



Updated October 20, 2020

Latin America and the Caribbean: U.S. Policy Overview

U.S. interests in Latin America and the Caribbean are diverse and include economic, political, security, and humanitarian concerns. Geographic proximity has ensured strong economic linkages between the United States and the region, with the United States a major trading partner and source of foreign investment for many countries. Free-trade agreements (FTAs) have augmented U.S. economic relations with 11 countries in the region. The Western Hemisphere is a large source of U.S. immigration, both legal and illegal; with proximity and economic and security conditions the major factors driving migration trends.

Curbing the flow of illicit drugs from Latin America and the Caribbean has been a key component of U.S. relations with the region for decades. The flow of illicit drugs, including heroin, methamphetamine, and fentanyl from Mexico and cocaine from Colombia, poses risks to U.S. public health and safety. Since 2000, Colombia has received support through Plan Colombia and its successor programs. For over a decade, the United States also has sought to forge partnerships with other countries to combat drug trafficking and related violence and to advance citizen security, including through the Mérida Initiative, begun in 2007 to support Mexico; the Central America Regional Security Initiative (CARSI), begun in 2008; and the Caribbean Basin Security Initiative (CBSI), begun in 2009.

Another long-standing component of U.S. policy is support for democratic governance and the rule of law. U.S. policy efforts have supported democracy promotion, including support for strengthening civil society and promoting human rights and the rule of law.

Increasing Challenges in the Region

Over the past several years, the quality of democracy has eroded in numerous countries, along with public satisfaction with how democracy is working. Venezuela has descended into a dictatorship under President Nicolás Maduro, and Cuba and Nicaragua are ruled by authoritarian governments repressing the political opposition. In 2019, many countries in the region experienced social unrest fueled by political factors such as fraudulent elections, weak democratic institutions, politicized judicial systems, public corruption scandals, high levels of crime and violence, and organized crime's effect on state institutions. Economic factors such as declining or stagnant growth rates, high levels of income inequality, and increased poverty also increased social pressure.

In 2020, the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is having widespread economic, social, and political effects in the region. As of October 19, 2020, the region had over 10.4 million cases (over 26% of cases worldwide) and over 379,000 deaths (34% of deaths worldwide), according to Johns Hopkins University. Brazil, Mexico, and Peru were among the 10 countries worldwide

with the highest numbers of deaths. Before the pandemic, the International Monetary Fund projected a regional economic growth rate of 1.6% in 2020, but its revised forecast from October 2020 projects an 8.1% economic contraction for the year. According to a July 2020 U.N. report, as a result of the pandemic, 45 million people will likely move into poverty in Latin America and the Caribbean in 2020. Many countries may struggle with protracted recoveries. (Also see CRS In Focus IF11581, Latin America and the Caribbean: Impact of COVID-19.)

Trump Administration Policy

Under the Trump Administration, U.S. relations with Latin America and the Caribbean generally have moved toward a more confrontational approach, especially regarding efforts to curb irregular immigration from the region, compared with the approach of past Administrations, which emphasized partnership. In 2018, the State Department set forth a framework for U.S. policy toward the region focused on three pillars for engagement—economic growth and prosperity, security, and democratic governance. The framework reflects continuity with long-standing regional U.S. policy priorities, but it appears at odds with the Administration's sometimes antagonistic actions and statements on immigration, trade, and foreign aid. According to Gallup and Pew Research Center polls, negative views of U.S. leadership in the region have increased markedly during the Trump Administration.

Foreign Aid. The Administration's foreign aid budgets for the region would have cut assistance levels by more than a third in FY2018 and FY2019 and by 30% in FY2020. Congress essentially rejected those requests by providing significantly more assistance in appropriations measures. In 2019, the Trump Administration withheld some assistance to the "Northern Triangle" countries of Central America (El Salvador, Guatemala, and Honduras) to compel governments to curb the flow of migrants to the United States. The FY2021 request of \$1.4 billion would cut aid to the region by 18%, but a large proposed increase to support a potential democratic transition in Venezuela masks larger cuts for many countries and programs. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, as of August 2020, U.S. agencies allocated \$141 million in new and previously announced assistance to help the region respond to the pandemic.

Trade. In 2017, President Trump ordered U.S. withdrawal from the proposed Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) FTA negotiated in 2015 by 12 Asia-Pacific countries; the TPP would have increased U.S. economic linkages with Chile, Mexico, and Peru, which were parties to the agreement. The President strongly criticized the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) with Mexico and Canada, repeatedly threatened U.S. withdrawal, and initiated renegotiations in 2017. The three countries agreed in 2018 to a new United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA), which

entered into force July 1, 2020; the agreement retained many of NAFTA's provisions but also included modernizing updates and changes.

Mexico, Central America, and Immigration. Relations with Mexico have been tested because of inflammatory anti-immigrant rhetoric and immigration actions that have shifted the burden of interdicting migrants and offering asylumto Mexico. In 2017, the Administration announced it would end the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA), a program begun in 2012 that provides relief from deportation for certain immigrants who arrived as children; a federal court challenge ultimately led to a June 2020 Supreme Court decision rejecting the procedures that the Administration used in rescinding DACA. In 2018, Mexico's president agreed to allow the United States to return certain non-Mexican migrants to Mexico while awaiting U.S. immigration court decisions.

Other Administration actions on immigration have caused concern in the region. In 2017, the Administration announced it would terminate Temporary Protected Status (TPS) designations for Nicaragua, Haiti, El Salvador, and Honduras, but federal court challenges have put the terminations on hold.

Unauthorized migration from Central America has increased in recent years, fueled by difficult socioeconomic and security conditions and poor governance. The Administration has used various policy tools to deter such migration. In addition to aid cuts and threats of increased U.S. tariffs and taxes on remittances, these tools have included controversial asylum cooperation agreements—also referred to as safe third country agreements—with Guatemala, El Salvador, and Honduras to permit the United States to transfer asylum applicants from third countries to the Northern Triangle countries.

Venezuela, Cuba, and Nicaragua. As the situation in Venezuela has deteriorated, the Trump Administration has imposed targeted and broader financial sanctions, including sanctions against the state oil company, the country's main source of income. In January 2019, the Administration recognized the head of Venezuela's National Assembly, Juan Guaidó, as interimpres ident. The Administration also is providing humanitarian and development assistance for Venezuelans who have fled to other countries, es pecially Colombia, and for Venezuelans inside Venezuela.

On Cuba, the Trump Administration has abandoned the policy of engagement advanced during the Obama Administration and imposed numerous economic sanctions on Cuba for its poor human rights record and support for the Maduro government in Venezuela. Sanctions have included restrictions on travel and remittances and efforts to disrupt oil flows from Venezuela.

Since political unrest in Nicaragua against the government of President Daniel Ortega began to grow in 2018, the Trump Administration has employed targeted sanctions against several senior officials and other individuals and entities for human rights abuses or corruption.

Congressional Action in the 116th Congress

Congress traditionally has played an active role in policy toward Latin America and the Caribbean in terms of both

legislation and oversight. The 116th Congress did not approve the Trump Administration's downsized foreign aid requests for the region for FY2019 (P.L. 116-6) or FY2020 (P.L. 116-94) and instead provided aid amounts roughly similar to those provided in recent years. For FY2021, the House-passed foreign aid appropriations bill, Division A of H.R. 7608, approved in July 2020, also would fund key countries and programs at amounts higher than requested. (See CRS Report R46514, *U.S. Foreign Assistance to Latin America and the Caribbean: FY2021 Appropriations.*)

On Venezuela, in December 2019, Congress enacted the VERDAD Act of 2019 in Division J of P.L. 116-94, which, among its provisions, codifies several sanctions and authorizes humanitarian assistance and support for international election observation and democratic civil society. The measure incorporated provisions from S. 1025 and three March 2019 House-passed bills: H.R. 854; H.R. 920, and H.R. 1477. In July 2019, the House also approved H.R. 549, which would provide TPS to Venezuelans.

On trade, Congress enacted implementing legislation for the USMCA (P.L. 116-113) in January 2020. Before approval, the agreement was amended to address congressional concerns regarding labor, the environment, dispute settlement, and intellectual property rights. In September 2020, Congress approved legislation (P.L. 116-164) extending, through September 2030, certain trade preferences currently provided to eight Caribbean countries.

The FY2020 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA; P.L. 116-92) includes provisions on Venezuela and Guatemala and reporting requirements on Brazil, Honduras, Central America, and Mexico. The House-passed FY2021 NDAA, H.R. 6395, approved in July 2020, has provisions on the Caribbean, El Salvador, Brazil, Colombia, Venezuela, Mexico, Guatemala, and Central America.

In other action, the House approved H.R. 133 in January 2019, which would promote economic cooperation and exchanges with Mexico; the Senate approved an amended version in January 2020. The House approved H.R. 2615 in July 2019, which would authorize as sistance to Central America to address the root causes of migration; many of the bill's provisions were incorporated into the House-passed FY2021 NDAA.

The House and Senate also approved resolutions on several countries in the region. These included S.Res. 35 (April 2019) and S.Res. 447 (January 2020), expressing support for democracy in Bolivia; H.Res. 441 (July 2019) and S.Res. 277 (October 2019), commemorating the 1994 bombing of the Argentine-Israeli Mutual Association in Buenos Aires; H.Res. 754 (March 2020) and S.Res. 525 (June 2020), expressing support for democracy and human rights in Nicaragua; and S.Res. 454 (June 2020), calling for the release of Cuban democracy activist Jose Daniel Ferrer.

Also see CRS Report R46258, Latin America and the Caribbean: U.S. Policy and Issues in the 116th Congress.

Mark P. Sullivan, Specialist in Latin American Affairs

IF10460

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.