



**Congressional
Research Service**

Informing the legislative debate since 1914

Department of Homeland Security Appropriations: FY2019

William L. Painter

Specialist in Homeland Security and Appropriations

Updated August 7, 2019

Congressional Research Service

7-....

www.crs.gov

R45268

Summary

This report provides an overview and analysis of FY2019 appropriations for the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). The primary focus of this report is on congressional direction and funding provided to DHS through the appropriations process. It includes an **Appendix** with definitions of key budget terms used throughout the suite of Congressional Research Service reports on homeland security appropriations. It also directs the reader to other reports providing context for specific component appropriations.

As part of an overall DHS budget that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) estimated to be \$74.88 billion, the Trump Administration requested \$47.43 billion in adjusted net discretionary budget authority through the appropriations process for DHS for FY2019. The request amounted to a \$0.29 billion (0.6%) decrease from the \$47.72 billion in annual appropriations enacted for FY2018 through the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2018 (P.L. 115-141, Division F).

The Administration also requested discretionary funding for DHS components that does not count against discretionary spending limits and is not reflected in the adjusted net discretionary budget authority total. The Administration requested an additional \$6.65 billion for the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in disaster relief funding, as defined by the Budget Control Act (P.L. 112-25; BCA), and in the budget request for the Department of Defense (DOD), \$165 million in Overseas Contingency Operations designated funding (OCO) from the Operations and Maintenance budget of the U.S. Navy.

On June 21, 2018, the Senate Committee on Appropriations reported out S. 3109, the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2019, accompanied by S.Rept. 115-283. Committee-reported S. 3109 included \$48.33 billion in adjusted net discretionary budget authority for FY2019. This was \$901 million (1.9%) above the level requested by the Administration, and \$611 million (1.3%) above the enacted level for FY2018.

On July 26, 2018, the House Appropriations Committee marked up H.R. 6776, its version of the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2019. H.Rept. 115-948 was filed September 12, 2018. Committee-reported H.R. 6776 included \$51.44 billion in adjusted net discretionary budget authority.

As some of the annual appropriations for FY2019 remained unfinished, a consolidated appropriations bill that included a continuing resolution was passed by Congress and signed into law on September 28, 2018. The resolution, which covered DHS along with several other departments and agencies, continued funding at a rate of operations equal to FY2018 with some exceptions. This continuing resolution was extended through December 21, 2018, after which point annual appropriations lapsed. A partial government shutdown ensued for 35 days until continuing appropriations were resumed January 25, 2019, by P.L. 116-5.

P.L. 116-6, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2019, was passed by Congress on February 14, 2019, and signed into law the following day. Division A of the act included the Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2019, which included \$49.41 billion in adjusted net discretionary budget authority, \$12 billion designated for the costs of major disasters, and \$165 million in OCO funding for the Coast Guard.

In addition to annual appropriations, DHS received supplemental appropriations in FY2019. P.L. 116-20 included \$526 million for the U.S. Coast Guard, and P.L. 116-26 included \$1.34 billion for U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Immigration and Customs Enforcement, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

Contents

Introduction	1
Note on Data and Citations	2
Legislative Action on FY2019 DHS Appropriations.....	2
Annual Appropriations	3
Trump Administration FY2019 Request.....	3
Senate Committee Action	3
House Committee Action.....	3
Continuing Resolutions and Lapse in Annual Appropriations	4
Enactment	4
Supplemental Appropriations.....	5
FY2019 Disaster Supplemental Appropriations (P.L. 116-20)	5
FY2019 Border Supplemental Appropriations (P.L. 116-26)	6
Summary of DHS Appropriations	7
The DHS Common Appropriations Structure	7
DHS Appropriations: Summary by Title.....	9
Title I—Departmental Management and Operations	10
Title II—Security, Enforcement, and Investigations.....	13
Title III—Protection, Preparedness, Response, and Recovery.....	19
Title IV—Research and Development, Training, and Services	24
Title V—General Provisions	27
For Further Information.....	28

Figures

Figure 1. FY2019 Requested Annual Appropriations in the Common Appropriations Structure	9
--	---

Tables

Table 1. Budgetary Resources for Departmental Management and Operations Components, FY2018 and FY2019.....	12
Table 2. Budgetary Resources for Security, Enforcement, and Investigations Components, FY2018 and FY2019.....	15
Table 3. Budgetary Resources for Protection, Preparedness, Response, and Recovery Components, FY2018 and FY2019.....	21
Table 4. Budgetary Resources for Research and Development, Training, and Services Components, FY2018 and FY2019.....	25
Table 5. DHS Appropriations Experts	28
Table A-1. FY2018 and FY2019 302(b) Discretionary Allocations for DHS	33

Appendixes

Appendix. Appropriations Terms and Concepts..... 31

Contacts

Author Contact Information 35

Introduction

This report describes and analyzes annual appropriations for the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) for FY2019. It compares the enacted FY2018 appropriations for DHS, the Donald J. Trump Administration’s FY2019 budget request, and the appropriations measures developed and considered by Congress in response to it. It also includes information on supplemental appropriations enacted for DHS for FY2019. This report identifies additional informational resources, reports, and products on DHS appropriations that provide context for the discussion, and it provides a list of Congressional Research Service (CRS) policy experts with whom clients may consult on specific topics.

The suite of CRS reports on homeland security appropriations tracks legislative action and congressional issues related to DHS appropriations, with particular attention paid to discretionary funding amounts. These reports do not provide in-depth analysis of specific issues related to mandatory funding—such as retirement pay—nor do they systematically follow other legislation related to the authorizing or amending of DHS programs, activities, or fee revenues.

Discussion of appropriations legislation involves a variety of specialized budgetary concepts. The **Appendix** to this report explains several of these concepts, including budget authority, obligations, outlays, discretionary and mandatory spending, offsetting collections, allocations, and adjustments to the discretionary spending caps under the Budget Control Act (BCA; P.L. 112-25). A more complete discussion of those terms and the appropriations process in general can be found in CRS Report R42388, *The Congressional Appropriations Process: An Introduction*, coordinated by James V. Saturno, and the Government Accountability Office’s *A Glossary of Terms Used in the Federal Budget Process*.¹

Describing DHS Funding: Terminology

The annual DHS budget proposal is complex, including a variety of funding mechanisms.² The funding provided through these mechanisms can be totaled in several different ways to summarize what is in the bill. These methods have evolved to answer slightly different questions: how the bill fits into the budget process, the level of resources provided to the agency, and the net cost of the bill to the U.S. government.

- **Discretionary appropriations** include all the discretionary budget authority charged against the appropriations subcommittee’s allocation.³ It excludes specially designated funding (like emergency funding) and mandatory spending.
- **Discretionary funding** is a term used in appropriations committee tables and in this report to indicate a broader total which includes discretionary appropriations, plus specially designated funding (i.e., emergency, overseas contingency operations, or disaster relief designations)—representing a more comprehensive total

¹ U.S. Government Accountability Office, *A Glossary of Terms Used in the Federal Budget Process*, GAO-05-734SP, September 1, 2005, <http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-05-734SP>.

² For example, the FY2019 request envisioned an appropriations bill that included discretionary appropriations—budget authority that is provided to the department through appropriations acts; appropriations that are offset by agency collections, such as user fees, resulting in no net effect on the budget; funding that is not subject to the discretionary spending limits due to special designation; transfers of appropriated budget authority between components; appropriations that are considered to be mandatory spending; and rescissions—cancellation of budget authority that otherwise would be available for obligation and thus offsets other spending charged to the bill. Also credited to the discretionary spending in the bill are two elements of “permanent indefinite discretionary spending” that are not included in the actual appropriations bill but are included in the discretionary spending total of the bill because of scorekeeping practices. For a discussion these mechanisms, see the **Appendix**.

³ For a discussion of allocations of discretionary budget authority, see the **Appendix**.

of the resources provided through appropriations measures. This is the definition of the term as it is used in this report.⁴

- When these terms are described as **net**, they are totals net of offsets (such as any offsetting collections and fees)—shifting the description to better reflect the impact on the balance sheet of the U.S. government of a given act rather than the actual level of resources provided by Congress in a given act.

In a departure from the practices of many other agencies, in DHS budget documents, the term **net discretionary budget authority** does not take into account the impact of rescissions—only offsets through collections. Instead, DHS documents refer to **adjusted net discretionary budget authority** to indicate discretionary appropriations net of both offsetting collections and rescissions. This is the total that counts against discretionary spending limits, and it is the total used most commonly in congressional debate about the size of appropriations legislation. To avoid confusion when readers interpret DHS documents, CRS reporting on DHS appropriations uses the latter term to describe that total, rather than the more common usage.

Note on Data and Citations

All amounts contained in the suite of CRS reports on homeland security appropriations represent budget authority. For precision in percentages and totals, all calculations in these reports used unrounded data, which are presented in each report’s tables. However, amounts in narrative discussions are rounded to the nearest million (or 10 million, in the case of numbers larger than 1 billion), unless noted otherwise.

Data used in this report for FY2018 amounts are derived from the explanatory statement accompanying P.L. 115-141, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2017—Division F of which is the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2018.⁵ The explanatory statement also includes data on FY2018 supplemental appropriations for DHS enacted prior to the development of the consolidated appropriations act for FY2018. Data for the FY2019 requested levels and enacted levels of annual appropriations are drawn from H.Rept. 116-9, the explanatory statement accompanying P.L. 116-6. Data on the Senate Appropriations Committee recommendation are drawn from S.Rept. 115-283, and data for the House Appropriations Committee recommendation are drawn from H.Rept. 115-948. As committee reports are not available for FY2019 supplemental appropriations, data on FY2019 supplemental appropriations are drawn directly from the enacted legislation (P.L. 116-20 and P.L. 116-26).

Scoring methodology is consistent across this report, relying on data provided by the Appropriations Committees that has been developed with Congressional Budget Office (CBO) methodology. CRS does not attempt to compare this data with Office of Management and Budget (OMB) data because technical scoring differences do not allow precise comparisons.

Legislative Action on FY2019 DHS Appropriations

This section provides an overview of the process of enactment of appropriations for the Department of Homeland Security for FY2019, from the Administration’s initial request, through committee action in the House and Senate, continuing appropriations (and their lapse), and enactment of the consolidated appropriations bill that contained DHS annual appropriation.

⁴ This definition is drawn from the term’s usage in the detail tables provided in multiple House Appropriations Committee reports, conference reports, and explanatory statements. It should be noted that this term has also been used as shorthand for the adjusted net discretionary budget authority in some appropriations committee communications. See https://appropriations.house.gov/uploadedfiles/03.21.18_fy18_omnibus_-_homeland_security_-_summary.pdf for an example.

⁵ The explanatory statement is available in the *Congressional Record* of March 22, 2018, pp. H2544-H2608.

Annual Appropriations

Trump Administration FY2019 Request

On February 12, 2018, the Trump Administration released its budget request for FY2019. The enactment of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (P.L. 115-123) three days before had established discretionary spending limits for FY2018 and FY2019, replacing the limits prescribed by the Budget Control Act of 2011 (P.L. 112-25). The Administration chose to submit an addendum to their request in a letter accompanying the formal request documentation, which included additional requests for resources for DHS and several other departments and agencies.⁶

The Trump Administration requested \$47.43 billion in adjusted net discretionary budget authority for DHS for FY2019, as part of an overall budget that the Office of Management and Budget estimated to be \$74.88 billion (including fees, trust funds, and other funding that is not annually appropriated or does not score against discretionary budget limits). The request amounted to a \$0.29 billion (0.6%) decrease from the \$47.72 billion in annual appropriations enacted for FY2018 through the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2018 (P.L. 115-141, Division F).

The Trump Administration also requested discretionary funding for DHS components that does not count against discretionary spending limits set by the Budget Control Act (BCA; P.L. 112-25) and is not reflected in the above totals. The Administration requested an additional \$6.65 billion for the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in disaster relief funding, as defined by the BCA, and in the budget request for the Department of Defense, \$165 million in Overseas Contingency Operations/Global War on Terror designated funding (OCO), to be transferred to the Coast Guard.⁷

Senate Committee Action

On June 21, 2018, the Senate Appropriations Committee reported out S. 3109, the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2019, accompanied by S.Rept. 115-283. Committee-reported S. 3109 included \$48.33 billion in adjusted net discretionary budget authority for FY2019. This was \$901 million (1.9%) above the level requested by the Administration, and \$611 million (1.3%) above the enacted level for FY2018. The Senate committee-reported bill also included the Administration-requested levels for disaster relief funding, and \$163 million in OCO-designated funding directly for the Coast Guard, as had been done in FY2018, rather than as a transfer, as had been requested by the Administration. This bill was never taken up for action on the Senate floor.

House Committee Action

On July 26, 2018, the House Appropriations Committee marked up H.R. 6776, its version of the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2019. H.Rept. 115-948 was filed September 12, 2018. Committee-reported H.R. 6776 included \$51.44 billion in adjusted net discretionary budget authority. The House committee-reported bill included the Administration-

⁶ In addition, as FY2018 annual appropriations had yet to be enacted, the request did not include a comparison of the Administration's proposal to an enacted level for the current fiscal year.

⁷ Information on this OCO funding are provided only for reference: annual appropriations provided in other annual appropriations bills for DHS functions is not tracked in the totals or tables for this report.

requested levels for disaster relief funding, but unlike S. 3109, did not include the OCO funding for the Coast Guard. This bill did not see action on the House floor.

Continuing Resolutions and Lapse in Annual Appropriations

At the end of FY2018, five regular appropriations measures for FY2019 had become law and the activities funded under the remaining seven regular appropriations bills were funded under a continuing resolution (CR). The first, Division C of P.L. 115-245, lasted through December 7, 2018, and continued funding for DHS at a rate of operations equal to that of the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2018, with some exceptions.⁸ A second continuing resolution extended appropriations through December 21, 2018.

In the Senate, a third CR for FY2019, lasting until February 8, 2019 (H.R. 695) was passed by voice vote on December 19, 2018. The House subsequently considered and amended the bill the following day, adding additional funding for border barriers and a disaster relief supplemental discussed below. The amended measure passed the House by a vote of 217-185, and was sent back to the Senate for further consideration. On December 21, the Senate agreed to a motion to proceed to the consideration of the House-passed bill by a vote of 48-47, with Vice President Pence casting the tie-breaking vote. In the absence of a 60-vote majority to invoke cloture, H.R. 865 was not considered further, and the House and Senate adjourned later that day. When the second CR, providing funding for the agencies, programs, and activities covered by the remaining seven appropriations bills expired at midnight on December 21, annual appropriations for unfunded portions of the federal government (including DHS) lapsed and a partial government shutdown ensued for the next 35 days.⁹

Continuing appropriations were restored at the FY2018 rate of operations with the enactment of P.L. 116-4 on January 25, 2019.

Enactment

On February 14, 2019, the House took up H.J.Res. 31, a consolidated appropriations bill that included eight annual appropriations bills. Division A, the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2019, included \$49.41 billion in adjusted net discretionary budget authority, \$1.69 billion (3.5%) more than had been provided for FY2018, and \$2.04 billion more than had been requested by the Administration in February 2018. In addition to that total, the bill included \$12 billion designated for the costs of major disasters—\$5.35 billion (80.4%) more than had been requested, and the requested \$165 million in OCO funding appropriated for the Coast Guard operating budget, rather than a transfer from the Navy. The bill passed the House by a vote of 300-128, and the Senate that same day by a vote of 83-16. The President signed it into law the next day.¹⁰

⁸ Sections 125-128 of division C provide special accommodations for disaster relief and recovery activities, operations of the National Bio and Agro-Defense Facility, maintenance of staffing levels at certain components, purchase of armored vehicles for the Secret Service, and management of activities formerly funded through the DHS Working Capital Fund.

⁹ For more details, see CRS Report RL34680, *Shutdown of the Federal Government: Causes, Processes, and Effects*, coordinated by Clinton T. Brass.

¹⁰ P.L. 116-6.

Supplemental Appropriations

FY2019 Disaster Supplemental Appropriations (P.L. 116-20)

Unlike most supplemental appropriations legislation, the FY2019 disaster relief and recovery supplemental appropriations legislation was developed without a supplemental appropriations request from the Trump Administration. As was noted above, in the closing days of the 115th Congress, the House included a \$7.8 billion disaster relief and recovery supplemental appropriation in a broader amendment to H.R. 695, a continuing resolution covering the seven annual appropriations bills that were yet to be enacted. The amended measure included \$244 million for the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) in the disaster supplemental, and \$5.71 billion for U.S. Customs and Border Protection for construction of border barriers as a part of the continuing resolution. As noted above, H.R. 695 passed the House, but did not advance further in the Senate before the end of the 115th Congress.

On January 8, 2019, House Appropriations Committee Chairwoman Nita Lowey introduced H.R. 268, a measure that would have provided disaster relief supplemental funding and would have temporarily resolved the ongoing partial government shutdown by providing for a continuing resolution through February 8. The bill included \$524 million for the USCG—an amount that remained consistent across proposals through the remaining consideration of FY2019 disaster supplemental appropriations. The House took up the bill on January 16, 2019, and, after adopting several amendments, passed the bill by a vote of 237-187 that same day.¹¹ CBO estimated the discretionary spending in the supplemental appropriations proposal for FY2019 as \$14.19 billion.

The Senate proceeded to consideration of the bill by unanimous consent, but could not invoke cloture on amendments by the leadership of both parties offering alternative proposals.

The Senate later returned to consideration of H.R. 268 after the lapse in regular annual appropriations was resolved. On March 28, Chairman Shelby offered a substitute amendment (S.Amdt. 201), providing \$13.45 billion for disaster relief.¹² Attempts to invoke cloture on both S.Amdt. 201 and H.R. 268 on April 1, 2019, were unsuccessful.¹³

On April 9, 2019, Chairwoman Lowey introduced H.R. 2157, a supplemental appropriations bill, to provide funding for previous disasters as well as additional disasters that had occurred since the earlier House passage of H.R. 268. CBO estimated the bill as introduced included \$17.31 billion in discretionary spending, a figure which grew to \$19.26 billion through floor action. The bill passed the House on May 10, 2019, by a vote of 257-150.¹⁴

A bipartisan, bicameral agreement on FY2019 disaster funding was negotiated prior to Senate consideration. On May 23, Senator McConnell offered S.Amdt. 250 to H.R. 2157 as a substitute on behalf of Senator Shelby. The amendment was agreed to by unanimous consent, and the amended bill was passed, 85-8.¹⁵ The House subsequently considered the bill under suspension of

¹¹ Roll No. 39, 116th Congress.

¹² Congressional Budget Office, “Cost Estimate for Divisions A and B of Senate Amendment 201 to H.R. 268, as filed for consideration by the Senate on March 26, 2019,” press release, March 27, 2019, <https://www.cbo.gov/publication/55086>.

¹³ Record vote 55, on invoking cloture on S.Amdt. 201 was 44-49, and record vote 56, on invoking cloture on H.R. 268, was 46-48.

¹⁴ Roll No. 202.

¹⁵ Record vote 128.

the rules on June 3, and voted 354-58 to approve the measure.¹⁶ The bill was signed into law as P.L. 116-20 on June 6, 2019.

For a more detailed legislative history, as well as discussion of the broader content of P.L. 116-20 and the issues surrounding supplemental appropriations, see CRS Report R45844, *FY2019 Disaster Supplemental Appropriations: Overview*.

FY2019 Border Supplemental Appropriations (P.L. 116-26)

On May 1, 2019, the Trump Administration requested just over \$4.51 billion in emergency-designated budget authority to pay for humanitarian needs and security operations at the U.S.-Mexico border, pursuant to a surge of children and family units seeking asylum in the United States.¹⁷ The request included \$1.10 billion for DHS, divided between U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) and Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE).¹⁸ House Republicans attempted to respond to the request through motions and amendments to a variety of other legislative vehicles to provide some of the requested funding, but these motions and amendments were not adopted.

On June 19, 2019, the Senate Appropriations Committee marked up S. 1900, the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations for Humanitarian Assistance and Security at the Southern Border Act. The bill, a bipartisan compromise reported out of committee with a single dissenting vote, included almost \$4.59 billion in emergency-designated budget authority, including more than \$1.3 billion for DHS—\$1.10 billion for CBP, \$209 million for ICE, and \$30 million for the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

A House version of the bill, H.R. 3401, was introduced on June 21, 2019, and taken up by the House on June 25. H.R. 3401 included \$4.54 billion in emergency-designated budget authority, including \$1.49 billion for DHS—\$1.30 billion for CBP, \$128 million for ICE, and \$60 million for FEMA. H.R. 3401 was brought to the House floor on June 25 under a structured rule. Representative John Rutherford (R-FL) offered a motion to recommit with instructions that would have added almost \$65 million for ICE. The motion was not agreed to by a vote of 205-218,¹⁹ and the bill passed the House 230-195.²⁰

The Senate took up the House bill June 26, voting it down 37-55.²¹ Senator Richard Shelby offered the text of S. 1900 as an amendment in the nature of a substitute to the bill, and after an amendment by Senator Rand Paul (R-KY) to offset the cost of the bill was tabled 77-15,²² the Shelby amendment was agreed to by a vote of 84-8.²³ The bill was subsequently agreed to by an identical margin.²⁴

¹⁶ Roll No. 232.

¹⁷ Letter from Russell T. Vought, Acting Director, Office of Management and Budget, to The Honorable Michael R. Pence, President of the Senate, May 1, 2019, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/Pence.pdf>.

¹⁸ The request letter and a table released by the White House that same day do not provide adequate detail to calculate a precise level of funding requested for each component, or identify a specific request level for component appropriations.

¹⁹ Roll No. 413.

²⁰ Roll No. 414.

²¹ Record vote 182.

²² Record vote 183.

²³ Record vote 184.

²⁴ Record vote 185.

The House of Representatives originally considered a rule (H.Res. 466) on June 27 that would have set up consideration of a further amendment to the bill. However, the rule was withdrawn and amended, allowing the House to vote to agree to the Senate-amended bill later that day by a vote of 305-102.²⁵ The President signed the bill into law on July 1, 2019, as P.L. 116-26.

Summary of DHS Appropriations

Generally, the homeland security appropriations bill includes all annual appropriations provided for DHS, allocating resources to every departmental component.²⁶ Discretionary appropriations²⁷ provide roughly two-thirds to three-fourths of the annual funding for DHS operations, depending how one accounts for disaster relief spending and funding for overseas contingency operations.²⁸ The remainder of the budget is a mix of fee revenues, trust funds, and mandatory spending.

Appropriations measures for DHS typically have been organized into five titles.²⁹ The first four are thematic groupings of components, while the fifth provides general direction to the department, and sometimes includes provisions providing additional budget authority.

Prior to the FY2017 act, the legislative language of many appropriations included directions to components or specific conditions on how the budget authority it provided could be used. Similarly, general provisions provided directions or conditions to one or more components. In the FY2017 act, a number of these provisions within appropriations and component-specific general provisions were grouped at the ends of the titles where their targeted components are funded, and identified as “administrative provisions.”³⁰ This practice has continued in subsequent years.

The DHS Common Appropriations Structure

When DHS was established in 2003, components of other agencies were brought together over a matter of months, in the midst of ongoing budget cycles. Rather than developing a new structure of appropriations for the entire department, Congress and the Administration continued to provide resources through existing account structures when possible.

At the direction of Congress, in 2014 DHS began to work on a new Common Appropriations Structure (CAS), which would standardize the format of DHS appropriations across components.

²⁵ Roll No. 429.

²⁶ Although most appropriations are available for only one year, not all appropriations are spent in the year they are provided. Some appropriations, such as those for Procurement, Construction, and Improvements, are available for multiple years. Others, such as those for the Disaster Relief Fund, never expire, and are available until they are used or rescinded.

²⁷ Generally speaking, those provided through annual legislation. For more detail, see the text box above and the **Appendix**.

²⁸ These items, which qualify for special designation under the Budget Control Act, provide discretionary budget authority to DHS components but are not included in the “appropriations” total for the bill at the end of the detail tables in the committee reports.

²⁹ Although the House and Senate have generally produced symmetrically structured bills in the past, additional titles are sometimes added by one of the chambers to address special issues. For example, the FY2012 House full committee markup added a sixth title to carry a \$1 billion emergency appropriation for the Disaster Relief Fund (DRF). The Senate version carried no additional titles beyond the five described above. For FY2017, the House and Senate committee bills took different approaches to restructuring appropriations and departmental functions, and ultimately, a sixth title was added to provide supplemental appropriations requested by the then-new Trump Administration.

³⁰ The detail table at the end of the explanatory statement notes the budget authority provided by these provisions, as well as budget authority that scorekeeping rules mandate be included in the act’s total spending.


In an interim report in 2015, DHS noted that operating with “over 70 different appropriations and over 100 Programs, Projects, and Activities ... has contributed to a lack of transparency, inhibited comparisons between programs, and complicated spending decisions and other managerial decision-making.”³¹

After several years of work and negotiations with Congress, DHS made its first budget request in the CAS for FY2017, and implemented it while operating under the continuing resolutions funding the department in October 2016. For FY2017 and FY2018, all DHS components requested appropriations under the CAS except for the Coast Guard, due to constraints of its financial management system. For FY2019, all the components’ requests generally conformed to the CAS.

A visual representation of the FY2019 requested funding in this new structure follows in **Figure 1**. On the left, CAS appropriations categories are listed next to a black bar representing the total FY2018 funding levels requested for DHS for each category. A catch-all “other” category is included for budget authority associated with the legislation that does not fit the CAS categories. Colored lines flow to the DHS components listed on the right, showing how the amount of funding for each appropriations category is distributed across DHS components. Wider lines indicate greater funding levels, so it is possible to understand how components may be funded differently. For example, while Customs and Border Protection (CBP) gets most of its funding from Operations and Support appropriations, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) receives most of its discretionary funding from the Disaster Relief Fund appropriation.

³¹ Office of the Chief Financial Officer, *A Common Appropriations Structure for DHS: FY2016 Crosswalk*, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, February 2, 2015, p. 2.

Figure I. FY2019 Requested Annual Appropriations in the Common Appropriations Structure
(adjusted net discretionary budget authority and disaster relief-designated funding)



Source: CRS analysis of DHS FY2019 Budget-in-Brief.

Note: Disaster relief-designated funding makes up a portion of the Disaster Relief Fund element on the left side of the figure, which includes the cost of a variety of Stafford Act activities unrelated to major disasters.

Abbreviations: CBP, Customs and Border Protection; FEMA, Federal Emergency Management Agency; USCG, U.S. Coast Guard; ICE, Immigration and Customs Enforcement; TSA, Transportation Security Administration; USSS, U.S. Secret Service; NPPD, National Protection and Programs Directorate; MD, Management Directorate; ST, Science and Technology Directorate; CWMD, Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction Office; FLETC, Federal Law Enforcement Training Center; AO, Analysis and Operations; OIG, Office of the Inspector General; USCIS, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services; and OSEM, Office of the Secretary and Executive Management.

DHS Appropriations: Summary by Title

The following sections present textual and tabular comparisons among FY2018 enacted appropriations, FY2019 requested appropriations, the FY2019 appropriations bills developed by

the appropriations committees, and the final enacted annual appropriations in Division A of the FY2019 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 116-6), P.L. 116-20, and P.L. 116-26. The structure of the appropriations reflects the organization outlined in the detail table of the explanatory statement accompanying the act (H.Rept. 116-9).

The tables summarize enacted appropriations for FY2018, annual appropriations requested by the Administration for FY2019, the appropriations committee-developed legislation in response to the request, and the enacted appropriations for FY2019.

- Only the formal request for FY2019 annual appropriations is reflected in the “Request” column.
- The tables include data on enacted annual and supplemental appropriations.
- Instances where appropriations are provided for a title’s components in other parts of the bill (such as in general provisions or by transfer) are shown separately. Supplemental appropriations provided with an emergency designation for a given component in FY2018 or FY2019 are displayed after the subtotal of annual appropriations.
- Following the methodology used by the appropriations committees, totals of “appropriations” do not include resources provided by transfer or under adjustments to discretionary spending limits (i.e., for emergency requirements, overseas contingency operations for the Coast Guard or the cost of major disasters under the Stafford Act for the Federal Emergency Management Agency). Amounts covered by adjustments are included with discretionary appropriations in a separate total for “discretionary funding.”
- A subtotal for each component of total estimated budgetary resources that would be available under the legislation and from other sources (such as fees, mandatory spending, and trust funds) for the given fiscal year is also provided at the end of each component section.
- Totals at the bottom of each table indicate the total net discretionary appropriation for the title on its own, the total net discretionary funding from the annual appropriations bill and any supplemental appropriations (when such were provided), and the projected total estimated budgetary resources for each phase in the appropriations process shown in the table.

Title I—Departmental Management and Operations

Title I, Departmental Management and Operations, the smallest of the component-specific titles, contains appropriations for the Office of the Secretary and Executive Management, the Management Directorate,³² Analysis and Operations (A&O), and the Office of the Inspector General (OIG). For FY2018, these components received \$1.36 billion in net discretionary funding through the appropriations process, including \$25 million in FY2018 supplemental appropriations.

- The Trump Administration requested \$1.60 billion in FY2019 net discretionary funding for components included in this title.³³

³² The Management Directorate includes the Office of the Under Secretary for Management (USM), the Office of the Chief Financial Officer, and the Office of the Chief Information Officer (CIO).

³³ This includes \$2 million charged to the discretionary score of the bill for a policy provision regarding expenses of

- In addition, \$24 million was requested as a transfer from the FY2019 appropriation for the Disaster Relief Fund to the OIG.
- Not including the transfer, the appropriations request was \$241 million (17.7%) more than the amount provided for FY2018.
- Senate Appropriations Committee-reported S. 3109 included \$1.47 billion in FY2019 net discretionary funding for the components funded in this title.
 - This was \$137 million (8.6%) less than requested by the Trump Administration and \$103 million (7.6%) more than the amount provided for FY2018.
 - S. 3109 included \$72 million in discretionary budget authority drawn from unobligated prior-year balances from the DRF, but did not include the proposed transfer of FY2019 DRF resources to the OIG. As a result, the gross budgetary resources provided to components funded in Title I was \$89 million (5.5%) less than the request, and \$176 million (12.9%) more than provided in FY2018.
- House Appropriations Committee-reported H.R. 6776 included \$1.48 billion in FY2019 net discretionary funding for the components funded in this title.
 - This was \$119 million (7.4%) less than requested by the Trump Administration and \$122 million (8.9%) more than the amount provided for FY2018.
 - H.R. 6776, like the Senate committee-reported bill, did not include the proposed transfer of FY2019 DRF resources to the OIG. As a result, the gross budgetary resources provided to components funded in Title I were \$143 million (8.8%) less than the request, and \$122 million (8.9%) more than provided in FY2018.
- P.L. 116-6 included a total of \$1.88 billion in FY2019 net discretionary funding for the components funded in this title.
 - This included \$51 million in a general provision for financial systems modernization, controlled by the management directorate.
 - The total was \$513 million (37.7%) more than was provided in FY2018, and \$248 million (15.2%) more than was requested by the Administration, if one included the Administration's proposed transfer of \$24 million from the Disaster Relief Fund to the Office of the Inspector General.
- No supplemental appropriations were provided for these components in FY2019.

Table 1 shows these comparisons in greater detail. As resources were requested or provided for the Management Directorate and Office of the Inspector General in some cases from outside Title I, separate lines are included for each of those components showing a total for what is provided solely within Title I, then the individual items funded outside the title, followed by the total annual appropriation for the components.

primary and secondary schooling for DHS employee dependents. (The provision was included in the House-passed bill as Division E, Section 530, but not in the Senate draft or enacted FY2018 annual appropriation.)

Table I. Budgetary Resources for Departmental Management and Operations Components, FY2018 and FY2019

(budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Component/Appropriation	FY2018	FY2019			
	Enacted	Request	Senate Committee-Reported S. 3109	House Committee-Reported H.R. 6776	Enacted P.L. 116-6
Office of the Secretary and Executive Management					
Operations and Support	139,602	128,860	132,904	139,926	141,381
Total Discretionary Appropriations	139,602	128,860	132,904	139,926	141,381
Total Discretionary Funding	139,602	128,860	132,904	139,926	141,381
Total Budgetary Resources	139,602	128,860	132,904	139,926	141,381
Management Directorate					
Operations and Support	710,297	834,704	824,479	845,528	1,083,837
Procurement, Construction, and Improvements	29,569	246,069	117,071	74,920	175,920
Research and Development	2,545	2,545	2,545	2,545	2,545
Title I Discretionary Appropriations	742,411	1,083,318	944,095	922,993	1,262,302
Appropriations drawn from DRF unobligated balances	0	0	72,000	0	0
Title I Net Discretionary Appropriations	742,411	1,083,318	872,095	922,993	1,262,302
Financial Systems Modernization (Title V)	41,800	0	39,000	0	51,000
Total Net Discretionary Appropriations	784,211	1,083,318	911,095	922,993	1,313,302
Total Net Discretionary Funding	784,211	1,083,318	911,095	922,993	1,313,302
Total Budgetary Resources	784,211	1,083,318	983,095	922,993	1,313,302
Analysis and Operations					
Operations and Support	245,905	253,253	254,476	259,253	253,253
Total Discretionary Appropriations	245,905	253,253	254,476	259,253	253,253
Total Discretionary Funding	245,905	253,253	254,476	259,253	253,253
Total Budgetary Resources	245,905	253,253	254,476	259,253	253,253
Office of the Inspector General					
Operations and Support	168,000	138,369	168,000	162,639	168,000
Title I Discretionary Appropriations	168,000	138,369	168,000	162,639	168,000
Transfer from FEMA's DRF [Title III] ^a	0	24,000	0	0	0

Component/Appropriation	FY2018	FY2019			
	Enacted	Request	Senate Committee-Reported S. 3109	House Committee-Reported H.R. 6776	Enacted P.L. 116-6
FY2018 Supplemental Appropriations					
Operations and Support (emergency, P.L. 115-123)	25,000	0	0	0	0
Total Discretionary Appropriations	168,000	138,369	168,000	162,369	168,000
Total Discretionary Funding (all sources, except transfers)	193,000	138,369	168,000	162,369	168,000
Total Budgetary Resources	193,000	162,369	168,000	162,369	168,000
Total Net Discretionary Appropriations: Title I	1,295,918	1,603,800	1,427,475	1,484,541	1,824,936
Total Net Discretionary Funding (all sources, except transfers)	1,362,718	1,603,800	1,466,475	1,484,541	1,875,936
Projected Total Gross Budgetary Resources: Title I Components	1,362,718	1,627,800	1,538,475	1,484,541	1,875,936

Sources: CRS analysis of the DHS FY2019 Budget-in-Brief, P.L. 115-141 and its explanatory statement as printed in the *Congressional Record* of March 22, 2018, pp. H2544-H2608, S.Rept. 115-283, H.Rept. 115-948, and H.Rept. 116-9.

Notes: FEMA = Federal Emergency Management Agency; DRF = Disaster Relief Fund. Appropriation subtotal lines are shaded, numbers in *italics* do not contribute separately to appropriation subtotals, numbers in **bold** represent appropriations plus emergency, disaster relief, and overseas contingency operations-designated funding, numbers in **bold italics** represent totals of all funding tracked in appropriations committee tables.

- a. The Administration requested a \$24 million transfer from FEMA’s DRF to pay for oversight of disaster-related activities of the DHS Office of the Inspector General (OIG) that are reflected in the last line of this section and table.

Title II—Security, Enforcement, and Investigations

Title II, Security, Enforcement, and Investigations, contains appropriations for U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), the Transportation Security Administration (TSA), the Coast Guard (USCG), and the U.S. Secret Service (USSS). Title II funding represents the majority of DHS’s budget, comprising roughly three-quarters of the funding appropriated annually for the department.

For FY2018, these components received \$39.52 billion in net discretionary funding, as part of \$47.13 billion in projected total budget authority. This included \$1.06 billion in supplemental appropriations.

- The Trump Administration formally requested \$38.41 billion in FY2019 net discretionary funding for components included in this title, as part of a total budget request for these components of \$47.08 billion for FY2019.³⁴

³⁴ Other resources that contribute to the budget for these components include mandatory spending, fee revenues, and trust funds. CRS uses the Administration’s formally documented budget request as scored by CBO as the basis of these

- The funding request was \$45 million (0.1%) less than the amount provided for FY2018, setting aside FY2018 supplemental appropriations.
- Again setting aside FY2018 supplemental appropriations, the total resources proposed for FY2019 was \$1.01 billion (2.2%) more than projected for FY2018.
- The Administration reportedly sought additional funding in this title for construction of border barriers, above the formally requested level. In January 2019, the acting director of the Office of Management and Budget sent a letter to congressional leaders officially seeking an additional \$4.1 billion.³⁵ Those amounts are not included in these comparative calculations or in the table below.

Senate Appropriations Committee-reported S. 3109 included \$38.68 billion in FY2019 net discretionary funding for the components funded in this title.

- This was \$271 million (0.7%) more than formally requested by the Trump Administration and \$227 million (0.6%) more than the amount provided for FY2018, setting aside FY2018 supplemental appropriations.
- The total budgetary resources projected under S. 3109 were \$614 million (1.3%) less than the Administration's request (largely due to rejection of a proposed TSA fee increase), but \$391 million (0.8%) more than projected for FY2018, again setting aside FY2018 supplemental appropriations.
- House Appropriations Committee-reported H.R. 6776 included \$41.28 billion in FY2019 net discretionary funding for the components funded in this title.
 - This was \$2.87 billion (7.5%) more than formally requested by the Trump Administration and \$2.82 billion (7.3%) more than the amount provided for FY2018, setting aside FY2018 supplemental appropriations.

The total budgetary resources projected under H.R. 6776 were \$2.08 billion (4.4%) more than formally requested by the Administration and \$3.12 billion (6.8%) more than projected for FY2018, again setting aside FY2018 supplemental appropriations.

H.R. 6776 would have provided \$2.59 billion (6.7%) more than S. 3019, largely due to the House Appropriations Committee recommending \$3.35 billion more than its Senate counterpart for CBP's Border Security Assets and Infrastructure activity.

- P.L. 116-6 included \$40.00 billion in net discretionary funding for the components funded in this title.
 - The enacted annual appropriations were \$1.59 billion (4.1%) more than requested, and \$486 million (1.2%) more than the amount provided in FY2018. Setting aside FY2018 supplemental funding, FY2019 enacted net discretionary funding exceeded FY2018 levels by \$1.55 billion (4.0%).

comparisons. President Trump has made public comments referring to a \$5 billion request in FY2019 for border barrier construction that he has reportedly made to lawmakers in direct discussions. This would normally be funded through Title II of the DHS appropriations bill, in the Procurement, Construction, and Improvements appropriation for CBP. However, the Administration's formal documented budget request for that particular appropriation for FY2019 includes \$1.6 billion for "border wall construction."

³⁵ Letter from Russell T. Vought, Acting Director, Office of Management and Budget, to The Honorable Richard Shelby, Chairman, Senate Committee on Appropriations, January 6, 2019, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/Final-Shelby-1-6-19.pdf>.

- The total budgetary resources projected for components funded in this title were \$47.82 billion, \$704 million (1.5%) more than was formally requested by the Administration, and \$1.75 billion (3.8%) more than was provided in FY2018, setting aside the FY2018 supplemental funding.
- Supplemental appropriations were provided for CBP, ICE, and the USCG for FY2019.
 - P.L. 116-20, the Additional Supplemental Appropriations for Disaster Relief Act, 2019, included \$524 million for the U.S. Coast Guard. There was no formal request from the Administration for these funds.
 - On May 1, 2019, the Administration requested \$1.1 billion for CBP and ICE as part of a broader \$4.51 billion supplemental appropriations package to support border security and humanitarian efforts on the U.S.-Mexico border.³⁶ P.L. 116-26, the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations for Humanitarian Assistance and Security at the Southern Border Act, included \$1.1 billion for CBP, \$209 million for ICE, and \$30 million for FEMA (reflected in **Table 3**).

Table 2 shows these comparisons in greater detail.

Table 2. Budgetary Resources for Security, Enforcement, and Investigations Components, FY2018 and FY2019

(budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Component/Appropriation	FY2018	FY2019			
	Enacted	Request	Senate Committee-Reported S. 3109	House Committee-Reported H.R. 6776	Enacted P.L. 116-6
Customs and Border Protection (Annual)					
Operations and Support	11,485,164	12,119,643	11,963,581	12,002,072	12,179,729
Procurement, Construction and Improvements	2,281,357	1,841,548	2,028,872	5,510,244	2,515,878
CBP Services at User Fee Facilities (Permanent Indefinite Discretionary)	9,001	8,941	8,941	8,941	8,941
Colombia Free Trade Act Collections (Administrative Provision)	242,000	255,000	255,000	255,000	255,000
Reimbursable Preclearance (Administrative Provision)	39,000	39,000	39,000	39,000	39,000
Total Annual Discretionary Appropriations	14,056,522	14,264,132	14,295,394	17,815,257	14,998,548
Offsetting Collection (Reimbursable Preclearance)	39,000	39,000	39,000	39,000	39,000

³⁶ The Administration’s publicly available documentation of the request was not sufficient to break down requested budget authority by component or appropriation.

Component/Appropriation	FY2018	FY2019			
	Enacted	Request	Senate Committee-Reported S. 3109	House Committee-Reported H.R. 6776	Enacted P.L. 116-6
Total Annual Net Discretionary Appropriations	14,017,522	14,225,132	14,256,394	17,776,257	14,959,548
FY2018 Supplemental Appropriations					
Operations and Support (emergency, P.L. 115-123)	104,494	0	0	0	0
Procurement, Construction and Improvements (emergency, P.L. 115-123)	45,000	0	0	0	0
FY2019 Supplemental Appropriations					
Operations and Support (emergency, P.L. 116-26)	0	0	0	0	1,015,431
Procurement, Construction and Improvements (emergency, P.L. 116-26)	0	0	0	0	85,000
Total Net Discretionary Funding	14,167,016	14,225,132	14,256,394	17,776,257	16,059,979
Fees, Mandatory Spending, and Trust Funds	2,300,668	2,455,185	2,297,702	2,298,185	2,297,702
Total Budgetary Resources	16,506,684	16,719,317	16,593,096	20,113,442	18,357,681
Immigration and Customs Enforcement					
Operations and Support	6,993,975	8,221,099 ^a	7,139,842	7,333,079	7,542,153
Procurement, Construction, and Improvements	81,899	70,431	70,431	70,431	45,559
Total Annual Discretionary Appropriations	7,075,874	8,291,530	7,210,273	7,403,510	7,587,712
FY2018 Supplemental Appropriations					
Operations and Support (emergency, P.L. 115-123)	30,905	0	0	0	0
Procurement, Construction, and Improvements (emergency, P.L. 115-123)	33,052	0	0	0	0
FY2019 Supplemental Appropriations					
Operations and Support (emergency, P.L. 116-20)	0	0	0	0	208,945
Total Discretionary Funding	7,139,831	8,291,530	7,210,273	7,403,510	7,796,657

Component/Appropriation	FY2018	FY2019			
	Enacted	Request	Senate Committee-Reported S. 3109	House Committee-Reported H.R. 6776	Enacted P.L. 116-6
Fees, Mandatory Spending, and Trust Funds	376,610	525,600	318,000	318,000	318,000
Total Budgetary Resources	7,516,441	8,817,130	7,528,273	7,721,510	8,114,657
Transportation Security Administration					
Operations and Support	7,207,851	7,075,950	7,302,455	7,167,778	7,410,079
Procurement, Construction, and Improvements	167,314	139,629	189,629	129,789	169,789
Research and Development	20,190	20,594	20,594	20,594	20,594
Total Annual Discretionary Appropriations	7,395,355	7,236,173	7,512,678	7,318,161	7,600,462
Offsetting Collections (Operations and Support)	2,470,000	3,190,000	2,670,000	2,670,000	2,670,000
Total Net Discretionary Appropriations	4,925,355	4,046,173	4,842,678	4,648,161	4,930,462
FY2018 Supplemental Appropriations					
Operations and Support (emergency, P.L. 115-123)	10,322	0	0	0	0
Total Net Discretionary Funding	4,935,677	4,046,173	4,842,678	4,648,161	4,930,462
Fees, Mandatory Spending, and Trust Funds	490,559	489,855	489,855	489,855	489,855
Total Budgetary Resources	7,896,236	7,726,058	8,002,563	7,808,016	8,090,317
U.S. Coast Guard^b					
Operations and Support ^c	7,488,188	7,593,138	7,792,409	7,620,209	7,808,201
<i>Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) Adjustment—included in Operations and Support^d</i>	163,000	0	165,000	0	165,000
Environmental Compliance and Restoration	13,397	*e	13,429	13,429	*e
Procurement, Construction, and Improvements	2,694,745	1,886,750	2,169,260	1,429,750	2,248,260
<i>Transfer from Science and Technology Directorate Unobligated Balances</i>	0	0	0	95,000 ^f	0

Component/Appropriation	FY2018	FY2019			
	Enacted	Request	Senate Committee-Reported S. 3109	House Committee-Reported H.R. 6776	Enacted P.L. 116-6
Research and Development	29,141	19,109	20,109	19,109	20,256
Health Care Fund Contribution (Permanent Indefinite Discretionary)	204,136	199,360	199,360	199,360	199,360
Coast Guard Continuation of Pay (Administrative Provision)	2,000	0	0	0	0
House Full Committee Amendment	0	0	0	1,000	0
Total Discretionary Appropriations (does not include OCO or transfers)	10,268,607	9,698,357	10,194,567	9,282,857	10,276,077
FY2018 Supplemental Appropriations					
Operating Expenses	112,136	0	0	0	0
Environmental Compliance and Restoration	4,038	0	0	0	0
Acquisition, Construction, and Improvements	718,919	0	0	0	0
FY2019 Supplemental Appropriations					
Operating Expenses (emergency, P.L. 116-20)	0	0	0	0	48,977
Procurement, Construction, and Improvements (emergency, P.L. 116-20)	0	0	0	0	476,755
Total Discretionary Funding (includes emergency and OCO, but not transfers)	11,266,700	9,698,357	10,194,567	9,282,857	10,801,809
Fees, Mandatory Spending, and Trust Funds	1,676,117	1,739,844	1,739,844	1,739,844	1,739,844
Total Budgetary Resources	12,942,817	11,438,201	11,934,411	11,117,701	12,541,653
U.S. Secret Service					
Operations and Support	1,915,794	2,084,308	2,093,684	2,099,870	2,148,528
Procurement, Construction, and Improvements	90,480	64,816	83,531	64,816	97,131
Research and Development	250	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
Total Discretionary Appropriations	2,006,524	2,151,624	2,179,715	2,167,186	2,248,159

Component/Appropriation	FY2018	FY2019			
	Enacted	Request	Senate Committee-Reported S. 3109	House Committee-Reported H.R. 6776	Enacted P.L. 116-6
Total Discretionary Funding	2,006,524	2,151,624	2,179,715	2,167,186	2,248,159
Fees, Mandatory Spending, and Trust Funds	265,000	265,000	265,000	265,000	265,000
Total Budgetary Resources	2,271,524	2,416,624	2,444,715	2,432,186	2,513,159
Total Net Discretionary Appropriations: Title II	38,291,882	38,412,816	38,518,627	41,277,971	39,836,958
Total Net Discretionary Funding: Title II Components (All Sources)	39,513,748	38,412,816	38,683,627	41,277,971	41,837,066
Projected Total Gross Budgetary Resources: Title II Components	47,131,706	47,077,330	46,464,058	49,192,855	49,656,467

Sources: CRS analysis of the DHS FY2019 Budget-in-Brief, P.L. 115-141 and its explanatory statement as printed in the *Congressional Record* of March 22, 2018, pp. H2544- H2608, S.Rept. 115-283, H.Rept. 115-948, H.Rept. 116-9, P.L. 116-20, and P.L. 116-26.

Notes: Appropriation subtotal lines are shaded, numbers in *italics* do not contribute separately to appropriation subtotals, numbers in **bold** represent appropriations plus emergency, disaster relief, and overseas contingency operations-designated funding, numbers in **bold italics** represent totals of all funding tracked in appropriations committee tables. Fee revenues included in the “Fees, Mandatory Spending, and Trust Funds” lines are projections, and do not include budget authority provided through general provisions.

- The detail table in the Senate Appropriations Committee report indicated a \$474,000 smaller Operations and Support request for CBP, reflected in the Custody Operations and Office of the Principal Legal Advisor sub-PPAs. The table in this report reflects the number in the detail table in the explanatory statement.
- FY2019 was the first year that appropriations were requested for the USCG in the Common Appropriations Structure (CAS). This table shows FY2018 annual appropriations redistributed into the CAS.
- In the FY2019 budget request this appropriation incorporates the former Operating Expenses, Reserve Training, and Environmental Compliance and Restoration appropriations. In the committee-reported bills, Environmental Compliance and Restoration was maintained as a separate appropriation. The table reflects the committee-reported structure.
- \$165,000,000 was requested by the Trump Administration for the Coast Guard from the Navy’s OCO Operations and Maintenance appropriation (see pp. 359-366 of *Department of the Navy Fiscal Year 2019 Budget Estimates, Justification of Estimates, February 2018, Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) Request*, at http://www.secnav.navy.mil/fmc/fmb/Documents/19pres/OCO_BOOK.pdf).
- \$13,429,000 for Environmental Compliance and Restoration was included as part of the Trump Administration’s FY2019 request for USCG Operations and Support. After a change was made in the FY2019 USCG authorization, P.L. 116-6 included the requested structure.
- An amendment adopted in House Appropriations Committee markup provided for \$95 million to be transferred from unobligated balances of the Science and Technology Directorate for initial acquisition costs of buying an 11th National Security Cutter for the Coast Guard.

Title III—Protection, Preparedness, Response, and Recovery

Title III, Protection, Preparedness, Response, and Recovery, contains appropriations for the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA),³⁷ the Office of Health Affairs (OHA),

³⁷ CISA was formerly known as the National Protection and Programs Directorate (NPPD).

and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). It is the second largest of the component-specific titles.

For FY2018, these components received \$7.22 billion in net discretionary appropriations and \$7.37 billion in specially designated funding for disaster relief through the annual appropriations process. In addition to that annual funding, \$58.23 billion was provided for FEMA in emergency supplemental appropriations in FY2018. Incorporating all these elements, the total net discretionary funding level for all Title III components was \$72.61 billion for FY2018.

- The Trump Administration requested \$6.19 billion in FY2019 net discretionary appropriations for components included in this title, and \$6.65 billion in specially designated funding for disaster relief as part of a total net discretionary funding level for these components of \$12.84 billion for FY2019.³⁸
- Setting aside the \$58.23 billion in FY2018 supplemental appropriations, the appropriations request was \$1.54 billion (10.7%) less than the amount provided for FY2018 in net discretionary funding.
- Senate Appropriations Committee-reported S. 3109 included \$13.54 billion in FY2019 net discretionary funding for the components funded in this title.
 - This was \$694 million (5.4%) more than requested by the Trump Administration and \$847 million (5.9%) less than the amount provided for FY2018, setting aside supplemental funding.
 - The total budgetary resources projected would be \$718 million (3.8%) more than the Administration's request, but \$1.29 billion (6.2%) less than projected for FY2018, setting aside supplemental funding.
 - The Senate committee-reported bill included within these totals the requested disaster relief funding of \$6.65 billion, and offset \$228 million in its Federal Assistance appropriation from unobligated DRF balances.
- House Appropriations Committee-reported H.R. 6776 included \$13.70 billion in FY2019 net discretionary funding for the components funded in this title.
 - This was \$861 million (6.7%) more than requested by the Trump Administration and \$681 million (4.7%) less than the amount provided for FY2018, setting aside supplemental funding.
 - The total budgetary resources projected would be \$885 million (4.7%) more than the Administration's request, but \$1.13 billion (5.4%) less than projected for FY2018, setting aside supplemental funding.
 - The House committee-reported bill included within these totals the requested disaster relief funding of \$6.65 billion.
- P.L. 116-6 included \$18.27 billion in FY2019 net discretionary funding for the components funded in this title.
 - The enacted discretionary funding level was \$5.43 billion (42.3%) more than requested, and \$3.89 billion (27.1%) more than the amount provided for

³⁸ In addition to the appropriations provided in Title III, the appropriation for a FEMA grant program in Title V to help pay the costs of presidential protection, and the funding for disaster relief (\$24 million of which was requested to be transferred to the OIG), roughly \$1.73 billion is provided through offsetting collections to the Federal Protective Service and FEMA—but as it is funded through offsetting collections, it is not visible in the net discretionary appropriations total. Other resources that contribute to the budget for these components include mandatory spending, fee revenues, and trust funds, including the National Flood Insurance Fund.

FY2018, setting aside the historically high level of supplemental appropriations.

- The total budgetary resources projected for FY2019 was \$5.46 billion (29.0%) more than was requested, and \$3.44 billion (16.5%) more than was projected for FY2018, setting aside FY2018 supplemental funding.
- Supplemental appropriations were provided for FEMA for FY2019.
 - On May 1, 2019, the Administration requested \$1.1 billion for CBP and ICE as part of a broader \$4.51 billion supplemental appropriations package to support border security and humanitarian efforts on the U.S.-Mexico border.³⁹ While there was no formal request from the Administration for FEMA funding, P.L. 116-26, the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations for Humanitarian Assistance and Security at the Southern Border Act, included \$30 million for FEMA, as well as \$1.1 billion for CBP and \$209 million for ICE (reflected in **Table 2**).

Table 3 shows these comparisons in greater detail. As some annually appropriated resources were provided for FEMA from outside Title III in FY2018, a separate line is included for FEMA showing a total for what is provided solely within Title III, then the non-Title III appropriation, followed by the total annual appropriation for FEMA.

Table 3. Budgetary Resources for Protection, Preparedness, Response, and Recovery Components, FY2018 and FY2019
(budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Component / Appropriation	FY2018	FY2019			
	Enacted	Request	Senate Committee- Reported S. 3109	House Committee- Reported H.R. 6776	Enacted P.L. 116-6
Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency					
Operations and Support	1,482,165	1,470,340	1,568,718	1,550,112	1,345,802
Procurement, Construction, and Improvements	414,111	302,964	369,778	367,964	322,829
Research and Development	15,126	47,847	11,126	16,486	13,126
Federal Protective Service	1,476,055	1,527,110	1,527,110	1,527,110	1,527,110
Total Discretionary Appropriations	3,387,457	3,348,261	3,476,732	3,461,672	3,208,867
Offsetting Collections (Federal Protective Service)	1,476,055	1,527,110	1,527,110	1,527,110	1,527,110
Total Net Discretionary Appropriations	1,911,402	1,821,151	1,949,622	1,934,562	1,681,757

³⁹ The Administration’s publicly available documentation of the request was not sufficient to break down requested budget authority by component or appropriation.

Component / Appropriation	FY2018	FY2019			
	Enacted	Request	Senate Committee- Reported S. 3109	House Committee- Reported H.R. 6776	Enacted P.L. 116-6
Total Discretionary Funding	1,911,402	1,821,151	1,949,622	1,934,562	1,681,757
Total Budgetary Resources	3,387,457	3,348,261	3,476,732	3,461,672	3,208,867
Office of Health Affairs^a					
Operations and Support	121,569	0	121,569	0	0
Total Discretionary Appropriations	121,569	0	121,569	0	0
Total Discretionary Funding	121,569	0	121,569	0	0
Total Budgetary Resources	121,569	0	121,569	0	0
Federal Emergency Management Agency					
Operations and Support	1,030,135	1,036,282	1,054,838	1,057,599	1,066,258
Procurement, Construction, and Improvements	85,276	103,349	128,349	103,349	133,830
Federal Assistance	3,293,932	2,644,733	3,272,939	3,356,525	3,094,210
Disaster Relief Fund ^b	7,900,720	7,234,000	7,234,000	7,210,000	12,558,000
Disaster relief designation	7,366,000	6,652,000	6,652,000	6,652,000	12,000,000
DRF base funding	534,720	582,000	582,000	558,000	558,000
Transfer to DHS Office of Inspector General	0	24,000	0	0	0
Subtotal: Net disaster relief funding	7,900,720	7,210,000	7,234,000	7,210,000	12,558,000
National Flood Insurance Fund (NFIF)	203,500	201,691	201,691	201,691	202,153
Disaster Assistance Direct Loan Program	0	3,000	3,000	0 ^c	0 ^c
Radiological Emergency Preparedness Program (Administrative Provisions)	-1,024	-665	-665	-665	-665
Title III Discretionary Appropriations (does not include transfers, emergency or disaster relief-designated funding)	5,146,539	4,570,390	5,242,152	5,276,499	5,053,786
Presidential Residence Protection (Title V)	41,000	0	0	41,000	41,000

Component / Appropriation	FY2018	FY2019			
	Enacted	Request	Senate Committee-Reported S. 3109	House Committee-Reported H.R. 6776	Enacted P.L. 116-6
Total Annual Discretionary Appropriations	5,187,539	4,570,390	5,242,152	5,317,499	5,094,786
Appropriations drawn from DRF unobligated balances (Federal Assistance)	0	0	228,000	0	300,000
Offsetting Collections (NFIF)	203,500	201,691	201,691	201,691	202,153
Total Annual Net Discretionary Appropriations	4,984,039	4,368,699	4,812,461	5,115,808	4,592,633
FY2018 Supplemental Appropriations					
Operations and Support (emergency, P.L. 115-123)	58,800	0	0	0	0
Procurement, Construction, and Improvements (emergency, P.L. 115-123)	1,200	0	0	0	0
Disaster Relief Fund (emergency, P.L. 115-56 (FY2017), P.L. 115-72, P.L. 115-123)	42,170,000	0	0	0	0
National Flood Insurance Fund (emergency, P.L. 115-72)	16,000,000	0	0	0	0
FY2019 Supplemental Appropriations					
Federal Assistance (emergency, P.L. 116-26)	0	0	0	0	30,000
Total Discretionary Funding (includes emergency and disaster relief-designated funding)	70,580,039	11,020,699	11,464,461	11,767,808	16,622,633
Fees, Mandatory Spending, and Trust Funds	4,779,036	4,484,683	4,484,683	4,484,683	4,484,683
Total Budgetary Resources	75,562,575	15,481,382	15,949,144	16,252,491	21,107,316
Total Net Discretionary Appropriations: Title III	7,179,510	6,189,850	6,883,652	7,050,370	6,274,390
Total Discretionary Funding: Title III Components (All Sources)	72,613,010	12,841,850	13,535,652	13,702,370	18,304,390

Component / Appropriation	FY2018	FY2019			
	Enacted	Request	Senate Committee- Reported S. 3109	House Committee- Reported H.R. 6776	Enacted P.L. 116-6
Projected Total Gross Budgetary Resources: Title III Components	79,071,601	18,829,643	19,547,445	19,714,163	24,316,183

Sources: CRS analysis of the DHS FY2019 *Budget-in-Brief*, P.L. 115-141 and its explanatory statement as printed in the *Congressional Record* of March 22, 2018, pp. H2544-H2608, S.Rept. 115-283, H.Rept. 115-948, H.Rept. 116-9, and P.L. 116-26.

Notes: Appropriation subtotal lines are shaded, numbers in [brackets] are subtotals presented for convenience of the reader, numbers in *italics* do not contribute separately to appropriation subtotals, numbers in **bold** represent appropriations plus emergency, disaster relief, and overseas contingency operations-designated funding, numbers in **bold italics** represent totals of all funding tracked in appropriations committee tables. Fee revenues included in the “Fees, Mandatory Spending, and Trust Funds” lines are projections, and do not include budget authority provided through general provisions.

- a. The Administration proposed reorganizing the Office of Health Affairs (OHA) and Domestic Nuclear Detection Office (DNDO) into the Office of Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD). The Senate Appropriations Committee did not object to the restructuring, but continued to present OHA appropriations in the old structure, as the authorizing committees had not ratified the move. The House Appropriations Committee funded the OCWMD in the structure requested, as did P.L. 116-6.
- b. This line is a subtotal of the “Base” line and the “Major Disasters” line (also known as the disaster relief adjustment)—it represents the total resources provided to the DRF. Amounts covered by the disaster relief adjustment (or other adjustments, such as those for emergency requirements or overseas contingency operations) are not included in appropriations totals, but are included in discretionary funding and other budget authority totals, per appropriations committee practice.
- c. H.R. 6776 and P.L. 116-6 included \$3 million for these purposes as a transfer from the DRF.

Title IV—Research and Development, Training, and Services

Title IV, Research and Development, Training, and Services, the second smallest of the component-specific titles, contains appropriations for the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC), the Science and Technology Directorate (S&T), and the Domestic Nuclear Detection Office (DNDO). In FY2018, these components received \$1.57 billion in net discretionary funding, as part of a projected total budget of \$5.92 billion. This included \$10 million in supplemental appropriations for FLETC.

- The Trump Administration requested \$1.53 billion in FY2019 net discretionary funding for components included in this title, as part of a total budget for these components of \$6.11 billion for FY2018.
 - The funding request was \$36 million (2.3%) less than the amount provided for FY2018, setting aside supplemental appropriations, although the overall budget request was \$201 million (3.3%) higher than the annual budget projected for FY2018 (again, setting aside supplemental funding). This is due to changes in anticipated fee collections for USCIS and reorganization of most of the Office of Health Affairs and DNDO into the new CWMD component reflected in the request.
- Senate Appropriations Committee-reported S. 3109 included \$1.53 billion in net discretionary funding for components included in this title, as part of a projected total budget of \$6.23 billion.

- This was \$115 million (7.5%) more than requested, and \$79 million (5.0%) less than the amount provided for FY2018, not including supplemental funding.
- The total budgetary resources projected under S. 3109 would have been \$115 million (1.9%) more than the Administration’s request, and \$316 million (5.3%) more than projected for FY2018, setting aside supplemental funding.
- House Appropriations Committee-reported H.R. 6776 included \$1.64 billion in net discretionary funding for components included in this title, as part of a projected total budget of \$6.21 billion.
 - This was \$97 million (6.3%) more than requested, and \$60 million (3.9%) more than the amount provided for FY2018, not including supplemental funding.
 - The total budgetary resources projected under H.R. 6776 would have been \$97 million (1.6%) more than the Administration’s request, and \$297 million (5.0%) more than projected for FY2018, setting aside supplemental funding.
- P.L. 116-6 included \$1.62 billion in FY2019 net discretionary funding for the components funded in this title.
 - Enacted FY2019 appropriations were \$199 million (3.3%) more than was requested by the Administration, and \$163 million (10.4%) more than was provided in FY2018, setting aside FY2018 supplemental funding.
 - Total budgetary resources projected for FY2019 are \$199 million (3.3%) more than were requested by the Administration, and \$400 million (6.8%) more than was projected for FY2018, setting aside FY2018 supplemental funding.

Table 4 shows these comparisons in greater detail.

Table 4. Budgetary Resources for Research and Development, Training, and Services Components, FY2018 and FY2019

(budget authority in thousands of dollars)

Component/Appropriation	FY2018	FY2019			
	Enacted	Request	Senate Committee-Reported S. 3109	House Committee-Reported H.R. 6776	Enacted P.L. 116-6
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services					
Operations and Support	108,856	109,081	109,081	109,081	109,688
Procurement, Construction, and Improvements	22,657	22,838	22,838	22,838	22,838
Federal Assistance	0	0	0	0	10,000
Immigration Authorization Extensions (Administrative Provision)	1,000	0	0	0	0
Total Annual Discretionary Appropriations	132,513	131,919	131,919	131,919	142,526

Component/Appropriation	FY2018	FY2019			
	Enacted	Request	Senate Committee-Reported S. 3109	House Committee-Reported H.R. 6776	Enacted P.L. 116-6
Total Discretionary Funding	132,513	131,919	131,919	131,919	142,526
Fees, Mandatory Spending, and Trust Funds	4,350,526	4,587,651	4,587,651	4,587,651	4,587,651
Total Budgetary Resources	4,483,039	4,719,570	4,719,570	4,719,570	4,730,177
Federal Law Enforcement Training Center					
Operations and Support	254,000	296,557	275,666	254,774	277,876
Procurement, Construction, and Improvements	0	85,577	85,577	0	50,943
Total Annual Discretionary Appropriations	254,000	382,134	361,243	254,774	328,819
FY2018 Supplemental Appropriations					
Operations and Support (emergency, P.L. 115-141)	5,374	0	0	0	0
Procurement, Construction, and Improvements (emergency, P.L. 115-141)	5,000	0	0	0	0
Total Discretionary Funding	264,374	382,134	361,243	254,774	328,819
Total Budgetary Resources	264,374	382,134	361,243	254,774	328,819
Science and Technology					
Operations and Support	331,113	271,803	308,520	304,408	308,520
Research and Development	509,830	311,480	504,596	497,751	511,265
Total Discretionary Appropriations	840,943	583,283	813,116	802,159	819,785
Total Discretionary Funding	840,943	583,283	813,116	802,159	819,785
Total Budgetary Resources	840,943	583,283	813,116	802,159	819,785
Domestic Nuclear Detection Office^a					
Operations and Support	54,664	0	54,664	0	0
Procurement, Construction, and Improvements	89,096	0	89,096	0	0
Research and Development	145,661	0	145,661	0	0
Federal Assistance	46,019	0	46,019	0	0
Total Discretionary Appropriations	335,440	0	335,440	0	0
Total Discretionary Funding	335,440	0	335,440	0	0
Total Budgetary Resources	335,440	0	335,440	0	0

Component/Appropriation	FY2018	FY2019			
	Enacted	Request	Senate Committee-Reported S. 3109	House Committee-Reported H.R. 6776	Enacted P.L. 116-6
Office of Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction^a					
Operations and Support	0	209,264	0	214,264	187,095
Procurement, Construction, and Improvements	0	74,896	0	74,896	100,096
Research and Development	0	80,443	0	80,443	83,043
Federal Assistance	0	64,663 ^b	0	64,663	64,663
Total Discretionary Appropriations	0	429,266	0	434,266	434,897
Total Discretionary Funding	0	429,266	0	434,266	434,897
Total Budgetary Resources	0	429,266	0	434,266	434,897
Total Net Discretionary Appropriations: Title IV	1,562,896	1,526,602	1,641,718	1,623,118	1,726,027
Total Discretionary Funding: Title IV Components (All Sources)	1,573,270	1,526,602	1,641,718	1,623,118	1,726,027
Projected Total Gross Budgetary Resources for Title IV Components	5,923,796	6,114,253	6,114,253	6,210,769	6,313,678

Source: CRS analysis of the DHS *FY2019 Budget-in-Brief*, P.L. 115-141 and its explanatory statement as printed in the *Congressional Record* of March 22, 2018, pp. H2544-H2608, S.Rept. 115-283, H.Rept. 115-948, and H.Rept. 116-9.

Notes: Appropriation subtotal lines are shaded, numbers in *italics* do not contribute separately to appropriation subtotals, numbers in **bold** represent appropriations plus emergency, disaster relief, and overseas contingency operations-designated funding, numbers in **bold italics** represent totals of all funding tracked in appropriations committee tables. Fee revenues included in the “Fees, Mandatory Spending, and Trust Funds” lines are projections.

- a. The Administration proposed reorganizing the Office of Health Affairs (OHA) and Domestic Nuclear Detection Office (DNDO) into the Office of Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD). The Senate Appropriations Committee did not object to the restructuring, but continued to present OHA appropriations in the old structure, as the authorizing committees had not ratified the move. The House Appropriations Committee funded the OCWMD in the structure requested, as did P.L. 116-6.
- b. This request appears to have been left out of the detail table in H.Rept. 116-9.

Title V—General Provisions

As noted above, the fifth title of the FY2019 DHS appropriations act contains general provisions, the impact of which may reach across the entire department, affect multiple components, or focus on a single activity. Rescissions of prior-year appropriations—cancellations of budget authority that reduce the net funding level in the bill—are found in this title.⁴⁰

⁴⁰ As noted elsewhere, general provisions also may provide funding. Incidences where this occurs in the act are reflected in Tables 1-4.

For FY2018, Division F of P.L. 115-141 included \$489 million in rescissions. For FY2019, the Administration proposed rescinding \$300 million in prior-year funding from the DRF. S. 3109 included \$137 million in rescissions from other appropriations, but specifically directed the \$300 million the Administration had proposed rescinding from the DRF to other activities within DHS. H.R. 6776 did not include any rescissions, although an amendment from Representative Palazzo was passed in full committee markup on a voice vote redirecting unobligated balances from the Science and Technology Directorate to the Coast Guard. Division A of P.L. 116-6 included \$303 million in rescissions, and a provision directing that \$300 million of DRF unobligated balances be used to offset new DRF appropriations.

In FY2018, funding was also included in Title V for the Financial Systems Modernization initiative and a grant program for Presidential Residence Protection costs, which are reflected in the tables for Title I and Title III, respectively, as those titles fund the components that manage these resources. For FY2019, Title V of S. 3109 only funded the Financial Systems Modernization initiative, and Title V of H.R. 6776 only funded Presidential Residence Protection costs. Title V of P.L. 116-6, Division A, included funding for both the Financial Systems Modernization initiative and Presidential Residence Protection costs.⁴¹

The detail table in the back of H.Rept. 115-948 also notes the discretionary cost of several policy changes in H.R. 6776. Four amendments adopted in House Appropriations Committee markup resulted in a net \$13 million increase in the score of the bill, which is reflected in the detail table, but not in the tables of this report. No such costs are reflected in the detail table of H.Rept. 116-9.

For Further Information

For additional perspectives on FY2019 DHS appropriations, see the following:

- CRS Report R44604, *Trends in the Timing and Size of DHS Appropriations: In Brief*;
- CRS Report R44052, *DHS Budget v. DHS Appropriations: Fact Sheet*; and
- CRS Report R45262, *Comparing DHS Component Funding, FY2019: In Brief*.

Congressional clients also may wish to consult CRS’s experts directly. The following table lists CRS analysts and specialists who have expertise in policy areas linked to DHS appropriations.

Table 5. DHS Appropriations Experts

Component/Issue Area	Name	Background Report
DHS Annual and Supplemental Appropriations, Overall	William Painter	
Departmental Management, Personnel Issues	Barbara L. Schwemle	CRS Insight INI 1035, <i>Department of Homeland Security Human Resources Management: Homeland Security Issues in the 116th Congress</i>
DHS Headquarters Consolidation	William Painter	CRS Report R42753, <i>DHS Headquarters Consolidation Project: Issues for Congress</i>
Analysis and Operations	Lisa Sacco	

⁴¹ §521 and §531, respectively.

Component/Issue Area	Name	Background Report
Office of the Inspector General	Kathryn A. Francis	CRS Report R43814, <i>Federal Inspectors General: History, Characteristics, and Recent Congressional Actions</i>
U.S. Customs and Border Protection	Audrey Singer	CRS Report R42138, <i>Border Security: Immigration Enforcement Between Ports of Entry</i>
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement	Audrey Singer	CRS Report R44627, <i>Interior Immigration Enforcement: Criminal Alien Programs</i>
Transportation Security Administration	Bart Elias	CRS Report R45082, <i>Security of Air Cargo Shipments, Operations, and Facilities</i> ; and CRS Report R45500, <i>Transportation Security: Issues for the 116th Congress</i>
U.S. Coast Guard	John Frittelli	CRS Report R44566, <i>The Coast Guard's Role in Safeguarding Maritime Transportation: Selected Issues</i>
U.S. Secret Service	Shawn Reese	CRS Report RL34603, <i>The U.S. Secret Service: History and Missions</i>
Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency		
Cybersecurity	Chris Jaikaran	CRS In Focus IF10683, <i>DHS's Cybersecurity Mission—An Overview</i>
Infrastructure Protection	Frank Gottron	
Federal Protective Service	Shawn Reese	CRS Report R43570, <i>Federal Building and Facility Security: Frequently Asked Questions</i>
Federal Emergency Management Agency		
Disaster Response	Elizabeth Webster	CRS Report R41981, <i>Congressional Primer on Responding to Major Disasters and Emergencies</i>
Disaster Relief Fund	William L. Painter	CRS Report R45484, <i>The Disaster Relief Fund: Overview and Issues</i>
Mitigation Programs	Diane P. Horn	
Stafford Act Individual Assistance Program	Elizabeth Webster	CRS Insight IN11054, <i>Disaster Housing Assistance: Homeland Security Issues in the 116th Congress</i>
Stafford Act Public Assistance Program	Natalie Keegan	CRS Report R43990, <i>FEMA's Public Assistance Grant Program: Background and Considerations for Congress</i>
Preparedness Grants	Shawn Reese	CRS Report R44669, <i>Department of Homeland Security Preparedness Grants: A Summary and Issues</i>
Firefighter Assistance Grants	Lennard G. Kruger	CRS Report RL32341, <i>Assistance to Firefighters Program: Distribution of Fire Grant Funding</i> ; and CRS Report RL33375, <i>Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response: The SAFER Grant Program</i>

Component/Issue Area	Name	Background Report
Disaster Declarations	Bruce R. Lindsay	CRS Report R42702, <i>Stafford Act Declarations 1953-2016: Trends, Analyses, and Implications for Congress</i>
National Flood Insurance Program	Diane P. Horn	CRS Report R44593, <i>Introduction to the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)</i>
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services	William A. Kandel	CRS Report R44038, <i>U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) Functions and Funding</i>
Science and Technology	Daniel Morgan	CRS Report R44786, <i>Science and Technology Issues in the 115th Congress</i>
Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction Office	Frank Gottron	CRS Report R44786, <i>Science and Technology Issues in the 115th Congress</i>

Appendix. Appropriations Terms and Concepts

Budget Authority, Obligations, and Outlays

Federal government spending involves a multistep process that begins with the enactment of budget authority by Congress. Federal agencies then obligate funds from enacted budget authority to pay for their activities. Finally, payments are made to liquidate those obligations; the actual payment amounts are reflected in the budget as outlays.

Budget authority is established through appropriations acts or direct spending legislation and determines the amounts that are available for federal agencies to spend. The Antideficiency Act⁴² prohibits federal agencies from obligating more funds than the budget authority enacted by Congress. Budget authority also may be indefinite in amount, as when Congress enacts language providing “such sums as may be necessary” to complete a project or purpose. Budget authority may be available on a one-year, multiyear, or no-year basis. One-year budget authority is available for obligation only during a specific fiscal year; any unobligated funds at the end of that year are no longer available for spending. Multiyear budget authority specifies a range of time during which funds may be obligated for spending, and no-year budget authority is available for obligation for an indefinite period of time.

Obligations are incurred when federal agencies employ personnel, enter into contracts, receive services, and engage in similar transactions in a given fiscal year—which create a legal requirement for the government to pay. *Outlays* are the funds that are actually spent during the fiscal year.⁴³ Because multiyear and no-year budget authorities may be obligated over a number of years, outlays do not always match the budget authority enacted in a given year. Additionally, budget authority may be obligated in one fiscal year but spent in a future fiscal year, especially with certain contracts.

In sum, budget authority allows federal agencies to incur obligations and authorizes payments, or outlays, to be made from the Treasury. Discretionary funded agencies and programs, and appropriated entitlement programs, are funded each year in appropriations acts.

Discretionary and Mandatory Spending

Gross budget authority, or the total funds available for spending by a federal agency, may be composed of discretionary and mandatory spending. Discretionary spending is not mandated by existing law and is thus appropriated yearly by Congress through appropriations acts. The Budget Enforcement Act of 1990⁴⁴ defines *discretionary appropriations* as budget authority provided in annual appropriations acts and the outlays derived from that authority, but it excludes appropriations for entitlements. *Mandatory spending*, also known as *direct spending*, consists of budget authority and resulting outlays provided in laws other than appropriations acts and is typically not appropriated each year. Some mandatory entitlement programs, however, must be

⁴² 31 U.S.C. §§1341, 1342, 1344, 1511-1517.

⁴³ Appropriations, outlays, and account balances for various appropriations accounts can be viewed in the end-of-year reports published by the U.S. Treasury titled *Combined Statement of Receipts, Outlays, and Balances of the United States Government*. The DHS portion of the report can be accessed at <https://www.fiscal.treasury.gov/fsreports/rpt/combStmnt/cs2017/c18.pdf>.

⁴⁴ P.L. 101-508, Title XIII.

appropriated each year and are included in appropriations acts. Within DHS, Coast Guard retirement pay is an example of appropriated mandatory spending.

Offsetting Collections⁴⁵

Offsetting funds are collected by the federal government, either from government accounts or the public, as part of a business-type transaction such as collection of a fee. These funds are not considered federal revenue. Instead, they are counted as negative outlays. DHS *net discretionary budget authority*, or the total funds appropriated by Congress each year, is composed of discretionary spending minus any fee or fund collections that offset discretionary spending.

Some collections offset a portion of an agency's discretionary budget authority. Other collections offset an agency's mandatory spending. These mandatory spending elements are typically entitlement programs under which individuals, businesses, or units of government that meet the requirements or qualifications established by law are entitled to receive certain payments if they establish eligibility. The DHS budget features two mandatory entitlement programs: the Secret Service and the Coast Guard retired pay accounts (pensions). Some entitlements are funded by permanent appropriations, and others are funded by annual appropriations. Secret Service retirement pay is a permanent appropriation and, as such, is not annually appropriated. In contrast, Coast Guard retirement pay is annually appropriated. In addition to these entitlements, the DHS budget contains offsetting Trust and Public Enterprise Funds. These funds are not appropriated by Congress. They are available for obligation and included in the President's budget to calculate the gross budget authority.

302(a) and 302(b) Allocations

In general practice, the maximum budget authority for annual appropriations (including DHS) is determined through a two-stage congressional budget process. In the first stage, Congress sets overall spending totals in the annual concurrent resolution on the budget. Subsequently, these totals are allocated among the appropriations committees, usually through the statement of managers for the conference report on the budget resolution. These amounts are known as the *302(a) allocations*. They include discretionary totals available to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations for enactment in annual appropriations bills through the subcommittees responsible for the development of the bills.

In the second stage of the process, the appropriations committees allocate the 302(a) discretionary funds among their subcommittees for each of the appropriations bills. These amounts are known as the *302(b) allocations*. These allocations must add up to no more than the 302(a) discretionary allocation and form the basis for enforcing budget discipline, since any bill reported with a total above the ceiling is subject to a point of order. The 302(b) allocations may be adjusted during the year by the respective appropriations committee issuing a report delineating the revised suballocations as the various appropriations bills progress toward final enactment. No subcommittee allocations are developed for conference reports or enacted appropriations bills.

Table A-1 shows comparable figures for the 302(b) allocation for FY2018, based on the adjusted net discretionary budget authority included in Division F of P.L. 115-141, the President's request for FY2019, and the House and Senate subcommittee allocations for the Homeland Security appropriations bills for FY2019.

⁴⁵ Prepared with assistance from Bill Heniff Jr., Analyst in American National Government.

Table A-1. FY2018 and FY2019 302(b) Discretionary Allocations for DHS
(budget authority in billions of dollars)

FY2018 Comparable	FY2019 Request Comparable	FY2019 House Allocation	FY2019 Senate Allocation	FY2019 Enacted Comparable
47.723	41.194	51.435	48.334	49.410

Sources: CRS analysis of S.Rept. 115-340 *Further Revised Allocation to Subcommittees of Budget Totals for Fiscal Year 2019*, H.Rept. 115-779, *Revised Suballocation of Budget Allocations for Fiscal Year 2019*, and H.Rept. 116-9.

Notes: These allocations do not include funding designated as an emergency requirement, designated as being for overseas contingency operations, or designated as being for the costs of major disasters under the Stafford Act (“disaster relief”).

The Budget Control Act, Discretionary Spending Caps, and Adjustments

The Budget Control Act established enforceable discretionary limits, or caps, for defense and nondefense spending for each fiscal year from FY2012 through FY2021. Subsequent legislation, including the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013,⁴⁶ amended those caps. Most of the budget for DHS is considered nondefense spending.⁴⁷

In addition, the Budget Control Act allows for adjustments that would raise the statutory caps to cover funding for overseas contingency operations/Global War on Terror, emergency spending, and, to a limited extent, disaster relief and appropriations for continuing disability reviews and control of health care fraud and abuse.

Three of the four justifications outlined in the Budget Control Act for adjusting the caps on discretionary budget authority have played a role in DHS’s appropriations process. Two of these—emergency spending and overseas contingency operations/Global War on Terror—are not limited.

The third justification—disaster relief—is limited. Under the Budget Control Act, the allowable adjustment for disaster relief was determined by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), using the following formula until FY2019:

Limit on disaster relief cap adjustment for the fiscal year = Rolling average of the disaster relief spending over the last ten fiscal years (throwing out the high and low years) + the unused amount of the potential adjustment for disaster relief from the previous fiscal year.

The Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 amended the above formula, increasing the allowable size of the adjustment by adding 5% of the amount of emergency-designated funding for major disasters under the Stafford Act, calculated by OMB as \$6.296 billion.⁴⁸ The act also extended the availability of unused adjustment capacity. In August 2018, OMB released a sequestration update report for FY2019 that provided a preview estimate of the allowable adjustment for FY2019 of \$14.965 billion⁴⁹—the second-largest allowable adjustment for disaster relief in the history of the

⁴⁶ P.L. 113-67.

⁴⁷ Most of the defense spending in the DHS budget is in the budget for the National Protection and Programs Directorate. Other defense spending is also included in the budgets for the U.S. Coast Guard and Federal Emergency Management Agency.

⁴⁸ Letter from Mick Mulvaney, Director, OMB, to the Honorable Patrick Leahy, Vice Chairman, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. Senate, April 23, 2018.

⁴⁹ Executive Office of the President of the United States, *OMB Sequestration Update Report to the President and*

Congress for Fiscal Year 2019, Washington, DC, August 20, 2018, p. 14, https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/Sequestration_Update_August_2018_House.pdf.

mechanism.⁵⁰ \$12 billion of that adjustment was exercised in P.L. 116-6, Division A, in appropriations for the Disaster Relief Fund. No other annual appropriations used the disaster relief adjustment for FY2019.

Author Contact Information

William L. Painter
Specialist in Homeland Security and Appropriations
/redacted/@crs.loc.gov7-....

⁵⁰ Only the allowable adjustment for FY2015 was higher, at \$18.430 billion.

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.