



HUD's Proposal to End Assistance to Mixed Status Families

Maggie McCarty

Specialist in Housing Policy

Jameson A. Carter

Research Assistant

May 17, 2019

On May 10, 2019, HUD released a proposed rule to end eligibility for “mixed status” families in its major rental assistance programs ([public housing](#), [Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers](#), [Section 8 project-based rental assistance](#)). “Mixed status” families comprise both citizens (or eligible noncitizens) and ineligible noncitizens. As [reported in the press](#) and reflected in analysis by both CRS and the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the rule would likely result in the displacement from HUD-assisted housing of over 25,000 families, including 55,000 children. Additionally, the rule would establish new documentation requirements for citizens.

Treatment of Mixed Status Families

Current law—[Section 214 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1980, as amended](#)—prohibits the provision of certain housing assistance benefits to ineligible noncitizens (generally, persons in the country illegally; or persons legally present but in a temporary status, such as tourists or students). The law does not directly address how the prohibition should be applied in the case of mixed status families. [It took HUD more than a decade to finalize its interpretation and implementation of this law](#), in part due to a series of statutory changes related to the categories of eligible and ineligible noncitizens, as well as congressional directives suspending HUD’s implementation of various proposed rules. [The final regulations implementing Section 214 address the issue of mixed status families by requiring the proration](#)—or proportional reduction—of assistance provided to these families. Other social programs that provide benefits to families and households (Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and

Congressional Research Service

7-....

www.crs.gov

IN11121

the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)) also pay reduced benefits to mixed status families.

Under HUD's 2019 proposed rule, mixed status families would be ineligible for assistance. The only exception would be for certain households that were receiving assistance on the date the existing regulations took effect (June 19, 1995). All other mixed status households currently being served will either have to remove ineligible household members in order to continue to receive assistance (an unavailable option for families with ineligible adults but eligible children); or to move to unassisted housing, either voluntarily or by formal eviction. Program administrators would be permitted to continue assistance for mixed status families for 6 to 18 months while they seek new housing. No future mixed status families would be eligible for assistance. HUD contends that this policy change is consistent with various Executive Orders promulgated by President Trump.

Data

According to HUD administrative data from December 2017 (as published in the [economic analysis accompanying the rule](#) and analyzed by CRS) the affected programs served more than 25,000 mixed status families, consisting of more than 108,000 people. More than three-quarters of these households were families with children, primarily households containing eligible children and ineligible adult members. This includes roughly 55,000 children who are U.S. citizens or have eligible immigration status.

Mixed status families make up a relatively small share of the overall assistance caseload nationally, accounting for approximately 0.5% of all assisted households. However, mixed status families make up a more significant caseload share for some Public Housing Authorities (PHAs) administering public housing and/or the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher program. Based on CRS analysis of HUD administrative data, mixed status families account for 10% or more of the caseload for approximately 40 PHAs, in some cases accounting for more than one-third of all families served by a PHA. Geographically, the largest numbers of mixed status families are in California, Texas, and New York.

HUD's economic analysis found the proposed rule would increase subsidy costs by roughly \$200 million per year, as mixed status families receiving a reduced, prorated benefit would be replaced by families receiving full benefits drawn from waiting lists for assistance. However, in a limited funding environment, this would likely result in fewer families being served or a decrease in the quality of the housing provided. Additionally, turnover in housing programs can be costly for program administrators. These costs can include the court-related expenses related to formal evictions, cleaning and repairs to prepare a unit for new occupants, and/or the costs of processing new applicants for assistance. HUD notes that the administrative costs of turnover associated with the proposed rule may be sizeable. HUD estimates the mixed status families being displaced will bear moving costs of approximately \$500 each; HUD notes some families may face homelessness as a result of the rule change, but the agency does not quantify that impact, other than noting that researchers estimate that the costs of homelessness borne by society can exceed \$20,000 per homeless person annually.

Documentation Requirements for Citizens

Under current HUD regulations, each member of a family receiving housing assistance must provide (1) a signed declaration of citizenship, signed under penalty of perjury; (2) a signed declaration of eligible immigration status, signed under penalty of perjury, along with appropriate verification documentation; or (3) an election not to contend to have eligible immigration status, thus making the family a mixed status family subject to prorated benefits. The proposed rule would make changes to the first option by creating a federal requirement for documentation of citizenship. Currently, program administrators may, but are

not required to, request citizenship documentation of applicants. Under the proposed rule, all current and future citizen beneficiaries will have to provide proof of citizenship, such as a birth certificate, passport, or other documentation deemed acceptable by HUD, as established in future guidance. The vast majority of the roughly 9.6 million people receiving assistance under the Section 214-covered programs are citizens who would be subject to this requirement.

[A 2007 GAO report assessed the implications of citizenship documentation requirements added to the Medicaid program](#) and found that such requirements appeared to increase administrative costs of the program and served as a barrier to program enrollment or result in loss of benefits for otherwise eligible citizens. However, the potential administrative or other program costs of the policy change are not contemplated in HUD's economic analysis of the proposed rule.

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.