



The June 12 Trump-Kim Jong-un Summit

name reacte

Specialist in Asian Affairs

name reacte

Specialist in Nonproliferation

June 12, 2018

On June 12, 2018, President Donald Trump and North Korean leader Kim Jong-un met in Singapore to discuss North Korea's nuclear program, building a peace regime on the Korean Peninsula, and the future of U.S. relations with North Korea (known officially as the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, or DPRK). During their summit, the first-ever meeting between leaders of the two countries, Trump and Kim issued a brief joint statement in which Trump "committed to provide security guarantees to the DPRK," and Kim "reaffirmed his firm and unwavering commitment to complete denuclearization of the Korean Peninsula." The Singapore document is shorter on details than previous nuclear agreements with North Korea and acts as a statement of principles in four areas

- **Normalization:** The two sides "commit to establish" new bilateral relations.
- **Peace:** The United States and DPRK agree to work to build "a lasting and stable peace regime."
- **Denuclearization**: North Korea "commits to work toward complete denuclearization of the Korean Peninsula," as was also promised in an April 2018 summit between Kim and South Korean leader Moon Jae-in.
- **POW/MIA remains:** The two sides will work to recover the remains of thousands of U.S. troops unaccounted for during the Korean War.

Speaking at a press conference without Kim after the summit, Trump said

- U.S.-DPRK denuclearization negotiations would continue and resume at an early date;
- Kim pledged to destroy a "major missile engine testing site";
- He will invite Kim to the White House;

Congressional Research Service

7-.... www.crs.gov IN10916

- He raised human rights issues with Kim, though "relatively briefly compared to denuclearization." Trump appeared to downplay the state of DPRK human rights by saying that human rights conditions are also "rough in a lot of places";
- The United States would suspend annual U.S.-South Korea military exercises, which Trump called "war games" and "provocative," during nuclear negotiations. He said the move, which was not accompanied by any apparent commensurate move by Pyongyang and reportedly surprised South Korea and U.S. military commanders, would save "a tremendous amount of money." Trump also expressed a hope of eventually withdrawing the approximately 30,000 U.S. troops stationed in South Korea. Postsummit remarks by the Administration created confusion about whether all exercises or only some types will be suspended.

Notable items not present in the statement or Trump's remarks include details about a timeframe or verification protocols for denuclearization, and a commitment by Kim to dismantle the DPRK's ballistic missile program.

Outcomes

The summit highlighted the change from 2017, when escalating tensions between North Korea and the United States led to increasingly tight U.S. and international sanctions and fears of a military conflict. In addition to the reduction of tensions, both sides can point to specific gains that have occurred since early 2018.

U.S. gains include

- Kim Jong-un's public statements committing to begin a process of negotiating complete denuclearization;
- North Korea's moratorium on nuclear and missile testing while dialogue continues;
- North Korea's apparent destruction in May of its Pyunggye-ri nuclear test site before international journalists;
- Kim's statement that he would destroy a missile test site; and
- North Korea's release of three U.S. detainees and agreement to restart the POW/MIA recovery program, which the United States suspended in 2005.

DPRK gains include

- Breaking free from its diplomatic isolation. Following Trump's March 2018
 announcement that he would hold a summit, Kim has re-established friendly relations
 with China and Russia, and held two summits with South Korean President Moon;
- Boosting Kim's legitimacy and prestige by using nuclear and missile advancements to obtain a meeting with the U.S. President as an equal;
- Loosening enforcement of sanctions against the DPRK economy;
- An expectation of future foreign investment and economic and energy assistance if it denuclearizes;
- A U.S. promise to provide "security guarantees"; and
- Trump's announcement of a unilateral cessation of U.S.-South Korean military exercises and his statement that he hopes to withdraw all U.S. forces from South Korea.

Ouestions

The summit meeting raises numerous questions, including

- **Did North Korea promise to abandon its nuclear weapons?** What specific steps are needed to realize the DPRK's commitment "to work toward *complete* denuclearization?" [emphasis added] Should a timeline be set? Will this be subject to international verification? Some Korea-watchers worry Kim will use a prolonged negotiation, dismantlement, and verification process as a delaying tactic while sanctions pressure eases.
- What does "denuclearization of the Korean Peninsula" mean? Does this mean the same thing to both countries? Does this phrasing have implications for the U.S. alliance with South Korea?
- How will talks about denuclearization, a possible peace declaration, and U.S.-DPRK normalization be sequenced and/or linked, if at all? Will the Trump Administration link these talks to inter-Korean talks, and vice versa?
- Will the United States be able to maintain a global pressure coalition while engaging with North Korea? Although Trump Administration officials have said international pressure against North Korea will continue until North Korea either denuclearizes or takes concrete and irreversible steps (as yet undefined) to denuclearize, the incentives for countries to maintain the intensity of the pressure campaign, and scrutiny of countries' implementation of sanctions, arguably have diminished.
- What are the implications for U.S. alliances, especially with South Korea? Combined with his apparent lack of prior consultation with Seoul, Trump's statements on U.S. troops in South Korea are likely to weaken U.S. allies' confidence in the durability of U.S. security commitments and provide China and Russia with an argument against future U.S. exercises with allies.
- Should negotiations include North Korea's other objectionable practices and programs, like the DPRK's human rights record, cyberattacks, chemical and biological weapons, and sizeable conventional forces?
- What will Congress's role be? Congress could play a direct role in several aspects of an evolving U.S.-DPRK relationship. In addition to approving funding to implement various U.S. commitments and new U.S. diplomatic offices in North Korea, Secretary of State Mike Pompeo has testified that a U.S.-DPRK nuclear agreement would be submitted to the Senate as a treaty. Congress could also support or oppose moves not to enforce or lift sanctions. Congress may also weigh in on moves that affect U.S. alliances with South Korea and Japan.

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted names, phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.