



**Congressional
Research Service**

Informing the legislative debate since 1914

Joint Light Tactical Vehicle (JLTV): Background and Issues for Congress

(name redacted)

Specialist in Military Ground Forces

May 31, 2017

Congressional Research Service

7-....

www.crs.gov

RS22942

Summary

The Joint Light Tactical Vehicle (JLTV) is being developed by the Army and the Marine Corps as a successor to the High Mobility, Multi-Wheeled Vehicle (HMMWV), which has been in service since 1985. On October 28, 2008, awards were made for the JLTV Technology Development (TD) Phase to three industry teams: (1) BAE Systems, (2) the team of Lockheed Martin and General Tactical Vehicle, and (3) AM General and General Dynamics Land Systems.

On January 26, 2012, the Army issued the Request for Proposal (RFP) for the JLTV's Engineering Manufacturing Development (EMD) phase. Up to three EMD contracts scheduled for June could have been awarded. The period of performance for EMD contracts was 27 months, and the overall EMD phase was scheduled to last 33 months. Vendors were required to provide 22 JLTV prototypes for testing 12 months after contract award. The target cost for the base vehicle was \$250,000, excluding add-on armor and other kits.

On August 22, 2012, the Army announced the award of three firm-fixed price JLTV EMD contracts totaling approximately \$185 million. The three companies awarded the EMD contracts were AM General, LLC (South Bend, IN); Lockheed Martin Corporation (Grand Prairie, TX); and Oshkosh Corporation (Oshkosh, WI).

On September 3, 2013, the Army began JLTV testing at Aberdeen Proving Grounds, MD; Yuma, AZ; and Redstone Arsenal, AL. The Army planned to select a single vendor by 2015, with the first Army brigade being equipped with JLTVs by 2018. FY2015 program plans anticipated a Milestone C (Production and Deployment Phase Approval) decision in the fourth quarter of FY2015, followed by Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP).

In June 2014, the Army issued a draft RFP for the JLTV Full-Rate Production Phase. The Secretary of Defense conducted an interim review of the JLTV program and found it is likely to meet all eight key performance parameters. Three companies who were picked in 2012 to build prototypes—Oshkosh, Lockheed Martin, and AM General—submitted their bids for the LRIP contract by the February 10, 2015, deadline.

On August 25, 2015, it was announced the Army had awarded Oshkosh a \$6.7 billion low rate initial production (LRIP) contract with eight options to procure the initial 16,901 vehicles for the Army and Marines. The JLTV is being produced in Oshkosh, WI.

It is also reported the Army plans to use the JLTV as the interim platform for its upcoming Light Reconnaissance Vehicle (LRV) program instead of procuring a new system. The British Army is reportedly trying to acquire 750 JLTVs through Foreign Military Sales (FMS). The Marines have also reportedly increased their JLTV requirement by 65%, for a total of 9,091 JLTVs, and the Air Force will acquire 140 JLTVs in FY2018 for its Security Forces that protect missile launch facilities.

The FY2018 budget request for JLTVs is \$1,142.7 million for 2,777 JLTVs for the Army, Marines, and Air Force.

The major potential issues for Congress include whether an increase in endstrength and force structure might result in an increase in overall JLTV requirements, and what the service-specific fielding plans for the JLTV might be.

Contents

Background	1
JLTV Program	1
What Is the JLTV?.....	1
Program Structure	1
Early Program History.....	2
Technology Development Contracts Awarded	2
JLTV Contracts Protested.....	2
Change in Requirements, Program Schedule, and Variants	3
Army Issues RFP for EMD Phase.....	3
Current Acquisition Quantities and Program Costs.....	3
Contract-Related Program Activities.....	3
JLTV EMD Contracts Awarded.....	3
Army Releases Final RFP for JLTV Full-Rate Production	4
Bids Submitted for JLTV Low-Rate Initial Production (LRIP)	4
Army Awards JLTV Contract	5
Lockheed Martin’s JLTV Protest	5
Lockheed Martin Files Protest with the Government Accountability Office (GAO).....	5
Army Stops Work on the JLTV Contract	5
Lockheed Martin Withdraws JLTV Protest from United States Court of Federal Claims.....	6
JLTV LRIP Production Begins.....	6
Army JLTV Program Cost Estimate Decreases	6
Delay in JLTV Initial Operating Capability (IOC).....	6
Army Selects JLTV to Serve as Its Interim Light Reconnaissance Vehicle (LRV).....	6
Air Force to Consider JLTV Acquisition.....	7
Possible British Foreign Military Sales (FMS) Purchase of JLTV	7
Marines Increase JLTV Requirement to 9,091 Vehicles	7
Department of Defense (DOD) FY2018 Budget Request.....	7
Potential Issues for Congress.....	8
Will an Increase in Endstrength and Force Structure Result in an Increase in Overall JLTV Requirements?.....	8
Fielding Plan for JLTVs	9

Tables

Table 1. FY2018 DOD Budget Request—JLTV	8
---	---

Contacts

Author Contact Information	9
----------------------------------	---

Background¹

The JLTV is an Army-led, multi-service initiative to develop a family of future light tactical vehicles to replace many of the High Mobility, Multi-Wheeled Vehicles (HMMWVs) used by the armed services today. HMMWVs, which first entered service in 1985, were developed during the Cold War when improvised explosive devices (IEDs) and other anti-vehicle explosive devices were not a major factor in military planning. The HMMWVs' demonstrated vulnerability to IEDs and the difficulties and costs experienced in "up-arming" HMMWVs already in the inventory have led to renewed emphasis on vehicle survivability. DOD officials have emphasized that JLTVs are not intended to replace HMMWVs "one for one."²

JLTV Program

What Is the JLTV?³

The JLTV program is a joint Army/Marine Corps effort to develop and produce both vehicles and associated trailers. Originally, there were three variants, but now there are two JLTV variants: a four-passenger Combat Tactical Vehicle (CTV) and a two-passenger Combat Support Vehicle (CSV). As planned, JLTVs would be more mechanically reliable, maintainable (with on-board diagnostics), all-terrain mobile, and equipped to link into current and future tactical data nets. Survivability and strategic and operational transportability by ship and aircraft are also key JLTV design requirements.

Program Structure⁴

The JLTV is an Acquisition Category (ACAT) 1D program.⁵ The Army bears the overall responsibility for developing the JLTV through its Joint Program Office, which reports to the Program Executive Office (PEO) for Combat Support & Combat Service Support (PEO CS&CSS) in Warren, MI, which reports to the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology (ASA[AL&T]). Marine participation is centered on a program office under the supervision of the Program Executive Officer Land Systems (PEO LS) Marine Corps at Quantico, VA.

¹ Alan L. Gropman, "Combat Vehicle Sector Could be Headed for Turbulent Times," *National Defense*, April 25, 2008, and James P. Miller, "Race is On to Replace Humvee," *Chicago Tribune*, June 21, 2008.

² Kris Osborn, "DOD's JLTV Becoming an International Effort," *Defense News*, August 4, 2008. Headquarters, Department of the Army, "Army Truck Program (Tactical Wheeled Vehicle Acquisition Strategy) Report to the Congress," June 2010, p. 5.

³ Information in this section is taken from the Army Product Manager, Joint Light Tactical Vehicle website, <http://peocss.tacom.army.mil/pmJLTV.html>, last visited March 2, 2011, and Marine Corps PEO Land Systems Joint Light Tactical Vehicle website, <http://www.marcorsyscom.usmc.mil/peolandssystems/jltv.aspx>, last visited March 2, 2011, and Tony Bertuca, "PMs: JLTV Still Too Heavy, Changing Schedule and Losing Six-Man Variant," *InsideDefense.com*, February 11, 2011.

⁴ CRS Report RL34026, *Defense Acquisitions: How DOD Acquires Weapon Systems and Recent Efforts to Reform the Process*, by (name redacted), provides an extensive discussion of the defense acquisition process.

⁵ The 12th Edition of the *Defense Acquisition University Glossary*, July 2005, defines an ACAT 1D program as "a Major Defense Acquisition Program (MDAP) which is estimated by the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) (USD (AT&L)) to require the eventual expenditure for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) of more than \$365 million (FY2000 constant dollars) or the procurement of more than \$2.19 billion (FY2000 constant dollars)."

Early Program History

In November 2006, the Joint Chiefs of Staff's Joint Requirement Oversight Council (JROC) approved the JLTV program. On December 22, 2007, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics USD (AT&L) signed an Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM) directing the JLTV Program to move from the Concept Refinement Phase into the Technology Development (TD) Phase of the DOD System Acquisition Process. The Army and Marines had intended to issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) for Technology Development Phase as early as October 2007. Concerned with funding adequacy, technical maturity, and shifting requirements, the Pentagon's acquisition executive disapproved the issuance of the RFP and directed the Army and Marines to "go back to the drawing board and develop a robust technology development phase."⁶ On February 5, 2008, an RFP for Technology Development Phase was issued to industry.⁷ The RFP stated the government desired to award three contracts for the JLTV Technology Development Phase. The RFP stipulated that proposals would be due April 7, 2008, and the TD Phase would last 27 months. Contractors would build four test sub-configurations during the first 15 months, followed by 12 months of testing.

Technology Development Contracts Awarded⁸

On October 28, 2008, three awards were made for the JLTV TD Phase for a total of \$166 million. The three industry teams were (1) BAE Systems Land and Armaments, Ground Systems Division, Santa Clara, CA, and NAVISTAR Defense, Warrenville, IL; (2) General Tactical Vehicles, Sterling Heights, MI—a joint venture between General Dynamics Land Systems and AM General; and (3) Lockheed Martin Systems Integration, Oswego, NY, BAE Systems, Alcoa Defense, Pittsburgh, PA, and JWF Defense Systems, Johnstown, PA.

JLTV Contracts Protested

On November 7 and November 12, 2008, protests were filed with the Government Accountability Office (GAO) against the TD contract awards by the Northrop Grumman-Oshkosh team and the Textron-Boeing-SAIC team alleging there were "unintended discrepancies" in how the government rated bids in terms of the criteria of systems maturity, logistics, and costs.⁹ As a result of that protest, work on the JLTV program by the three winning teams was suspended. On February 17, 2009, GAO rejected the JLTV protests and the stop-work orders were lifted.

⁶ Jason Sherman, "Pentagon Halts JLTV Competition, Directs Revised Strategy," *InsideDefense.com*, September 24, 2007.

⁷ JLTV Request for Proposal, W56HZV-08-R-0210, February 5, 2008, and Marjorie Censer, "JLTV Solicitation Calls for Three Contractors: Officials Say More are Possible," *InsideDefense.com*, February 5, 2008.

⁸ Unless otherwise noted, information in this section is taken from TACOM's JLTV Program website, <http://contracting.tacom.army.mil/MAJORSYS/JLTV/jltv.htm>, accessed March 2, 2011, and the Marine Corps PEO Land Systems JLTV website, <https://www.marcorsyscom.usmc.mil/peolandsystems/jltv.aspx>, accessed March 2, 2011.

⁹ Marjorie Censer, "Following Northrop's Lead, Boeing-Textron Team Files JLTV Protest," *InsideDefense.com*, November 12, 2008 and Ann Roosevelt, "Textron-Team Protests Army JLTV Awards," *Defense Daily*, November 13, 2008; and Daniel Wasserbly, "U.S. GAO Rejects JLTV Protests," *Jane's Defence Weekly*, February 25, 2009, p. 12.

Change in Requirements, Program Schedule, and Variants¹⁰

In February 2011, the JLTV Program Office announced the award of the EMD contract would be delayed until January or February 2012 because the Army changed requirements for the JLTV to have the same level of under-body protection as the Mine-Resistant, Ambush-Protected All-Terrain Vehicle (M-ATV). DOD had planned to award two contracts for the EMD phase, which was scheduled to last 24 months,¹¹ but instead opted for a 48-month-long EMD phase before awarding Production and Deployment contracts in the second quarter of FY2016. In addition, the Category B variant was eliminated because it proved to be too heavy to meet the required weight of approximately 15,639 pounds to make it transportable by Army CH-47F and Marine Corps CH-53K helicopters. It was decided that there would be two variants—a Combat Tactical Vehicle (CTV), which can transport four passengers and carry 3,500 pounds, and a Combat Support Vehicle (CSV), which can transport two passengers and carry 5,100 pounds.

Army Issues RFP for EMD Phase¹²

On January 26, 2012, the Army issued the RFP for the JLTV's EMD Phase. Industry proposals for the EMD contract were to have been filed with the Army by March 13, 2012. The RFP stipulated that up to three EMD contracts could be awarded, and contract award occurred in June 2012. These contracts would be capped at \$65 million per contract. The duration of the EMD performance period would be 27 months starting with contract award. Vendors would be required to provide 22 prototypes for testing 12 months after contract award, and the target cost for the base vehicle configuration was \$250,000 (FY2011 constant dollars), excluding add-on armor kits and other kits identified in the RFP.

Current Acquisition Quantities and Program Costs¹³

According to the Government Accountability Office's (GAO's) March 2016 *Assessments of Major Weapons Programs*, for a JLTV procurement quantity of 54,408 vehicles, GAO estimates that a total of \$23 billion would be required to complete the program, including \$210 million for RDT&E and \$22.8 billion for Procurement.

Contract-Related Program Activities

JLTV EMD Contracts Awarded

On August 22, 2012, the Army announced the award of three firm-fixed price JLTV EMD contracts totaling approximately \$185 million.¹⁴ The three companies awarded the EMD contracts

¹⁰ Information in this section, unless otherwise noted is taken from a briefing from the Project Manager Joint Combat Support Systems on the Joint Light Tactical Vehicle given on February 7 and 8, 2011 and Tony Bertuca, "PMs: JLTV Still Too Heavy, Changing Schedule and Losing Six-Man Variant," *InsideDefense.com*, February 11, 2011.

¹¹ DOD Briefing: "JLTV EMD Industry Day," April 26, 2010.

¹² Solicitation, Offer, and Award, Number W56HZV-11-R-0329, U.S. Army Contracting Command, January 26, 2012.

¹³ Government Accountability Office (GAO): Defense Acquisitions: Assessments of Selected Weapon Programs, GAO-16-329SP, March 2016, pp. 165-166.

¹⁴ Information in this section is from U.S. Army Message, "Army Awards Three Joint Light Tactical Vehicle Engineering & Manufacturing Development Phase Contracts," Warren, Michigan, August 22, 2012.

were AM General, LLC (South Bend, IN); Lockheed Martin Corporation (Grand Prairie, TX); and Oshkosh Corporation (Oshkosh, WI). The period of performance was for 27 months, with each contractor receiving initial funding between \$28 million and \$36 million per contractor, with the balance of funding up to the full contract amount being provided in FY2013 and FY2014. In 12 months, each team was required to deliver 22 full-up prototypes and contractor support for a 14-month comprehensive government testing program, which included blast, automotive, and user evaluation testing. The overall EMD Phase was scheduled to last 33 months. According to the Army, “the EMD Phase is designed to test and prepare the next-generation vehicles for a Limited User Test, Capabilities Production Document and Milestone C procurement decision in FY 2015.”¹⁵

Unsuccessful bidders Navistar Defense, BAE Systems, and General Tactical Vehicles (a team of General Dynamics and AM General) were permitted to continue developing JLTV candidate vehicles at their own risk and expense, if they notified the government within 30 days of the EMD contract award.¹⁶ Reports suggested some bidders considered continuing development of JLTV candidates for submission for production source selection.¹⁷

Army Releases Final RFP for JLTV Full-Rate Production¹⁸

On December 12, 2014, the Army reportedly released the final RFP for JLTV low-rate initial production and full-rate production and gave competitors until February 10, 2016, to refine and submit their bids. The Army—on behalf of itself and the Marines—planned to select a winner and issue a single contract award in the late summer of 2015.

The winning contractor would build approximately 17,000 JLTVs for the Army and Marines during three years of low-rate initial production, followed by five years of full-rate production. The first Army unit would be equipped with JLTVs in FY2018, and the Army’s complete acquisition of JLTVs would be completed in 2040. The Marines would begin acquiring their 5,500 JLTVs at the beginning of production and would be completed by FY2022.

Bids Submitted for JLTV Low-Rate Initial Production (LRIP)¹⁹

It was reported that the three companies who were picked in 2012 to build prototypes—Oshkosh, Lockheed Martin, and AM General—submitted their bids for the LRIP contract by the February 10, 2015, deadline. It was also reported that none of the three competitors have said publicly if they included in their proposals an option for the Army to purchase a technical data package for their vehicles. If the Army acquired the technical data package, theoretically the Army could use that data for future production runs, which could enhance competition and possibly result in better prices for the government.

¹⁵ Kris Osborn, Office of the Secretary of the Army for Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology, “JLTV Program Moves into EMD Phase,” *Army News Service*, October 18, 2012.

¹⁶ Tony Bertuca, “Three JLTV Winners Announced; Losing Companies Still May Have a Shot,” *InsideDefense.com*, August 23, 2012.

¹⁷ *Ibid.*

¹⁸ Information in this section is taken from Megan Eckstein, “Army Releases Final RFP for JLTV; Bids Due Feb. 10,” *Defense Daily*, December 15, 2014.

¹⁹ Information in this section is taken from Sebastian Sprenger, “Companies Submit Bids for Joint Light Tactical Vehicle,” *InsideDefense.com*, February 10, 2015.

Army Awards JLTV Contract²⁰

On August 25, 2015, the Army awarded Oshkosh a \$6.7 billion low rate initial production (LRIP) contract with eight options to procure the initial 16,901 vehicles for the Army and Marines. The JLTV is to be produced in Oshkosh, WI.²¹ A full rate production decision is planned for FY2018, and calls for the production of 49,100 JLTVs for the Army and 5,500 for the Marine Corps.

Lockheed Martin's JLTV Protest

Lockheed Martin Files Protest with the Government Accountability Office (GAO)²²

On September 8, 2015, Lockheed Martin reportedly planned a protest with GAO, with a program spokesman stating:

After evaluating the data provided at our debrief, Lockheed Martin has filed a protest of the award decision on the JLTV program. We firmly believe we offered the most capable and affordable solution for the program. Lockheed Martin does not take protests lightly, but we are protesting to address our concerns regarding the evaluation of Lockheed Martin's offer.²³

Army Stops Work on the JLTV Contract²⁴

On September 10, 2015, the Army reportedly issued a stop-work order to Oshkosh, with a GAO spokesman noting, "the Federal Acquisition Regulation requires contracting officers to automatically suspend performance on an awarded contract, following appropriate notification of a protest from GAO."²⁵ On December 11, 2015, Lockheed Martin informed GAO that it would file its JLTV protest instead with the U.S. Court of Federal Claims. On December 15, 2015, GAO closed Lockheed Martin's protest "without further action." With the GAO protest dismissed, the Army lifted its stop-work order to Oshkosh on December 15, 2015.²⁶ The U.S. Court of Federal Claims denied Lockheed Martin's stop-work request on February 11, 2016, meaning Oshkosh could continue work associated with the JLTV contract until the court resolved the contract award dispute.²⁷

²⁰ Joe Gould, "Oshkosh Wins JLTV Award," *Defense News*, August 25, 2015.

²¹ Courtney McBride, "Oshkosh Receives JLTV Order," *InsideDefense.com*, March 23, 2016.

²² Lee Hudson and Justin Doubleday, "Lockheed To File GAO Bid Protest After Losing JLTV Contract," *InsideDefense.com*, September 9, 2015.

²³ *Ibid.*

²⁴ Sebastian Sprenger, "Newly Awarded JLTV Work Comes To A Halt Amid Auditors' Review," *InsideDefense.com*, September 10, 2015.

²⁵ *Ibid.*

²⁶ Sebastian Sprenger, "New Lockheed Suit Means JLTV Protest remains Undecided for Now," *InsideDefense.com*, December 16, 2015 and United States Government Accountability Office (GAO) Decision on the Matter of Lockheed Martin Corporation, December 15, 2015.

²⁷ Sebastian Sprenger, "Lockheed Martin Loses JLTV Stop-Work Request," *InsideDefense.com*, February 12, 2016

Lockheed Martin Withdraws JLTV Protest from United States Court of Federal Claims²⁸

On February 17, 2016, Lockheed Martin reportedly withdrew its JLTV protest in the U.S. Court of Federal Claims.

JLTV LRIP Production Begins²⁹

On March 22, 2016, the Army reportedly placed a \$243 million order with Oshkosh Defense to build 657 JLTVs, as well as 2,977 installation kits and related vehicle support LRIP items. The first JLTVs were delivered in September 2016.³⁰

Army JLTV Program Cost Estimate Decreases³¹

According to DOD's 2016 Selected Acquisition Report (SAR), the JLTV program cost estimate decreased by over 19%, or about \$5.9 billion, due to revised estimates of vehicle unit costs and installation kits. Based on these projected savings, Army officials reportedly suggest that, instead of finishing fielding in the early 2040 time frame, fielding could be accomplished by the mid-2030s.³²

Delay in JLTV Initial Operating Capability (IOC)³³

Primarily due to program disruption resulting from the Lockheed Martin protest, the JLTV will not reach IOC in mid-2019 as originally planned. Instead, the Army anticipates a six-month delay in IOC until the end of 2019, and the Marine Corps IOC, originally expected for the fourth quarter of FY2018, will now be a year later in the first quarter of FY2020. Although these delays are significantly longer than the protest period, officials from both services noted their respected IOCs were adjusted to reflect delays in scheduled testing.

Army Selects JLTV to Serve as Its Interim Light Reconnaissance Vehicle (LRV)³⁴

The Army has reportedly decided to use the JLTV as the platform for its upcoming Light Reconnaissance Vehicle (LRV) program, instead of procuring a new system. Army officials note the JLTV is an interim solution, largely based on costs associated with developing a new system, and in the future, the Army could opt to pursue an original design for its LRV. It is not known

²⁸ Jen Judson, "Lockheed Withdraws JLTV Complaint with U.S. Federal Court," *Defense News*, February 17, 2016.

²⁹ Information in this section is taken from Dan Parsons, "Army Cuts Oshkosh \$243 Million Check for First JLTV Production Lot," *Defense Daily*, March 24, 2016 and Courtney McBride, "Oshkosh Receives JLTV Order," *InsideDefense.com*, March 23, 2016.

³⁰ Connie Lee, "Oshkosh Receives JLTV Order; Schedules First Delivery," *InsideDefense.com*, September 27, 2016.

³¹ Information in this section is from Department of Defense Selected Acquisitions Reports (SARs), March 24, 2016 and Jen Judson, "JLTV Program Cost Estimate Drops by \$6 Billion," *Defense News*, March 24, 2016.

³² Jen Judson, "JLTV Savings Could Speed Up Fielding for US Army," *Defense News*, March 15, 2016.

³³ Information in this section is from Jen Judson, "Humvee Replacement Delayed for Army and Marine Corps," *Defense News*, April 13, 2016.

³⁴ Information in this section is taken from Stew Magnuson, "Army Selects JLTV Truck for Light Recon Vehicle Program," *National Defense*, May 11, 2016.

whether additional JLTVs will need to be acquired under the Army's JLTV contract to meet LRV requirements.

Air Force to Consider JLTV Acquisition³⁵

The Air Force was reportedly considering acquiring JLTVs for its security forces that protect missile launch facilities. The Air Force stressed no decisions had been made, and commercial off-the-shelf vehicles or a military vehicle could meet its requirements for replacing the HMMWVs it currently employs. Officials noted the number of vehicles the Air Force eventually requires will be based on the procurement cost and the available mix of light and medium vehicles.

Possible British Foreign Military Sales (FMS) Purchase of JLTV³⁶

The British Army is reportedly negotiating with the United States to acquire around 750 JLTVs through the Foreign Military Sales (FMS) process.³⁷ At the outset of the JLTV program, the United Kingdom reportedly was considering acquiring 4,000 JLTVs.

Marines Increase JLTV Requirement to 9,091 Vehicles³⁸

The Marines reportedly will increase their JLTV requirement from 5,500 vehicles to 9,091 vehicles—about a 65% increase over the Marines' original approved acquisition objective. Marine leadership is said to want to acquire these additional vehicles as quickly as possible, budget permitting.

Department of Defense (DOD) FY2018 Budget Request³⁹

The FY2018 Presidential Budget includes RDT&E and Procurement funding requests in both the Base and Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) budgets, as well as FY2018-requested quantities. Of note, the Air Force is requesting \$60.5 million to procure 140 JLTVs, suggesting Air Force leadership has decided to acquire a number of JLTVs for its security forces that protect missile launch facilities.

³⁵ Valerie Insinna, "The U.S. Air Force Could Pick New Army-Marine Corps Ride to Guard Missile Fields," *Defense News*, January 4, 2017.

³⁶ Jon Hawkes, "UK Confirms FMS JLTV Purchase for MRV-P," *Jane's Defence Weekly*, January 1, 2017.

³⁷ For additional information on FMS, see CRS In Focus IF10392, *Foreign Military Sales Congressional Review Process*, by (name redacted)

³⁸ Lee Hudson, "Marine Corps Official Says Commandant Seeks More Than 9,000 JLTVs," *InsideDefense.com*, May 17, 2017.

³⁹ Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)/Chief Financial Officer, Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System: United States Department of Defense Fiscal Year 2018 Budget Request, May 2017, p. 3-2.

Table I. FY2018 DOD Budget Request—JLTV

Funding Category	Base Budget	Base Budget	OCO Budget	OCO Budget	Total Request	Total Request
	\$M	Qty	\$M	Qty	\$M	Qty
RDT&E USA	23.5	—	—	—	23.5	—
RDT&E USMC	20.7	—	—	—	20.7	—
Procurement USA	804.4	2,110	—	—	804.4	2,110
Procurement USAF	59.4	138	1.1	2	60.5	140
Procurement USMC	233.6	527	—	—	233.6	527
TOTAL	1,141.6	2,775	1.1	2	1,142.7	2,777

Source: Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)/Chief Financial Officer, Program Acquisition Cost by Weapon System: United States Department of Defense Fiscal Year 2018 Budget Request, May 2017, p. 3-2.

Notes: \$M = U.S. Dollars in Millions; Qty = FY2018 Procurement Quantities

Potential Issues for Congress

Will an Increase in Endstrength and Force Structure Result in an Increase in Overall JLTV Requirements?

The Administration has proposed increasing the Active Army by 60,000 additional soldiers and adding an additional 12,000 Marines.⁴⁰ With these additional troops, the Army plans to create two new Armored Brigade Combat Team (ABCTs), convert an existing Infantry Brigade Combat Teams (IBCT) to an ABCT, create a new IBCT, activate four new Security Force Assistance Brigades (SFABs), create a new Division and Corps headquarters, and create a number of unspecified enabling units.⁴¹ With the additional Marines proposed by the Administration, plans call for creating 12 additional infantry battalions and a tank battalion, as well as other smaller enabling units.⁴² In the FY2018 presidential budget, the Army plans to convert an IBCT to an ABCT and create two SFABs.⁴³ The Marines reportedly will forestall personnel growth in FY2018 (the Marines’ FY2018 Budget Request proposes no personnel increases) in order to fund what Marine Corps leadership believes are more urgent readiness needs.⁴⁴

⁴⁰ Leo Shane III and Andrew Tilghman, “Trump’s Military Will have More Troops and More Firepower – If He Can Find the Money,” *Military Times*, November 20, 2016.

⁴¹ FY18 490K Army with Modernization Uplift, obtained by *InsideDefense.com*, January 24, 2017.

⁴² Leo Shane III and Andrew Tilghman, “Trump’s Military Will have More Troops and More Firepower – If He Can Find the Money,” *Military Times*, November 20, 2016.

⁴³ FY2018 President’s Budget Highlights, Assistant Secretary of the Army (Financial Management and Comptroller), May 2017, p. 14.

⁴⁴ Lee Hudson, “Neller: Marines Will Stall Personnel Growth in FY 2018 to Pay for Readiness,” *InsideDefense.com*, May 24, 2017.

Although it remains to be seen whether Army and Marines will grow to the levels proposed by the Administration, as well as create the additional force structure described above, some growth in both services seems likely. As part of this growth, it is likely overall JLTV requirements for both services will increase correspondingly. In this regard, as force structure changes become clearer, it might be beneficial for oversight if the Army and Marines issued revised requirements for JLTV procurement.

Fielding Plan for JLTVs

A review of the services' Tactical Wheeled Vehicle Strategies provides little information on how the Army and Marines plan to field JLTVs to operational units. Congress may act to review the services' fielding plans to insure units involved in operations have access to JLTVs. In the Army's case, how many JLTVs the Army plans to procure to meet their LRV requirements might also be of interest to Congress. Another issue Congress might examine is the fielding breakdown between Active and Reserve Component units in both services. With the Air Force planning to procure 140 JLTVs in FY2018, its overall requirements and fielding plan might also be of interest of Congress.

Author Contact Information

(name redacted)
Specialist in Military Ground Forces
[redacted]@crs.loc.gov, 7-....

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted names, phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.