



**Congressional
Research Service**

Informing the legislative debate since 1914

State Sponsors of Acts of International Terrorism – Legislative Parameters: In Brief

name redacted

Specialist in Foreign Policy Legislation

Updated November 21, 2017

Congressional Research Service

7-....

www.crs.gov

R43835

Summary

Iran, North Korea, Sudan, and Syria are identified by the U.S. government as countries with governments that support acts of international terrorism. While it is the President's authority to designate, and remove from designation, terrorist states, Congress has some legislative authority to weigh in as the reviews proceed. In recent years, other foreign policy and national security decisions have butted up against the designation: to delist Cuba in the course of normalizing other aspects of the bilateral relationship; to enter into a multilateral agreement involving Iran's nuclear weapons pursuits that required the United States to lift some sanctions; and to redesignate the government of North Korea as a state sponsor of terrorism in the midst, or as part, of seeking countermeasures to that state's nuclear and missile ambitions.

This brief report provides information on legislation that authorizes the designation of any foreign government as a state sponsor of acts of international terrorism. It addresses the statutes and how they each define acts of international terrorism; establish a list to limit or prohibit aid or trade; provide for systematic removal of a foreign government from a list, including timeline and reporting requirements; authorize the President to waive restrictions on a listed foreign government; and provide (or do not provide) Congress with a means to block a delisting. It closes with a summary of delisting in the past.

Contents

Introduction	1
Background	2
Definitions	3
Removal from the Lists: Statutory Requirements	5
Presidential Waiver Authority	5
Congress’s Options Stated in Current Statute	6
Recent History of Removing Designations	7

Contacts

Author Contact Information	9
----------------------------------	---

Introduction

Over 2014-2017, a number of developments occurred involving foreign governments that in recent years the United States had designated as state sponsors of acts of international terrorism.

Iran. In November 2014, State Department negotiators, in concert with the European Union and others, extended nuclear dismantlement negotiations with the government of Iran. All parties agreed to a Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA) in July 2015. Under terms of the JCPOA, President Barack Obama lifted sanctions imposed to deter nuclear proliferation, including sanctions imposed on other countries to limit Iran’s access to the world economy and financial systems (secondary sanctions). U.S. sanctions remain in place to address Iran’s support for international terrorism, missile proliferation, human rights violations, and disrupting regional stability. The President faces the challenge of implementing the remaining requirements of the JCPOA. Congress remains vigilant that actions to implement the JCPOA do not lead to a review of the terrorism designation.¹

Cuba. In December 2014, President Obama announced he would proceed over the coming months to reestablish diplomatic relations with Cuba and ease those diplomatic and economic restrictions he could, while anticipating Congress could engage in a review of sanctions codified in permanent law. At the same time, the President announced that the State Department had begun a review of Cuba’s designation on the state sponsors of terrorism list.² On April 14, 2015, the President sent a message to Congress to certify that “the Government of Cuba has not provided any support for international terrorism during the preceding 6-month period; and ... has provided assurances that it will not support acts of international terrorism in the future.” This met the requirements of the statutes that form the terrorist lists; Cuba’s designation was removed on the 45th calendar day following the announcement (May 29, 2015), as the laws provide.³

North Korea. In November 2017, President Donald Trump designated the government of North Korea as a state sponsor of acts of international terrorism. Reportedly affecting the decision was the assassination of Kim Jung-un’s half-brother by nerve agent at an international airport in Malaysia in February 2017, as well as North Korea’s pursuit of attaining nuclear weapons capabilities.⁴ In late 2014, the possibility of redesignating North Korea had resurfaced when the Obama Administration attributed a cyberattack of Sony Pictures to North Korea. It was a debate that Congress had sustained since 2008, when President George W. Bush removed the terrorism designation as part of multinational negotiations to disable and dismantle North Korea’s nuclear weapons program.⁵ Congress had gone so far as to require the Secretary of State to report to the Senate Committees on Foreign Relations and Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, and the House Committees on Foreign Affairs, Financial Services, and Ways and Means by October 31,

¹ CRS Report R43333, *Iran Nuclear Agreement*, by (name redacted) and (name redacted); CRS Report R43311, *Iran: U.S. Economic Sanctions and the Authority to Lift Restrictions*, by (name redacted) and (name redacted); and CRS Report RS20871, *Iran Sanctions*, by (name redacted).

² CRS Report R43888, *Cuba Sanctions: Legislative Restrictions Limiting the Normalization of Relations*, by (name redacted) and (name redacted).

³ U.S. Department of State. “Rescission of Cuba as a State Sponsor of Terrorism,” press statement, May 29, 2015.

⁴ Michael D. Shear and David E. Sanger, “Trump Revives Terrorist Label for Pyongyang,” *The New York Times*, November 21, 2017.

⁵ CRS Report R41438, *North Korea: Legislative Basis for U.S. Economic Sanctions*, by (name redacted); CRS Report R41259, *North Korea: U.S. Relations, Nuclear Diplomacy, and Internal Situation*, coordinated by (name redacted); and CRS Report R43865, *North Korea: Back on the State Sponsors of Terrorism List?*, by (name redacted) et al.

2017, on “whether North Korea meets the criteria for designation as a state sponsor of terrorism.”⁶ The deadline was missed, but the November 21 designation answered the question.

This brief report provides information on legislation that authorizes the designation of any foreign government as a state sponsor of acts of international terrorism. It addresses the statutes and how they each define acts of international terrorism; establish a list to limit or prohibit aid or trade; provide for systematic removal of a foreign government from a list, including timeline and reporting requirements; authorize the President to waive restrictions on a listed foreign government; and provide (or do not provide) Congress with a means to block a delisting. It closes with a summary of delisting in the past.

Background

Three statutes authorize the Secretary of State to designate a foreign government for repeatedly providing support for acts of international terrorism, and to curtail aid or trade to that country as a result:

- Section 620A of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (FAA’61; P.L. 87-195; 22 U.S.C. 2371), as amended, prohibits most aid under the act, the Food for Peace Act, Peace Corps Act, or the Export-Import Bank Act of 1945;⁷
- Section 40 of the Arms Export Control Act (AECA; P.L. 90-629; 22 U.S.C. 2780), as amended, prohibits exports, credits, guarantees, other financial assistance, export licensing overseen by the State Department, and general eligibility related to providing munitions under the act, the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, or any other related law; and
- Section 6(j) of the Export Administration Act of 1979 (EAA’79; P.L. 96-72; 50 U.S.C. 4605(j)), as amended,⁸ requires validated export licenses (with an implied presumption of denial) for trade in goods or technology that are controlled by the Department of Commerce for national security or foreign policy reasons.

Congress has substantively amended each of these statutes over time, incrementally building the definitions, notifications, rescission processes, and explicit congressional role. None of the first iterations of these statutes had anything that would constitute a construction of a list. Though Section 40, AECA, as enacted in 1986, restricted U.S. munitions exports based on decisions of the Secretary of State pursuant to Section 6(j), EAA’79, to limit export licenses, today’s three lists are linked more by the similarity in definitions and processes.⁹

⁶ Section 324, Korean Interdiction and Modernization of Sanctions Act (title III, Countering America’s Adversaries Through Sanctions Act; P.L. 115-44; 131 Stat. 954).

⁷ Under Section 1621 of the International Financial Institutions Act (P.L. 95-118; 22 U.S.C. 262p-4q), if a country is listed under Section 620A of the FAA’61 or Section 6(j) of the EAA’79, the United States must oppose membership in and financial assistance from international financial institutions such as the World Bank, Asian Development Bank, and the International Monetary Fund.

⁸ The EAA’79 is expired but continued by Executive Order 13222 (August 17, 2001; 66 F.R. 44025), as provided under the authorities of the National Emergencies Act (P.L. 94-412; 50 U.S.C. 1601) and International Emergency Economic Powers Act (P.L. 95-223; 50 U.S.C. 1702).

⁹ Section 40, AECA (22 U.S.C. 2780), as originally added by Section 509 of the Diplomatic Security and Antiterrorism Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-399; 100 Stat. 874), read as follows:

“Sec. 40. Exports to Countries Supporting Act of International Terrorism.

“(a) PROHIBITION.—Except as provided in subsection (b), items on the United States Munitions List may not be

Definitions

Of the three statutes that authorize the listing of any foreign government designated as a state sponsor of acts of international terrorism, only the AECA identifies objectionable activities as part of the definition. While that act does not define the overarching term “international terrorism,” it states that the term includes

... all activities that the Secretary determines willfully aid or abet the international proliferation of nuclear explosive devices to individuals or groups, willfully aid or abet an individual or groups in acquiring unsafeguarded special nuclear material, or willingly aid or abet the efforts of an individual or group to use, develop, produce, stockpile, or otherwise acquire chemical, biological, or radiological weapons.¹⁰

The EAA’79, on the other hand, defines the term “repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism” to include “the recurring use of any part of the territory of the country as a sanctuary for terrorists or terrorist organizations.” It further defines a country’s territory as “the land, waters, and airspace of the country” and defines “sanctuary” as the territory of a country that is used by a terrorist or terrorist organization to carry out terrorist activities, including training, financing, and recruitment; or as a transit point; and the government of which expressly consents to, or with knowledge, allows, tolerates, or disregards such use of its territory. The other two acts have no such language.

None of the three acts defines the core term “international terrorism.” Section 140 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989 (P.L. 100-204; 22 U.S.C. 2656f), as amended, however, provides the following in the context of requiring the Secretary of State to report annually to Congress on foreign countries supporting international terrorism:

(d) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section—

(1) the term “international terrorism” means terrorism involving citizens or the territory of more than 1 country;

(2) the term “terrorism” means premeditated, politically motivated violence perpetrated against noncombatant targets by subnational groups or clandestine agents;

(3) the term “terrorist group” means any group practicing, or which has significant subgroups which practice, international terrorism;

(4) the terms “territory” and “territory of the country” mean the land, waters, and airspace of the country; and

(5) the terms “terrorist sanctuary” and “sanctuary” mean an area in the territory of the country—

(A) that is used by a terrorist or terrorist organization—

(i) to carry out terrorist activities, including training, fundraising, financing, and recruitment; or

exported to any country which the Secretary of State has determined for purposes of section 6(j)(1)(A) of the Export Administration Act of 1979 (50 U.S.C. App. 2405(j)(1)(A)) [now codified at 50 U.S.C. 4605], has repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism.

“(b) WAIVER.—The President may waive the prohibition contained in subsection (a) in the case of a particular export if the President determines that the export is important to the national interests of the United States and submits to the Congress a report justifying that determination and describing the proposed export. Any such waiver shall expire at the end of 90 days after it is granted unless the Congress enacts a law extending the waiver.”

Section 40, AECA, however, was substantially amended and restated by the Anti-Terrorism and Arms Export Control Act of 1989 (P.L. 101-222; 103 Stat. 1892) to prohibit transactions with countries found to be supporters of acts of international terrorism.

¹⁰ Section 40(d), AECA.

- (ii) as a transit point; and
- (B) the government of which expressly consents to, or with knowledge, allows, tolerates, or disregards such use of its territory and is not subject to a determination under—
 - (i) section 6(j)(1)(A) of the Export Administration Act of 1979 (50 U.S.C. App. 2405(j)(1)(A));¹¹
 - (ii) section 620A(a) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2371(a)); or
 - (iii) section 40(d) of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2780(d)).

Evolution of Policy: Export Administration Act of 1979

As originally enacted, the terrorism designation provision in EAA'79 did not restrict export licensing, but only required that Congress be notified before the Administration approved any export of goods or technology valued at more than \$7 million “to any country which the Secretary of State has made the following determinations”:

- (1) Such country has repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism.
- (2) Such exports would make a significant contribution to the military potential of such country, including its military logistics capability, or would enhance the ability of such country to support acts of international terrorism.”

In 1980, Congress amended the section to require prior notification of “at least 30 days” (94 Stat. 3138).

In 1985, the provision is amended to make any determination outlined in paras. (1) and (2), above, permanent until the President justifies a rescission and certifies, with 30 days’ notice to Congress, that

- (A) the country concerned has not provided support for international terrorism, including support or sanctuary for any major terrorist or terrorist group in its territory, during the preceding 6-month period; and
- (B) the country concerned has provided assurances that it will not support acts of international terrorism in the future. (99 Stat. 135)

One year later, 1986, the value of permitted export is lowered, from \$7 million to \$1 million (100 Stat. 874).

In 1989, the provision approaches its appearance and intent that it states today. The value of permitted export is removed; all exports governed by the EAA'79 now require a validated license to a designated state. Each designation is required to be published. And the two options for delisting a state take shape: those that were added in 1985 (above), and alternatively, the Secretary of State may certify that

- (i) there has been a fundamental change in the leadership and policies of the government of the country concerned;
- (ii) that government is not supporting acts of international terrorism; and
- (iii) that government has provided assurances that it will not support acts of international terrorism in the future. (103 Stat. 1987)

In 1994, additional reporting requirements are added to highlight the nature of goods or services for which an export license is sought (108 Stat. 506).

In 2004, to implement recommendations of the 9/11 Commission, Congress expands the term “repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism” to include providing sanctuary, and defines “sanctuary” to include the use of territory “to carry out terrorist activities, including training, financing, and recruitment” or as a “transit point” (118 Stat. 3777).

¹¹ Section 6, EAA'79 is redesignated in the U.S. Code as 50 U.S.C. 4605.

Removal from the Lists: Statutory Requirements

Each of the three statutes has some unique aspects to its construction, but all three have in common two possible paths for removing a foreign government from designation. The first possible option is that the President certifies and reports to Congress that

- (i) there has been a fundamental change in the leadership and policies of the government of the country concerned;
- (ii) that government is not supporting acts of international terrorism; and
- (iii) that government has provided assurances that it will not support acts of international terrorism in the future.¹²

In the case of the EAA’79, the President notifies the Speaker of the House, Chairperson of the House Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, and Chairperson of the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations that such changes have occurred. The FAA’61 and AECA require the President to notify only the Speaker and the Foreign Relations Committee Chairperson.

The second possible option the statutes offer is that the President, 45 days before a rescission takes effect, certifies to congressional leadership (as identified in the first option) that

- (i) the government concerned has not provided any support for acts of international terrorism during the preceding 6-month period; and
- (ii) the government concerned has provided assurances that it will not support acts of international terrorism in the future.¹³

There is no reporting requirement to notify Congress that the clock has started ticking on the six-month period of changed behavior of the designated government. In past instances of delisting a foreign government, the Secretary of State has published a notice that the designation is under review, but the law does not require this advance notice beyond the 45-day requirement prior to issuing a rescission.

Presidential Waiver Authority

Each of the three statutes authorizes the President to waive its restrictive application, case-by-case, by consulting with and reporting to Congress.

The EAA’79 allows for validated export licenses to be issued to a designated government, provided the Secretaries of Commerce and State notify the House Committees on Foreign Affairs, and Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs, and the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations 30 days in advance of each license issuance. The notification requires a detailed report on the goods or services intended to be exported, including “the reasons why the proposed export or transfer is in the national interest of the United States.”¹⁴

The AECA authorizes the President to waive the restrictions under the act (related to providing of munitions) with respect to a specific transaction if he or she determines the transaction is

¹² Section 6(j)(4)(A)(i)-(iii), Export Administration Act of 1979; §40(f)(1)(A)(i)-(iii), Arms Export Control Act; and §620A(c)(1)(A)-(C), Foreign Assistance Act of 1961. In each act, the language is identical.

¹³ Section 6(j)(4)(B)(i)-(ii), Export Administration Act of 1979; §40(f)(1)(B)(i)-(ii), Arms Export Control Act; and §620A(c)(2)(A)-(B), Foreign Assistance Act of 1961. In each act, the language is identical.

¹⁴ Section 6(j)(2) and (6), EAA’79.

“essential to the national security interests of the United States.” The President is required to (1) consult with the Committees on Foreign Affairs and Foreign Relations 15 days in advance of any such decision, and (2) submit a detailed report on the transaction, including “the reasons why the proposed transaction is essential to the national security interests of the United States,” to the Speaker of the House, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Chairperson of the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations.¹⁵

The FAA’61 authorizes the President to waive restrictions on some aid to a designated foreign government if he or she “determines that national security interests or humanitarian reasons justify a waiver”; consults with the Committees on Foreign Affairs and Foreign Relations 15 days in advance of any such waiver; and submits a detailed report on the national security interests or humanitarian reasons that require such a waiver to the Speaker of the House and Chairperson of the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations.¹⁶ It is possible, too, that current restrictions on foreign aid to a designated foreign government could be, in effect, waived by enacting language in annual appropriations that provides assistance “notwithstanding any other provision of law”—a strategy oft-used by Congress.

Congress’s Options Stated in Current Statute

Of the three statutes that authorize the designation of a foreign government as a state sponsor of acts of international terrorism, only the AECA states an explicit legislative mechanism for Congress to block a delisting. Section 40(f)(2) of that act provides the following:

(2)(A) No rescission under paragraph (1)(B) of a determination under subsection (d) may be made if the Congress, within 45 days after receipt of a report under paragraph (1)(B), enacts a joint resolution the matter after the resolving clause of which is as follows: “That the proposed rescission of the determination under section 40(d) of the Arms Export Control Act pursuant to the report submitted to the Congress on _____ is hereby prohibited.”, the blank to be completed with the appropriate date.

(B) A joint resolution described in subparagraph (A) and introduced within the appropriate 45-day period shall be considered in the Senate and the House of Representatives [with an expedited procedure process] in accordance with paragraphs (3) through (7) of section 8066(c) of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act (as contained in P.L. 98-473),¹⁷ except that references in such paragraphs to the Committees

¹⁵ Section 40(g), AECA.

¹⁶ Section 620A(d), FAA’61.

¹⁷ Section 8066 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act (title VIII of the Continuing Appropriations, 1985; P.L. 98-473; 98 Stat. 1837 at 1935), placed restrictions on fiscal year 1985 funds made available to the Central Intelligence Agency, the Department of Defense, or any other agency or entity of the United States involved in intelligence activities, which would have the effect of supporting, directly or indirectly, military or paramilitary operations in Nicaragua by any nation, group, organization, movement, or individual. Subsec. (b) of that section allowed for the lifting of the prohibition (1) if the President reported on certain criteria; and (2) if a joint resolution approving assistance for military or paramilitary operations in Nicaragua were to be enacted.

In particular subsec. (c), paras. (1) and (3) through (7), provided the following expedited procedure process [para. (1) included here because of repeated references to it throughout paras. (3) through (7)]:

“(c)(1) For the purpose of subsection (b)(2), ‘joint resolution’ means only a joint resolution introduced after the date on which the report of the President under subsection (b)(1) is received by the Congress, the matter after the resolving clause of which is as follows: ‘That the Congress approved the obligation and expenditure of funds available for fiscal year 1985 for supporting, directly or indirectly, military or paramilitary operations in Nicaragua.’

* * * * *

“(3) A resolution described in paragraph (1) introduced in the House of Representatives shall be referred to the

on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate shall be deemed to be references to the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, respectively.

Recent History of Removing Designations

Over the years, the Secretary of State and President have exercised their authorities to remove five foreign governments, on six separate occasions, from the terrorism lists.

Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives. A resolution described in paragraph (1) introduced in the Senate shall be referred to the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate. Such a resolution may not be reported before the eighth day after its introduction.

“(4) If the committee to which is referred a resolution described in paragraph (1) has not reported such resolution (or an identical resolution) at the end of fifteen calendar days after its introduction, such committee shall be discharged from further consideration of such resolution and such resolution shall be placed on the appropriate calendar of the House involved.

“(5)(A) When the committee to which a resolution is referred has reported, or has been deemed to be discharged (under paragraph (4)) from further consideration of, a resolution described in paragraph (1), notwithstanding any rule or precedent of the Senate, including Rule 22, it is at any time thereafter in order (even though a previous motion to the same effect has been disagreed to) for any Member of the respective House to move to proceed to the consideration of the resolution, and all points of order against the resolution (and against consideration of the resolution) are waived. The motion is highly privileged in the House of Representatives and is privileged in the Senate and is not debatable. The motion is not subject to amendment, or to a motion to postpone, or to a motion to proceed to the consideration of other business. A motion to reconsider the vote by which the motion is agreed to or disagreed to shall not be in order. If a motion to proceed to the consideration of the resolution is agreed to, the resolution shall remain the unfinished business of the respective House until disposed of.

“(B) Debate on the resolution, and on all debatable motions and appeals in connection therewith, shall be limited to not more than ten hours, which shall be divided equally between those favoring and those opposing the resolution. A motion further to limit debate is in order and not debatable. An amendment to, or a motion to postpone, or a motion to proceed to the consideration of other business, or a motion to proceed to the consideration of other business, or a motion to reconsider the resolution is not in order. A motion to reconsider the vote by which the resolution is agreed to or disagreed to is not in order.

“(C) Immediately following the conclusion of the debate on a resolution described in paragraph (1), and a single quorum call at the conclusion of the debate if requested in accordance with the rules of the appropriate House, the vote on final passage of the resolution shall occur.

“(D) Appeals from the decisions of the Chair relating to the application of the rules of the Senate or the House of Representatives, as the case may be, to the procedure relating to a resolution described in paragraph (1) shall be decided without debate.

“(6) If, before the passage by the Senate of a resolution of the Senate described in paragraph (1), the Senate receives from the House of Representatives a resolution described in paragraph (1), then the following procedures shall apply:

“(A) The resolution of the House of Representatives shall not be referred to a committee.

“(B) With respect to a resolution described in paragraph (1) of the Senate—

“(i) the procedure in the Senate shall be the same as if no resolution had been received from the House; but

“(ii) the vote on final passage shall be on the resolution of the House.

“(C) Upon disposition of the resolution received from the House, it shall no longer be in order to consider the resolution originated in the Senate.

“(7) If the Senate receives from the House of Representatives a resolution described in paragraph (1) after the Senate has disposed of a Senate originated resolution, the action of the Senate with regard to the disposition of the Senate originated resolution shall be deemed to be the action of the Senate with regard to the House originated resolution.”

Iraq was removed from the list in 1982,¹⁸ relisted in 1990, and removed again in 2004.

South Yemen was removed in 1990 when it ceased to exist as a sovereign state, as it merged with North Yemen.

Libya was removed on May 12, 2006. Congress did not seek to exercise the blocking procedure made available in the AECA. After the delisting, however, the Senate considered and adopted S.Res. 504 (Lautenberg), and the House introduced but did not consider H.Res. 838 (Ferguson) to express a sense of the respective body that the “President should not accept the credentials of any representative of the Government of Libya” unless the United States received assurances that (language taken from S.Res. 504):

(1) it remains an important priority for further improvement in the relations between the United States and Libya that the Government of Libya make a good faith effort to resolve all outstanding claims of United States victims of terrorism sponsored or supported by Libya;

(2) it is in the best interests of the long-term relationship between the United States and Libya that final payment be made to the families of the victims of the attack on Pan Am Flight 103; and

(3) the President should not accept the credentials of any representative of the Government of Libya without the expressed understanding that the Government of Libya will continue to work in good faith to resolve outstanding cases of United States victims of terrorism sponsored or supported by Libya, including the settlement of cases arising from the Pan Am Flight 103 and LaBelle Discotheque bombings.

North Korea was removed on June 26, 2008. Prior to the delisting, the Senate introduced but did not enact S.Res. 399 (Brownback), which would have required the Bush Administration to certify that the North Korean government had met certain benchmarks before sanctions were removed, including matters related to weapons proliferation, harboring terrorists, counterfeiting U.S. currency, trafficking in narcotics, abduction of citizens of Japan and South Korea, and resolution of outstanding South Korean prisoner-of-war questions remaining from the 1950s conflict. The House introduced but did not enact H.R. 3650 (Ros-Lehtinen; see §3), and H.R. 6420 (Sherman), to raise similar congressional concerns.

Cuba was removed on May 29, 2015. Congress did not seek to exercise the blocking procedure made available in the AECA. Prior to the President’s announcement, on April 14, 2015, of his intention to rescind the terrorism designation, H.Res. 181 (King) was introduced, “calling on the Secretary of State and the Attorney General ... to continue to press for the immediate extradition or rendering of all fugitives”—believed to number more than 70—who have sought safe harbor in Cuba. The measure received no further consideration.

¹⁸ As the requirements of EAA’79 stood in 1982, the Secretaries of Commerce and State were required to notify the House Foreign Affairs Committee and the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs 30 days before any export license was issued for Iraq. The act did not provide a procedure for delisting. It was reported that “Apparently without consulting Congress, the Administration has quietly dropped Iraq, a virulent foe of Israel, from a list of countries barred from receiving American weapons because they ‘have repeatedly supported act of international terrorism.’” Milt Freudenheim et al., “The World In Summary: Readjustments in the Mideast,” *The New York Times*, February 28, 1982.

Author Contact Information

(name redacted)
Specialist in Foreign Policy Legislation
[redacted]@crs.loc.gov 7-....

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted names, phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.