



**Congressional
Research Service**

Informing the legislative debate since 1914

Parliamentary Rights of the Delegates and Resident Commissioner from Puerto Rico

Christopher M. Davis

Analyst on Congress and the Legislative Process

Updated October 16, 2019

Congressional Research Service

7-....

www.crs.gov

R40170

Summary

As officers who represent territories and properties possessed or administered by the United States but not admitted to statehood, the five House delegates and the resident commissioner from Puerto Rico do not enjoy all the same parliamentary rights as Members of the House. They may vote and otherwise act similarly to Members in legislative committee. They may not vote on the House floor but may participate in debate and make most motions there. Under the rules of the 116th Congress (2017-2018), the delegates and resident commissioner may preside over the Committee of the Whole and may vote in the committee—subject to an automatic revote in the House in cases in which their votes were decisive. Delegates and the resident commissioner may not vote in, or preside over, the House.

This report will be updated as circumstances warrant.

Contents

Introduction	1
In Legislative Committee	1
In the House.....	1
In Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union	1

Contacts

Author Contact Information	3
----------------------------------	---

Introduction

The offices of the resident commissioner from Puerto Rico and the delegates to the House of Representatives from American Samoa, the District of Columbia, Guam, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands are created by statute, not by the Constitution. Because they represent territories and associated jurisdictions, not states, they do not possess the same parliamentary rights as Members. This report examines the parliamentary rights of the delegates and the resident commissioner in legislative committee, in the House, and in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.

In Legislative Committee

Under clause 3 of Rule III, the delegates and the resident commissioner are elected to serve on standing committees in the same manner as Representatives and have the same parliamentary powers and privileges as Representatives there: the right to question witnesses, debate, offer amendments, vote, offer motions, raise points of order, include additional views in committee reports, accrue seniority, and chair committees and subcommittees. The same rule authorizes the Speaker of the House to appoint delegates and the resident commissioner to conference committees as well as to select and joint committees.

In the House

The delegates and the resident commissioner may not vote in or preside over the House.¹ Although they take an oath to uphold the Constitution, they are not included on the Clerk's roll of Members-elect and may not vote for Speaker. They may not file or sign discharge petitions. They may, however, sponsor and cosponsor legislation, participate in debate—including managing time—and offer any motion that a Representative may make except the motion to reconsider.² A delegate or resident commissioner may raise points of order and questions of personal privilege, call a Member to order, appeal rulings of the chair, file reports for committees, object to the consideration of a bill, and move impeachment proceedings.

In Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union

Under the rules of the 116th Congress (2019-2020), the delegates and the resident commissioner may vote in, and preside over, the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union.³ Rules III and XVIII, as adopted in the 116th Congress, mirror rules in force during the 110th (2007-2008), 111th (2009-2010), and 103rd Congresses (1993-1994). Under these provisions, when the House is sitting as the Committee of the Whole, the delegates and resident commissioner have the same ability to vote as Representatives subject to immediate

¹ Legislation has been introduced in recent Congresses that would add to the House a full voting representative from the District of Columbia. For more information on this and similar proposals, see CRS Report RL33830, *District of Columbia Voting Representation in Congress: An Analysis of Legislative Proposals*, by Eugene Boyd.

² Recognition to offer the motion to reconsider is dependent on having voted on the prevailing side of a question—something the delegates and the resident commissioner may not do because they cannot vote in the House.

³ See H.Res. 6, 116th Congress.

reconsideration in the House when their recorded votes have been “decisive” in the committee. These House rules also authorize the Speaker to appoint a delegate or the resident commissioner to preside as chair of the Committee of the Whole.

Provisions permitting the delegates and the resident commissioner to vote in the Committee of the Whole were first adopted in the 103rd Congress (1993-1994). These provisions were stricken from the rules as adopted in the 104th Congress (1995-1996) and remained out of effect until readopted in the 110th Congress.⁴ They were again removed from House rules at the beginning of the 112th Congress (2011-2012).⁵ The 116th Congress (2019-2020) reinstated the delegate voting provisions, and they are currently in force.

At the time of the adoption of the original 1993 delegate voting rule, then-Minority Leader Robert H. Michel and 12 other Representatives filed suit against the Clerk of the House and the territorial delegates seeking a declaration that the rule was unconstitutional. The constitutionality of the rule was ultimately upheld on appeal based on its inclusion of the mechanism for automatic reconsideration of votes in the House.⁶

The votes of the delegates and the resident commissioner were decisive—and thus subject to automatic revote by the House—on three occasions in the 103rd Congress.⁷ There were no instances identified in the 110th Congress in which the votes of the delegates and the resident commissioner were decisive. In the 111th Congress, the votes of the delegates were decisive—and subject to an automatic revote—on one occasion.⁸ No such instances have yet occurred in the 116th Congress.

The rule governing voting in the Committee of the Whole by delegates and the resident commissioner is not interpreted to mean that any recorded vote with a difference of six votes or fewer is subject to automatic reconsideration. In determining whether the votes of the delegates and the resident commissioner were decisive, the chair follows a “but for” test—namely, would the result of a vote have been different if the delegates and the commissioner had not voted?⁹ If the votes of the delegates and resident commissioner on a question are determined to be decisive by this standard, the committee automatically rises and the Speaker puts the question to a vote. The vote is first put by voice, and any Representative may, with a sufficient second, obtain a record vote. Once the final result of the vote is announced, the Committee of the Whole automatically resumes its sitting.¹⁰

⁴ U.S. Congress, House, *Constitution, Jefferson’s Manual, and Rules of the House of Representatives*, H.Doc. 113-181, 113th Cong., 2nd sess. (Washington: GPO, 2015), §985.

⁵ See H.Res. 5, 112th Congress.

⁶ *Michel v. Anderson*, 14 F.3d 623 (D.C. Cir. 1994).

⁷ See roll call #63, March 17, 1994; roll call #267, June 23, 1994; and roll call #277, June 24, 1994. The result of roll call #267 changed when the question was revoted in the House.

⁸ See roll call #360, June 18, 2009. The result of the roll call did not change when the question was revoted.

⁹ On May 19, 1993, a series of parliamentary inquiries were directed to the chair about how the “but for” test is applied. *Congressional Record*, vol. 139, May 19, 1993, pp. 10408-10409. The chair responded to another series of parliamentary inquiries about the mechanism on February 8, 2007. *Congressional Record*, daily edition, vol. 153, February 8, 2007, pp. H1350-H1351.

¹⁰ It should be noted that these provisions, by which the House automatically reconsiders such decisive votes, are a different procedure apart from the right of any Member to demand a separate vote in the House on any first-degree amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole.

Author Contact Information

Christopher M. Davis
Analyst on Congress and the Legislative Process
#redacted#@crs.loc.gov-....

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.