

K-12 Teacher Recruitment and Retention Policies in the Higher Education Act: In Brief

Jeffrey J. Kuenzi Specialist in Education Policy

September 4, 2019

Congressional Research Service

7-.... www.crs.gov R45914

SUMMARY

R45914

September 4, 2019

Jeffrey J. Kuenzi

Specialist in Education Policy -redacted-@crs.loc.gov

For a copy of the full report, please call 7-.... or visit www.crs.gov.

K-12 Teacher Recruitment and Retention Policies in the Higher Education Act: In Brief

The K-12 teacher workforce is relatively large—each year, about 4 million teachers are employed in U.S. elementary and secondary schools. Turnover in these schools is high relative to earlier periods—about 1 in 10 teachers left his or her job in 2018. This figure follows federal statistical trends that show a sizable growth in teacher attrition since the 1980s. Teacher shortages and high turnover raise a number of recruitment and retention issues that may be of interest to policymakers.

One of the more difficult issues involves a debate between observers who are concerned about an *overall* teacher shortage, and others who see it largely as a distributional problem where some schools have a relative surplus of teachers while other schools struggle with a persistent, unmet demand for qualified teachers. Those in the former camp focus on policies that aim to improve the recruitment and retention in the teaching profession in general, while those in the latter camp focus on policies that target education funding to fill positions for certain *hard-to-staff* schools and/or subject areas.

Current federal policy addresses recruitment and retention. The Higher Education Act (HEA) authorizes grant support to institutions that prepare K-12 teachers as well as financial aid to students interested in the teaching profession. Title II of the HEA authorizes grants for improving teacher education programs, strengthening teacher recruitment efforts, and providing training for prospective teachers. Title IV of the HEA authorizes Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education (TEACH) Grants to encourage students to prepare for a career in teaching and student loan forgiveness for teachers that remain in the classroom over a number of years.

The HEA was last comprehensively amended in 2008 by the Higher Education Opportunity Act (HEOA, P.L. 110-315). Congressional consideration of potentially reauthorizing the HEA is ongoing, including the introduction of numerous bills to amend the portions of current law that address teacher recruitment and retention. Issues that may arise as the reauthorization process unfolds include modifying the Title II grant partnership structure, targeting support to specific teacher shortage areas or non-instructional staff, expanding teacher preparation program accountability, reforming administration of the TEACH Grant program, and expanding or consolidating teacher loan forgiveness programs.

Contents

Introduction	I
Legislative History	1
Teacher Corps and Teacher Centers	2
Paul Douglas Teacher Scholarships and Christa McAuliffe Fellowships	2
Mid-Career Teacher Training and Minority Teacher Recruitment	3
Teacher Quality Enhancement Program	3
Current Programs	4
Teacher Quality Partnership Grants	4
Eligible Partnerships	4
Partnership Activities	5
Enhancing Teacher Education Programs	6
TEACH Grants	6
Debt Relief from Student Loans	6
HEA Reauthorization Issues	7
Title II Grant Partnership Structure	7
Targeting School Staff	
Preparation Program Accountability	8
TEACH Grant Program Administration	8
Loan Forgiveness	9
Contacts	
Author Contact Information	9

Introduction

The K-12 teacher workforce is relatively large—each year, nearly 4 million teachers are employed in U.S. elementary and secondary schools. Turnover in these schools is high relative to earlier periods—about 1 in 10 teachers left his or her job in 2018. This figure follows federal statistical trends that show a steady growth in teacher attrition since the 1980s. The problem of teacher turnover raises a number of recruitment and retention issues of interest to policymakers. The Higher Education Act (HEA) is the main federal law containing policies designed to address these issues. 4

Title II of the HEA authorizes grant support for schools that prepare new teachers.⁵ Title IV of the HEA authorizes financial support to encourage people to stay in the teaching profession in the form of loan forgiveness and other benefits. The HEA was last comprehensively amended in 2008 by the Higher Education Opportunity Act (HEOA, P.L. 110-315). Although the authorities have expired, the associated programs continue to receive appropriations. Congressional consideration of potentially reauthorizing the HEA is ongoing, with the introduction of numerous bills to amend current law and address teacher recruitment and retention.

This report describes (1) the history of federal teacher recruitment and retention policy, (2) current policies in this area, and (3) related issues that may arise as Congress considers reauthorizing the HEA.

Legislative History⁶

Teacher recruitment and retention have been the focus of federal policy since the HEA was first enacted in 1965. This section briefly describes the history of federal policy in this area.

_

¹ About 3.6 million full-time-equivalent (FTE) elementary and secondary school teachers were engaged in classroom instruction in fall 2017, including 3.2 million public school teachers and 0.5 million private school teachers. T.D. Snyder, C. de Brey, and S.A. Dillow, Digest of Education Statistics 2017 (NCES 2018-070), U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, Washington, DC, 2019, Table 105.40.

² Michelle Hackman and Erick Morath, "Teachers Quit Jobs at Highest Rate on Record," *Wall Street Journal*, December 28, 2018, https://www.wsi.com/articles/teachers-quit-jobs-at-highest-rate-on-record-11545993052.

³ The percentage of teachers leaving the profession between the 1987-1988 and 1988-1989 school years was 5.6; in subsequent years, the rate of leaving grew to 6.6% (1993/1994-1994/1995), 7.4% (1999/2000-2000/2001), 8.4% (2003/2004-2004/2005), 8.0% (2007/2008-2008/2009), and 7.7% (2011/2012-2012/2013). T.D. Snyder, C. de Brey, and S.A. Dillow, Digest of Education Statistics 2017 (NCES 2018-070), U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, Washington, DC, 2019, Table 210.30.

⁴ The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) also includes programs for K-12 teachers; however, these focus mainly on in-service training and class size reduction. More information on ESEA programs is available in CRS Report R44297, *Reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act: Highlights of the Every Student Succeeds Act*, by Rebecca R. Skinner and Jeffrey J. Kuenzi.

⁵ More information on these programs is available in CRS Report R45407, *Teacher Preparation Policies and Issues in the Higher Education Act*, by Jeffrey J. Kuenzi.

⁶ The programs in this section are described in greater detail in archived CRS Report 91-377, *Title V of the Higher Education Act: Programs for Teachers*, by James B. Stedman, available to congressional clients upon request.

Teacher Corps and Teacher Centers

The HEA was originally enacted by the 89th Congress and signed into law on November 8, 1965 (P.L. 89-329). Title V authorized the Teacher Corps program, which recruited interns for teaching in high-poverty areas of the country. These interns, directed by experienced teachers, taught in participating K-12 schools while also taking higher education courses to secure teaching certificates. The program was initially funded in FY1966 and phased out in FY1981 under the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 (P.L. 97-35).

In 1967, Title V became the Education Professions Development Act (EPDA, P.L. 90-35), which reauthorized the Teacher Corps program and authorized a number of new teacher development programs. Among these programs were efforts to attract low-income persons to teaching and a fellowship program for enhancing the skills of higher education faculty training elementary and secondary school teachers. In general, EPDA programs were funded beginning for FY1969 or FY1970. The Education Amendments of 1976 (P.L. 94-482) repealed all of the EPDA with the exception of the Teacher Corps program.

The Education Amendments of 1976 (P.L. 94-482) renamed Title V as Teacher Corps and Teacher Training Programs, extended the Teacher Corps program authorization, and authorized a new Teacher Centers program.⁸ Teacher Centers, first funded for FY1978, were operated by local educational agencies (LEAs) or institutions of higher education (IHEs), and provided in-service training to the elementary and secondary school teaching force. The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act phased out the program in FY1981.

Paul Douglas Teacher Scholarships and Christa McAuliffe Fellowships

Initially enacted in 1984 under the Human Services Reauthorization Act (P.L. 98-558), the Paul Douglas Teacher Scholarships provided annual \$5,000 postsecondary education scholarships, for up to four years, to outstanding high school graduates (candidates in the top 10% of their high school graduating class, among other criteria). Recipients were required to teach for two years at the K-12 level for each year of scholarship assistance they received, an obligation that could be reduced by half for those teaching in geographic or subject areas that were experiencing shortages. Federal funds were allocated by formula to states. The Paul Douglas Teacher Scholarships were first funded for FY1986 and last funded for FY1995 (when the program authority was terminated).

Also initially authorized under the Human Services Reauthorization Act, the National Talented Teacher Fellowships, later-renamed the Christa McAuliffe Fellowships, provided one-year awards to outstanding, experienced public and private elementary and secondary school teachers for sabbaticals. Following sabbaticals to develop innovative teaching projects, recipients had to return to their prior place of employment for two years. The federal appropriation was allocated

⁷ The EPDA is described in greater detail in archived CRS Report 73-74, *Background and Summary of the Education Professions Development Act*, by Katherine Montgomery, available to congressional clients upon request.

⁸ These programs are described in greater detail in archived CRS Report 84-36S, *Federal Efforts to Improve America's Teaching Force*, by K. Forbis Jordan and Nancy B. Borkow, available to congressional clients upon request.

⁹ Sharon Christa McAuliffe was an American teacher and astronaut from Concord, NH, and one of the seven crew members killed in the Space Shuttle Challenger disaster.

by formula among the states. The Christa McAuliffe Fellowships were first funded for FY1987 and last funded for FY1995.¹⁰

Mid-Career Teacher Training and Minority Teacher Recruitment

The Higher Education Amendments of 1986 (P.L. 99-498) rewrote Title V as Educator Recruitment, Retention, and Development. These amendments not only extended and renamed the scholarship and fellowship programs enacted in 1984, but also added two new programs intended to recruit new teachers to the profession: Mid-Career Teacher Training and Minority Teacher Recruitment.¹¹

Mid-Career Teacher Training provided grants to IHEs for the establishment of programs to prepare individuals leaving their current careers in order to teach. Eligibility was limited to individuals with a baccalaureate or advanced degree who had job experience in education-related fields. Two fields are specifically cited in the authorizing statute: preschool and early childhood education. IHEs were initially to receive a planning grant of not more than \$100,000 to be used in the two fiscal years following selection; however, the program was funded for two years (FY1990 and FY1991).

Minority Teacher Recruitment awarded grants to partnerships between an IHE and either a State Education Agency (SEA) or an LEA to recruit and train minority students, beginning with students in 7th grade, to become teachers. The program also awarded grants to IHEs to improve teacher preparation programs and to support teacher placement in schools with high minority student enrollment. It was initially funded for FY1993 and received its last appropriation for FY1997.

Teacher Quality Enhancement Program

The Higher Education Amendments of 1998 established a new federal teacher program in Title II, the Teacher Quality Enhancement Grant program. ¹² Part A of Title II authorized three types of competitively awarded grants: State Grants, Partnership Grants, and Recruitment Grants. State Grants and Partnership Grants were each authorized to receive 45% of the appropriation for Title II-A and Recruitment Grants were allocated the remaining 10%. Funds for these grants were first appropriated for FY1999 and have been continued to the present day under new authority described below. State Grants and Partnership Grants funds were to be used for activities including the improvement of teacher pre-service preparation, accountability for teacher preparation programs, the reform of teacher certification requirements (including alternative routes to certification), and in-service professional development.

Recruitment Grants funds were to be used for the recruitment of highly qualified teachers (Partnership Grants could also be used for this purpose). Specific recruitment activities described in Title II include teacher education scholarships, support services to help recipients complete postsecondary education, follow-up services during the first three years of teaching, and activities enabling high-need LEAs and schools to recruit highly qualified teachers. In 2008, HEA Title II-

¹⁰ These fellowships are described in more detail in archived CRS Report 91-388, *Christa McAuliffe Fellowship Program: Reauthorization by the 102nd Congress*, by James B. Stedman, available to congressional clients upon request.

¹¹ These programs are described in greater detail in archived CRS Report 92-794, *Programs for School Teachers: Title V of the Higher Education Act*, by James B. Stedman, available to congressional clients upon request.

¹² More information on these programs may be found in CRS Report RL31882, *Teacher Quality Enhancement Grants (Title II, Part A of the Higher Education Act): Overview and Reauthorization Issues*, by Jeffrey J. Kuenzi.

A was renamed the Teacher Quality Partnership program under amendments made by the HEOA, which remains current law.

Current Programs

The HEA, as amended by the HEOA, addresses current K-12 teacher issues through programs supporting the improvement of teacher preparation and recruitment. Title II of the HEA authorizes grants for improving teacher education programs, strengthening teacher recruitment efforts, and providing training for prospective teachers. This title also includes reporting requirements for states and IHEs regarding the quality of teacher education programs. ¹³ Title IV of the HEA authorizes Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education (TEACH) Grants to encourage more students to prepare for a career in teaching and student loan forgiveness for individuals teaching in certain high-need subjects. Teachers may also be eligible for loan relief through the Title IV Public Service Loan Forgiveness program.

Teacher Quality Partnership Grants

Title II, Part A of the HEA authorizes Teacher Quality Partnership (TQP) grants to improve the quality of teachers working in high-need schools and early childhood education programs by improving the preparation of teachers and enhancing professional development activities for them, holding teacher preparation programs accountable for preparing effective teachers, and recruiting highly qualified individuals into the teaching force.¹⁴

Eligible Partnerships

To be eligible, partnerships must include a high-need LEA; a high-need school or high-need early childhood education program (or a consortium of high-need schools or early childhood education programs served by the partner high-need LEA); a partner IHE; a school, department, or program of education within the partner IHE; and a school or department of arts and sciences within the partner IHE. The TQP statute requires that a high-need LEA must have either a high rate of out-of-field teachers or a high rate of teacher turnover and meet one of the following three criteria:

- 1. have at least 20% of its children served be from low-income families;
- 2. serve at least 10,000 children from low-income families; or
- 3. be eligible for one of the two Rural Education Achievement Programs. 15

¹³ Under these provisions, states and IHEs that operate teacher preparation programs are required to report information on the performance of their programs. States must do so as a condition of receiving HEA funds. IHEs must do so if they enroll students receiving federal assistance under the HEA. IHEs must issue report cards to the state and to the general public. States must issue report cards to the Department of Education (ED) and to the general public. ED is required by the HEA to use state-reported information to issue an annual report on teacher qualifications and preparation in the United States. More information on the reporting and accountability provisions in HEA, Title II may be found in CRS Report R45407, *Teacher Preparation Policies and Issues in the Higher Education Act*, by Jeffrey J. Kuenzi.

¹⁴ More information on the Teacher Quality Partnership program may be found in CRS Report R45407, *Teacher Preparation Policies and Issues in the Higher Education Act*, by Jeffrey J. Kuenzi.

¹⁵ More information on this program may be found in CRS Report R44906, *The Rural Education Achievement Program: Title V-B of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act*, by Jeffrey J. Kuenzi.

Partnership Activities

Partnership grant funds are authorized to be used for a Pre-Baccalaureate Preparation program, a Teacher Residency program, or both. Funds may also be used for a Leadership Development program, but only in addition to one of the other two programs. Activities authorized by the HEOA amendments are described below.

Pre-Baccalaureate Preparation Program

Grants are provided to implement a wide range of reforms in teacher preparation programs and, as applicable, preparation programs for early childhood educators. These reforms may include, among other things, implementing curriculum changes that improve, evaluate, and assess how well prospective teachers develop teaching skills; using teaching and learning research so that teachers implement research-based instructional practices and use data to improve classroom instruction; developing a high-quality and sustained pre-service clinical education program that includes high-quality mentoring or coaching; creating a high-quality induction program for new teachers; implementing initiatives that increase compensation for qualified early childhood educators who attain two-year and four-year degrees; developing and implementing high-quality professional development for teachers in the partner high-need LEAs; developing effective mechanisms, which may include alternative routes to state certification, to recruit qualified individuals into the teaching profession; and strengthening literacy teaching skills of prospective and new elementary and secondary school teachers.

Teacher Residency Program

Grants are provided to develop and implement teacher residency programs that are based on models of successful teaching residencies and that serve as a mechanism to prepare teachers for success in high-need schools and academic subjects. Grant funds must be used to support programs that provide, among other things, rigorous graduate-level course work to earn a master's degree while undertaking a guided teaching apprenticeship, learning opportunities alongside a trained and experienced mentor teacher, and clear criteria for selecting mentor teachers based on measures of teacher effectiveness. Programs must place graduates in targeted schools as a cohort in order to facilitate professional collaboration and provide to members of the cohort a one-year living stipend or salary, which must be repaid by any recipient who does not teach full-time for at least three years in a high-need school or subject area.

Leadership Development Program

Grants are provided to develop and implement effective school leadership programs to prepare individuals for careers as superintendents, principals, early childhood education program directors, or other school leaders. Such programs must promote strong leadership skills and techniques so that school leaders are able to create a school climate conducive to professional development for teachers, understand the teaching and assessment skills needed to support successful classroom instruction, use data to evaluate teacher instruction and drive teacher and student learning, manage resources and time to improve academic achievement, engage and involve parents and other community stakeholders, and understand how students learn and develop in order to increase academic achievement. Grant funds must also be used to develop a yearlong clinical education program, a mentoring and induction program, and programs to recruit qualified individuals to become school leaders.

Enhancing Teacher Education Programs

The HEOA amendments established five new programs in HEA, Title II, Part B, Enhancing Teacher Education: Subpart 1, Preparing Teachers for Digital Age Learners; Subpart 2, Hawkins Centers of Excellence; Subpart 3, Teach to Reach Grants; Subpart 4, Adjunct Teacher Corps; and Subpart 5, Graduate Fellowships to Prepare Faculty in High-Need Areas. None of these programs has received funding.

TEACH Grants

The College Cost Reduction and Access Act (P.L. 110-84) established the TEACH Grants under Subpart 9 of HEA, Title VI-A to provide aid directly to postsecondary students who are training to become teachers. The program provides grants to cover the cost of attendance of up to \$4,000 per year (\$16,000 total) for bachelor's studies or \$8,000 total for master's studies to students who commit to teaching high-need subjects in low-income schools after completing their postsecondary education. Both undergraduate and graduate students are eligible for the grants and must agree to serve as full-time mathematics, science, foreign language, bilingual education, special education, or reading teachers in low-income schools for at least four years within eight years of graduating.

Current teachers, retirees from other occupations, and those who became teachers through alternative certification routes are also eligible for TEACH Grants to help pay for the costs of obtaining graduate degrees. An individual who fails to complete the agreed-upon service in low-income schools and high-need subjects is required to pay back his or her TEACH Grant as an Unsubsidized Direct Loan, including interest from the day the grant was made. 16

Debt Relief from Student Loans

Relief from repayment obligations under federal student loan programs has been available to teachers since before enactment of the HEA.¹⁷ The National Defense Education Act of 1958 (NDEA, P.L. 85-864) included a loan forgiveness component of the National Defense Student Loan (NDSL) program that was intended to increase the number and quality of teachers in U.S. schools. The NDSL program was incorporated into the HEA through the Education Amendments of 1972 (P.L. 92-318) and was later renamed the Federal Perkins Loan Program¹⁸ by amendments made through the Higher Education Amendments of 1986 (P.L. 99-498). Under current HEA provisions, ¹⁹ qualified teachers may receive relief from up to 100% of their Perkins Loan balance, depending on years of service; although new Perkins Loans are no longer being made.²⁰

¹⁶ Based on data from national surveys and existing teacher loan forgiveness programs, ED estimates that as many as 66% of students receiving TEACH grants will fail to complete the required service commitment and will have their grants converted to loans. U.S. Department of Education, Justifications of Appropriation Estimates to the Congress: Fiscal Year 2020, Washington, DC, 2011, pp. P-2, https://www2.ed.gov/about/overview/budget/budget18/justifications/p-teach.pdf.

¹⁷ More information on these programs may be found in CRS Report R43571, *Federal Student Loan Forgiveness and Loan Repayment Programs*, coordinated by Alexandra Hegji.

¹⁸ More information on the Federal Perkins Loan program may be found in CRS Report RL31618, *Campus-Based Student Financial Aid Programs Under the Higher Education Act*, by Joselynn H. Fountain.

¹⁹ HEA §465

²⁰ While Perkins Loans provided expansive forgiveness benefits, the loan program was targeted toward students with high levels of need, and was not operating on a scale comparable to other loan programs such as the Federal Family

Loan forgiveness for teachers was expanded to include loans made under the Federal Family Education Loan and Direct Loan programs by the Higher Education Amendments of 1998 (P.L. 105-244).²¹ For individuals who teach for five years on a full-time basis in eligible low-income schools, up to \$5,000 may be canceled. Forbearance is available to borrowers during their five years of qualified teaching. Only individuals who are new borrowers on or after October 1, 1998, are eligible for this loan forgiveness benefit. The Taxpayer-Teacher Protection Act of 2004 (P.L. 108-409) increased the maximum amount of loan forgiveness to \$17,500 for special education teachers and those teaching mathematics or science in secondary schools.

Teachers may also qualify for student debt relief under the Public Service Loan Forgiveness (PSLF) program, enacted by the College Cost Reduction and Access Act of 2007 (P.L. 110-84). Under the PSLF program, individuals may qualify to have the balance (principal and interest) of their Direct Loans forgiven if they have made 120 full, scheduled, monthly payments on those loans, according to certain repayment plans, while concurrently employed full-time in public service (which can include teaching).²²

HEA Reauthorization Issues

The 116th Congress is expected to consider reauthorizing the HEA. Thus far, numerous bills have been introduced to amend current law and address teacher recruitment and retention. This section discusses issues that may arise as the potential reauthorization process unfolds. The policy issues discussed here are based on existing and prior legislative proposals and are intended to provide some context for their consideration. These issues include modifying the Title II grant partnership structure, targeting support to specific teacher shortage areas or non-instructional staff, expanding teacher preparation program accountability requirements, reforming administration of the TEACH Grant program, and expanding or consolidating teacher loan forgiveness programs.

Title II Grant Partnership Structure

Currently, IHEs are a required partner in the TQP program and often serve as the sponsor of a partnership. With the rise of alternatives to traditional routes into the teaching profession.²³ some proposals would eliminate the requirement that IHEs be a partner by allowing non-IHE-based teacher preparation providers to serve as TQP grantee sponsors as well.²⁴ Current law defines a "partner institution" as a four-year IHE. Policymakers may consider amending this definition to allow two-year IHEs or other nonprofit teacher preparation programs to serve as a TQP partner institution or partnership sponsor.²⁵

Education Loan and Direct Loan programs.

²¹ More information on these loan programs may be found in CRS Report R40122, Federal Student Loans Made Under the Federal Family Education Loan Program and the William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program: Terms and Conditions for Borrowers, by David P. Smole.

²² More information on PSLF may be found in CRS Report R45389, *The Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program:* Selected Issues, by Alexandra Hegji.

²³ Alternative-route program completers as a share of all teacher preparation program completers was 13% in 2000-2001, 15% in 2004-2005, 19% in 2008-2009, and 15% in 2012-2013. These figures are taken from the Secretary of Education's HEA Title II reporting system, various years, at https://www2.ed.gov/about/reports/annual/teachprep/ index.html.

²⁴ For example, S. 468 would allow high-need LEAs to partner with only one of a number of entities, including "an entity operating a program that provides alternative routes to State certification of teachers."

²⁵ Two bills introduced in the current congressional session (S. 969 and S. 752) would make such amendments.

To be a partner in a TQP grant, LEAs and schools must be designated as "high-need" according to definitions in Title II of the HEA. Those definitions attempt to direct support, in part, toward low-income LEAs and schools. Some feel the thresholds set by the HEA are too low and that funds should be reserved for very low-income LEAs and schools. ²⁶

Targeting School Staff

Current federal teacher recruitment and retention programs often direct support to certain instructional areas that are considered hard-to-staff, such as mathematics, science, and special education. Some feel these provisions should be broadened to include additional subject areas (e.g., English language learner instruction) or certain hard-to-staff schools (e.g., rural and/or Native American schools).²⁷ Others have proposed that the targeted position types should be broadened to include non-instructional staff such as school counselors, librarians, literacy specialists, and coaches.²⁸ There are also proposals focused on staff who serve in leadership roles (e.g., establishing principal residency programs similar to the current teacher residencies). Some have pushed for Title II amendments that would support teacher advancement into leadership through the creation of career ladders and incentives for master teachers. Still others would like to allow the Secretary to set aside Title II funds for a state grant for leadership training activities.²⁹

Preparation Program Accountability

Under current HEA provisions, IHEs that operate teacher preparation programs are required to report information on their performance including pass rates and scaled scores on teacher certification exams. States are required to report these data in aggregate as well as the results of program evaluations and any programs designated as "low-performing." Thirty states have never identified a program as low-performing and fewer than 3% of all programs nationwide have ever been identified as low-performing or at-risk of such designation.

Some policymakers have argued that current accountability provisions are inadequate. Some have asserted that non-IHE-based programs in particular are not sufficiently scrutinized.³⁰ Others think that all teacher preparation programs should be subject to outcome measures beyond passage of certification exams and that programs should be judged by their graduates' professional readiness, ability to find employment, and retention in teaching, as well as the performance of their students.³¹

TEACH Grant Program Administration

The TEACH Grant program has reportedly encountered significant administrative challenges and has been the subject of increasing congressional scrutiny.³² Changes that have been suggested to

²⁶ For example, S. 752 would raise the requirement for being designated as a high-need LEA from one in which 20% of its students served are low income to one in which 40% of its students served are low income.

²⁷ Provisions including one or more of these additions may be found in S. 752, S. 1157, S. 1161, S. 545, and H.R. 1153.

²⁸ Provisions including one or more of these positions may be found in S. 969, S. 468, and S. 752.

²⁹ Provisions including one or more of these leadership development ideas may be found in S. 235, S. 468, and S. 752.

³⁰ See, for example, comments made during a July 2019 hearing held by a subcommittee of the House Education and Labor Committee, "Educating our Educators: How Federal Policy Can Better Support Teachers and School Leaders."

³¹ Provisions including one or more of these accountability measures may be found in S. 468 and S. 752.

³² These challenges were described in a letter sent to Secretary DeVos by several U.S. Senators. See Letter from Tina Smith, U.S. Senator, to Betsy DeVos, Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, June 13, 2018.

alleviate these issues include providing grant recipients additional time to complete the service requirement, the option to pay back part of their grant if they are unable to complete the service requirement in full, and a better process by which to appeal the conversion of their grant to a loan.³³

Some observers are concerned that students in the first year or two of college are not fully aware of what profession they want to go into, and they have advocated that TEACH Grants be made available to student in their junior and senior years of college and/or to master's degree candidates.³⁴ Others have sought to limit TEACH Grants to programs with a proven ability to prepare individuals effectively for the teaching profession.³⁵

Loan Forgiveness

Teachers may access several separate loan relief options under current federal law. In many cases, these options serve similar purposes, but benefit requirements may conflict with or not complement one another (i.e., exercising eligibility for one program may nullify or forestall eligibility for another). The existence of multiple programs may lead to borrower confusion as well as administrative complexity. Policymakers might consider consolidating programs or targeting them to a narrower set of borrowers.

Some argue that the requirements teachers must meet to qualify for loan relief are too difficult to understand and/or fulfill. These requirements caused the loan forgiveness programs to encounter administrative problems similar to those in the TEACH Grant program.³⁷ Policymakers may consider whether to simplify these requirements to improve the effectiveness of loan forgiveness as a teacher retention tool.

Author Contact Information

Jeffrey J. Kuenzi Specialist in Education Policy /redacted/@crs.loc.go\(\frac{7}{\cdot}\)-....

_

³³ Provisions including one or more of these amendments may be found in S. 1387 and S. 969.

³⁴ Proposals to make such amendments are included in S. 969.

³⁵ This was part of the proposed teacher preparation regulations promulgated by the Obama Administration; for a brief description, see Brenda Iasevoli, "Final U.S. Teacher-Prep Regs Allow Flexibility on Student-Outcome Measures," *Education Week*, October 12, 2016, https://blogs.edweek.org/edweek/teacherbeat/2016/10/today_the_education_department.html.

³⁶ For example, a math teacher may opt for relief under the Teacher Loan Forgiveness program and receive up to a \$17,500 benefit after five years of qualifying service. However, doing so would prevent him or her from gaining access to relief under Public Service Loan Forgiveness until serving an additional 10 years.

³⁷ More information on these issues may be found in CRS Report R45389, *The Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program: Selected Issues*, by Alexandra Hegji.

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.