease and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of Massachusetts also would decrease. The state would receive the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding.		
			Under the proposed waiver, Massachusetts would use the pass-through funding for PSF payments to issuers for an initial period of one year, beginning in CY2018, and the state would request the opportunity to renew the waiver through CY2022.		

	Application Information		Waiver Information			
State	Submitted	Status	Overview	Estimated Pass-Through Fundingª	Effective Period	
Ohio	Mar. 30, 2018	Received notification of incomplete application— May 17, 2018	Ohio is seeking to waive the requirement that individuals must maintain minimum essential coverage, as established under the ACA's individual mandate provision. <sup>1</sup> Under the proposed waiver, the requirement would be waived beginning in CY2019. <sup>m</sup>	Ohio is not requesting pass- through funding.	N.A.	
Vermont	Apr. 25, 2016	Received notification of incomplete application— June 9, 2016	Vermont is seeking an exemption from the requirement that a state must establish a SHOP exchange for small employers. Under the proposed waiver, Vermont seeks to waive multiple ACA provisions relating to the establishment and operation of a SHOP exchange. <sup>n</sup> As a result, Vermont indicates that employers in the small-group market would purchase qualified health plans directly from an issuer.	Vermont is not requesting pass- through funding.	N.A.	
			Withdrawn Applications			
California	Dec. 19, 2016	Withdrawn— Jan. 18, 2017	California sought to provide undocumented immigrants with the ability to purchase unsubsidized insurance through its exchange. Under this waiver, the ACA provision that prohibits the marketing of nonqualified health plans (QHPs) on the exchanges would have been waived, <sup>o</sup> which California indicates would have allowed "California Qualified Health Plans (CQHP)" to be offered through its exchange. CQHPs would have differed from QHPs only in that undocumented individuals could purchase CQHPs and enrollment in CQHPs would disqualify individuals from receiving premium tax credits and cost-sharing subsidies.	California did not request pass-through funding.	N.A.	

State	Application Information		Waiver Information			
	Submitted	Status	Overview	Estimated Pass-Through Fundingª	Effective Period	
lowa	Aug.21, 2017	Withdrawn— Oct. 23, 2017	lowa sought to allow issuers in its individual market to offer one standard health plan, to provide age- and income-based premium tax credits to individuals purchasing the standard plans, and to support a state-based reinsurance program.	lowa estimated it would have received \$422 million for CY2018.	N.A.	
			Under this waiver, Iowa sought to waive the following ACA provisions. <sup>p</sup>			
			<ul> <li>Iowa applied to waive the provisions establishing premium tax credits and cost-sharing reductions. Iowa indicates that it would have received the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding and would have allocated this funding to its age- and income-based premium tax credit and its reinsurance program.</li> </ul>			
			<ul> <li>Iowa applied to waive the provision that defines the coverage levels based on actuarial value. Iowa indicates waiving the provision would authorize issuers to offer one standard plan to consumers. The standard plan would be similar in actuarial value to a silver-tier plan and would be purchased directly from an issuer.</li> </ul>			
			• Finally, Iowa applied to waive the provision that provides the Secretary with 180 days to review all state innovation waiver requests. Iowa indicates that waiving the provision would have allowed for expedited review of its waiver application.			
			The Iowa waiver would have begun in CY2018 and would have allowed Iowa to request renewal of the program for CY2019 if necessary.			
Oklahoma	Aug. 16, 2017	Withdrawn— Sept. 29, 2017	Oklahoma established a state-based reinsurance program, the Oklahoma Individual Health Insurance Market Stabilization Program (OMSP), though the operation of the program was conditional upon receiving federal funds to implement and sustain the OMSP. Had the waiver been approved, the OMSP would have reimbursed issuers selling coverage in the state's individual market for a percentage of enrollees' claims between an attachment point and a cap. Oklahoma's withdrawn waiver was similar to other reinsurance-type waivers in that the ACA provision requiring issuers to consider all enrollees in individual plans offered by the issuer to be members of a single risk pool would have been waived, <sup>b</sup> which would have allowed issuers to consider OMSP payments when setting market-wide index rates. The expected effect was that individual market premiums would have decreased and federal spending on premium tax credits for residents of Oklahoma also would have decreased. The state would have received the resulting reductions in federal spending as pass-through funding. Under the waiver, Oklahoma would have used the pass-through funding for OMSP payments to issuers beginning in CY2018.	Oklahoma estimated it would have received \$309 million for CY2018 and \$1,395 million over the period CY2018-CY2022.	N.A.	

**Source:** Various documents available on the CMS website, "Section 1332: State Innovation Waivers," at https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Programs-and-Initiatives/State-Innovation-Waivers/Section\_1332\_State\_Innovation\_Waivers-.html, viewed January 25, 2021.

**Notes:** Estimated pass-through funding describes either the amount of pass-through funding that a state estimates it will receive in its waiver application or, when available, the amount of pass-through funding CMS estimates it will provide to the state as determined annually by the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services and/or the Secretary of the Department of the Treasury (as appropriate). For more information on reinsurance, see CRS In Focus IF10707, *Reinsurance in Health Insurance*.

- a. At the time of this report's publication, CMS has not communicated the amount of pass-through funding for approved waivers in CY2021.
- b. Specifically, ACA §1312(c)(1).
- c. Although waivers can be approved for a period of up to five years, the Colorado application requested, and was approved for, an effective period from CY2020 through CY2021.
- d. Specifically, ACA §1311(b), (c), (d), (e), and (i) only to the extent that it is inconsistent with the Georgia Access Model.
- e. Specifically, the following ACA §§: 1301(a)(1)(C)(ii); 1301(a)(2); 1304(b)(4)(D)(i) and (ii); 1311(b)(1)(B); 1312(a)(2); 1312(f)(2)(A); and 1321(c)(1).
- f. The final amounts of pass-through funding received by Hawaii account for budget sequestration. The final amount of pass-through funding to be received by Hawaii in 2021 is subject to a final administrative determination by the Department of the Treasury.
- g. The final amount of pass-through funding to be received by Hawaii in 2021 is subject to a final administrative determination by the Department of the Treasury.
- h. Although Maryland's waiver application anticipated having enough funds to operate the Maryland State Reinsurance Program from CY2019 through CY2021, the application requested, and was approved for, an effective period from CY2019 through CY2023.
- In addition to what is described in the table about Minnesota's approved waiver, Minnesota's waiver application also requested that the state receive, in passthrough funding, the amount that the federal government would save in payments to Minnesota's Basic Health Program because of premium reductions due to MSPS. This request was not granted under the approved waiver. For details, see Letter from Mark Dayton, Governor of Minnesota, et al. to Thomas Price, Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, September 19,2017, http://mn.gov/gov-stat/pdf/ 2017\_09\_19\_Governor\_Dayton\_Letter\_to\_Secretary\_Price\_1332\_Waiver.pdf, and Letter from Mark Dayton, Governor of Minnesota, to Seema Verma, Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, October 16, 2017, https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Programs-and-Initiatives/State-Innovation-Waivers/ Downloads/Approval-Letter-MN.pdf.
- j. Idaho applied to waive ACA §1402 and 26 U.S.C. §36B(c)(2)(B), the latter of which was added to the Internal Revenue Code by ACA §1401.
- k. Massachusetts applied to waive ACA §1402(c)(3)(A).
- I. Ohio applied to waive 26 U.S.C. §5000A(a), which was added to the Internal Revenue Code by ACA §1501.
- m. Ohio's House Bill 49 requires Ohio's department of insurance to submit a 1332 waiver application that includes a request to waive the ACA's individual and employer mandates. In its waiver application, Ohio acknowledges that the 2017 tax revision (P.L. 115-97) effectively eliminates the penalty associated with the individual mandate beginning in CY2019 but points out that the law does not eliminate the individual mandate. As such, Ohio's 1332 waiver application requests to waive the individual mandate (however, the application does not include a request to waive the employer mandate).
- n. Vermont applied to waive the following ACA §§: 1311(b)(1)(B); 1311(c)(3); 1311(c)(4); 1311(c)(5); 1311(d)(1); 1311(d)(2); 1311(d)(4)(A); 1311(d)(4)(B); 1311(d)(4)(C); 1311(d)(4)(D); 1311(d)(4)(E); 1311(d)(4)(G); 1311(k); 1312(a)(2); 1312(f)(2)(A).
- o. California applied to waive ACA II(d)(2)(B)(i).
- p. Iowa applied to waive ACA §§1402; 1401(a); 1302(d); and 1332(d).

#### Author Information

Ryan J. Rosso Analyst in Health Care Financing

#### Acknowledgments

Earlier versions of this report were authored by Annie Mach, former CRS Specialist in Health Care Financing.

#### Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.