



The Evolving Use of Disaster Housing Assistance and the Roles of the Disaster Housing Assistance Program (DHAP) and the Individuals and Households Program (IHP)

Elizabeth M. Webster

Analyst in Emergency Management and Disaster Recovery

April 9, 2019

For nearly one year following Hurricane Maria in 2017, some disaster survivors from Puerto Rico were housed in hotels/motels through the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA's) Transitional Sheltering Assistance (TSA) program. This was due to multiple program extensions (including by court order), although TSA was intended to provide short-term (i.e., 5-14 days, extendable for up to 6 months) accommodations. As TSA was ending, some disaster survivors still lacked longer-term housing, causing housing advocacy organizations and Members of Congress (also here and here) to call upon FEMA to work with the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to implement the Disaster Housing Assistance Program (DHAP), as was done following past catastrophic incidents.

Although identified in the *National Disaster Housing Strategy* (*Strategy*) as a promising interim housing strategy and potential solution to the challenge of meeting long-term housing needs, FEMA stated that DHAP would not be implemented, in response to the Governor of Puerto Rico's request. The justification was that FEMA and HUD "offered multiple housing solutions...better able to meet the current housing needs...." Despite recent legislative changes that may also resolve some gaps addressed by previous DHAP implementations, the push for DHAP has persisted.

This Insight provides a brief overview of DHAP and other FEMA temporary housing assistance programs in the context of FEMA's authority to provide such assistance, as well as potential considerations for Congress.

Congressional Research Service

7-.... www.crs.gov IN11094

Program Comparison

After the President issues an emergency or major disaster declaration under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (Stafford Act; P.L. 93-288, as amended; 42 U.S.C. §§5121 et seq.), various housing assistance programs may be available to disaster survivors, including programs provided by FEMA. FEMA-provided housing assistance may include short-term, emergency sheltering accommodations under Section 403—Essential Assistance—of the Stafford Act (42 U.S.C. §5170b) (e.g., the TSA program). Interim housing needs may be met through FEMA's Individuals and Households Program (IHP) under Section 408—Federal Assistance to Individuals and Households—of the Stafford Act (42 U.S.C. §5174), which provides financial (e.g., assistance to rent alternate housing accommodations) and/or direct assistance (e.g., Multi-Family Lease and Repair, Transportable Temporary Housing Units, or Direct Lease) to eligible individuals and households. Although IHP provides various assistance options, limitations exist on their receipt and use. For example, there is a cap on the maximum amount of financial assistance an individual or household is eligible to receive, and IHP assistance is generally limited to a period of 18 months following the date of the declaration.

In 2007, to address such limitations and the need for prolonged assistance to support the transition of disaster survivors from temporary to long-term housing after Hurricanes Katrina and Rita (2005), FEMA executed an Interagency Agreement (IAA) with HUD to administer rental assistance and case management services, as authorized under Section 408 of the Stafford Act. This was known as DHAP-Katrina. DHAP was also implemented through IAAs in 2008 following Hurricanes Ike and Gustav (2008)—DHAP-Ike—and 2013 following Hurricane Sandy (2012)—DHAP-Sandy.

Although DHAP was authorized under Section 408 of the Stafford Act and funded through the Disaster Relief Fund, it was not subject to some of IHP's standard limitations. This may have allowed families to receive more assistance for longer time periods than they may have received under IHP. For instance, DHAP assistance was not subject to the IHP funding cap, which totaled \$26,200 per individual/household at the time of Hurricane Katrina, \$28,800 at the time of Hurricane Ike, and \$31,900 at the time of Hurricane Sandy.

Also, the timing of DHAP implementation varied and the provision of assistance extended beyond the standard IHP assistance period of 18 months following the declaration. DHAP-Katrina was implemented nearly two years after the disaster and terminated more than two years later; DHAP-Ike was implemented within two months of the disaster and continued for more than three years; and DHAP-Sandy was implemented within approximately five months of, and terminated just over two years following, the disaster. Additional distinguishing features included that:

- DHAP was modeled after HUD's Housing Choice Voucher program, and HUD
 administered DHAP through its network of local Public Housing Agencies, which was
 intended to bolster FEMA's traditional temporary housing assistance programs and "help
 FEMA facilitate the transition of disaster survivors to non-disaster housing";
- families were expected to incrementally increase contributions to their monthly rent, which, coupled with the mandatory case management program, was intended to help participants attain greater self-sufficiency; and
- each DHAP iteration differed somewhat in its administration, the operating requirements for which were issued through HUD Notices.

Recent Changes

Since DHAP was last implemented, the Disaster Recovery Reform Act of 2018 (DRRA; Division D of P.L. 115-254) amended the Stafford Act to separate the cap on the maximum amount of financial

assistance eligible individuals and households may receive for housing assistance and other needs assistance. Also, financial assistance to rent alternate housing accommodations is no longer subject to the cap. Additionally, following Hurricane Sandy, the Sandy Recovery Improvement Act of 2013 (Division B of P.L. 113-2) formalized FEMA's Multi-family Lease and Repair program, and FEMA developed its Direct Lease program, which FEMA claims "provides the same housing option...as DHAP in a more efficient and cost-effective manner." Still, not all of DHAP's unique characteristics are duplicated by the IHP housing assistance programs.

Potential Considerations

Congress may wish to evaluate DHAP to:

- determine if DHAP is still needed given the IHP legislative changes and program additions:
- assess whether IAA implementation is sufficient or if DHAP should be permanently authorized in legislation (e.g., the 115th Congress introduced DHAP-authorizing bills also here, here, and here);
- determine if DHAP is cost-effective and accomplishes its goal of participant selfsufficiency;
- address inconsistencies between the *Strategy* and FEMA's *Individual Assistance Program and Policy Guidance (IAPPG)*, including related to changes post-DRRA (e.g., the *IAPPG* states rental assistance counts towards the cap), and FEMA's policy decisions regarding program administration (e.g., extending TSA beyond six months, and not implementing DHAP/other interim solution); and
- consider whether FEMA should update the *Strategy/IAPPG*, given the outcome of any DHAP evaluation/potential legislative developments.

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.