



**Congressional
Research Service**

Informing the legislative debate since 1914

Funding for Carbon Capture and Sequestration (CCS) at DOE: In Brief

(name redacted)

Specialist in Energy and Natural Resources Policy

May 26, 2016

Congressional Research Service

7-....

www.crs.gov

R44472

Introduction

Carbon capture and sequestration (or storage)—known as CCS—is a physical process that involves capturing man-made carbon dioxide (CO₂) at its source and storing it before its release to the atmosphere. CCS could reduce the amount of CO₂ emitted to the atmosphere from the continued use of fossil fuels at power plants and other large, industrial facilities. An integrated CCS system would include three main steps: (1) capturing CO₂ before it is emitted to the atmosphere and separating it from other gases; (2) purifying, compressing, and transporting the captured CO₂ to the sequestration site; and (3) injecting the CO₂ into subsurface geological reservoirs. Following its injection into a subsurface reservoir, the CO₂ would need to be monitored for leakage and to verify that it remains in the target geological reservoir. Once injection operations cease, a responsible party would need to take title to the injected CO₂ and ensure that it stays underground in perpetuity.

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) has pursued research and development (R&D) of aspects of the three main steps leading to an integrated CCS system since 1997. Congress has long been interested in the future of CCS as a mitigation strategy for lowering global emissions of CO₂. Since FY2008, Congress has appropriated more than \$7 billion for CCS activities at DOE. Nearly half that funding, \$3.4 billion, came from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (P.L. 111-5; enacted February 17, 2009; hereinafter referred to as the Recovery Act). Authority to expend Recovery Act funding expired at the end of FY2015.

The large and rapid influx of funding for industrial-scale CCS projects from the Recovery Act was intended to accelerate development and demonstration of CCS in the United States. Along with the large financial boost to CCS research and development provided by the Recovery Act, Congress continued to appropriate additional funds annually to support other CCS activities. CCS-focused research and development has come to dominate the coal program area within DOE Fossil Energy Research and Development (FER&D) since the Recovery Act was enacted.

Table 1 and **Table 2** of this report show the funding for DOE CCS programs since 2010 under FER&D, including the Administration's FY2017 budget proposal. **Table 1** shows funding from FY2010 through FY2016, including Recovery Act funding. **Table 2** shows a comparison between FY2016 enacted funding and the FY2017 budget proposal, including proposed changes to the budget structure for FY2017, and includes columns for the House and Senate appropriations bills.

DOE Carbon Capture and Sequestration Funding Since FY2010

In **Table 1**, Recovery Act programs are organized under the CCS Demonstrations category. CCS-related programs funded by annual appropriations—apart from the Recovery Act—are organized under the Coal CCS and Power Systems category. The remainder of Fossil Energy spending is organized under Other Fossil Energy R&D. DOE changed the program structure for coal after FY2010, renaming and consolidating program areas. In **Table 1**, the Coal CCS and Power Systems bottom-line total is provided for FY2010, but the amounts for individual programs are not provided for that year because of the reorganization.

Recovery Act funding supported four main categories of activities: (1) FutureGen; (2) the Clean Coal Power Initiative (CCPI); (3) Industrial Carbon Capture and Storage (ICCS); and (4) Site Characterization, Training, and Program Direction. FutureGen, CCPI, and ICCS garnered the bulk of Recovery Act funds for CCS (\$3.32 billion, or 98%). **Table 1** shows the Recovery Act

funding amounts in one column for 2009, but those funds were available through FY2015. Zeroes in the columns for FY2010 through FY2016 indicate that no new Recovery Act funds were made available during those years. However, DOE continued to fund other CCS programs and activities with regular appropriations in each of those years, as shown by the rows in the table below Recovery Act programs.

Some programs are directly focused on one or more of the three steps of CCS: capture, transportation, and storage. For example, the Carbon Capture program supports R&D on post-combustion, pre-combustion, and natural gas capture. The Carbon Storage program supports the regional carbon sequestration partnerships, geological storage technologies, and other aspects of permanently sequestering CO₂ underground.

Also shown in **Table 1** are funding levels under Other Fossil Energy R&D. Activities in this category include programs pursuing fossil energy R&D and support activities. The largest activity is Program Direction (\$114.2 million in FY2016), which provides DOE headquarters support and federal field and contractor support of the fossil energy R&D programs overall. These activities support CCS-related activities directly and indirectly. The next-largest activities are Natural Gas Technologies (\$43 million in FY2016) and Unconventional Fossil (\$20.3 million in FY2016), which support collaborative research to foster safe and prudent development of shale gas resources, the reduction of methane emissions from natural gas infrastructure, and research on gas hydrates. The other activities listed in **Table 1**—Plant and Capital, Environmental Restoration, and Special Recruitment—total approximately \$24.5 million for FY2016.

FY2017 Budget Request and Proposed Restructuring

Table 2 shows the FY2017 DOE budget proposal for Fossil Energy R&D and compares the proposal to the FY2016 enacted amount. On the left side of **Table 2**, enacted funding for FY2016 is shown in the current organizational structure. On the right side of **Table 2**, FY2016 enacted funding and FY2017 proposed funding are compared in the proposed restructuring scheme. The total funding for Fossil Energy R&D is \$632 million for FY2016 and \$600 million for FY2017. (In FY2017, DOE proposes using \$240 million of prior-year balances, plus \$360 million, for a total request of \$600 million.)

Observations

The FY2017 budget request continues the trend of the past several years of shifting increasingly toward CCS-related activities and away from what were termed coal program areas in previous budgets. The term coal itself is omitted in the proposed restructuring of the FER&D accounts. DOE notes in its Highlights and Major Changes summary:

In FY2017, FER&D continues to focus on CCS and activities that increase the efficiency and availability of advanced power systems integrated with CCS. It is important to demonstrate that electric generation technology with CCS can be deployed at commercial scale while maintaining reliable, predictable, and safe operations. Therefore, the FER&D portfolio includes several major integrated CCS demonstration projects encompassing different technological approaches and applications of CCS. A number of those projects have not yet reached financial close. DOE intends to de-obligate \$240 million from CCPI projects that have not yet reached financial close and repurpose these funds to support the FY2017 R&D portfolio.

Further, the budget request “proposes a restructuring of the account to support clarity in the Budget request, improve execution, and eliminate the categorization by fuel type that is no longer

appropriate for this R&D portfolio.” The emphasis on developing CCS technologies would apply to coal and natural gas. Other changes indicate some consolidation of accounts, such as National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) research and operations and NETL infrastructure, and moving the Supercritical CO₂ Technology program—a separate program in the FY2016 structure—to a subprogram of Advanced Energy Systems under the proposed FY2017 structure (see the comparison in **Table 2**).

Congressional Action

The Senate Appropriations Committee reported S. 2804, the Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2017, on April 14, 2016, and recommended \$632 million for FER&D, the same amount as the FY2016 enacted amount. The committee did not accept the proposed restructuring of most of the FER&D accounts but did support the proposed reorganization of the NETL budget structure. On May 12, 2016, the Senate approved the Energy and Water appropriations bill and included the Appropriations Committee’s recommendations regarding FER&D.

The House Appropriations Committee reported its version of the bill, H.R. 2028, on April 19, 2016. Like the Senate, the House committee did not accept most of the proposed restructuring, except for the reorganization of the NETL budget structure. The House bill would provide a total of \$645 million for FER&D, \$45 million more than the request and \$13 million more than the Senate-passed version.

Table 2 includes a column to the left of the bold vertical bar showing the FY2017 House and Senate bill recommendations for programs under the existing structure and a column to the right of the bold vertical bar showing the FY2017 House and Senate bill funding under the proposed restructuring of the NETL accounts. Funding levels in the Senate bill for FY2017 are either identical or roughly similar to FY2016 levels for most of the programs shown in **Table 2**. The restructured NETL accounts are not directly comparable, but the overall funding recommendation for FER&D of \$632 million is identical to the FY2016 enacted amount, suggesting that the NETL activities would be funded similarly in FY2017. The House bill shows some more significant differences from the FY2016 enacted amount, as shown in **Table 2**, and would provide \$13 million more in total FER&D funding than either the FY2016 enacted amount or the Senate-passed version of the bill.

Table I. Funding for DOE Fossil Energy Research, Development, and Demonstration Program Areas
(FY2010 through FY2016, including Recovery Act)

Fossil Energy R&D Coal Program Areas	Program/Activity	Recovery Act	FY2010 (\$1,000)	FY2011 (\$1,000)	FY2012 (\$1,000)	FY2013 (\$1,000)	FY2014 (\$1,000)	FY2015 (\$1,000)	FY2016 (\$1,000)
Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) Demonstrations	FutureGen 2.0	\$1 billion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Clean Coal Power Initiative (CCPI)	\$800 million	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Industrial Carbon Capture and Storage Projects (ICCS)	\$1.52 billion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Site Characterization, Training, Program Direction	\$80 million	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coal CCS and Power Systems	Carbon Capture	—	—	58,703	66,986	63,725	92,000	88,000	101,000
	Carbon Storage	—	—	120,912	112,208	106,745	108,766	100,000	106,000
	Advanced Energy Systems	—	—	168,627	97,169	92,438	99,500	103,000	105,000
	Cross Cutting Research	—	—	41,446	47,946	45,618	41,925	49,000	50,000
	Supercritical CO₂ Technology	—	—	—	—	—	—	10,000	15,000
	NETL Coal Research and Development	—	—	—	35,011	33,338	50,011	50,000	53,000

Fossil Energy R&D Coal Program Areas	Program/Activity	Recovery Act	FY2010 (\$1,000)	FY2011 (\$1,000)	FY2012 (\$1,000)	FY2013 (\$1,000)	FY2014 (\$1,000)	FY2015 (\$1,000)	FY2016 (\$1,000)
Subtotal Coal		\$3.4 billion	393,485	389,688	359,320	341,864	392,202	400,000	430,000
Other Fossil Energy R&D	Natural Gas Technologies	—	17,364	0	14,575	13,865	20,600	25,121	43,000
	Unconventional Fossil	—	19,474	0	4,859	4,621	15,000	4,500	20,321
	Program Direction	—	158,000	164,725	119,929	114,201	120,000	119,000	114,202
	Plant and Capital	—	20,000	19,960	16,794	15,982	16,032	15,782	15,782
	Environmental Restoration	—	10,000	9,980	7,897	7,515	5,897	5,897	7,995
	Special Recruitment	—	700	699	700	667	700	700	700
	Coop R&D		4,868	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Congressionally Directed Projects		35,879	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal Other Fossil R&D		—	266,285	195,364	164,754	156,851	178,229	171,000	202,000
Rescissions/Use of Prior-Year Balances				(151,000)	(187,000)				
Total Fossil Energy R&D		\$3.4 billion	659,770	434,052	337,074	498,715	570,431	571,000	632,000

Sources: U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) annual budget Justifications for FY2010 through FY2016.

Notes: Recovery Act = American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (P.L. 111-5); R&D = research and development; NETL = National Energy Technology Laboratory. On February 3, 2015, DOE announced that it was suspending the FutureGen program. Funding in nominal dollars.

Table 2. Comparing Funding for DOE Fossil Energy R&D in FY2016 with the FY2017 Request and Proposed Restructuring and the House and Senate FY2017 Appropriations Bills

Fossil Energy R&D Coal Program Areas (FY2016)	Program/Activity (FY2016)	FY2016 Enacted (\$1,000)	Senate FY2017 Approps Bill (\$1,000)	House FY2017 Approps Bill (\$1,000)	Fossil Energy R&D (Request to restructure accounts for FY2017)	Program/Activity (Request to restructure accounts for FY2017)	FY2016 Enacted (\$1,000)	FY2017 Request (\$1,000)	Senate FY2017 Approps Bill^a (\$1,000)	House FY2017 Approps Bill^a (\$1,000)
Coal CCS and Power Systems (FY2016)	Carbon Capture	101,000	101,000	109,200	CCS and Advanced Power Systems (Restructured for FY2017)	Carbon Capture	131,000	170,352	—	—
	Carbon Storage	106,000	106,000	85,500		Carbon Storage	106,000	90,875	—	—
	Advanced Energy Systems	105,000	105,000	110,000		Advanced Energy Systems	90,000	47,800	—	—
	Cross Cutting Research	50,000	50,000	60,000		Crosscutting Research and Analysis	50,700	59,350	—	—
	Supercritical CO ₂ Technology (STEP)	15,000	15,000	24,300						
	NETL Coal Research and Development	53,000	—	53,000						
Subtotal Coal CCS and Power Systems (FY2016)		430,000	377,000	442,000	Subtotal CCS and Advanced Power Systems	377,700	368,377			
Other Fossil Energy R&D (FY2016)	Natural Gas Technologies	43,000	46,000	24,700	Other Fossil Energy R&D (Restructured for FY2017)	Fuel Supply Impact Mitigation	43,000	26,500	—	—

Fossil Energy R&D Coal Program Areas (FY2016)	Program/Activity (FY2016)	FY2016 Enacted (\$1,000)	Senate FY2017 Approps Bill (\$1,000)	House FY2017 Approps Bill (\$1,000)	Fossil Energy R&D (Request to restructure accounts for FY2017)	Program/Activity (Request to restructure accounts for FY2017)	FY2016 Enacted (\$1,000)	FY2017 Request (\$1,000)	Senate FY2017 Approps Bill ^a (\$1,000)	House FY2017 Approps Bill ^a (\$1,000)
	Unconventional Fossil	20,321	23,245	20,000		Unconventional Fossil	20,321	0	—	—
	Program Direction	114,202	60,000	59,475		Program Direction	60,045	60,998	—	—
	Plant and Capital	15,782	—	—		NETL Research and Operations	91,984	76,070	73,000	44,984
	Environmental Restoration	7,995	—	—		NETL Infrastructure	38,950	68,055	52,055	53,141
	Special Recruitment	700	700	700						
Subtotal Other Fossil Energy R&D		202,000	129,945	104,875	Subtotal Other Fossil Energy R&D		254,300	231,623	125,055	98,125
<i>Rescissions/Use of Prior-Year Balances</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>Use of Prior-Year Balances</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>(240,000)</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
Total Fossil Energy R&D		632,000	632,000	645,000	Total Fossil Energy R&D		632,000	360,000	632,000	645,000

Source: Department of Energy, FY2017 Congressional Budget Request, Volume 3, *Fossil Energy Research and Development*, pp. 547-659, at http://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2016/02/f29/FY2017BudgetVolume3_2.pdf; H.Rept. 114-532; S.Rept. 114-236.

Notes: The House and Senate Appropriations Committees rejected the Administration proposal to restructure Coal CCS and Power Systems accounts and several other Fossil Energy R&D accounts but accepted the Administration proposal to restructure National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) accounts. This table shows amounts for both in the House and Senate FY2017 Appropriation bill columns, and it shows the same total amount on the bottom line. Dashes are shown where the House and Senate FY2017 Appropriation bills did not specify funding. The STEP program, listed parenthetically in the FY2016 budget structure with the Supercritical CO₂ Technology program, stands for Supercritical Transformational Electric Power.

- a. This column indicates that the House and Senate Appropriations Committees did not agree to the restructured accounting in the FY2017 budget proposal except for the proposed reorganization of the NETL budget structure.

Author Contact Information

(name redacted)

Specialist in Energy and Natural Resources Policy

-redacted-@crs.loc.gov-....

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted names, phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.