

The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), Reinsurance, and Catastrophe Bonds

Diane P. Horn

Analyst in Flood Insurance and Emergency Management

Baird Webel

Specialist in Financial Economics

Updated April 11, 2019

Insurance generally serves to transfer risk from one entity who does not want to bear that risk to another entity that does. An initial insurance purchase, such as homeowners buying a policy to cover damage to their home, however, is often only the first transfer of that risk. The initial (or *primary*) insurer may then transfer (or *cede*) some or all of this risk to another company or investor, such as a *reinsurer*. Reinsurers may also further transfer (or *retrocede*) risks to other reinsurers. Such risk transfers are, on the whole, a net cost for primary insurers, just as purchasing insurance is a net cost for homeowners.

The Homeowner Flood Insurance Affordability Act of 2014 (P.L. 113-89) revised the authority of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) to secure reinsurance from “private reinsurance and capital markets.” Risk transfer to the private market could [reduce the likelihood of the Federal Emergency Management Agency \(FEMA\) borrowing from the Treasury](#) to pay claims. In addition, it could allow the NFIP to recognize some of its flood risk up front through premiums it pays for risk transfers rather than after-the-fact borrowing, and could [help the NFIP to reduce the volatility of its losses over time](#). However, because reinsurers charge premiums to compensate for the assumed risk as well as the reinsurers’ costs and profit margins, [the primary benefit of reinsurance is to manage risk, not to reduce the NFIP’s long-term fiscal exposure](#).

Reinsurance

The most common form of risk transfer is a primary insurer purchasing coverage for its risks from another (re)insurer. The primary insurer typically continues to service the initial policy, while the

Congressional Research Service

7-....

www.crs.gov

IN10965

reinsurer operates in the background. [Reinsurance](#) is particularly important to smaller insurers who may not be large enough to spread local risks that are spatially correlated, such as a storm hitting a particular area, across a broader geographic area. Reinsurers, however, often have the size to diversify risks on a global scale.

NFIP Reinsurance Purchases

The NFIP's first large reinsurance purchase was in January 2017, and this was followed up with purchases in January 2018 and January 2019. The [exact details of these reinsurance purchases have varied](#), but they have all covered losses from a single flooding event starting at \$4 billion and going as high as \$10 billion, with the total potential payouts ranging from \$1.042 billion to \$1.46 billion. FEMA paid premiums ranging from \$150 million to \$235 million. The year 2017's Hurricane Harvey resulted in more than \$8.7 billion in losses, thus triggering a full claim of \$1.042 billion on that year's reinsurance, while no claims have been made by the NFIP to date on the 2018 or 2019 reinsurance purchases.

Catastrophe Bonds

In addition to reinsurance, new forms of “alternative” risk transfer have also developed. One category of such instruments are known as [insurance linked securities \(ILS\)](#)—financial instruments whose values are driven by insurance loss events and which transfer major natural disaster risks to capital market investors. The most common form is [catastrophe bonds](#) (or cat bonds), which operate somewhat like other bonds, but whose payout is dependent on the occurrence of a particular catastrophe.

Catastrophe bonds are structured so that payment depends on the occurrence of an event of a defined magnitude or that causes an aggregate insurance loss in excess of a stipulated amount. Only when these specific triggering conditions are met do investors begin to lose their investment. There are three main types of triggers:

- *Indemnity*—bonds triggered by the losses experienced by the sponsoring insurer following the occurrence of a specified event (e.g., if an insurer's residential property losses from a hurricane in Florida exceeds \$25 million in 2018);
- *Industry Loss*—bonds triggered by a predetermined threshold of industry-wide losses following the occurrence of a specified event (e.g., if a total of all insurers' residential property losses from floods in 2018 exceeds \$20 billion); or
- *Parametric*—bonds triggered by physical conditions occurring during a disaster such as wind speed or earthquake size (e.g., if a 25-foot storm surge hit New Orleans in 2018).

Catastrophe bonds were first used in the mid-1990s following [Hurricane Andrew](#) and the [Northridge earthquake](#). The public sector has become increasingly interested in the use of cat bonds. In 2009, [Mexico became the first sovereign state to issue cat bonds](#), and the [World Bank is now one of the largest participants in the catastrophe bond market](#). The [New York City Metropolitan Transit Authority issued cat bonds to protect against storm surge](#). According to the [reinsurer Swiss Re](#), \$9.7 billion in catastrophe bonds were issued in 2018.

NFIP and Catastrophe Bonds

On August 1, 2018, [FEMA entered into its first transfer of NFIP risk to private markets through an ILS transaction](#), in the form of a three-year agreement with [Hannover Re](#), a reinsurance company. Hannover Re is acting as a “transformer,” transferring \$500 million of the NFIP's financial risk to the capital markets by sponsoring issuance of an indemnity-triggered cat bond. Hannover Re will indemnify FEMA for a portion of claims for a single qualifying flooding event

that occurs between August 1, 2018, and July 31, 2021. The agreement is structured into two tranches. The first provides reinsurance coverage for 3.5% of losses between \$5 billion and \$10 billion, and the second for 13% of losses between \$7.5 billion and \$10 billion. FEMA paid a premium of \$62 million for the first year of coverage. Unlike the earlier reinsurance purchases, which covered all NFIP flood losses, the catastrophe bond applies only to flooding resulting directly or indirectly from a named storm and covers only the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. A storm comparable to Hurricane Katrina would result in a total loss for the catastrophe bond investors, while a storm comparable to Hurricanes Sandy or Harvey would erode the principal of both tranches but not cause a total payout. It has been [reported](#) that a second cat bond issue will be forthcoming, but FEMA has not posted any official information regarding this.

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.