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Summary

The Commonwealth of Australia and the United States enjoy a close alliance relationship. Australia shares many cultural traditions and values with the United States and has been a treaty ally since the signing of the Australia-New Zealand-United States (ANZUS) Treaty in 1951. Australia made major contributions to the allied cause in the First and Second World Wars, and the conflicts in Korea, Vietnam, Iraq, and Afghanistan. Australia is also a close intelligence partner through the “Five Eyes” group of nations. U.S. Marines are conducting rotational deployments in northern Australia. This initiative and others demonstrate the closeness of the relationship. A traditional cornerstone of Australia’s strategic outlook is the view that the United States is Australia’s most important strategic partner and is a key source of stability in the Asia-Pacific region. Australian decision-makers have also believed that Australia does not have to choose between the United States and China. Some former Australian political leaders and former government officials, as well as media reports, have expressed concern about where Australia’s relationship with the United States may be headed under the Trump Administration.

While Australia has a complex array of international relations, its geopolitical context is to a large extent defined by its economic relationship with China and its strategic relationship with the United States. Australia’s political leadership generally believes it can have constructive trade relations with China while maintaining its close strategic alliance relationship with the United States. However, shifts in the geostrategic dynamics of Asia are leading regional states such as Australia to hedge, increasingly with other Asian states, against the relative decline of U.S. engagement in the region. This is one interpretation of what is behind the recent strengthening of ties between Australia and Japan, India, and other states in Asia. Australia also plays a key role in promoting regional stability in Southeast Asia and the Southwest Pacific, and has led peacekeeping efforts in the Asia-Pacific, including in Timor-Leste and the Solomon Islands.

Under the former Liberal Party government of John Howard, Australia invoked the ANZUS treaty to offer assistance to the United States after the attacks of September 11, 2001, in which 22 Australians were among those killed. Australia was one of the first countries to commit troops to U.S. military operations in Afghanistan and Iraq. Terrorist attacks on Australians in Indonesia in the 2000s also led Australia to share many of the United States’ concerns in the struggle against Islamist militancy in Southeast Asia and beyond. Australia is part of the global coalition to defeat the Islamic State (IS). There are continuing concerns in Australia about domestic Islamist terrorist threats, including from “lone wolf” attacks. Dozens of Australian citizens are believed to have gone to the Middle East to fight for the Islamic State.

Australia’s trade relationship with China has been a key source of economic growth. However, there is an ongoing debate in Australia on where the Australian economy is headed, as China’s economic growth slows. Australia, which has free trade agreements with the United States, South Korea, Japan, and China, was part of the Trans Pacific Partnership (TPP) agreement, from which President Trump withdrew the United States in January 2017.

Australia currently has a coalition government led by Prime Minister Malcolm Turnbull of the Liberal Party. The domestic political scene in Australia has been dominated by controversy surrounding the dual-citizenship of Members of Parliament (MPs) and the gay marriage plebiscite. Two Liberal-National Coalition Members, former Deputy Prime Minister and National Party Leader Barnaby Joyce and Liberal MP John Alexander, have had to resign due to the dual-citizenship controversy. They seek reelection in by-elections in December 2017. In November 2017, approximately 62% of Australians responding to a mail-in survey voted “Yes” in support of same-sex marriage, which opens the way for implementing legislation in parliament.
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U.S.–Australia Relations

Australia has become a more active global actor in recent years, and its strategic position has become more important as the globe’s geopolitical center of gravity shifts to Asia and the Indo-Pacific region. While developments in the Middle East and Europe will continue to demand the attention of the United States and others, the potential for conflict in the Indo-Pacific region positions Australia in an increasingly strategic corner of the globe.

Australia, a traditionally staunch U.S. ally, strengthened its long-standing alliance with the United States under the Obama Administration. This was demonstrated by former-Australian Prime Minister Tony Abbott’s October 2014 decision to send eight Super Hornet fighter/bomber aircraft, 200 special forces and 400 support troops to the United Arab Emirates to join the coalition forming to try to halt the advance of Islamic State (IS) militants in Iraq. Former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton stated the “ties between our two nations are as close as any in the world,” while then-Foreign Minister Rudd stated, “No one can overestimate the importance of the sharing of common values” when discussing the alliance.

The United States and Australia share strategic interests in the Indo-Pacific region and globally and have worked closely together to promote their goals and objectives. Australia’s worldview has traditionally viewed the United States as a force for good in the world and in Australia’s Indo-Pacific region. There has traditionally been strong bipartisan elite and popular support in Australia for bilateral defense cooperation with the United States.

The Trump Administration and Australia

The U.S.-Australia relationship began on a difficult note under President Trump, who described his first call with Prime Minister Malcolm Turnbull, one of his first as President, as “the worst call by far,” according to media reports. Ties were strained by disagreements over a refugee-settlement agreement concluded under the Obama Administration, President Trump’s statements that U.S. allies needed to pay more for U.S. support, and the President’s move to withdraw from the proposed Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) trade agreement, an important part of Australia’s trade policy.

---


5 Greg Miller, “‘This Was the Worst Call by Far’ Trump Badgered, Bragged, and Abruptly Ended Phone Call with Australian Leader,” Washington Post, February 2, 2017.
The April 2017 visit to Australia by Vice President Mike Pence, and what was generally viewed as a positive meeting between President Trump and Prime Minister Turnbull aboard the retired aircraft carrier USS Intrepid for the 75th anniversary of the Battle of the Coral Sea on May 4, 2017, helped to put the relationship back on track after the rocky start according to media reports. During his April 2017 visit to Australia, Vice President Pence affirmed the alliance and stated that the United States would honor the refugee deal made by Turnbull and former President Obama.6 The first group of approximately 50 refugees from Australian offshore detention centers in Papua New Guinea and Nauru were sent to the United States in September 2017. Trump described this arrangement as “the worst deal ever.”7

At their meeting aboard the Intrepid, Trump and Turnbull were able to move past the previous reportedly acrimonious phone call with Trump stating that “We get along great, we have a fantastic relationship, I love Australia.”8 President Trump stated in May 2017, “Few peoples in the world share ties in history, affection and culture like the Americans and Australians.”9 In January 2017, Australian Foreign Minister Julie Bishop stated

> We are allies, partners, collaborators, and most importantly friends—we like each other—a lot. We share fundamental values that underpin a corresponding world view and a similar brand of pragmatic optimism. There’s a natural affinity. We benefit from a high level of mutual trust, built up over decades of close cooperation. Ours is a formal alliance, and the ANZUS Treaty of 1951 is the cornerstone of our longstanding relationship. Following the inauguration of President Trump, Australia commits anew to our essential and enduring partnership.10

In July 2016, candidate Trump stated, “If we cannot be properly reimbursed for the tremendous cost of our military protecting other countries … then yes, I would be absolutely prepared to tell those countries, ‘congratulations, you will be defending yourself.’”11 This position that departed from past U.S. policy is a cause for concern for many in Australia.12

The President reportedly was displeased over the previously negotiated Obama-Turnbull deal under which the United States would receive 1,250 refugees from Australian offshore detention sites. President Trump’s actions were viewed by some in the press as “injecting new uncertainty in the U.S.-Australia relationship” and reflecting the “transactional view he takes of relationships, even when it comes to diplomatic ties with long-standing allies.”13 The call, when taken in context with earlier statements, Trump’s withdrawal from the TPP, and other factors, led some

---

observers in Australia to question America’s commitment and Australia’s extremely close relationship with the United States.  

**AUSMIN**

At the core of the ANZUS alliance is the Australia-United States Ministerial (AUSMIN) process. This meeting of the U.S Defense Secretary and Secretary of State and their Australian counterparts, the Minister of Defence and the Minister of Foreign Affairs, guides and shapes the alliance relationship. AUSMIN usually meets once a year.

In June 2017, Secretary of State Tillerson and Secretary of Defense Mattis traveled to Sydney, to attend the Trump Administration’s first AUSMIN meeting with their Australian counterparts Minister for Foreign Affairs Julie Bishop and Minister for Defence Marise Payne. In their Joint Statement the group reaffirmed their commitment to the alliance and... decided to further expand defence and security cooperation, including: a commitment to further strengthen the interoperability of our armed forces; and continued close collaboration on capability development and defence technology. We confirmed our commitment to full implementation of the U.S.-Australia Force Posture Initiatives.  

The two nations also pledged to “increase bilateral collaboration in relation to the Indo-Pacific” and to “continue to strengthen their trilateral cooperation with Japan, and to enhance their engagement with our regional allies and partners.” There was no AUSMIN meeting in 2016.

In October 2015, Secretary of State John Kerry and Secretary of Defense Ashton Carter met with Australian Minister for Foreign Affairs Julie Bishop and Defence Minister Marise Payne in Boston for the 2015 AUSMIN consultations. The Joint Statement at that time included a number of principles, initiatives, and accomplishments in the areas of military cooperation, regional stability, counterterrorism, economic integration, and climate change. The two sides reaffirmed the “strong state of bilateral defense and security cooperation ... bolstered by more than a decade of operations together” in Afghanistan and Iraq and more recently through the Global Coalition to Counter the Islamic State. They reiterated their commitment to the implementation of the U.S. Force Posture Initiatives. The two defense leaders signed a Joint Statement on Defense Cooperation, which articulates the principles underpinning defense cooperation.

At the 2015 ministerial, the two governments expressed “strong concerns” over Chinese land reclamation and construction activities in the South China Sea and called on all claimant states to “halt land reclamation, construction, and militarization.” They emphasized the importance of the “rights, freedoms, and lawful uses of the sea enjoyed by all states to fly, sail, and operate in accordance with international law.” The two countries called on the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) and China to reach agreement on a “substantive” Code of Conduct in

---


the South China Sea. They also reaffirmed the importance of a constructive relationship with China through “dialogue, cooperation, and economic engagement.”

Former Prime Minister Tony Abbott met with former President Barack Obama in Washington, DC, in 2014. In joint remarks with Abbott, Obama stated the following.

There are a handful of countries in the world that we always know we can count on, not just because they share our values, but we know we can count on them because they have real capacity. Australia is one of those countries. We share foundational values about liberal democracies and human rights, and a world that’s governed by international law and norms. And Aussies know how to fight, and I like having them in a foxhole if we’re in trouble.

The Quad

There appears to be increasing interest in re-exploring a quadrilateral group of Indo-Pacific liberal democracies comprised of the United States, Australia, Japan, and India, to promote shared interests in the region. The idea of forming such a “Quad” was relatively prominent under the George W. Bush Administration, but efforts to move forward with such a group lost momentum following changes of government in the late 2000s.

Interest in such a grouping appears to be renewed in 2017. Australia’s Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade issued a statement following the Quad Senior officials meeting in November 2017 that spoke of a

... shared vision for increased prosperity and security in the Indo-Pacific region and to work together to ensure it remains free and open. The officials examined ways to achieve common goals and address shared challenges in the region. This includes upholding the rules-based order in the Indo-Pacific and respect for international law, freedom of navigation and overflight; increase connectivity; coordinate on efforts to address the challenges of countering terrorism and upholding maritime security in the Indo-Pacific.

The Quad was first promoted by Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe when representatives from the four countries met on the sidelines of the ASEAN Regional Forum in May 2007.

In placing new emphasis on the Indo-Pacific—a strategic conception of the region that places heightened importance on India and securing maritime routes linking East and South Asia, including routes near Australia—President Trump and Secretary of State Rex Tillerson have

---

18 Ibid.
19 The White House, Office of the Press Secretary, “Remarks by President Obama and Prime Minister Abbott,” June 12, 2014.

rearticulated the United States’ strategic conceptualization of Asia in a way that is similar to Australia’s strategic worldview. President Trump’s November 2017 trip to Asia promoted among other things a Free and Open Indo-Pacific. During the trip, President Trump hosted a trilateral meeting with Prime Minister Turnbull and Japanese Prime Minister Abe of Japan which was followed by a bilateral meeting between Trump and Prime Mister Modi of India.24 In his October 2017 policy speech on U.S.-India relations, Secretary Tillerson emphasized the need to increase engagement and cooperation with the Indo-Pacific democracies:

We are already capturing the benefits of our important trilateral engagement between the U.S., India, and Japan. As we look ahead, there is room to invite others, including Australia, to build on shared objectives and initiatives.25

Tillerson went on to describe Australia as an important southern “pinpoint” on the Indo-Pacific map that includes the United States to the east, Japan to the north, and India to the west.26

In response to a question related to the Japanese foreign minister’s proposal for a new strategic dialogue between India, the United States, Japan, and Australia, U.S. Acting Assistant Secretary for South and Central Asian Affairs Alice Wells stated,

The quadrilateral that the Japanese foreign minister discussed would be building on what has been a very productive trilateral that we have with India and Japan, and if you look at the largest military exercise that we do, Malabar, Japan is a part of that exercise. As we explore ways to deepen and try to inculcate some of the values—freedom of navigation, maritime security, humanitarian assistance, disaster response, transparency—obviously, Australia would be a natural partner in that effort as well. We’re looking at a working-level quadrilateral meeting in the near term, but again, I think the idea is how do we bring together countries that share these same values to reinforce these values in the global architecture.27

Wells went on to describe the Quad as “providing an alternative to countries in the region who are seeking needed investment in their infrastructure” so that they have “alternatives that don’t include predatory financing or unsustainable debt.”28 Australia-India-Japan-United States senior official consultations on the Indo-Pacific were held on November 12, 2017, in Manila.29

Multilateral approaches to security, including the Quad concept, have gained currency in Australia in recent years.

This is a recognition that India and the Indian Ocean are vital parts of our region, partly in response to the fact that China is expanding its interests, power, and naval presence so far afield. Japan and India too are now active proponents of this wider regional approach. Indeed, Tokyo, New Delhi, and Washington see a “free and open Indo-Pacific” as a direct answer to the geo-economic and strategic leverage Beijing is seeking through the maritime part of its One Belt One Road Initiative, an Indo-Pacific with Chinese characteristics. All this means there is sense in reserving the right to pursue novel

24 The White House, Office of the Press Secretary, “President Donald Trump’s Visit to Asia,” November 15, 2017.
25 Secretary of State Rex Tillerson, “Remarks on Defining Our Relationship with India for the Next Century,” October 18, 2017.
26 Ibid.
strategic dialogues that would involve the United States alongside emerging Asian partners such as India and Japan.\(^{30}\)

The Malabar naval exercises, which began as bilateral exercises between the United States and India and subsequently included Japan, are a tangible expression of multilateral naval cooperation between liberal democracies in the Indo-Pacific. Canberra is reportedly interested in participating in the exercises but India reportedly declined Australia’s request to join the 2017 exercises.\(^{31}\)

### Congressional Action

Many Members of Congress have sought to signal their support of the alliance with Australia. H.Con.Res. 21, “Reaffirming a Strong Commitment to the United States-Australia Alliance Relationship,” sponsored by Representative Eliot Engel with 32 cosponsors, was introduced on February 6, 2017, and referred to the Subcommittee on Asia and the Pacific on February 16, 2017. S.Res. 50, “Reaffirming a Strong Commitment to the United States-Australia Alliance Relationship,” was sponsored by Senator Benjamin Cardin with 13 cosponsors and was introduced on February 6, 2017, and referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

Senator John McCain expressed his “unwavering support” for the alliance by meeting with Australian Ambassador to the United States Joe Hockey on February 2, 2017, and stating,

> Today, Australia is hosting increased deployments of U.S. aircraft, more regular port visits by U.S. warships, and critical training for U.S. marines at Robertson Barracks in Darwin. This deepening cooperation is a reminder that from maintaining security and prosperity in the Asia-Pacific region to combatting radical Islamist terrorism, the U.S.-Australia relationship is more important than ever. In short, Australia is one of America’s oldest friends and staunchest allies. We are united by ties of family and friendship, mutual interests and common values, and shared sacrifice in wartime.\(^{32}\)

Both the House and Senate have also established bipartisan Friends of Australia Caucuses. Representatives Joe Courtney and Mike Gallagher and Senators Roy Blunt and Dick Durbin announced the launch of both the House and the Senate bipartisan Friends of Australia Caucuses in May 2017.\(^{33}\)

### The U.S.-Australia Force Posture Agreement

The United States and Australia signed the U.S.-Australia Force Posture Agreement in August 2014. Statements issued at the time also pointed to bilateral cooperation in the areas of cyber defense and cybersecurity incident response and expanded cooperation on ballistic missile defense (BMD) in the Asia-Pacific region.\(^{34}\) Such a system could network U.S., Australian, and allied assets to increase shared capabilities. The HMAS Hobart is the first of three Australian air

---


\(^{31}\) Jeff Smith, “Why Is India Excluding Australia from Naval Drills?” The Diplomat, June 1, 2017.


warfare destroyers with the Aegis missile defense system. The reported expansion of a base near Geraldton, West Australia, would upgrade access to a next generation military satellite communications system for U.S. and Australian troops to communicate worldwide.

Under the Force Posture Agreement, U.S. forces in Australia have been set to increase from 1,150 to 2,500. The agreement provides a legal basis for the presence of U.S. marines and prepares the way for a rotational presence of U.S. Navy vessels and military aircraft in Western Australia in the years ahead. In October 2016, the United States and Australia reached an “in principle conclusion of cost-sharing negotiations” for the force posture initiatives.

The Force Posture Initiatives in northern Australia are being implemented under the Force Posture Agreement signed at the 2014 Australia-United States Ministerial Meeting. The initiatives seek to expand cooperation, increase opportunities for combined training and exercises and deepen the interoperability of our armed forces. The initiatives also provide opportunities for broader collaboration between Australia, the United States and our partners in the Indo-Pacific.

In discussing the Force Posture Agreement, which has a 25-year time frame, the 2014 AUSMIN Joint Communiqué stated that it “demonstrates the United States’ strong commitment to the Asia Pacific and the Indian Ocean regions.” The communiqué also stated that AUSMIN “welcomed the larger U.S. Marine Corps presence” in Northern Australia and “discussed the way forward for enhanced aircraft cooperation” and “the potential for additional bilateral naval cooperation.” The communiqué discussed how the two nations were committed to working together on BMD and developing common approaches to regional security challenges. It also discussed the need to “harness opportunities for greater defense cooperation across the Asia-Pacific and Indian Ocean regions” and called for “upholding freedom of navigation and overflight in the East China and South China Seas” and “opposed unilateral attempts to change facts on the ground or water through the threat or use of force or coercion.”

Historical Background

Australia (see Figure 1) was first inhabited from 40,000 to 60,000 years ago. The Aboriginal people of Australia are the world’s oldest continuous culture. Today, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders people account for up to 2.5% of Australia’s total population. While the Aboriginal population were hunter-gatherers, they developed a complex spiritual “Dreamtime” culture focusing on creation myths, rituals, laws, and connections to ancestors and the Australian landscape. Captain James Cook claimed Australia for Britain in 1770, and in 1788 the first European settlement, largely made up of convicts, was established at Sydney, New South Wales. Australia evolved into a pastoral settler society based on sheep and wool, with the increasing importance of minerals following the gold rush of 1851.

Although the majority of Australians have British or Irish ancestry, Australia’s immigrants also came from elsewhere in Europe, particularly after World War II. Today, Australian immigration

---


39 Estimates vary from about 1% to 2.5%.

40 The Dreamtime refers to the Australian Aboriginal peoples’ spiritual framework of belief.

41 In 1947, 89.7% of Australia’s population was Anglo-Celtic. By 1988 this had dropped to 74.6%. Department of Immigration and Citizenship, “National Agenda for a Multi-cultural Australia,” http://www.immi.gov.
is increasingly from Asia, with Asians accounting for approximately 7% of the population. Despite the centrality of the “bush” or the “outback” to the national myth, Australia has evolved into an urbanized society, with 11% living in rural areas. Australia is slightly smaller than the contiguous lower 48 United States and has a population of approximately 23 million. Australia has for some time been undergoing a national identity debate related to its relationships with Asia, in which it is geographically situated, and with Britain, the United States, and Europe, with which it has deep cultural and historical linkages.42

Politics

Government Structure

Australia is an independent nation within the British Commonwealth. The Head of State is the ruling monarch of the United Kingdom, who is represented by the Governor General in Australia. Queen Elizabeth II is represented by the Governor General Sir Peter Cosgrove. In practice, power is held by the Prime Minister and Cabinet, who are elected members of Parliament. Parliamentary elections are called by the government, but must be held at least once every three years. The Liberal-National Party Coalition and the Labor Party are the two main political forces in Australia. There is a growing republican movement in Australia that supports breaking with the British Crown.

Australia is divided into several administrative divisions. There are six states and two territories. The states are: New South Wales, Queensland, Victoria, South Australia, West Australia, and Tasmania. The territories are the Australian Capital Territory and the Northern Territory. There also are a number of dependent islands including Christmas Island, Norfolk Island, and the Cocos Islands. All citizens 18 years of age and older are legally required to vote.

Australia has a bicameral parliament consisting of the House of Representatives and the Senate. The House has 150 Representatives, who are elected through a preferential ballot. The Senate has 76 seats, with 12 senators from each of the six states and two senators from each of the two territories. One half of the state senators are elected every three years and territory senators are elected every three years. Although the government must call elections every three years, it may call early elections. A double dissolution, where all members of both legislative bodies must stand for election, may be called if government legislation is blocked twice in three months.

Political Developments

The Liberal-National Party Coalition returned to power in 2013 under the leadership of Tony Abbott, following six years of Labor Party rule. Abbott advocated eliminating the Labor government’s tax on carbon emissions, reducing government spending, and stopping refugee boats from coming to Australian shores. In September 2015, the Liberal Party ousted Tony Abbott as its leader and elected longtime rival Malcolm Turnbull, making him the fifth Australian prime minister in eight years. While Abbott had succeeded in repealing the unpopular carbon tax, other policy initiatives, such as cuts in health care and education aimed at balancing the budget, encountered popular and political opposition. Turnbull’s more progressive outlook, relative to Abbott and the Liberal Party’s right wing, on such issues as climate change, same-sex marriage,

42 For a history of the evolution of Australia’s external relations see David Lee, Australia and the World in the Twentieth Century (Melbourne: Circa Publishers, 2006).
and Australia’s status within the British Commonwealth reportedly were popular with many Australians, if not with some members of his own party. In November 2015, Turnbull formally abolished the awarding of knighthoods and damehoods to prominent Australians. Abbott had reintroduced the practice in 2014 after a hiatus of 30 years.43

Australia went to the polls in July 2016 and returned the Turnbull government’s Liberal/National Coalition with a narrow margin in parliament. (See Table 1.) The lack of a majority in the Senate necessitates cooperation by the government with small parties and independents or with the opposition to get legislation passed. The next general election is due to be held in 2019 or earlier. Turnbull continues to face opposition from conservatives within his own right-of-center Liberal Party. Since the 2016 election, Labor Party Leader Bill Shorten has strengthened his political position. Bill Shorten’s Labor Party held a 53% to 47% lead over Prime Minister Turnbull’s Coalition in a two-party preferred poll held in May 2017.44

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. 45th Australian Parliament Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Political Parties</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coalition (Liberal and National)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Labor Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nick Xenophon Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katter’s Australia Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Dual-Citizenship Controversy

On October 27, 2017, the High Court of Australia ruled that no one can sit in Australia’s Federal Parliament while being eligible for citizenship in a foreign land.45 A number of members of parliament as a result had to step down, causing Prime Minister Turnbull to lose his parliamentary majority. The Prime Minister responded by saying that there was no need for an election even though he is now leading with a lack of a majority. As of November 11, 2017, the Coalition held 74 seats in the reduced chamber of 148 seats with Labor holding 69 seats with five crossbench MPs.46 Upcoming by-elections are to fill the vacated seats in parliament.

---


Same-Sex Marriage

In November 2017, Australia undertook a non-binding postal survey to determine the electorate’s opinion on same-sex marriage. More than 12.7 million people, or 79.5% of those surveyed, responded. The overall result was 61.6% in favor. Following the survey’s result, Prime Minister Turnbull, a moderate within the right-of-center Liberal Party, stated that he hopes marriage equality will become law in Australia by Christmas 2017.47 The result marks a setback for the right wing of the Liberal Party that is opposed to same-sex marriage. Former Prime Minister Tony Abbott had been a leader opposing same-sex marriage. His electorate voted “Yes” in favor of same-sex marriage.48

The Alliance

The ANZUS Alliance and Changing Strategic Contexts

The United States—Australia bilateral defense and alliance relationship has traditionally remained strong even as it has evolved through several different strategic contexts over the past 100 years.49 The United States and Australia both committed troops to suppress the Boxer Rebellion in China (1900–1901). In 1908, President Theodore Roosevelt’s Great White Fleet was welcomed in Australia, which was concerned with the expansion of Japanese naval power at that time. The defense relationship was forged when the two nations fought together on the Western Front in World War I. There U.S. troops fought under Australian General Monash at the Battle of Hamel. They also fought together in World War II in the South Pacific theatre of operations, in the Battle of the Coral Sea, for example, and beyond, and again in the Korean War in battles such as Kapyong. Former Australian Minister of Defence and former Ambassador to Washington Kim Beazley pointed out that the Battle of the Coral Sea “looms large in our strategic consciousness” and that it was a “nation saving” event. The joint action by U.S. and Australian naval forces checked the Japanese naval advance on Papua and New Guinea just north of Australia and helped turn the tide of war in the Pacific.50 At the outbreak of World War II, the Territory of New Guinea was a League of Nations Mandate of Australia while the Territory of Papua was under the direct authority of the Commonwealth of Australia.

The 1951 ANZUS Treaty was signed at a time when Australia was concerned about a resurgent Japan and the United States was increasingly concerned with the growing power of the Soviet Union. The two nations came to share common concern during the Cold War, which saw Australian troops fighting alongside U.S. forces in Vietnam, and the two nations worked together to promote stability in the post-Cold War era in places like Somalia.

47 Helen Davidson, “Australia’s Same Sex Marriage Postal Survey 61.6% Yes,” The Guardian, November 14, 2017.
49 Understanding why U.S. strategic relationships in Asia have endured beyond the Cold War is the subject of a study supported by the East West Center. See William Tow, “Understanding the Persistence of American Alliances and Partnerships in the Asia Pacific,” East West Center, Asia Pacific Bulletin, August 14, 2014. The Alliance 21 project at the United States Studies Centre at the University of Sydney and the Australian-American Leadership Dialogue are two independent organizations that help promote knowledge and understanding of the bilateral relationship.
The advent of the “War Against Terror” also drew the two nations together. Former Prime Minister John Howard invoked the ANZUS alliance to come to the assistance of the United States by sending Australian troops to serve in Iraq and Afghanistan. Australia and the United States also share a deep and broad-based intelligence relationship.\(^{51}\) The U.S.-Australia joint defense facilities aid in intelligence collection, ballistic missile early warning, submarine communications, and satellite-based communications.\(^{52}\) Formal consultations include policy planning, political-military, and military-to-military talks.\(^{53}\)

Australia continues to have close defense ties with New Zealand as the United States has reestablished close defense relations with New Zealand. The U.S.–New Zealand leg of the ANZUS alliance had been suspended as a result of differences over nuclear policy in the mid-1980s. The Wellington Declaration of 2010 and the Washington Declaration of 2012 signaled that the United States and New Zealand have overcome past differences over nuclear policy. These declarations established a renewed strategic partnership between the United States and New Zealand and provide for enhanced cooperation on a range of areas including enhanced military cooperation.\(^{54}\)

### U.S. Arms Sales to Australia

Australia, the world’s sixth largest arms importer, has traditionally bought most of its major weapons systems from the United States with approximately 68% of arms imports in 2015 being sourced from the United States.\(^{55}\) Australia was the fifth-largest purchaser of U.S. weapons in 2016.\(^{56}\) The State Department approved a possible sale of a $1.3 billion Gulfstream G550 Aircraft with Airborne Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance, and Electronic Warfare (AISREW) Mission Systems in June 2017.\(^{57}\) The State Department approved a $815 million sale of GBU-53/B Small Diameter Bomb Increment II for Australia’s future F-35A Joint Strike Fighter purchase in October 2017.\(^{58}\) Australia announced in April 2014 that it would buy 58 F-35A Joint Strike Fighter aircraft at a cost of $11.5 billion. This purchase is in addition to a previously announced decision by Australia to buy 14 F-35As.\(^{59}\) It is expected that the F-35A planes will be delivered in 2018 and will enter service in 2020. Over the past decade Australia has also agreed to purchase the EA-18G Growler, the P-8A Poseidon maritime surveillance aircraft and the E-7A Wedgetail early warning aircraft. These purchases help American defense firms and improve


bilateral interoperability with U.S. armed forces. In 2015, Australia received two additional Boeing C-17 strategic transport aircraft as part of a $713 million purchase.60

**Australia’s Strategic Outlook**

The shift in the geostrategic dynamics of Asia and relative decline of U.S. power brought on by the rise of China is leading Australia to explore multilateral as well as other bilateral security relationships.61 A Lowy Institute paper by Rory Medcalf and Raja Mohan observed that middle powers in Asia—including Australia, India, Japan, and others—are looking beyond traditional approaches to security and expanding security cooperation with each other. Some observers view this as a reaction to the rise of China and Indo-Pacific regional states’ uncertainty over America’s future role in the region. The two authors argue that such middle power ties could “build regional resilience against the vagaries of U.S.-China relations” and “reinforce the multipolar quality of the emerging Indo-Pacific order” while “encouraging continued U.S. engagement without unduly provoking China.”62

Such developments also mark change in the regional security architecture which has been grounded in the post-war San Francisco “hub-and-spoke” system of U.S. alliances. This shift towards increasing reliance by middle powers in Asia on each other could build on and complement these states’ ties with the United States. The search for new security mechanisms in Asia appears, in the view of some analysts, to mark a declining faith that economic interdependence and existing regional institutions will succeed in preventing regional conflict in the future. This sentiment is also reflected in a regional arms race.63

**Strategic Geography**

Despite Australia’s close ties with Anglosphere countries,64 many in Australia firmly believe that the 21st Century is an Asian Century and that this presents Australia with opportunity. Australia sees the global center of gravity shifting to its Indo-Pacific region, thus eliminating a “tyranny of distance” that for much of Australia’s history left it isolated from global centers of commerce and power. The new perspective for Australia in this Asian Century is the “prospect of proximity” and the opportunity that this presents.65

---
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64 In its narrow definition, the Anglosphere refers to the United Kingdom and British settler societies. More expansive definitions would also include countries with shared values and roots in the Commonwealth tradition. Michael Kenny and Nick Pearce, “The Rise of the Anglosphere,” New Statesman, February 10, 2015.

The Indo-Pacific

Linkages of trade and energy, as well as changes in the correlates of power, are reshaping perceptions of the strategic geography of the Indo-Pacific region. This thinking is also bringing together American and Australian conceptions of their evolving strategic environment. The 2013 Australian Defence White Paper departed from previous articulations of Australia’s strategic geography and included a “categorical shift towards identifying Australia’s region of strategic interest as something called the Indo-Pacific.” The United States’ rebalance to Asia strategy similarly brought the Indian Ocean into strategic discussions that earlier would have been more exclusively focused on strategic dynamics in Northeast Asia and to a lesser extent Southeast Asia.

The United States’ appreciation of the strategic importance of India, as well as the trade and energy routes that transit the Indian Ocean, are increasingly focusing the United States on this same strategic geography. Evidence of this can be seen in the various documents that articulated the rebalance-to-Asia strategy as well as in the 2014 AUSMIN Joint Communiqué. The document declared an intention to “work with India to expand trilateral cooperation, including on shared challenges such as maritime security, energy security, and ensuring economic growth.” The document also recognized the importance of the Indian Ocean Rim Association (IORA) and the Regional Cooperation Agreement on Combating Piracy and Armed Robbery Against Ships in Asia.67 Shifts in Asian power dynamics and shared interests in ensuring freedom of the seas are bringing Australia and India closer together at the same time that the United States has focused more attention on India and the Indian Ocean region.68

Defense Policy and Strategy

The Australian Strategy Framework 2017 reiterates the 2016 Defence White Paper’s “goals that the Defence strategy must achieve” through three strategic defense interests:

- A secure and resilient Australia, with secure northern approaches and proximate sea lines of communication.
- A secure nearer region, encompassing maritime Southeast Asia and the South Pacific.
- A stable Indo-Pacific region and a rules-based global order.69

While the United States remains Australia’s key strategic partner, Australia maintains other traditional security relationships, such as with New Zealand and the nations of the Five Power Defence Arrangements (FPDA). A core identity of the Australian military and broader Australian culture is the ANZAC legend. ANZAC refers to the Australia New Zealand Army Corps that fought together in World War I in places such as Gallipoli. The ANZAC experience at Gallipoli was central in helping Australia define its national identity independent of its status as part of the British Empire. Australia-New Zealand defense relations were formalized through the 1944

Canberra Pact and the 1951 ANZUS Treaty. The 1991 Closer Defence Relations (CDR) Agreement, which was revised in 2003, serves as a framework for bilateral defense ties between Australia and New Zealand. Australian and New Zealand military forces have worked together to promote regional stability in places such as Bougainville, Timor-Leste, and the Solomon Islands. Australia and New Zealand are also linked through the 1971 Five Power Defence Arrangements, which also includes Great Britain and two other former British colonies, Malaysia and Singapore. The FPDA, which was established in the context of Britain’s plans to withdraw forces from east of the Suez, has, in the view of some, proven to be surprisingly durable. Large-scale exercises were held by member states to mark the 40th anniversary of the Arrangements.

Two key themes which have informed debates over Australian defense policy in the past are forward defense and continental or mainland defense, which has placed relative emphasis on defending the Australian continent within an alliance context. The 2014 Defence Issues Paper observed that while “the ability to prevent and deter attacks on Australia ... remains a cornerstone,” more recently “a more globalised and inter-connected world has emerged in which Australia has broad and far-reaching interests.”

**Foreign Affairs**

**Australia’s Identity and Asia**

Australia’s identity as a nation is intertwined with its ongoing debate over how it should engage Asia. Former Prime Minister Howard approached the debate by making the point that Australia need not choose between its history, which is grounded in the West, and its geography, which locates Australia at one end of the Asia-Pacific region. Former Labor Prime Minister Paul Keating (1991-1996) moved enthusiastically to engage Asia, building on his predecessor Bob Hawke’s (1983-1991) efforts that included the formation of the APEC forum in 1989. Many in Australia viewed Keating’s initiatives as going too far, reflecting the fact that many Australians’ sense of identity was not grounded in an “Asian” identity. Former Prime Minister Abbott’s emphasis on reinforcing ties with Anglosphere nations—as well as reactions against this—demonstrates how this debate continues.

These debates over identity are real to many Australians. Although Australia is a large continent, its population of 23 million people is located relatively close to key population centers of Asia, including Indonesia (240 million), China (1.3 billion), and India (1.2 billion). Australia’s isolation from its key cultural partners and strategic allies in the West has traditionally led to an existential fear by some of being overwhelmed by Asia. This has given way in recent years to increasing interest in Asia as it is viewed as a source of prosperity and no longer only as a potential threat. The Rudd government’s 2008 apology to the Aboriginal population of Australia demonstrates to some that the dominant Anglo-Celtic identity is increasingly prepared to accommodate non-European Australian identities. Increasing Asian immigration is also changing the face of Australia. Australia’s shifting trade patterns continue to draw it closer to Asia, even as it has not fully reconciled what this means for its identity.
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Indonesia

Australia and Indonesia’s bilateral relationship has historically been subject to various tensions. These date back to Australia’s military deployment in support of Malaysia during Indonesia’s period of Konfrontasi in the mid-1960s. In November 2015, Prime Minister Turnbull briefly visited Indonesia in an effort to help mend relations following a troubled year in bilateral relations. Strains included the Indonesian executions of two Australian drug smugglers and the Abbott government’s rejection of asylum seekers mostly from the Middle East, South Asia, and Myanmar who had traveled by boat from Indonesia headed towards Australia. During a half day of what President Widodo referred to as “warm and productive” meetings, Turnbull and the Indonesian President discussed trade, tourism, Australian investment in Indonesia, and other topics.74

Indonesian concerns over Australia’s role in the independence of the former Indonesian Province of East Timor (now Timor-Leste) following a referendum of 1999 have moderated over time. Australia, under the United Nations, played a key role in assisting Timor-Leste to become an independent nation. The Timor-Leste military peacekeeping intervention by Australia and other countries was viewed negatively by many in Indonesia. Australia’s post-2004 tsunami assistance to Indonesia helped improve relations between Australia and Indonesia.75

Tensions rose in the wake of revelations that Australian intelligence listened to the cell phone conversations of President Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono and his inner circle in 2009.76 Related tensions abated somewhat following a June 2014 meeting between Abbott and Yudhoyono.77 Australia and Indonesia’s cooperation on security matters is underpinned by the Lombok Treaty of 2006. The two nations also signed a Defence Cooperation Arrangement in 2012.78 President Yudhoyono stated in August 2014 that “in my view there is plenty of room for increased defense cooperation.”79

Some observers have called for increased bilateral maritime cooperation between Australia and Indonesia as growing naval forces in the Asia-Pacific region may place increased emphasis on the strategic Malacca, Sunda, and Lombok Straits.80 President Joko Widodo has emphasized the importance of developing Indonesia’s identity as a maritime nation.81 This may present Australia with positive opportunities to engage Indonesia. Australia’s policy to turn back boats of illegal immigrants, however, could once again become an area of tension in this bilateral relationship.

Australia and Indonesia have worked together closely to investigate terrorist attacks in Indonesia. It has been reported that as many as 200 Indonesians are believed to have joined IS forces in Syria and Iraq.82 Other estimates vary from 50 to 500 Indonesians who have gone to fight with
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IS. Australia has particular concern with terrorism in Indonesia due to past attacks against the Australian Embassy in Jakarta in 2004 as well as attacks which killed Australians in Bali, Indonesia, in 2002 and 2005.

China

Australia’s political leadership does not see Australia’s economic relationship with China, which has been its largest trading partner since 2009, and its strategic relationship with the United States as incompatible. Australia has demurred from formally signing onto the One Belt, One Road project promoted by China.

A number of issues have caused tensions in Australia’s relationship with China. Among these are Chinese political donations in Australia, the sale or lease of farmland and energy and transportation infrastructure to Chinese business interests, and differences over the South China Sea maritime territorial disputes. Chinese corporate donations to Australian political parties have become a focus of attention with respect to concerns over China’s influence in Australia. Senator Sam Dastyari of the Labor Party resigned from the opposition frontbench after media scrutiny of his acceptance of Chinese funds. Policy experts have criticized the Northern Territory’s 99-year lease to the Chinese company Landbridge Group for port facilities in Darwin. The port, which was attacked by the Japanese in 1942, is strategically located in the north of Australia and former President Obama reportedly registered his displeasure over the lease to Prime Minister Malcolm Turnbull. Critics of the lease have argued that this gives China an excellent position to observe U.S. and Australian military operations.

China became the largest investor in Australia’s agricultural sector in 2014. The Australian government blocked the sale of Kidman and Company agricultural enterprises on national security grounds in 2015. National security concerns were referenced when Australia prevented the A$10 billion sale of Ausgrid to China. Ausgrid supplies power to New South Wales. Australians are also concerned that Chinese buyers are putting upward pressure on real estate prices. Foreign Minister Julie Bishop urged China to abide by the ruling by an arbitral tribunal under the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), which ruled largely in favor of the Philippines and against China’s behavior and claims in the South China Sea in July 2016.

When a sample of the population was asked in a 2016 Lowy poll which relationship is more important to Australia, respondents were split evenly with 43% answering China and 43% answering the United States. In 2014, 48% answered the United States and 37% answered China. In the June 2016, 59% of Australians polled indicated they would be “less likely to support Australia taking future military action in coalition with the U.S. under Donald Trump.” On a separate “feeling thermometer” scale (0-100), Australians felt more warmly towards the United States (“temperature” of 68) than they did towards China (58). While 75% of Australians polled felt China’s economic growth was a positive influence, 79% felt China’s military activities in the region were negative.
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Following President Trump’s electoral victory, Keating called on Australia to “cut the tag” and pursue an independent foreign policy. Former Liberal Prime Minister Malcolm Fraser previously called on Australia to end its strategic dependence on the United States. Other former government officials, such as Australia’s former Ambassador to China Stephen FitzGerald have also come out in opposition to Australia’s very close relationship with the United States and more supportive of close relations with China. These key political voices are added to the views of leading Australian strategist Hugh White who recently stated that Australians can no longer trust America and that as a result they will move closer to China. White has observed that while balancing between China and the United States was going to be “an immense challenge” for Australia in any event, President Trump, who White sees as viewing allies as “dispensable,” has made the choice starker and faster than had been expected.

Bilateral relations between Australia and China are based on a strong trade relationship that has benefitted both countries. Trade with China has contributed much to Australia’s economic success in recent years. There is broad support in Australia for a strong economic relationship with China. There is also unease in some policy circles in Australia with China’s increasingly assertive posture in the region. In June 2015, Australia and China formally signed a free trade agreement (FTA). Australian backers argued that the arrangement would promote exports of Australian agricultural goods, wine, and services to China. Some trade unions and others criticized the FTA, saying that it would bring more Chinese manufactured products and investment into Australia, thereby threatening local jobs and economic interests in some sectors. Some observers expressed concern that Australia’s growing economic dependence upon China may bolster China’s strategic influence. In March 2015, Australia joined China’s Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (AIIB) as a founding member with a contribution of $718 million, despite concerns, particularly in the United States and Japan, about the bank’s governance and transparency standards and China’s growing regional influence. The bank, which has 57 member countries, including many developed economies in Asia and Europe, rivals multilateral financial institutions such as the World Bank and the Asian Development Bank.

While China has figured prominently in Australia’s outreach to Asia, Australian values have at times been challenged as ties have developed. Ties between the two nations were strained over the 2010 imprisonment of Australian national and Rio Tinto executive Stern Hu on espionage charges. Hu was involved in iron ore price negotiations. China’s Xinhua news service reported that Hu and three other Rio Tinto group employees improperly obtained commercial secrets related to China’s iron and steel industry and violated Chinese law. China was also reportedly displeased with the visit to Australia of Rebiya Kadeer, an activist from China’s Uighur minority. Chinese diplomats reportedly pressured organizers at the time to prevent her from appearing at a film festival in Melbourne and at the National Press Club.
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Japan

Australia has become an increasingly close security partner with Japan. This developing strategic relationship was promoted by former Prime Minister Abbott and elevated during Prime Minister Shinzo Abe’s visit to Canberra in 2014. During his speech to a special joint sitting of the Australian Parliament, Prime Minister Abe stated, “There are many things Japan and Australia can do together by each of us joining hands with the United States, an ally for both our nations.” At the time of the Abe visit to Canberra, then-Australian Defence Minister David Johnston indicated that Australia wanted to strengthen three-way defense cooperation with Japan and the United States.

The Abe speech was significant in that it marked both Japan’s effort to change the legal basis for its defense policies (to enable collective self-defense) and its desire to develop its network of strategic relationships. “Japan is now working to change the legal basis for its security ... so we can act jointly with other countries in as many ways as possible.... Let us join together all the more in order to make vast seas from the Pacific Ocean to the Indian, and those skies, open and free.” In the view of one Australian observer, Abe’s address was a strategic landmark “which illuminates how Japan and Australia are leading the creation of a regional coalition to hedge against China, with—but also without—the United States.”

In an effort to put World War II history to rest, Prime Minister Abe offered “sincere condolences” to Australian troops who suffered at Kakoda and Sandakan during the war. Reportedly 2,345 Australian prisoners of war were killed at Sandakan. During WWII, Japan attacked the Australian mainland including air attacks on Darwin and a submarine attack on Sydney Harbor.

Australia and Japan have been developing bilateral security relations under the Australia-Japan Joint Declaration on Security Cooperation (JDSC) signed in 2007 under the Howard Government. The JDSC offers the potential for security cooperation in the areas of border security; counter-terrorism; disarmament and counter proliferation of weapons of mass destruction; maritime and aviation security; and peace operations and humanitarian relief operations. The United States, Japan, and Australia have conducted a trilateral security dialogue since 2002.

Submarine Replacement Project

There was speculation that declarations of Australian-Japanese strategic partnership made in Canberra in 2014 would be followed up with a substantive increase in defense trade, including the Australian purchase of 8 to 12 Japanese Soryu class diesel engine submarines to replace the Collins-class fleet. According to some experts, purchasing “off the shelf” submarines from Japan would be far less costly than building submarines in Australia, which by some estimates would cost significantly more. In 2015, the Coalition government opened the bidding process to Japanese, French, and German companies. In 2016, an agreement was reached to build 12 diesel-electric French Barracuda Block 1A submarines in Adelaide, Australia. An independent report
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by Insight Economics issued in October 2017 called on the government to revisit its submarine purchase decision.104

India

Australia-India relations have historically not been extensive despite periodic Australian studies discussing the importance of bilateral relations with India.105 Cold War, post-colonial attitudes, and India’s preferences for the Non Aligned Movement (NAM) played a part in this. Bilateral relations were also damaged in 2009-2010 by apparently racist attacks against Indian students in Australia.106 Such past obstacles to developing closer relations have begun to change in recent years. The signing of a deal to export uranium from Australia to India during a visit to India by former Prime Minister Abbott in 2014 created an opening for an expansion of bilateral relations between the two nations.107 Australia is thought to have approximately one-third of the world’s uranium reserves.

Relations between Australia and India appear to now be expanding. Australia and India have held a number of high level visits in recent years. During the talks between Turnbull and Modi in New Delhi in April 2017,

The two Prime Ministers reaffirmed their commitment to a peaceful and prosperous Indo-Pacific, based on mutual respect and cooperation. Australia and India share a commitment to democratic values, rule of law, international peace and security, and shared prosperity. The strategic and economic interests of both countries are converging which opens up opportunities for working together in a rapidly changing region ... Both leaders recognized that India and Australia share common interests in ensuring maritime security and the safety of sea lines of communication.109

Prime Minister Modi made an official visit to Australia in November 2014, when he addressed a joint sitting of both houses of parliament and met with Turnbull’s predecessor, Prime Minister Tony Abbott. This was the first state visit of an Indian Prime minister to Australia in almost three decades. Abbott visited India in September 2014. Australia and India also hold an annual Foreign Ministers Framework Dialogue to further their bilateral agenda.

During her 2015 visit to New Delhi, Australian Foreign Minister Julie Bishop gave the inaugural Indo-Pacific Oration at the Observer Research Foundation where she made a number of observations about India and the bilateral relationship:110

We are ready to seize the opportunity to forge an even closer relationship with India, there is new excitement and new energy about India’s future. That is clearly evident in the momentum that is driving our bilateral relationship. It is more dynamic, more diverse,
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broader and deeper than ever before. Indeed, unprecedentedly so. But it is also evident in our increasingly close cooperation in the Indo-Pacific region, the region in which both Australia’s and India’s core economic and strategic interests converge. The increasingly dynamic Indian Ocean region is vital to Australia’s future economic and strategic security. In fact, around half of Australia’s naval fleet is located along our Indian Ocean coastline.\footnote{See April 13, 2015, “The Indo-Pacific Oration” transcript at https://foreignminister.gov.au/speeches/Pages/2015/jb_sp_150413.aspx?w=tbICaGpkPX%2FtS0K%2Bg9ZK%Eg%3D%3D.}

Australia and India also work together through the Indian Ocean Rim Association (IORA) which is a Ministerial forum focused on the Indian Ocean. The IORA Secretariat is based in Mauritius.\footnote{Australian Government, Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, “Indian Ocean Rim Association,” dfat.gov.au.}

India is Australia’s fifth-largest export market, tenth-largest trading partner, and increasingly a destination for Australian investment.\footnote{Australian Government, Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, “India Country Brief,” dfat.gov.au.} Bilateral trade between Australia and India grew dramatically from AD$6.8 billion in FY2003/04 to AD$14.8 billion in FY2013/14. Australia is seeking an Australia-India Comprehensive Economic Cooperation Agreement with India to facilitate the growth of bilateral trade between the two nations. The two countries also are involved in Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) trade negotiations, which involve 16 nations in the Indo-Pacific region.\footnote{Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, Australian Government, “Australia-India Comprehensive Economic Partnership,” dfat.gov.au}

Prime Minister Turnbull, during his April 2017 visit to India, announced that Australia would commission an independent India Economic Strategy. The strategy is to be led by former Secretary of the Australian Department of Foreign Affairs Peter Varghese AO, the Chancellor of the University of Queensland. The strategy is intended to strengthen existing economic collaboration and look for new ways for Australia and India to do business together.\footnote{Australian Government, Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, “India Economic Strategy Taskforce,” “Introducing the India Economic Strategy,” http://dfat.gov.au/trade/economic-diplomacy/Pages/introducing-the-india-economic-strategy.aspx.} There is an Australia-India Joint Ministerial Commission to provide a forum for Trade Ministers to develop the economic relationship.

Australia and India have established several mechanisms to further their strategic and defense cooperation. A Framework for Security Cooperation was established in 2014, and is based on “converging political, economic and strategic interests.”\footnote{Australian Government, Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, “Framework for Security Cooperation Between Australia and India 2014,” dfat.gov.au} Prime Minister Modi and Prime Minister Turnbull have committed themselves to “deepening the bilateral defense and security partnership,” and welcomed progress achieved through this Framework. They also share a desire “to ensure that Indian Ocean architecture keeps pace with regional issues and addresses emerging threats and challenges in the region.” Today, this framework is viewed by many analysts in Australia as an important step forward in developing relations between Australia and India.\footnote{David Brewster, “The Australia-India Framework for Security Cooperation,” See also David Brewster, India as an Asia Pacific Power (London: Routledge, 2012).}

Bilateral defense relations are based on a 2006 memorandum on Defense Cooperation and a 2009 Joint Declaration on Security Cooperation. Strategic dialogues include annual Defense Policy Talks and an annual Track 1.5 Defense Strategic Dialogue. The first-ever official visit to Australia...
by an Indian defense minister came in 2013 and, during Prime Minister Modi’s 2014 visit to Canberra, the two countries agreed to extend defense cooperation to cover research, development, and industry engagement. They also formalized annual defense minister summits and made plans to conduct regular maritime exercises.  

The two nations initiated their bilateral naval exercise AUSINDEX in the Bay of Bengal in 2015 and held another off Australia’s west coast in June 2017. Army-to-army exercises are also scheduled for 2018. Australia and India are members of the India Ocean Naval Symposium, “a forum to increase maritime cooperation among the littoral states of the Indian Ocean Region ... to preserve peaceful relations, and thus is critical to building an effective maritime security architecture in the Indian Ocean Region.”

The Pacific

The Southwest Pacific is viewed by many in Australia as its “Near Abroad” and, as such, part of Australia’s natural sphere of influence. The South Pacific is an area of key strategic importance to Australia. The region has been subject to a number of shocks including food and fuel price increases, natural disasters, ethnic conflict, challenges to democratic government, rising influence of new external actors, difficulties in maintaining infrastructure, and the negative effects of climate change. Australia has led peace-keeping efforts in the region, including in Timor-Leste and the Solomon Islands, indicating Australia’s resolve to promote stability in the South Pacific.

The former Portuguese colony of Timor-Leste was occupied by Indonesia from 1975 to 1999. In 1998, diplomatic intervention by Prime Minister Howard prompted dialogue between Indonesian officials and East Timorese nationalists that resulted in an agreement to hold U.N.-supervised elections in 1999. On August 30, 1999, nearly 80% of Timor’s electorate voted to separate from Indonesia. Following the announcement of the result, anti-independence militias launched a campaign of violence. On September 15, 1999, the U.N. Security Council authorized the International Force East Timor (INTERFET) to restore peace and security and protect and support the U.N. mission personnel in East Timor. INTERFET operated under a unified command structure headed initially by Australia. Timor-Leste became independent in 2002. Australia and Timor-Leste have worked together to establish arrangements for the exploitation of energy resources beneath the Timor Sea.

Australia’s Regional Assistance Mission to the Solomon Islands (RAMSI) also demonstrated Australia’s resolve to promote stability in the South Pacific. Australia headed a multinational force to restore order in the Solomons in 2003. This was augmented in 2006 when Australia sent more troops to the Solomons to quell rioting and violence following the election of Prime
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Minister Snyder Rini. RAMSI was established under the Biketawa Declaration and is supported by the members of the Pacific Islands Forum and led by Australia and New Zealand. The government of Papua New Guinea (PNG) requested that Australia and New Zealand send troops to assist PNG with its elections in 2012. The troops provided support for the elections by transporting personnel, ballot boxes, and election materials to many of PNG’s remote locations.

Some analysts have observed a relative lessening of Australian influence in the South Pacific as China has sought to play a more active role in the region. Australia, along with other Western nations and the Pacific Islands Forum (PIF), sought to impose sanctions on Fiji in the wake of the 2006 coup which installed Frank Bainimarama to power. Fiji was subsequently suspended from the Forum in 2009. In response, Fiji developed a “Look North” policy and developed closer relations with China as well as with the Melanesian Spearhead Group and the Small Islands Developing States group. The September 17, 2014, election, which elected Prime Minister Bainimarama to office, may offer an opportunity to revisit Western approaches to Fiji. The Commonwealth reinstated Fiji as a member following this “credible” election in September 2014.

**Australia, Islamist Militancy, and Counterterrorism**

Australia contributed to the International Coalition Against Terrorism (ICAT) and sent rotations of special forces troops plus regular troops to Iraq and Afghanistan. This support stems from Australia’s desire to support the United States and from a shared perspective on Islamist extremist violence. Terrorist attacks against Australians in Indonesia did much to shape Australia’s perceptions of Islamist threats in the post-9/11 environment.

In 2002, bombs decimated two crowded nightclubs full of foreign tourists in Bali, Indonesia, killing more than 200 foreigners and Indonesians, and injuring over 300. There were 88 Australians among the dead and 7 Americans. Indonesian officials attributed the bombing to the militant Islamic network Jemaah Islamiya (JI), which had links to Al Qaeda. JI also carried out an attack against the Australian Embassy in Jakarta in 2004 and a second attack in Bali in 2005. Some within JI at that time reportedly set as their goal the establishment of an Islamic state that would encompass Indonesia, Malaysia, the southern Philippines, and Northern Australia. Australian and Indonesian counterterrorism cooperation improved as a result of cooperation on the investigation into the Bali blasts. JI leader Abu Bakar Bashir (now imprisoned) has announced his allegiance to the Islamic State. It is not clear just how much influence this pledge will have on Islamist militants in Indonesia.

There is concern that dozens of Australians, and others from Southeast Asia, who have gone to fight with the Islamic State (IS) in Syria and Iraq may lead to future threats to Australia and Australians abroad. Australia has approximately half a million Muslims out of a total population
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of approximately 23 million. Australia has enacted new security laws including enhanced data retention capabilities and has increased funding for intelligence agencies and police to help prevent terrorist attacks.

Australian intelligence officials reportedly know of 30 Australians who were suspected of going to Afghanistan to fight or train during the conflict there. Approximately two-thirds of the 25 who returned to Australia are known or thought to have become involved in terrorist activities in Australia. It is also estimated that there are “about 150 Australia based individuals who are directly related in some way” and an additional 60 Australians operating with either Al-Qaeda or the Islamic State in Syria or Iraq. There is also concern that IS fighters from Indonesia and elsewhere in Southeast Asia may follow the pattern of previous militants who were radicalized through fighting in Afghanistan before returning to the region and resuming militant Jihadist activities. A 2014 estimate had about 150 Southeast Asians fighting with or supporting the Islamic State in Syria and Iraq out of IS’s estimated 10,000 foreign fighters.

The Environment and Climate Change

Australia, the sixth largest country in the world, has a diverse and often fragile environment that includes rainforests, farming and pastoral land, expansive deserts, and the Great Barrier Reef. About 6% of the land is arable. Australia is the driest inhabited continent. Environmental challenges include introduced species, water quality, drought, wildfire, flooding, poor soil conservation, coral bleaching, and overfishing. Australia is an urban society, and 80% of Australians live within 100 kilometers of the coast. Sixty-eight percent of the 2,700 introduced plants are considered a problem for natural ecosystems as are many of the introduced animals. Much of Australia’s flora and fauna are unique to Australia.

One environmental priority for the government is the Land Care Program. Over the period 2014-2018 the Australian government is to invest A$1 billion to deliver biodiversity and sustainable agriculture outcomes that benefit Australia’s community and environment. The Landcare Program seeks to address problems such as loss of vegetation, soil degradation, the introduction of pest weeds and animals, changes in water quality and flows, and changes in fire regimes.

A study by the Australian CSIRO and the Bureau of Meteorology projected that Australia will warm faster than the rest of the world and be subject to temperature rises of up to 5.1 degrees C by 2090. The study also found that:

- There will be more extreme droughts, with the length of droughts increasing by between 5% and 20%.... Rising temperatures will result in a “greater number of days with severe fire danger” ... soil moisture will fall by up to 15% in southern Australia in the winter months by 2090.

Australia pledged to reduce emissions by 26%-28% below 2005 levels by 2030 as part of its Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC) commitment to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) at the 21st Conference of the Parties (COP21) in Paris in 2015. Australia also has a target of reducing CO₂ emissions 5% below 2000 levels by 2020. Australia’s CO₂ emissions declined 12% from 2005 to 2015. Australia’s greenhouse gas emissions have been increasing since March 2015. Emissions increased 1.1% in the year preceding March 2017. Some reports point to “a clear trend of increasing greenhouse gas emissions since the carbon tax was repealed in 2014—a trend that runs counter to Australia’s international commitments.” Over the period 2015 to 2020 Australia’s emissions are projected to grow further. Australia has identified likely increasing electricity demand, increasing transport activity, population growth, growth in the liquefied natural gas (LNG) industry, and increasing numbers of livestock as key drivers of emissions.

In 2016 estimates for the period 2014-2015, 63% of Australia’s electricity generation was from coal and 14% was from renewables while energy productivity and energy consumption both rose by 1%. Australia’s energy exports also increased by approximately 5% over the same period. Australian net energy exports equaled around two-thirds of domestic energy production during the period. In one 2017 report, close to 50% of Australia’s great barrier reef’s coral was killed over the past two summers. It has been asserted that global average temperatures would have to be kept to no more than a 1.2-degree rise in order to protect current reef biodiversity.

Under the Australian Labor Party’s Climate Change Action Plan, a Labor Government, should it take office, would seek to build an emissions trading scheme and set a pollution reduction target of net zero emissions by 2050. Labor has also pledged to achieve 45% emissions reductions on 2005 levels by 2030. Labor’s Climate Change Action plan has six key components:

- **Make Australia a Leading Renewable Energy Economy** by ensuring that at least 50% of the nation’s electricity is sourced from renewable energy by 2030.
- **Cleaner Power Generation**: transition from coal to renewable based electricity generation.
- **Build Jobs and Industry** through creation of a Strategic Industries Reserve Fund.
- **Cut Pollution** through an Emissions Trading Scheme with caps and offsets.
- **Capture Carbon on the Land** through a Carbon Farming Initiative, carbon storage on the land and deal with broad scale land clearing.
- **Increased Energy Efficiency** by doubling Australia’s energy productivity and implement new emissions standards for motor vehicles.
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Labor has criticized the Liberal Abbott and Turnbull Governments’ policies toward renewable energy. According to the Labor Party,

The Liberal Government has done everything in its power to try and destroy Australia’s share in one of the world’s fastest growing industries with devastating consequences for our country. In the last two years more than two million renewable energy jobs were added to the global economy, but over the same period 2,900 jobs were lost in Australia.\(^\text{146}\)

The Labor Party stresses the need for Australia to position itself to leverage jobs and economic opportunities related to expanding renewable investment in the Asia-Pacific region.

### Economic Issues

Australia has gone 26 years without a recession. Despite this record, Australia’s economy is slowing with year-on-year growth of 1.7%, the slowest since 2009, estimated for 2017. Australia’s economy is “undergoing structural change as the mining investment boom, which peaked in 2012, unwinds.”\(^\text{147}\) Mining, construction and finance have contributed much to Australia’s economic growth in recent years while manufacturing has been in decline.\(^\text{148}\) Much of Australia’s wealth is derived from the fact that Australia has 19% of the world’s total known mineral wealth with 0.3% of the world’s population.\(^\text{149}\) The housing market is another key sector of Australia’s economy. Efforts have been made to diversify the economy in the areas of education, tourism and health services. Australia’s economy has to a large extent been dependent on world prices for natural resources, such as iron ore, coal and liquefied natural gas. Some view the Australian dollar as a “liquid proxy” for China.\(^\text{150}\) Over the past decade Australia-China trade has increased dramatically. China became Australia’s largest trade partner in 2009, taking over 30% of Australian exports.\(^\text{151}\) In November 2017, Australia, along with the other members of the Trans Pacific Partnership TPP-11 group, agreed to “core elements” as they continue to work towards a new free trade agreement. President Trump withdrew from the TPP agreement in January 2017.\(^\text{152}\)

---


Figure 1. Map of Australia

Source: Map Resources. Adapted by CRS.
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