



**Congressional  
Research Service**

Informing the legislative debate since 1914

---

# Amendments on the House Floor: Summary of Major Restrictions

name redacted

Specialist on the Congress

August 25, 2015

**Congressional Research Service**

7-....

[www.crs.gov](http://www.crs.gov)

98-426

## Summary

The opportunities for Representatives to offer floor amendments to a bill or resolution depend on the procedures by which the House considers the measure. In summary:

- After general debate on a bill in the *Committee of the Whole*, Members may offer whatever amendments they choose if (1) those amendments comply with applicable House rules and precedents, some of which are identified below, (2) Members offer their amendments at the appropriate times, and (3) the House has not adopted a special rule that prohibits consideration of some or all amendments to the bill. An amendment also can be proposed in a motion to recommit that is offered in the House after the Committee of the Whole completes action on the bill and reports it back to the House.
- *In the House, under the one-hour rule*, an amendment can be offered only by the Member who controls the floor or if that Member yields to a colleague for the purpose of offering an amendment. If the House votes to order the previous question on a bill after no more than one hour of debate, as it usually does, the effect of that vote is to prevent any floor amendments, except for an amendment that may be incorporated into a motion to recommit the bill.
- Under *suspension of the rules*, no floor amendments are in order. However, the Member offering the suspension motion may include amendments in the motion. If so, that Member moves to suspend the rules and pass the measure as amended. The House does not vote separately on any such amendments.

## **Contents**

General Restrictions on Amendments ..... 1  
The Germaneness Requirement..... 1

## **Contacts**

Author Contact Information ..... 2

The opportunities for Representatives to offer floor amendments to a bill or resolution depends on the procedures by which the House considers the measure.

In summary:

- After general debate on a bill in the *Committee of the Whole*, Members may offer whatever amendments they choose if (1) those amendments comply with applicable House rules and precedents, some of which are identified below; (2) Members offer their amendments at the appropriate times; and (3) the House has not adopted a special rule that prohibits consideration of some or all amendments to the bill. An amendment also can be proposed in a motion to recommit that is offered in the House after the Committee of the Whole completes action on the bill and reports it back to the House.
- *In the House, under the one-hour rule*, an amendment can be offered only by the Member who controls the floor or if that Member yields to a colleague for the purpose of offering an amendment. If the House votes to order the previous question on a bill after no more than one hour of debate, as it usually does, the effect of that vote is to prevent any floor amendments, except for an amendment that may be incorporated into a motion to recommit the bill.
- Under *suspension of the rules*, no floor amendments are in order. However, the Member offering the suspension motion may include amendments in the motion. If so, that Member moves to suspend the rules and pass the measure as amended. The House cannot vote separately on any such amendments.

## General Restrictions on Amendments

The House's rules and precedents impose certain restrictions that apply generally to House floor amendments, regardless of the procedure under which they are offered.

In general, for example, an amendment is not in order (1) if the amendment is not in writing at the time it is offered; (2) if the amendment is in the third degree—that is, it is an amendment to an amendment; (3) if the House already has acted on an identical amendment; (4) if the amendment only proposes to re-amend a portion of the bill that already has been amended; (5) if the amendment affects different parts of the bill and actually constitutes two or more amendments that can be offered *en bloc* only by unanimous consent; or (6) if the amendment is not offered at the time the Committee of the Whole is considering amendments only to the section or title of the bill that the amendment would affect. This list is not exhaustive, and there are exceptions to some of these general restrictions. For instance, an amendment to a substitute for an amendment is not considered to be a third degree amendment. Also, an amendment may re-amend something that already has been amended if it does so in the process of amending a larger part of the text in question.

## The Germaneness Requirement

In addition to such general restrictions, clause 7 of House Rule XVI also requires that each amendment must be germane to the text it would amend. This principle can be difficult to apply in practice.

Volumes 10 and 11 of *Deschler-Brown Precedents of the House of Representatives* devote almost 2,000 pages to the germaneness rule and its application. To be germane, it is not sufficient that the amendment be relevant to the bill the House is considering or even to the section or title of the

bill that the amendment would change. It is possible for an amendment to be relevant without satisfying the technical standards of germaneness.

In the commentary that follows the text of the germaneness rule in the *House Rules and Manual*, the House parliamentarian identifies three tests of germaneness: *subject matter*, *fundamental purpose*, and *committee jurisdiction*. To be germane, (1) “[a]n amendment must relate to the subject matter under consideration,” (2) “[t]he fundamental purpose of an amendment must be germane to the fundamental purpose of the bill,” and (3) “[a]n amendment when considered as a whole should be within the jurisdiction of the committee reporting the bill.”

None of these tests is always conclusive, nor is one of them necessarily more controlling than the others. Furthermore, an amendment may satisfy all three of these tests and still not be germane. To help explain this possibility, the parliamentarian also elaborates several principles of germaneness, including the following: (1) “[o]ne individual proposition may not be amended by another individual proposition even though the two belong to the same class,” and (2) “[a] specific subject may not be amended by a provision general in nature, even when of the class of the specific subject,” but (3) “[a] general subject may be amended by specific propositions of the same class.”

## **Author Contact Information**

(name redacted)  
Specialist on the Congress  
redacted@crs.loc.gov , 7-....

# EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted names, phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.