



Advance Refunding Bonds and P.L. 115-97

Grant A. Driessen

Analyst in Public Finance

Updated May 28, 2019

This Insight briefly describes advance refunding bonds, summarizes changes made in the 2017 tax revision (P.L. 115-97; sometimes referred to as the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, or TCJA), and discusses policy issues relevant for the 116th Congress.

What Are Advance Refunding Bonds?

Refunding bonds are bonds that are issued to replace existing (outstanding) bonds previously issued for a given purpose. Refunding bonds are used by the issuer (borrower) to take advantage of borrowing terms that are more favorable than those present at the time the existing bonds were issued, which may be realized through lower interest rates or more attractive agreements related to the project (known as covenants) funded by the bond.

Current refunding describes cases where the existing bond is redeemed within 90 days of the refunding bond issue date. *Advance refunding* describes cases where the existing bond and refunding bond are both outstanding for a period of longer than 90 days. Advance refunding is typically used when the existing bond has a call protection, or safeguard against a bond being paid off earlier than its maturity date, that does not allow for immediate redemption of the existing bond. The *Internal Revenue Code* (26 U.S.C. §149) stipulates that all bonds issued after 1985 must be redeemed at the earliest date possible if an advance refunding results in present value savings to the borrower.

Federal Tax Law and Changes Made by P.L. 115-97

Generally, interest income earned from state and local bonds issued for a public (governmental) purpose is exempted from federal income taxation. The tax exemption serves to reduce interest rates and subsequent borrowing costs on such tax-exempt (municipal) bonds. Bonds that are not issued for a public purpose but which have been deemed by Congress to have substantive public benefits may also be exempted from federal income taxation if they are classified as [qualified private activity bonds](#).

Congressional Research Service

7-....

www.crs.gov

IN11079

Prior to the passage of P.L. 115-97, advance refunding bonds could generally be issued for public purpose bonds one time with interest income still exempt from federal income taxation—allowing for two federally tax-exempt bonds to be outstanding for a single project at the same time. Qualified private activity bonds were generally not eligible for advance refunding with a federal tax exemption, though certain temporary exceptions had been granted in areas receiving government assistance following [federal disasters](#).

P.L. 115-97 eliminated the federal tax exemption for interest income earned on advance refunding bonds for any municipal bond issued after tax year 2017. A December 2017 [estimate](#) from the Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT) projected that the repeal of this exemption in P.L. 115-97 would increase federal revenues by \$17.4 billion over the FY2018-FY2027 period.

Policy Arguments and Recent Legislative Developments

There have been efforts to reinstate the federal tax exemption for interest income earned on advance refunding bonds since the enactment of P.L. 115-97. In the 116th Congress, H.R. 2772, introduced in May 2019, would reinstate the federal tax exemption for advance refunding bonds. In the 115th Congress, H.R. 5003 (introduced in February 2018) would have reinstated the exemption for the first advance refunding bond issued for public purpose bonds. All else equal, borrowers may be more likely to issue advance refunding bonds after a decline in interest rates, as new borrowing terms may be more favorable than existing arrangements in that scenario.

Some supporters of the federal tax exemption for advance refunding bonds argue that state and local government investment in the sorts of infrastructure projects typically financed by bond issuances may otherwise be underprovided if there are benefits that accrue to tourists and other nonresidents who may not pay state and local taxes. An [October 2018 study](#) by the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) found that the share of total government infrastructure spending attributable to state and local governments has increased in recent years.

Opponents of federally tax-exempt advance refunding bonds may argue that the federal subsidy is an inefficient way to encourage investment, as most of the additional benefit provided by the tax exemption typically flows to the creditor instead of the state and local governments (or borrower). Although the exemption for advance refunding bonds may have a small effect on the general market for tax-exempt bonds, the decision to issue any individual advance refunding bond will typically be made well after a project has been agreed to, which could reduce the effect of the advance refunding exemption on total infrastructure investment.

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.