



**Congressional
Research Service**

Informing the legislative debate since 1914

Department of State and Foreign Operations Appropriations: History of Legislation and Funding in Brief

Emily M. Morgenstern

Analyst in Foreign Assistance and Foreign Policy

Updated March 22, 2019

Congressional Research Service

7-....

www.crs.gov

R44637



Department of State and Foreign Operations Appropriations: History of Legislation and Funding in Brief

R44637

March 22, 2019

Emily M. Morgenstern
Analyst in Foreign
Assistance and Foreign
Policy
-redacted-@crs.loc.gov

For a copy of the full report,
please call 7-.... or visit
www.crs.gov.

Congress currently appropriates most foreign affairs funding through annual Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs (SFOPS) appropriations. Prior to FY2008, however, Congress provided funding for the Department of State, international broadcasting, and related programs within the Commerce, Justice, State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies appropriations. In those years, Congress separately appropriated funding for the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) and foreign aid within the Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs appropriations. The 110th Congress aligned the two foreign affairs appropriations into the SFOPS legislation.

SFOPS appropriations since FY2001 have included enduring appropriations (ongoing or base funding), emergency supplemental appropriations, and Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) appropriations. Total SFOPS funding levels in both current and constant dollars show a general upward trend, with FY2004 as the peak largely as a result of emergency supplemental appropriations for Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Funds. When adjusted for inflation, annual foreign affairs appropriations have yet to surpass the FY2004 peak. The Budget Control Act (BCA) of 2011 and the Bipartisan Budget Acts (BBA) of 2015 and 2018 appear to have had an impact on both enduring and OCO funding levels.

The legislative history of SFOPS appropriations shows that nearly all foreign affairs appropriations measures within the past 25 years were passed within omnibus, consolidated, or full-year continuing resolutions, rather than in stand-alone bills. Moreover, many appropriations were passed after the start of the new fiscal year, at times more than half way into the new fiscal year. In many fiscal years, SFOPS appropriations included emergency supplemental funding or, since FY2012, OCO funding.

Contents

Introduction	1
Legislative History	1
Funding History.....	5
20-Year Funding Trends.....	7
Enduring vs. Supplemental/OCO Appropriations	11

Figures

Figure 1. State-Foreign Operations Funding: FY2001-FY2020 Request.....	9
Figure 2. Current vs. Constant State-Foreign Operations Funding: FY2001-FY2020 Request.....	10
Figure 3. OCO/Supplementals as a Percentage of Total State-Foreign Operations Funding	12

Tables

Table 1. History of Department of State and Foreign Operations Appropriations, FY1995-FY2019	2
Table 2. Components Included in the FY2019 Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations.....	5
Table 3. State-Foreign Operations Funding Table: FY2001-FY2020 Request.....	8

Contacts

Author Contact Information	13
----------------------------------	----

Introduction

Congress appropriates foreign affairs funding primarily through annual Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs (SFOPS) appropriations.¹ Prior to FY2008, however, Congress provided funds for the Department of State and international broadcasting within the Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies appropriations (CJS) and separately provided foreign aid funds within Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs appropriations.

The transition between the different alignments occurred in the 109th Congress, with a change in appropriations subcommittee jurisdiction. For that Congress, the House of Representatives appropriated State Department funds separately from foreign aid, as in earlier Congresses, but the Senate differed by appropriating State and foreign aid funds within one bill—the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations.² Both the House and Senate began jointly funding Department of State and foreign aid appropriations within the Department of State, Foreign Operations and Related Programs Appropriations in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008 (P.L. 110-161).

SFOPS appropriations currently include State Department Operations (including accounts for Embassy Security, Construction, and Maintenance, and Education and Cultural Affairs, among others); Foreign Operations (including USAID administration expenses, bilateral economic assistance, international security assistance, multilateral assistance, and export assistance); various international commissions; and International Broadcasting (including VOA, RFE/RL, Cuba Broadcasting, Radio Free Asia, and Middle East Broadcasting Networks). While the distribution varies slightly from year to year, Foreign Operations funding is typically about twice as much as State Operations funding.

In addition to regular, enduring SFOPS appropriations, Congress has approved emergency supplemental funding requested by Administrations to address emergency or otherwise off-cycle budget needs. Since FY2012, Congress has also appropriated Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) funding requested within the regular budget process for Department of State and USAID war-related expenses.

This report lists the legislative and funding history of SFOPS appropriations and includes funding trends.

Legislative History

Nearly all foreign affairs appropriations within the past 25 years were passed within omnibus, consolidated, or full-year continuing resolutions, rather than in stand-alone bills, and usually after the start of the new fiscal year. Many foreign policy experts contend that stand-alone appropriations legislation would allow for a more rigorous debate on specific foreign policy activities and improve the ability to introduce or fund new programs, or cancel and defund existing programs. Such experts assert that the frequent practice of passing continuing resolutions

¹ For more detail on the latest SFOPS appropriations, see CRS Report R45168, *Department of State, Foreign Operations and Related Programs: FY2019 Budget and Appropriations*, by Susan B. Epstein, Marian L. Lawson, and Cory R. Gill.

² H.R. 5522, Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2007, in the 109th Congress, was the first appropriations legislation to combine both State Operations and Foreign Operations funding into one bill.

and delaying passage of appropriations well into the next fiscal year has hindered program planning (not just in foreign affairs) and has reduced the ability to fund programs that did not exist in the previous cycle.

In addition to annual appropriations, several laws require Congress to authorize State and foreign operations funding prior to expenditure.³ Before 2003, Congress typically provided authorization in a biannual Foreign Relations Authorization bill.⁴ This practice not only authorized funding for obligation and expenditure, but also provided a forum for more rigorous debate on specific foreign affairs and foreign aid policies and a legislative vehicle for congressional direction. In recent years, the House and Senate have separately introduced or considered foreign relations and foreign aid authorization bills, but none have been enacted.⁵

Table 1 below provides a 25-year history of enacted foreign affairs appropriations laws (excluding short-term continuing resolutions and supplemental appropriations), including the dates they were sent to the President and signed into law. Some observations follow:

- Since FY1995, Congress appropriated foreign affairs funding in on-time, freestanding bills once—in 1994 for the FY1995 appropriations year. The last time Congress passed foreign affairs funding on time, but not in freestanding legislation, was for FY1997.
- Congress included foreign affairs funding within an omnibus, consolidated, or full-year continuing resolution 21 of the past 25 years.
- FY2006 was the last time Congress enacted freestanding State Department and foreign operations appropriations bills.
- Six times over the past 25 years, Congress sent the State and foreign operations appropriations to the President in March, April, or May—six to eight months into the fiscal year.

Table 1. History of Department of State and Foreign Operations Appropriations, FY1995-FY2019

Fiscal Year	Commerce, Justice, State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies	Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs	The Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs	Date Sent to President and Signed
FY2019			P.L. 116-6— Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2019	To Pres. 02/15/19; signed 02/15/19

³ In years when authorizations are not passed, the laws requiring authorization are waived in the appropriations measure. Laws requiring authorization, but waived within the General Provisions of the appropriations law since 2003, include Section 10 of P.L. 91-672, Section 15 of the State Department Basic Authorities Act of 1956, Section 313, and Section 504(a)(1) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3094(a)(1)).

⁴ FY2003 was the last time Congress passed comprehensive foreign relations authorization legislation. In some years, foreign aid has been included within foreign relations authorization legislation. Prior to 1985, the most recent year Congress enacted foreign aid authorization legislation, Congress typically authorized foreign aid separately.

⁵ The 114th Congress passed the Department of State Authorities Act, Fiscal Year 2017 (P.L. 114-323), signed into law in December 2016. This was not a comprehensive foreign relations bill, as it did not include authorization of appropriations.

Fiscal Year	Commerce, Justice, State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies	Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs	The Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs	Date Sent to President and Signed
FY2018			P.L. 115-141— Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2018	To Pres. 03/23/18; signed 03/23/18
FY2017			P.L. 115-31— Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2017	To Pres. 05/04/17; signed 05/04/17
FY2016			P.L. 114-113— Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016	To Pres. 12/18/15; signed 12/18/15
FY2015			P.L. 113-235— Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015	To Pres. 12/16/14; signed 12/16/14
FY2014			P.L. 113-76— Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014	To Pres. 1/17/14; signed 1/17/14
FY2013			P.L. 113-6, Div. F— Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013	To Pres. 3/22/13; signed 3/26/13
FY2012			P.L. 112-74, Div. I— Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012	To Pres. 12/21/11; signed 12/23/11
FY2011			P.L. 112-10 Title XI— Dept. of Defense and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011	To Pres. 4/15/11; signed 4/15/11
FY2010			P.L. 111-117— Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010	To Pres. 12/15/09; signed 12/16/09
FY2009			P.L. 111-8— Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2009	To Pres. 3/11/09; signed 3/11/09
FY2008			P.L. 110-161— Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008	To Pres. 12/24/07; signed 12/26/07

Fiscal Year	Commerce, Justice, State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies	Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs	The Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs	Date Sent to President and Signed
FY2007	P.L. 109-289 (CR) and P.L. 110-5—Revised Continuing Appropriations, 2007 (Full-year CR through Sept. 30, 2007)	P.L. 109-289 (CR) and P.L. 110-5—Revised Continuing Appropriations, 2007 (Full-year CR through Sept 30, 2007)		Full-year CR to Pres. 2/15/07; signed 2/15/07
FY2006	P.L. 109-108—Science, State, Justice, Commerce and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006	P.L. 109-102—Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2006		P.L. 109-108 to Pres. 11/18/05; signed 11/22/05 P.L. 109-102 to Pres. 11/10/05; signed 11/14/05
FY2005	P.L. 108-447—Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005, Div. B.	P.L. 108-447—Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005, Div. D.		To Pres. 12/7/04; signed 12/8/04
FY2004	P.L. 108-199—Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2004, Div. B	P.L. 108-199—Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2004, Div. D		To Pres. 1/22/04; signed 1/23/04
FY2003	P.L. 108-7, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2003, Div. B	P.L. 108-7, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2003, Div. E		To Pres. 2/19/03; signed 2/20/03
FY2002	P.L. 107-77—Departments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2002	P.L. 107-115—Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2002		P.L. 107-77 to Pres. 11/16/01; signed 11/28/01 P.L. 107-115 to Pres. 1/04/02; signed 1/10/02
FY2001	P.L. 106-553—Federal Funding, Fiscal Year 2001, Appendix B, Title IV	P.L. 106-429—Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2001		P.L. 106-553 to Pres. 10/27/00; signed 12/21/00 P.L. 106-429 to Pres. 11/06/00; signed 11/06/00
FY2000	P.L. 106-113—Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2000	P.L. 106-113—Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2000		To Pres. 11/22/99; signed 11/29/99
FY1999	P.L. 105-277—Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1999	P.L. 105-277—Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1999		To Pres. 10/21/98; signed 10/21/98
FY1998	P.L. 105-119—Departments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1998	P.L. 105-118—Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 1998		P.L. 105-119 to Pres. 11/24/97; signed 11/26/97 P.L. 105-118 to Pres. 11/19/97; signed 11/26/97

Fiscal Year	Commerce, Justice, State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies	Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs	The Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs	Date Sent to President and Signed
FY1997	P.L. 104-208—Omnibus Appropriations Act, 1997	P.L. 104-208—Omnibus Appropriations Act, 1997		To Pres. 9/30/96; signed 9/30/96
FY1996	P.L. 104-134—Omnibus Consolidated Rescissions and Appropriations Act of 1996	P.L. 104-134—Omnibus Consolidated Rescissions and Appropriations Act of 1996		To Pres. 4/25/96; signed 4/26/96
FY1995	P.L. 103-317—Departments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1995	P.L. 103-306—Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 1995		P.L. 103-317 to Pres. 8/22/94; signed 8/26/94 P.L. 103-306 to Pres. 8/12/94; signed 8/23/94

Source: See <http://www.congress.gov>.

Note: This table excludes short-term continuing resolutions and supplemental appropriations.

Funding History

Since realignment of the foreign affairs appropriations legislation in FY2008, SFOPS appropriations measures have included State Department Operations, Foreign Operations, various international commissions, and International Broadcasting. For a full list of the accounts included in the FY2019 SFOPS, see **Table 2**.⁶

Table 2. Components Included in the FY2019 Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations

(organized by title)

Title	Programs
State Department Operations	
Title I—Department of State and Related Agency	Administration of Foreign Affairs
	Diplomatic Programs (Ongoing Operations & Worldwide Security Protection)
	Capital Investment Fund
	Office of Inspector General
	Educational and Cultural Exchange Programs
	Representation Expenses
	Protection of Foreign Missions and Officials
	Embassy Security, Construction and Maintenance
	Emergencies in the Diplomatic and Consular Service
	Repatriation Loans Program Account
	Payment to the American Institute in Taiwan
	International Center, Washington, District of Columbia
	Payment to the Foreign Service Retirement and Disability Fund
International Organizations	
Contributions to International Organizations (CIO)	
Contributions for International Peacekeeping Activities (CIPA)	

⁶ For further discussion on the various SFOPS components, see CRS Report R40482, *Department of State, Foreign Operations Appropriations: A Guide to Component Accounts*, by Cory R. Gill and Marian L. Lawson.

Title	Programs
	<p>International Commissions (Function 300) International Boundary and Water Commission, United States and Mexico (S&E & Construction) American Sections, International Commissions —International Joint Commission —International Boundary Commission —Border Environment Cooperation Commission International Fisheries Commission</p> <p>Related Agency Broadcasting Board of Governors —International Broadcasting Operations —Broadcasting Capital Improvements</p> <p>Related Programs The Asia Foundation U.S. Institute of Peace Center for Middle Eastern-Western Dialogue Trust Fund Eisenhower Exchange Fellowship Program Israeli-Arab Scholarship Program East-West Center National Endowment for Democracy</p> <p>Other Commissions Commission for the Preservation of America’s Heritage Abroad U.S. Commission on International Religious Freedom Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe Congressional-Executive Commission on the People’s Republic of China U.S.-China Economic and Security Review Commission Western Hemisphere Drug Policy Commission</p>
Foreign Operations	
Title II—USAID	USAID Operating Expenses (OE) USAID Capital Investment Fund (CIF) USAID Office of Inspector General
Title III—Bilateral Economic Assistance	Global Health Programs (USAID & State) Development Assistance (DA) International Disaster Assistance (IDA) Transition Initiatives (TI) Complex Crises Fund (CCF) Development Credit Authority—Subsidy (DCA) & Administrative Expenses Economic Support Fund (ESF) Democracy Fund Assistance for Europe, Eurasia & Central Asia (AEECA) Migration and Refugee Assistance (MRA) U.S. Emergency Refugee and Migration Assistance (ERMA) <i>Independent Agencies</i> —Peace Corps —Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) —Inter-American Foundation —U.S. African Development Foundation <i>Department of the Treasury</i> —International Affairs Technical Assistance
Title IV—International Security Assistance	International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement (INCLE) Nonproliferation, Anti-Terrorism, Demining and Related Programs (NADR) Peacekeeping Operations (PKO) International Military Education and Training (IMET) Foreign Military Financing (FMF)

Title	Programs
Title V—Multilateral Assistance	International Organizations and Programs <i>International Financial Institutions</i> —Global Environment Facility —Contribution to the International Development Association —Contribution to the Asian Development Fund —Contribution to the African Development Bank —Contribution to the African Development Fund —Contribution to the International Fund for Agricultural Development
Title VI—Export and Investment Assistance	Export-Import Bank —Inspector General —Program Account —Administrative Expenses Overseas Private Investment Corporation —Noncredit Account —Program Account Trade and Development Agency
General Provisions	
Title VII—General Provisions	
Overseas Contingency Operations	
Title VIII—Overseas Contingency Operations/Global War on Terrorism	Department of State Administration of Foreign Affairs Diplomatic Programs Office of Inspector General International Organizations Contributions to International Organizations (CIO) Contributions for International Peacekeeping Activities (CIPA) U.S. Agency for International Development USAID Operating Expenses Bilateral Economic Assistance International Disaster Assistance (IDA) Transition Initiatives (TI) Economic Support Fund (ESF) Migration and Refugee Assistance (MRA) International Security Assistance Peacekeeping Operations (PKO) Foreign Military Financing (FMF)

Source: P.L. 116-6, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2019.

20-Year Funding Trends

Table 3 and Figure 1 provide the funding levels for enduring funds and Supplemental/OCO funds in the Department of State, Foreign Operations and Related Programs for FY2001-2020 request (in current dollars).

Table 3. State-Foreign Operations Funding Table: FY2001-FY2020 Request

(In billions of current dollars)


Fiscal Year	State Operations			Foreign Operations			Total		Grand Total
	Enduring ^a	Supplemental/ OCO	Total	Enduring	Supplemental/ OCO	Total	Enduring	Supplemental/ OCO	
2001 Actual	\$6.49	\$0.51	\$7.00	\$14.99	\$0.00	\$14.99	\$21.48	\$0.51	\$21.99
2002 Actual	\$7.62	\$0.55	\$8.17	\$15.36	\$2.61	\$17.97	\$22.98	\$3.16	\$26.14
2003 Actual ^b	\$8.06	\$0.59	\$8.65	\$15.75	\$7.24	\$22.99	\$23.81	\$7.83	\$31.64
2004 Actual ^b	\$9.38	\$0.60	\$9.98	\$19.61	\$21.21	\$40.82	\$28.99	\$21.81	\$50.80
2005 Actual	\$7.04	\$3.68	\$10.72	\$21.23	\$2.20	\$23.43	\$28.27	\$5.88	\$34.15
2006 Actual	\$8.72	\$1.55	\$10.27	\$22.67	\$2.92	\$25.59	\$31.39	\$4.47	\$35.86
2007 Actual	\$9.48	\$1.22	\$10.70	\$21.95	\$4.43	\$26.38	\$31.43	\$5.65	\$37.08
2008 Actual	\$10.47	\$2.74	\$13.21	\$24.00	\$3.77	\$27.77	\$34.47	\$6.51	\$40.98
2009 Actual	\$13.13	\$2.70	\$15.83	\$27.27	\$7.04	\$34.31	\$40.40	\$9.74	\$50.14
2010 Actual	\$14.74	\$2.62	\$17.36	\$33.26	\$2.04	\$35.30	\$48.00	\$4.66	\$52.66
2011 Actual	\$15.76	\$0.00	\$15.76	\$33.38	\$0.00	\$33.38	\$49.14	\$0.00	\$49.14
2012 Actual	\$13.22	\$4.63	\$17.85	\$28.93	\$6.58	\$35.51	\$42.15	\$11.21	\$53.36
2013 Actual	\$13.10	\$4.60	\$17.70	\$26.48	\$7.33	\$33.81	\$39.58	\$11.93	\$51.51
2014 Actual	\$13.92	\$1.82	\$15.74	\$28.84	\$5.13	\$33.97	\$42.76	\$6.95	\$49.71
2015 Actual ^c	\$14.05	\$1.80	\$15.85	\$26.83	\$10.10	\$36.93	\$40.88	\$11.90	\$52.78
2016 Actual ^d	\$11.11	\$5.30	\$16.41	\$27.11	\$9.89	\$37.00	\$38.22	\$15.19	\$53.41
2017 Actual ^e	\$11.12	\$6.87	\$18.00	\$25.95	\$13.61	\$39.56	\$37.07	\$20.48	\$57.55
2018 Actual	\$11.97	\$4.18	\$16.15	\$30.38	\$7.84	\$38.22	\$42.35	\$12.02	\$54.37
2019 Enacted	\$12.09	\$4.37	\$16.46	\$34.29	\$3.63	\$37.92	\$46.38	\$8.00	\$54.38
2020 Request	\$13.70	\$0	\$13.70	\$29.01	\$0	\$29.01	\$42.71	\$0	\$42.71

Source: The Congressional Budget Justification, Department of State, Foreign Operations and Related Programs, FY2001-FY2020; P.L. 116-6.

- a. State Operations Enduring levels do not include mandatory spending for the Foreign Service Retirement Fund.
- b. FY2003 and FY2004 include funding allocated as part of the Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Fund (IRRF).
- c. FY2015 includes the Ebola Response Supplemental Funding (P.L. 113-235).
- d. FY2016 Includes Zika Response Supplemental Funding (P.L. 114-223).
- e. FY2017 includes Security Assistance Appropriations Act (P.L. 114-254).

Figure I. State-Foreign Operations Funding: FY2001-FY2020 Request

(In billions of current dollars)



Source: The Congressional Budget Justification, Department of State, Foreign Operations and Related Programs, FY2001-FY2020; P.L. 116-6.


Notes: FY2003 and FY2004 include funding allocated as part of the Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Fund (IRRF); FY2015 includes the Ebola Response Supplemental Funding (P.L. 113-235); FY2016 includes the Zika Response Supplemental Funding (P.L. 114-223); and FY2017 includes the Security Assistance Appropriations Act (P.L. 114-254) OCO funding.

Although current funding for State-Foreign Operations generally has grown since FY2001, there was a spike in funding in FY2004 that can, in large part, be attributed to supplemental funding for the Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Fund, which provided additional funds in that year. The creation of the Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) and the President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) added to growing funding levels from FY2004-FY2009. OCO became a regular part of foreign affairs funding as of FY2012. Supplemental funding for Ebola in FY2015, Zika in FY2016, and OCO in FY2017 contributed to the rise in funding levels during those years (see **Figure 2**).⁷

The constant dollar trend line generally continues to increase, although at a slower pace than current dollars. FY2004 remains the peak year in constant dollars. The introduction of OCO funding in FY2012 briefly elevated SFOPS funding, but in the following years, funding levels off at nearly the same amount as the FY2012 level.⁸ After removing inflation, funding for FY2013 through the FY2020 request declines below that level, suggesting that the Budget Control Act of 2011 (BCA) has kept foreign affairs funding below the rate of inflation.⁹

Figure 2. Current vs. Constant State-Foreign Operations Funding: FY2001-FY2020 Request

(In billions of current and constant 2001 dollars)



Source: The Congressional Budget Justification, Department of State, Foreign Operations and Related Programs, FY2001-FY2020. Calculations by CRS.

⁷ For further discussion, see CRS Report R40213, *Foreign Aid: An Introduction to U.S. Programs and Policy*, by Curt Tarnoff and Marian L. Lawson.

⁸ An emergency supplemental for Ebola was passed in FY2015 (P.L. 113-235); Zika Response supplemental funding was passed in FY2016 (P.L. 114-223); and supplemental OCO funding was included in the Security Assistance Appropriations Act (P.L. 114-254) in FY2017.

⁹ The Budget Control Act of 2011 (BCA, P.L. 112-25) was the result of negotiations between President Obama and Congress to raise the debt ceiling by at least \$2.1 trillion and reduce spending by that amount over a 10-year period between FY2012 and FY2021. The BCA established spending limits for each budget function (international affairs is function 150). If in a given year, the spending limits are not raised and the caps are not met, sequestration would be triggered. For more information on the BCA as it relates to the foreign affairs budget, see CRS Report R42994, *The Budget Control Act, Sequestration, and the Foreign Affairs Budget: Background and Possible Impacts*, by Susan B. Epstein.

Note: Constant values were calculated with the help of Andrew Austin, CRS Analyst in Economic Policy using the GDP (chained) Price Index deflator from the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis National Income and Product Accounts Tables I.1.4 and I.1.5.

Enduring vs. Supplemental/OCO Appropriations

The Administration distinguishes between enduring (also called base, regular, or ongoing), emergency supplemental, and Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) funds. Funds designated as emergency or OCO are not subject to procedural limits on discretionary spending in congressional budget resolutions, or the statutory discretionary spending limits provided through the Budget Control Act of 2011 for FY2011-FY2021 (BCA, P.L. 112-25).

Prior to FY2012, the President typically submitted to Congress additional funding requests (after the initial annual budget request), referred to as emergency supplementals. Supplemental funding packages have historically been approved to address emergency, war-related, or otherwise off-cycle budget needs. The Obama Administration requested emergency supplemental appropriations for urgent unexpected expenses, such as the U.S. international responses to Ebola, the Zika virus, and famine relief to Syria, Yemen, Somalia, and Northeast Nigeria. The Trump Administration has not requested supplemental funding for unexpected international crises.

In contrast to emergency supplemental appropriations, the Obama Administration included within the regular budget request in FY2012 what it described as short-term, temporary, war-related funding for the frontline states of Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan—designated as Overseas Contingency Operations funds, or OCO.¹⁰ Congress had used the OCO designation in earlier years for Department of Defense appropriations to distinguish between ongoing versus war-related expenditures. In response to the FY2012 SFOPS OCO request, Congress appropriated OCO funds for the Department of State and USAID activities beyond the requested level and for more than just activities in Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan.

In FY2012, Congress included OCO funds for the three frontline states as well as for Yemen, Somalia, Kenya, and the Philippines. The Obama Administration first requested OCO funds for a country other than the three frontline states in FY2015, when it requested OCO funds for Syria.¹¹ In FY2018, the Trump Administration requested OCO funds for the Department of State and USAID activities in Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan, as well as “High Threat/High Risk” areas. These included Syria, Yemen, Nigeria, Somalia, and South Sudan, among others. The Administration’s initial FY2019 request included OCO funds for the Department of State and USAID, but after passage of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (BBA 2018, P.L. 115-123), the Administration requested that all previously requested SFOPS OCO funds be moved to enduring funds. For FY2020, the Trump Administration again requested no OCO funds for foreign affairs agencies.

Since FY2012, OCO has ranged from a low of 14% of the total budget request in FY2014 to a high of 36% in FY2017, when the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015 (BBA 2015, P.L. 114-74) set nonbinding OCO minimums for FY2016 and FY2017. The Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (BBA 2018, P.L. 115-123) raised discretionary spending limits for FY2018 and FY2019 and extended direct spending reductions through FY2027. With the raised spending limits, the Trump Administration’s FY2019 budget request did not include the OCO designation for any foreign assistance funds. However, Congress has continued to appropriate OCO funds, including \$8.0

¹⁰ Executive Budget Summary, Function 150 & Other International Programs, Fiscal Year 2013, p. 137.


¹¹ For more information on OCO, its history, and current status, see CRS Report R44519, *Overseas Contingency Operations Funding: Background and Status*, by Brendan W. McGarry and Susan B. Epstein.

billion in FY2019. The Administration’s FY2020 budget request also does not request OCO funds for State-Foreign Operations appropriations.

The BCA and BBAs have had an effect on foreign affairs funding levels and may have future implications. The Budget Control Act of 2011 sets limits on discretionary spending through FY2021 for defense and nondefense funding categories. Because OCO funds are not counted against the discretionary spending limits, the BCA has put downward pressure on SFOPS enduring/base funds, while OCO has increasingly funded other foreign affairs activities. In addition, the 2015 BBA significantly increased FY2016 and FY2017 OCO funding for foreign affairs over the requested funding levels in FY2015 and FY2016, further encouraging a migration of funds for ongoing activities into OCO-designated accounts. However, the 2018 BBA has had the opposite effect on foreign affairs OCO, allowing lawmakers to shift OCO funding back into enduring/base accounts.

Figure 3. OCO/Supplementals as a Percentage of Total State-Foreign Operations Funding

(In billions of current dollars)



Source: The Congressional Budget Justification, Department of State, Foreign Operations and Related Programs, FY2012-FY2020.

Notes: FY2015 includes the Ebola Response Supplemental Funding (P.L. 113-235); FY2016 includes the Zika Response Supplemental Funding (P.L. 114-223); and FY2017 includes the Security Assistance Appropriations Act (P.L. 114-254) OCO funding.

Author Contact Information

Emily M. Morgenstern
Analyst in Foreign Assistance and Foreign Policy
redacted@crs.loc.gov , 7-....

Acknowledgments

This report was originally written by Susan B. Epstein, Specialist in Foreign Policy.

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.