



**Congressional
Research Service**

Informing the legislative debate since 1914

Major Votes on Free Trade Agreements and Trade Promotion Authority

Keigh E. Hammond

Research Librarian

Updated March 30, 2020

Congressional Research Service

7-....

www.crs.gov

R45846



Major Votes on Free Trade Agreements and Trade Promotion Authority

Through Trade Promotion Authority (TPA), Congress has delegated authority to the President to negotiate free trade agreements (FTAs). This authority requires congressional approval (through implementation legislation) of comprehensive FTAs. Since 1979, Congress has passed 17 implementation measures for FTAs and multilateral trade agreements. The majority of these trade agreements—including the recent United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA)—were considered in Congress under TPA, which provides for expedited consideration of FTAs in Congress. Since 1979, Congress has passed six measures extending TPA for limited time periods. As with many international trade issues, TPA has been politically contentious over time, resulting in vigorous debate and two multi-year lapses in authority.

USMCA is the most recent free trade agreement (FTA) to be approved by Congress under TPA.

R45846

March 30, 2020

Keigh E. Hammond
Research Librarian
-redacted-@crs.loc.gov

For a copy of the full report,
please call 7-.... or visit
www.crs.gov.

Contents

Congress and Free Trade Agreements..... 1

Tables

Table 1. U.S. Trade Agreements and Trade Promotion Authority: A Timeline 3
Table 2. Major Votes on Free Trade Agreement (FTA) Implementing Legislation 5
Table 3. Major Legislation and Votes on U.S. Membership to the World Trade
Organization (WTO)..... 7
Table 4. Major Votes on Trade Promotion Authority (TPA) Provisions..... 9

Appendixes

Appendix. Selected CRS Reports and Resources..... 13

Contacts

Author Contact Information..... 14

Congress and Free Trade Agreements

This report compiles the final congressional votes on free trade agreements (FTAs), trade promotion authority (TPA), and U.S. membership to the World Trade Organization (WTO).

In the past 30 years, the United States has pursued bilateral, regional, and multilateral trade agreements in an attempt to liberalize markets and reduce trade and investment barriers. Congress has played a central role in shaping this trade policy. Congress—through debate and legislation—defines trade negotiation priorities, approves FTAs, and helps oversee agreements’ implementation and enforcement.

While the President has the authority to negotiate treaties with foreign countries, Congress has sole constitutional authority to regulate international trade.¹ Since 1934, Congress has periodically delegated some authority to negotiate trade agreements to the President. In the Trade Act of 1974, Congress outlined many of the congressional and executive roles regarding trade agreements; Congress delegated negotiation authority to the President, but required congressional approval (through implementation legislation) of free trade agreements. Congress also created a process to allow for expedient consideration in Congress of FTAs, provided that the President observe certain statutory requirements.² This expedient consideration is known as TPA or, formerly, “fast-track” consideration.³

Free Trade Agreements: Bilateral, Regional, and Multilateral

The United States is currently party to 12 bilateral FTAs (with Australia, Bahrain, Chile, Colombia, Israel, Jordan, South Korea, Morocco, Oman, Panama, Peru, and Singapore) and to 2 regional free trade agreements (the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and the Dominican Republic-Central America-United States Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA-DR)).⁴ The United States has also signed an agreement with Canada and Mexico to replace NAFTA. The United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA) has been ratified by all three parties, and the agreement will enter into force, after the necessary legal and regulatory measures are in place for each party to meet its commitments.⁵ For a list and timeline of trade agreements where negotiations were concluded, see **Table 1**. For a compilation of final congressional votes on FTAs considered in Congress, see **Table 2**.

In addition to bilateral and regional FTAs, the United States is also party to multilateral agreements that outline membership in the WTO, a 164-member international organization. The WTO was created in 1995 to oversee and administer multilateral trade rules, serve as a forum for

¹ Article I, Section 8, of the Constitution gives Congress the power “To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations...” and “To lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts, and Excises....”

² Section 102 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

³ For more on Trade Promotion Authority see CRS Report RL33743, *Trade Promotion Authority (TPA) and the Role of Congress in Trade Policy*, by Ian F. Fergusson and CRS Report R43491, *Trade Promotion Authority (TPA): Frequently Asked Questions*, by Ian F. Fergusson and Christopher M. Davis.

⁴ For more, see CRS Report R45198, *U.S. and Global Trade Agreements: Issues for Congress*, by Brock R. Williams.

⁵ See, CRS Legal Sidebar LSB10399, *USMCA: Implementation and Considerations for Congress*, by Nina M. Hart; CRS Report R44981, *NAFTA and the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA)*, by M. Angeles Villarreal and Ian F. Fergusson; and Ljunggren, David “Canadian Parliament rushes through ratification of USMCA trade pact,” Reuters, March 13, 2020, <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-trade-usmca-canada/canadian-parliament-rushes-through-ratification-of-usmca-trade-pact-idUSKBN210215>.

trade liberalization negotiations, and resolve trade disputes.⁶ When Congress approved the WTO Uruguay Round Agreement, it included a set of procedures to allow Congress to reconsider U.S. membership in the WTO by passing a joint resolution calling for withdrawal from the organization.⁷ Congress may vote every five years on withdrawal from the WTO. Resolutions were introduced in the 106th and 109th Congress; neither passed. See **Table 3** for a compilation of major legislation and votes concerning U.S. membership to the WTO.

Trade Promotion Authority

All U.S. FTAs, except the agreement with Jordan, were considered in Congress under Trade Promotion Authority (TPA). TPA is the process by which Congress enables FTA legislation to be considered under expedited legislative procedures, provided the President observes certain statutory obligations. Because TPA is extended only for limited periods, Congress periodically reconsiders legislation to extend it and to outline future negotiation objectives. Since 1974, Congress has passed seven measures extending TPA. TPA, like many issues related to international trade, has been politically contentious in Congress over time, resulting in vigorous debate and two multi-year lapses in authority.⁸ For a list of major votes on TPA, see **Table 4**.

Congressional Votes on Select Trade Legislation

Congressional consideration of bills can be a complex process, sometimes requiring multiple votes. For clarity's sake, this report only provides the final vote for each measure. More complete bill information can be found on Congress.gov—including roll call votes for all legislation back to 1993. The bill numbers listed in the following tables link to Congress.gov, and the vote tallies link to the House and Senate roll call votes, for all votes back to 1993.

Table 1 provides a timeline of trade agreements including the date the agreement was signed, the date implementing legislation was enacted, and the date the agreement went into force. The table also notes the TPA legislation under which the trade agreement was considered in Congress. The table includes fully implemented trade agreements, as well as two recent agreements: the USMCA, which has not yet entered into force, and the Trans-Pacific Partnership, a trade agreement that the United States signed, but later announced that it would not ratify.

Table 2 provides major votes on FTAs, including the final House and Senate votes on FTA implementing legislation.

Table 3 provides major votes on U.S. membership to the WTO, including implementing legislation for multilateral agreements and resolutions calling for the United States to withdraw from the WTO.

Table 4 provides major votes on TPA legislation. It includes the final House and Senate votes on TPA-related provisions. Votes are grouped by the trade agreement authority granted to the President.

⁶ See CRS Report R45417, *World Trade Organization: Overview and Future Direction*, coordinated by Cathleen D. Cimino-Isaacs.

⁷ Section 125(b) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (P.L. 103-465) sets procedures for congressional disapproval of WTO participation. It specifies that Congress's approval of the WTO agreement shall cease to be effective "if and only if" Congress enacts a joint resolution calling for withdrawal. Congress may vote every five years on withdrawal.

⁸ Since 1974, there were two notable lapses in TPA: between 1994 and 2002 and between 2007 and 2015. For more on TPA, see CRS Report R43491, *Trade Promotion Authority (TPA): Frequently Asked Questions*, by Ian F. Fergusson and Christopher M. Davis.

For a selected list of CRS products on FTAs and TPA, see the **Appendix**.

Table I. U.S. Trade Agreements and Trade Promotion Authority: A Timeline

1985-2020 descending order by entry into force date

| U.S. Trade Agreement | Agreement Signed | Implementing Legislation Signed by President | Agreement Entered into Force | TPA ^a |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| USMCA ^b | 11/30/2018 | 1/29/2020 | n/a | Bipartisan Congressional Trade Priorities and Accountability Act of 2015 |
| Trans-Pacific Partnership ^c | 2/4/2016 | n/a | n/a | Bipartisan Congressional Trade Priorities and Accountability Act of 2015 |
| Colombia | 11/22/2006 | 10/21/2011 | 5/15/2012 | Trade Act of 2002 |
| South Korea | 6/30/2007 | 10/21/2011 | 3/15/2012 | Trade Act of 2002 |
| Panama | 6/28/2007 | 10/21/2011 | 10/31/2012 | Trade Act of 2002 |
| Peru | 4/12/2006 | 12/14/2007 | 2/1/2009 | Trade Act of 2002 |
| Oman | 1/19/2006 | 9/26/2006 | 1/1/2009 | Trade Act of 2002 |
| Bahrain | 9/14/2004 | 1/11/2006 | 1/11/2006 | Trade Act of 2002 |
| CAFTA-DR ^d | 5/28/2004 (CAFTA); 8/5/2004 (DR) | 8/2/2005 | entered into force on a rolling basis, 2006-2009 ^e | Trade Act of 2002 |
| Morocco | 6/15/2004 | 8/17/2004 | 1/1/2006 | Trade Act of 2002 |
| Australia | 5/18/2004 | 8/3/2004 | 1/1/2005 | Trade Act of 2002 |
| Chile | 6/6/2003 | 9/3/2003 | 1/1/2004 | Trade Act of 2002 |
| Singapore | 5/6/2003 | 9/3/2003 | 1/1/2004 | Trade Act of 2002 |
| Jordan | 10/24/2000 | 9/28/2001 | 12/17/2001 | Not considered under TPA |
| WTO ^f (Uruguay Round) | 4/15/1994 | 12/8/1994 | 1/1/1995 | Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988 |
| NAFTA ^g | 12/17/1992 | 12/8/1993 | 1/1/1994 | Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988 |
| Canada ^h | 1/2/1988 | 9/28/1988 | 1/1/1989 | Trade and Tariff Act of 1984 |
| Israel | 4/22/1985 | 6/11/1985 | 8/19/1985 | Trade and Tariff Act of 1984 |

Source: Compiled from the U.S. Trade Representative's website, Congress.gov, *Treaties in Force*, *Congressional Quarterly Almanac*, and CRS Report RL33743, Trade Promotion Authority (TPA) and the Role of Congress in Trade Policy, by Ian F. Fergusson

Notes: Also see CRS Infographic IG10001, *Trade Promotion Authority (TPA) and U.S. Trade Agreements*, by Brock R. Williams.

- Trade Promotion Authority (TPA) is the legislation that grants the President authority to negotiate trade agreements for which implementing legislation may receive expedited treatment in Congress.
- USMCA includes Canada and Mexico, and is expected to supersede the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) when it enters into force.
- The Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) was a proposed FTA, signed by the United States and 11 other Asia-Pacific countries on Feb. 4, 2016. In Jan. 2017, the United States notified the other TPP signatories that it would not ratify the agreement, effectively ending TPP's potential entry into force as written. In March

2018, the remaining 11 TPP partners signed a slightly revised agreement, without the United States, called the Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement on Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP).

- d. CAFTA-DR (Dominican Republic-Central America-United States FTA) includes Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, and the Dominican Republic.
- e. CAFTA-DR entered into force on a rolling basis as the President certified each country's compliance with the agreement: El Salvador (March 1, 2006); Honduras and Nicaragua (April 1, 2006); Guatemala (July 1, 2006); the Dominican Republic (March 1, 2007); and Costa Rica (January 1, 2009).
- f. The Uruguay Round of the World Trade Organization (WTO) included a series of multilateral agreements that established the WTO and outlined trade rules and membership to the international organization.
- g. NAFTA (the North American Free Trade Agreement) includes Mexico and Canada.
- h. The U.S.-Canada FTA was superseded by NAFTA.

Table 2. Major Votes on Free Trade Agreement (FTA) Implementing Legislation
(Agreements listed by date of FTA enactment)

| Congress (Year) | U.S. FTA | Bill | Description of Bill | Final Votes | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | House | Senate |
| 116 th (2020) | USMCA^a | H.R. 5430 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 116-113. | 385-41 (Passed) 12/19/2019 | 89-10 (Passed) 01/16/2020 |
| 112 th (2011) | Colombia | H.R. 3078 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 112-42. | 262-167 (Passed) 10/12/2011 | 66-33 (Passed) 10/12/2011 |
| 110 th (2008) | | H.Res. 1092 | Resolution to suspend TPA consideration of Colombia FTA in the 110 th Congress. (The Administration did not resubmit the Colombia FTA to Congress until the 112 th Congress.) | 224-195 (Passed) 04/10/2008 | n/a |
| 112 th (2011) | South Korea | H.R. 3080 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 112-41. | 278-151 (Passed) 10/12/2011 | 83-15 (Passed) 10/12/2011 |
| 112 th (2011) | Panama | H.R. 3079 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 112-43. | 300-129 (Passed) 10/12/2011 | 77-22 (Passed) 10/12/2011 |
| 110 th (2007) | Peru | H.R. 3688 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 110-138. | 285-132 (Passed) 11/08/2007 | 77-18 (Passed) 12/04/2007 |
| 109 th (2006) | Oman | H.R. 5684 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 109-283. | 221-205 (Passed) 07/20/2006 | 62-32 (Passed) 09/19/2006 |
| 109 th (2006) | | S. 3569 | FTA implementation act. | — | 60-34 (Passed) 06/29/2006 |
| 109 th (2006) | Bahrain | H.R. 4340 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 109-169. | 327-95 (Passed) 12/07/2005 | By Unanimous Consent. 12/13/2005 |
| 109 th (2005) | CAFTA-DR^b | H.R. 3045 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 109-53. | 217-215 (Passed) 07/28/2005 | 55-45 (Passed) 07/28/2005 |
| 109 th (2005) | | S. 1307 | FTA implementation act. | — | 54-45 (Passed) 06/30/2005 |

| Congress (Year) | U.S. FTA | Bill | Description of Bill | Final Votes | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | | House | Senate |
| 108 th (2004) | Morocco | H.R. 4842 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 108-302. | 323-99 (Passed) 07/22/2004 | By Unanimous Consent 07/22/2004 |
| 108 th (2004) | | S. 2677 | FTA implementation act. | — | 85-13 (Passed) 07/21/2004 |
| 108 th (2004) | Australia | H.R. 4759 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 108-286. | 314-109 (Passed) 07/14/2004 | 80-16 (Passed) 07/15/2004 |
| 108 th (2004) | Chile | H.R. 2738 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 108-77. | 270-156 (Passed) 07/24/2003 | 65-32 (Passed) 07/31/2003 |
| 108 th (2003) | | S.Res. 211 | A resolution expressing the sense of the Senate regarding provisions in the Chile and Singapore FTAs and immigration. | n/a | By Unanimous Consent 07/31/2003 |
| 108 th (2003) | Singapore | H.R. 2739 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 108-78. | 272-155 (Passed) 07/24/2003 | 66-32 (Passed) 07/31/2003 |
| 108 th (2003) | | S.Res. 211 | A resolution expressing the sense of the Senate regarding provisions in the Chile and Singapore FTAs on trade agreements and immigration. | n/a | By Unanimous Consent 07/31/2003 |
| 107 th (2001) | Jordan | H.R. 2603 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 107-43. | Voice vote (Agreed) 07/31/2001 | Voice vote (Agreed) 09/24/2001 |
| 103 rd (1993) | NAFTA^c | H.R. 3450 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 103-182. | 234-200 (Passed) 11/17/1993 | 61-38 (Passed) 11/20/1993 |
| 100 th (1988) | Canada^d | H.R. 5090 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 100-449. | 366-40 (Passed) 08/09/1988 | 83-9 (Passed) 09/19/1988 |
| 104 th (1996) | Israel | H.R. 3074 | Amendments to the Israel FTA, enacted, P.L. 104-234. | Voice vote (Agreed) 04/16/1996 | By Unanimous Consent 09/27/1996 |
| 99 th (1985) | | H.R. 2268 | FTA implementation act; enacted, P.L. 99-47. | 422-0 (Passed) 05/07/1985 | Voice Vote (Agreed) 05/23/1985 |

Source: Compiled from Congress.gov and CQ Almanac.

Notes: TPA=Trade promotion authority. For more detailed bill information, the bill numbers above link to Congress.gov, and the vote tallies link to the House and Senate roll call votes, where available. In a few examples (Oman, CAFTA-DR, Morocco), the Senate passed an implementing bill before the House version. The Senate later considered and passed the House version of the bill, as revenue-generating bills must originate in the House. The Senate bills that received a vote are included in the above table.

- a. USMCA is the U.S.-Canada-Mexico Agreement. Upon its entry into force, USMCA will supersede NAFTA.
- b. CAFTA-DR is the Dominican Republic-Central America-United States FTA, and includes Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, and the Dominican Republic.
- c. NAFTA is the North American Free Trade Agreement, and includes Mexico and Canada.
- d. U.S.-Canada FTA was superseded by NAFTA.

Table 3. Major Legislation and Votes on U.S. Membership to the World Trade Organization (WTO)
1994-2019

| Congress | P.L./Bill | Type | Description of Bill | Final Votes | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | | House | Senate |
| 103 rd | P.L. 103-465 (H.R. 5110) | Implementation act | Uruguay Round Agreements Act (Implementation act for WTO agreements). | 288-146 (Passed) 11/29/1994 | 76-24 (Passed) 12/01/1994 |
| 109 th | H.J.Res. 27 | Proposed Withdrawal from WTO | Withdrawing the approval of the United States from the Agreement establishing the WTO. | 86-338 (Failed) 06/09/2005 | — |
| 109 th | H.Res. 304 | Consideration of Proposed Withdrawal from WTO | Providing for consideration of the joint resolution (H.J.Res. 27) withdrawing the approval of the United States from the Agreement establishing the WTO. | Voice vote (Passed) 06/08/2005 | n/a |
| 106 th | H.J.Res 90 | Proposed Withdrawal from WTO | Withdrawing the approval of the United States from the Agreement establishing the WTO. | 56-363 (Failed) 06/21/2000 | — |
| 106 th | H.Res. 528 | Consideration of Proposed Withdrawal from WTO | Providing for consideration of the joint resolution (H.J.Res. 90) withdrawing the approval of the United States from the Agreement establishing the WTO. | 343-61 (Passed) 06/21/2000 | n/a |

| Congress | P.L./Bill | Type | Description of Bill | Final Votes | |
|-------------------|-------------|------------------------------|--|------------------|--------|
| | | | | House | Senate |
| 106 th | H.J.Res. 89 | Proposed Withdrawal from WTO | Withdrawing the approval of the United States from the Agreement establishing the WTO. | [no votes taken] | — |

Source: Compiled from Congress.gov.

Notes: WTO and its predecessor the GATT are multilateral trade agreements. GATT (the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade) was a multilateral agreement that aimed to lower tariffs and established the nondiscriminatory principles of trade that were later carried over into the WTO. GATT was provisionally applied in 1948, with subsequent rounds of negotiations. The last round of negotiations, the Uruguay Round, established the WTO, which incorporated GATT.

Section 125(b) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (P.L. 103-465) sets procedures for congressional disapproval of WTO participation. It specifies that Congress's approval of the WTO agreement shall cease to be effective "if and only if" Congress enacts a joint resolution calling for withdrawal. Congress may vote every five years on withdrawal.

Table 4. Major Votes on Trade Promotion Authority (TPA) Provisions

Final votes on TPA provisions, 1974-2019 Legislation listed by date of vote.

| Congress | Bill | Name of Act or Description | Final Votes on TPA provisions | | Notes |
|--|-------------|--|--|--|---|
| | | | House Vote | Senate Vote | |
| Votes related to the 2015 TPA grant | | | | | |
| 114 th | H.R. 2146 | Bipartisan Congressional Trade Priorities and Accountability Act of 2015 | 218-208, (Passed) 6/18/2015 | 60-38, (Passed) 6/24/2015 | Enacted, P.L. 114-26, 06/29/2015. Extends TPA to include the Trans-Pacific Partnership agreement, USMCA, and other prospective FTAs. |
| 114 th | H.R. 1314 | Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015 | Measure considered under “division of the question.” Measure failed because while Title I (TPA) passed, Title II failed. Title I vote (on TPA): 219-211, 6/12/2015; Title II vote (on other issues): 126-302, 6/12/2015 ^a | Vote concerning TPA: 62-37, (Passed) 5/22/2015 ^b | The TPA provisions in H.R. 1314 passed in the Senate, but failed in the House. An amendment identical to the Senate version of H.R. 1314 was then inserted into an unrelated bill, H.R. 2146 (see above). |
| Votes related to the 2002 TPA grant | | | | | |
| 110 th | H.Res. 1092 | Resolution to remove TPA consideration from the U.S.-Colombia FTA bill (H.R. 5724) in the 110th Congress | 224-195, (Agreed) 04/10/2008 | n/a | This measure removed TPA consideration (granted through the TPA provisions in the Trade Act of 2002) from the U.S.-Colombia FTA (H.R. 5724) in the 110th Congress. No further legislative action occurred in the 110th Congress on H.R. 5724. The U.S.-Colombia FTA was not resubmitted to Congress until the 112th Congress. |
| 107 th | H.R. 3009 | The Trade Act of 2002 | 215-212, (Passed) 7/27/2002 | 64-34, (Passed) 8/1/2002 | Enacted, P.L. 107-210, 8/6/2002. Eleven FTAs were negotiated and considered in Congress under the TPA provisions in the Trade Act of 2002. See Table I. |

| Congress | Bill | Name of Act or Description | Final Votes on TPA provisions | | Notes |
|--|------------|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | | | House Vote | Senate Vote | |
| 107 th | H.Res. 450 | H. Res. 450 Relating to consideration of H.R. 3009 | 216-215, (Agreed) 6/26/2002 | n/a | A rule to expand the scope of H.R. 3009 (the Trade Act of 2002) |
| 107 th | H.R. 3005 | Bipartisan Trade Promotion Authority Act of 2002 | 215-214, (Passed) 12/6/2001 | n/a | |
| TPA Lapse, 1994-2002 | | | | | |
| 105 th | H.R. 2621 | Reciprocal Trade Agreement Authorities Act of 1997 | 180-243, (Failed) 9/25/1998 | n/a | Measure attempted to renew TPA. Measure failed. TPA lapsed between 1994 and 2002. |
| Votes related to the 1988 TPA grant | | | | | |
| 103 rd | H.R. 1876 | To extend fast-track procedures for Uruguay Round trade agreements | 295-126, (Passed) 6/22/1993 | 76-16, (Passed) 6/30/1993 | Enacted, P.L. 103-49, 7/2/1993. Amended the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988 (see below) to extend TPA for the WTO Uruguay Round agreements. |
| 102 nd | S.Res. 78 | Resolution disapproving a two-year extension of fast-track procedures under the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988. | n/a | 36-59, (Failed) 5/24/1991 | A failed attempt to deny a two-year extension of the TPA provisions in the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988. Also see identical bill H.Res. 101. |
| 102 nd | H.Res. 101 | Resolution disapproving the extension of fast-track procedures to implement trade agreements entered into after May 31, 1991, and by May 31, 1993. | 192-231, (Failed) 5/23/1991 | n/a | Also see identical bill S. Res. 78 (above). |
| 102 nd | H.Res. 146 | Resolution concerning U.S. objectives of future trade agreements | 329-85, (Passed) 5/23/1991 | n/a | Bill attempted to emphasize that Congress could suspend fast track consideration if the Administration did not negotiate adequate protections for workers, industries, and the environment. |

| Congress | Bill | Name of Act or Description | Final Votes on TPA provisions | | Notes |
|--|------------|--|---|---|--|
| | | | House Vote | Senate Vote | |
| 100 th | H.R. 4848 | Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988 | 376-45, (Passed) 7/13/1988 | 85-11, (Passed) 8/3/1988 | Enacted, P.L. 100-418, 8/23/1988. Provided TPA consideration for NAFTA and the WTO Uruguay Round Agreements. |
| 100 th | H.R. 3 | Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1987 | 312-107, (Passed) 04/21/1987; (Vetoed by the President, 5/24/1988); Motion to override Presidential veto: 308-113, (Passed) 5/24/1988 | 63-36, (Passed) 4/27/1988; (Vetoed by the President, 5/24/1988) Motion to override veto: 61-37, (Failed) 6/8/1988 | Measure failed over presidential veto. Provisions from H.R.3, concerning TPA, were reintroduced into H.R. 4848, which was enacted as P.L. 100-418 (see above). |
| 100 th | S. 1420 | Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1987 | n/a | Senate passed H.R. 3 in lieu of this measure, by Yea-Nay Vote of 71-27, 07/21/1987 | See related bill H.R. 3, above. |
| Votes related to the 1984 TPA grant | | | | | |
| 98 th | H.R. 3398 | The Trade and Tariff Act of 1984 | 386-1, (Passed) 10/9/1984 | 96-0, (Passed) 9/20/1984 | Enacted, P.L. 98-573, 10/30/1984. Provided TPA consideration to the Canada and Israel FTAs. |
| 98 th | H.R. 5377 | U.S. Israel Free Trade Area | 416-6, (Passed) 10/3/1984 | n/a | Text of bill was inserted into H.R.3398, the Trade and Tariff Act of 1984 (see above). Outlined authority and negotiating priorities for the U.S.-Israel FTA. |
| Votes related to the 1974 TPA grant | | | | | |
| 96 th | H.R. 4537 | Trade Agreements Act of 1979 | 395-7, (Passed) 07/11/1979 | 90-4, (Passed) 07/23/1979 | Enacted, P.L. 96-39, 07/26/1979. |
| Votes related to the 1974 TPA grant | | | | | |
| 93 rd | H.R. 10710 | Trade Act of 1974 | 323-36, (Passed) 12/20/1974 | 72-4, (Passed) 12/20/1974 | Enacted, P.L. 93-618, 01/03/1975. |

Source: Compiled by CRS from Congress.gov.

Notes: Bolded titles were enacted into law. For more detailed bill information, the bill numbers above link to Congress.gov. There were two notable lapses in TPA: between 1994 and 2002 and between 2007 and 2015. For more on TPA, see CRS Report R43491, *Trade Promotion Authority (TPA): Frequently Asked Questions*, by Ian F. Fergusson and Christopher M. Davis.

- a. The measure was voted on in the House under a procedure known as “division of the question,” which requires separate votes on each component, but approval of both to pass. Title I concerning TPA passed the House; however, Title II, concerning trade adjustment assistance, failed. Thus, the measure failed, under “division of the question.” (House roll call votes on H.R. 1314: Title I (TPA): Roll no. 362, 6/12/2015; Title II: Roll no. 361, 6/12/2015.)
- b. Roll call vote 193, 5/22/2015.

Appendix. Selected CRS Reports and Resources

On Trade Promotion Authority

CRS In Focus IF10297, *TPP-Trade Promotion Authority (TPA) Timeline*, by Ian F. Fergusson

CRS Report R43491, *Trade Promotion Authority (TPA): Frequently Asked Questions*, by Ian F. Fergusson and Christopher M. Davis

CRS Report RL33743, *Trade Promotion Authority (TPA) and the Role of Congress in Trade Policy*, by Ian F. Fergusson

CRS Infographic IG10001, *Trade Promotion Authority (TPA) and U.S. Trade Agreements*, by Brock R. Williams

On Select Free Trade Agreements

CRS Report R45198, *U.S. and Global Trade Agreements: Issues for Congress*, by Brock R. Williams

CRS Report R44981, *NAFTA and the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA)*, by M. Angeles Villarreal and Ian F. Fergusson.

CRS In Focus IF10997, *U.S.-Mexico-Canada (USMCA) Trade Agreement*, by M. Angeles Villarreal and Ian F. Fergusson

CRS Legal Sidebar LSB10399, *USMCA: Implementation and Considerations for Congress*, by Nina M. Hart

CRS In Focus IF10733, *U.S.-South Korea (KORUS) FTA*, coordinated by Brock R. Williams

CRS Report RL34470, *The U.S.-Colombia Free Trade Agreement: Background and Issues*, by M. Angeles Villarreal and Edward Y. Gracia

CRS Report RS22164, *DR-CAFTA: Regional Issues*, by Clare Ribando Seelke

CRS In Focus IF10394, *Dominican Republic-Central America-United States Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA-DR)*, by M. Angeles Villarreal

CRS Insight IN10903, *CRS Products on the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)*, by M. Angeles Villarreal

CRS In Focus IF10000, *TPP: Overview and Current Status*, by Brock R. Williams and Ian F. Fergusson

On Multilateral Trade Agreements

CRS Report R45417, *World Trade Organization: Overview and Future Direction*, coordinated by Cathleen D. Cimino-Isaacs

Author Contact Information

Keigh E. Hammond
Research Librarian
/redacted/@crs.loc.gov , 7-....

Acknowledgments

This report benefited greatly from the work of retired CRS Research Librarian, Carolyn Smith.

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.