



**Congressional
Research Service**

Informing the legislative debate since 1914

El Salvador: Background and U.S. Relations

Clare Ribando Seelke

Specialist in Latin American Affairs

April 9, 2015

Congressional Research Service

7-5700

www.crs.gov

R43616

Summary

Congress has long maintained interest in El Salvador, a small Central American country that also has had a large percentage of its population living in the United States, since the country's civil conflict (1980-1992). Whereas in the 1980s the U.S. government spent billions of dollars supporting the Salvadoran government's efforts against an insurgency led by the Farabundo Martí National Liberation Front (FMLN), the United States is now working with the country's second democratically elected FMLN Administration. Analysts predict that U.S.-Salvadoran relations will remain constructive during Salvador Sánchez Cerén's presidency (2014-2019), as they did during former President Mauricio Funes's term (2009-2014).

El Salvador currently faces significant economic and security challenges that the country is unlikely to be able to address without substantial support. El Salvador posted an economic growth rate of 2% in 2014, the lowest of any country in Central America. The government is running high deficits and attracting little foreign investment. Economists have cited security concerns as a barrier to investment. Although a truce between the country's major gangs helped lower homicide rates in 2012 and early 2013, it has since unraveled. Homicides increased by 57% in 2014.

Inaugurated on June 1, 2014, President Sánchez Cerén, a former FMLN guerrilla commander, took office pledging to lead a government based on the principles of austerity, efficiency, and transparency. Since his narrow victory over conservative National Republican Alliance (ARENA) candidate Norman Quijano in a runoff election, President Sánchez Cerén has adopted a conciliatory attitude toward the opposition. Cooperation with ARENA and the private sector will be necessary in order for the government to address the serious challenges it inherited. Moreover, since the FMLN lacks a majority in the National Assembly elected on March 1, 2015, it must form coalitions in order to pass legislation.

The direction that U.S.-Salvadoran relations take in the coming years will likely depend upon the degree to which the Sánchez Cerén government maintains security and economic cooperation with the United States under the Partnership for Growth (PFG) initiative. Launched in 2011, the PFG is a new foreign aid approach involving close collaboration between the United States and select partner countries. Congress has provided bilateral assistance, which totaled an estimated \$22.3 million in FY2014, as well as regional security assistance provided through the Central American Regional Security Initiative (CARSI) to support PFG priorities, including justice sector reform and violence prevention efforts. Cooperation in boosting El Salvador's competitiveness should be bolstered by a second \$277-million Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) compact signed on September 30, 2014.

Migration issues, such as how to prevent emigration by unaccompanied alien children (UAC) from El Salvador and reintegrate deportees from the United States into Salvadoran society, also figure prominently on the bilateral agenda. With support from the Inter-American Development Bank, the Salvadoran government has worked with its Guatemalan and Honduran counterparts to design a Plan of the Alliance for Prosperity in the Northern Triangle to address the root causes of illegal emigration. The Obama Administration has requested \$1 billion in foreign aid for FY2016 to support that plan, including \$119 million in bilateral assistance for El Salvador.

This report examines current conditions in El Salvador, as well as issues in U.S.-Salvadoran relations.

Contents

Introduction.....	1
Post-Conflict Period	1
Funes Administration (2009-2014).....	2
Sánchez Cerén Administration.....	3
2014 Elections	3
Composition and Priorities	4
Constraints Facing the Government	5
March 2015 Elections.....	5
Economic and Social Conditions.....	6
Growth and Investment	7
Poverty.....	7
Security and Human Rights	8
Police, Military, and Judicial Capabilities	9
2012 Gang Truce	10
2015 Security Plan.....	11
Confronting Past Human Rights Violations	11
U.S. Relations	12
Partnership for Growth Initiative.....	13
Migration Issues	14
Temporary Protected Status and Executive Action on Immigration	14
Removals (Deportations).....	15
Unaccompanied Alien Children	15
Foreign Assistance.....	17
The Central American Regional Security Initiative	17
Millennium Challenge Corporation	18
Counter-Narcotics Cooperation.....	19
Anti-Gang Efforts and U.S. Programs.....	20
Trade and CAFTA-DR	21

Figures

Figure 1. Map of El Salvador and Key Data on the Country.....	2
---	---

Tables

Table 1. U.S. Bilateral Assistance to El Salvador: FY2012-FY2016	17
--	----

Contacts

Author Contact Information.....	22
Acknowledgments	22

Introduction

A small, densely populated Central American country that has deep historical, familial, and economic ties to the United States, El Salvador has long been a focus of congressional interest (see **Figure 1** for a map and key data on the country).¹ After a troubled history of authoritarian rule and a brutal civil war (1980-1992), El Salvador has made strides over the past two decades in establishing a multiparty democracy. A peace accord negotiated in 1992 brought the war to an end and assimilated the leftist Farabundo Marti National Liberation Front (FMLN) guerrilla movement into the political process as a political party. In 2009, Mauricio Funes, a former journalist, took office as head of the country's first FMLN government. After a razor-thin election, Salvador Sánchez Cerén, a former FMLN high commander, took office on June 1, 2014, at the helm of a government composed mainly of former guerrillas.

This report examines El Salvador's current political, economic, and security/human rights challenges, as well as key issues in U.S.-Salvadoran relations.

Post-Conflict Period

After peace accords were signed in 1992, successive rightist Nationalist Republican Alliance (ARENA) governments in the 1990s-2000s sought to rebuild democracy and implement market-friendly economic reforms. ARENA proved to be a reliable U.S. ally and presided over a period of economic growth, but did not effectively address inequality, violence, and corruption. Former ARENA President Francisco Flores (1999-2004) is under house arrest awaiting trial for allegedly embezzling some \$15 million in donations from Taiwan that were meant for earthquake relief.² Allegations of corruption also dogged former President Anthony ("Tony") Saca (2004-2009).³ Under ARENA governments, socioeconomic development advanced, but was hindered by natural disasters, including earthquakes in 2001 and several hurricanes.

Deep scars and political polarization remain evident in El Salvador today from a war that resulted in significant human rights violations, more than 70,000 deaths, and massive emigration to the United States.⁴ Old wounds could be reopened should the Salvadoran Supreme Court overturn the 1993 Amnesty Law that has shielded those who committed human rights abuses during the civil conflict from prosecution. Still, many argue that such a decision could provide justice for victims and advance human rights in the country. Some analysts maintain that the history of U.S. involvement in countering the insurgency in El Salvador could make relations between the United States and this current FMLN government difficult for both sides.⁵

¹ For historical background on El Salvador, see Federal Research Division, The Library of Congress, *El Salvador: A Country Study*, ed. Richard Haggerty (Washington, DC: Library of Congress, 1990).

² "Former El Salvadoran President Flores Returns to House Arrest," *EFE*, December 1, 2014.

³ After Saca's term ended, ARENA dismissed him from the party for allegedly misappropriating party funds. Although Saca's personal wealth allegedly increased dramatically while he was in office, he has never been investigated for misappropriating public funds. Gabriel Labrador, "Ganancias de las Empresas de Saca se Multiplicaron Hasta por 16 Cuando Fue Presidente," *El Faro*, November 19, 2013.

⁴ Priscilla B. Hayner, *Unspeakable Truths: Facing the Challenge of Truth Commissions* (New York, NY: Routledge, 2002); Diana Villiers Negroponete, *Seeking Peace in El Salvador: The Struggle to Reconstruct a Nation at the End of the Cold War* (New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012).

⁵ Héctor Silva Ávalos, "Washington y El FMLN: Aprender a Bailar," *El Faro*, March 19, 2014.

Figure I. Map of El Salvador and Key Data on the Country



Funes Administration (2009-2014)

Mauricio Funes, a former journalist who led the country's first FMLN government, remained popular throughout his term, but his Administration struggled to address many of the country's deeply entrenched economic and security problems. Funes was an independent who had periodic conflicts with members of the FMLN, including his vice president, Salvador Sánchez Cerén. In order to secure passage of legislation, President Funes had to form coalitions with other parties, namely the populist Grand Alliance for National Unity (GANU) party formed by former President Tony Saca after he split from ARENA in 2009.

Funes has been credited with developing social programs that provided uniforms and school supplies to public school students and included multifaceted women's health centers. Funes also issued a historic apology to victims of the 1981 El Mozote massacre on the 20th anniversary of the

signing of the Peace Accords. He “recognized” the Inter American Court of Human Rights ruling that El Salvador needs to reinvestigate the massacre and guarantee the rights of victims to seek reparations.⁶ Some 65.9% of Salvadorans polled in May 2014 rated Funes’ presidency positively even while acknowledging that security and the economy worsened during his term.⁷

While the Salvadoran public may evaluate the Funes government favorably, it has been criticized by analysts from both the right and the left for failing to bolster economic growth, reduce crime, or fight corruption. The Funes government expanded crime prevention programs and community policing efforts, but its security policy will likely be remembered for the way it tacitly supported and then later disavowed a truce between the country’s largest gangs. Observers have criticized Funes’s inability to improve transparency and accountability.⁸ Allegations of corruption have been made against former President Funes, for favoritism in awarding government contracts.⁹

The Funes Administration was also fraught with disputes among the Supreme Court, National Assembly, and executive branch over the separation of powers and clashes between the government and the Salvadoran private sector. From June to August 2012, the country became embroiled in a constitutional crisis over the makeup and authority of El Salvador’s Supreme Court that was only settled after a series of complicated negotiations led by President Funes himself. Nevertheless, some critics have decried Funes for causing conflict with the private sector and improperly wielding his power on behalf of the FMLN.¹⁰

Sánchez Cerén Administration

2014 Elections

El Salvador held the first round of presidential elections on February 2, 2014, and a subsequent runoff election on March 9, 2014. The runoff election between Vice President Salvador Sánchez Cerén and ARENA’s candidate, Norman Quijano, proved to be much closer than expected. El Salvador’s Electoral Tribunal did not certify the final results until it and the Supreme Court had dismissed all but one of ARENA’s challenges to the validity of the results on March 25, 2014. Sánchez Cerén captured 50.1% of the vote while Quijano received 49.9%.

⁶ In addition to the El Mozote massacre, the 1989 killing of six Jesuit priests (five Spanish citizens), their housekeeper, and her daughter at the Universidad Centroamericana (UCA) marked another of the worst instances of human rights abuses carried out by military forces during the Salvadoran civil war. In 1991, under international pressure, a colonel, two lieutenants, a sub-lieutenant, and five soldiers were tried for the Jesuit murders. Only the colonel and one of the lieutenants were convicted; a 1993 amnesty law spared them significant prison time. It has prevented other high-level former military officials from being investigated or indicted in El Salvador for their alleged roles in the massacre. A Spanish judge began investigating the massacre in 2009, however, based on the principle of universal jurisdiction for human rights abuses and the Spanish origin of five of the priests. On May 8, 2012, El Salvador’s Supreme Court rejected Spain’s request to have 13 former military officers allegedly involved in the murders extradited to stand trial.

⁷ UCA, Instituto Universitario de Opinión Pública, *Los Salvadoreños y Salvadoreñas Evalúan al Gobierno de Mauricio Funes y el Pasado Proceso Electoral*, press bulletin year 28, no. 3, May 2014.

⁸ Daniel Valencia Caravantes and Efrén Lemus, “La Idea de Crear una CICIG para El Salvador la Mató el Silencio del Presidente” *El Faro Sala Negra*, May 12, 2014.

⁹ Linda Garrett, *El Salvador Update November – December 2014*, Center for Democracy in the Americas, January 12, 2015.

¹⁰ Fundación Salvadoreña para el Desarrollo Económico y Social (FUSADES), *Quinto Año de Gobierno del Presidente Funes. Apreciación General*, May 2014.

Composition and Priorities

During the presidential campaign, Sánchez Cerén sought to broaden his appeal beyond FMLN militants by running as a “progressive” and not as a hardliner. He selected Oscar Ortiz, the popular mayor of Santa Tecla, as his vice president and promised to keep the social programs that have been popular during the Funes government. Prior to taking office, President-elect Sánchez Cerén and Vice President Ortiz convened public and private dialogues between the transition team and different sectors of Salvadoran society, including ARENA and the private sector.

President Sánchez Cerén’s Cabinet includes several holdovers from the Funes government, including the ministers of the economy, foreign affairs, public works, and social inclusion. Several of those ministers have formed good working relationships with U.S. officials and have participated in the Partnership for Growth (PFG) and Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) compact process. The Cabinet also includes historic Fuerzas Populares de Liberación (FPL) leaders, Communist party officials, and allies of Tony Saca, some of whom have had tense relationships with the United States. The U.S. government reportedly regards Sánchez Cerén’s personal secretary, Manuel Melgar, as one of the people behind a 1985 attack on a café in San Salvador’s Zona Rosa neighborhood that killed four U.S. marines.¹¹ Some U.S. officials may also have concerns about the decision to maintain David Múnguía Payés, the architect of the ill-fated 2012 gang truce who is under investigation for allowing arms trafficking by the military, as minister of defense.¹² The rest of the security Cabinet is composed of FMLN politicians (Minister of Justice and Public Security Benito Lara) and/or police from the FMLN ranks (PNC director Mauricio Ramírez Landaverde).

Sánchez Cerén Biography

Born in 1944 in rural Quezaltepeque, El Salvador, to a family of humble origin, Salvador Sánchez Cerén began his career as a teacher. He later transitioned from being a teacher’s union leader to serving as a guerrilla commander for the Fuerzas Populares de Liberación, or FPL, during the war years. He was one of several FMLN leaders to sign the Peace Accords in 1992. Sánchez Cerén later served as a legislator from 2000 to 2008 before becoming Mauricio Funes’s vice president and minister of education. Sánchez Cerén is generally regarded as more of a leftist than former President Funes and maintains close ties with Venezuela and Cuba. He also has a reputation for honesty.

During his inaugural address, President Sánchez Cerén stressed the importance of transparency, conciliation, social justice, respect for the rule of law, and ensuring citizen security. He aims to boost growth and address the country’s fiscal crisis through infrastructure projects and reforms to improve the business climate; continue investing in education and healthcare; and personally lead efforts to combat “organized crime, drug trafficking, extortions, and all expressions of violence.” Sánchez Cerén stressed the importance of working with the United States on the Partnership for Growth and promoting trade with Latin America, Asia, and Europe as well. His government has joined Petrocaribe, an agreement promoted by Venezuela that provides oil at subsidized costs, and maintained ties with Cuba as well.

¹¹ Tim Johnson, “El Salvador’s Long-Ago Civil War Still Colors U.S. Relations,” *McClatchy Newspapers*, March 20, 2011.

¹² “El Salvador’s Defense Minister Investigated for Arms Trafficking,” *Latin News Daily Report*, June 11, 2014.

Constraints Facing the Government

President Sánchez Cerén has thus far encountered difficulty in implementing his government's priorities due to his country's severe fiscal constraints and his party's lack of a congressional majority. His government experienced the same type of opposition to its proposals to raise taxes from the private sector and conservative parties in the National Assembly that the outgoing Funes Administration encountered. Those groups support austerity rather than higher taxes. Unlike his predecessor, who enjoyed an approval rating in the range of 70%-75%, Sánchez Cerén has already faced a drop from a pre-inauguration approval rating of 53.1%. There have also been concerns regarding the president's health; he frequently travels to Cuba for medical care.

El Salvador's legislative branch is comprised of a unicameral National Assembly whose members are elected to serve for three-year terms. The current legislature was elected on March 1, 2015, in an election marred by technical problems and delayed results (see below). This Assembly, which will serve through April 2018, is highly fragmented.

The Supreme Court of El Salvador is composed of 15 justices that are divided among four chambers, including a constitutional chamber. Five justices are appointed to the court every three years by a two-thirds vote in the National Assembly to serve for nine-year terms. Following the 2009 elections, the Assembly approved five new justices after difficult negotiations. Since their installation in 2009, the five justices on the constitutional chamber of the Supreme Court have taken actions that appeared intended to check the power of the president and the legislature, something it has historically failed to do. Analysts are waiting to see whether Sánchez Cerén will be willing to abide by the court's decisions even if they are controversial.

March 2015 Elections

On March 1, 2015, El Salvador held legislative and municipal elections. This was the first election following the Supreme Court's 2014 decision to allow voters to choose candidates across party lines. This election also marked the first time that it was required that at least 30% of party candidates be women. Although only in office since June 2014, the perceived failure of the Sánchez Cerén government to tackle insecurity proved to be a major concern among voters. ARENA was hurt by the Flores corruption scandal noted above. Prior to the election, polls showed FMLN ahead of ARENA by 4.5% in legislative elections and 5.1% in municipal elections. President Sánchez Cerén hoped to pick up seats in the legislature in order to help gain approval for pending loans for his policy initiatives.

Preliminary results were expected to be released the night of the election; however, that proved impossible due to the complexity of the change in voting procedures and the breakdown of the Supreme Electoral Tribunal's (TSE) computer system. Election observers from the Organization of American States expressed concerns regarding the management and administration of the TSE.¹³ Votes then had to be counted manually, and the legislative results were not announced until late March.

¹³ Organization of American States (OAS), "Press Release E-008/15: OAS Electoral Observation Mission Observes Final Vote Count in El Salvador," March 16, 2015.

El Salvador remains divided between the FMLN and ARENA. The FMLN won back the San Salvador mayoralty but captured fewer mayoralties overall than ARENA. The FMLN also did not gain any seats in the legislature, maintaining its 31 seats. GANA also maintained its 11 seats, while ARENA rose from 28 to 35 seats. Smaller right-leaning parties that tend to vote with ARENA hold the remaining seven seats. As a result, neither the FMLN-GANA coalition nor the ARENA-led coalition has a simple majority. This will give small parties power since their support will be needed for either coalition to garner a majority.

Economic and Social Conditions

El Salvador achieved stability and economic growth in the 1990s following its embrace of a “neo-liberal” economic model that involved cutting government spending, privatizing state-owned enterprises, and, in 2001, adopting the U.S. dollar as its national currency. As expected, dollarization led to lower interest rates, low inflation, and easier access to capital markets, but it also took away the government’s ability to use monetary and exchange rate adjustments to cushion the economy from external shocks. After posting strong growth rates in the 1990s, El Salvador’s more moderate growth rates in the 2000s were not high enough to improve living standards among the Salvadoran people, approximately 47% of whom continued to live in poverty in 2010 (slightly lower than in 2001).¹⁴ Emigration reduced unemployment and infused some households with income in the form of remittances, but also caused social disruptions.

The Funes government inherited a stagnating economy attracting little foreign direct investment (FDI) and mired in debt. El Salvador’s already weak economy then contracted by 3.1% in 2009, as a result of the combined impact of the global financial crisis, U.S. recession, and damage wrought by Hurricane Ida. In March 2010, President Funes and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) agreed to a \$790 million package premised on the idea that as the Salvadoran economy recovered, the government would strive to improve tax administration, restrict spending, and reallocate energy subsidies. The IMF agreement paved the way for more than \$1 billion in loans from the World Bank and Inter-American Development Bank to support anti-poverty efforts, fiscal reform programs, and the creation of an export guarantee fund. Despite multilateral support, the Salvadoran economy continued to perform poorly.

Rather than presiding over a period of economic recovery, gross domestic product (GDP) growth averaged just 1.7% throughout the remainder of the Funes Administration, a rate too slow to spur progress in reducing poverty and inequality. Slow growth in the United States, El Salvador’s top trade partner, likely weakened U.S. demand for Salvadoran exports and limited remittance flows. In addition, a tropical storm in 2011 caused more than \$800 million in damage to roads, infrastructure, and agriculture, and a coffee rust outbreak in 2013/2014 reduced production in that sector, one of El Salvador’s main agricultural exports, by some 60%.¹⁵

The IMF and others have urged the Salvadoran government to adopt a series of reforms to attract investment, boost revenue, better target social spending, and reduce the country’s fiscal deficit,

¹⁴ U.N. Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), *Social Panorama of Latin America, 2011*, December 2011.

¹⁵ Famine Early Warning Systems Network, *The Coffee Sector in El Salvador Is the Most Affected by the Coffee Rust Shock in Central America*, March 2014.

which is currently at an unsustainably high level.¹⁶ The Salvadoran government has tended to swap short-term debt with longer-term debt, rather than implementing unpopular fiscal reforms. Those reforms include raising the value-added tax and creating a property tax, implementing a hiring freeze and limiting wage increases in the public sector, and fixing the pension system.

Growth and Investment

Economists have identified a lack of public and private (domestic and foreign) investment in the economy as the primary reason for El Salvador's low growth rates. Many wealthy Salvadorans have chosen to invest abroad rather than in the domestic economy. Over the past decade, FDI in El Salvador has lagged behind other Central American countries. That lack of foreign investment has been attributed to a number of factors, including the country's difficult business climate, public security challenges, and a low-skilled labor force that is comparatively too expensive (as it is paid in dollars) to compete with other lower-cost producers.

The Sánchez Cerén government is carrying on efforts that began during the latter part of the Funes government to attract foreign investment through public-private partnerships for infrastructure development, increase financing for small and medium sized-businesses, and build a more competitive workforce. It is receiving U.S. support in those endeavors through the Partnership for Growth (PFG) initiative, Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC), and U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID). Despite frequent conflicts with the private sector, the Funes Administration tried to improve the country's legal and regulatory environment, combat extortion and other crimes that affect businesses of all sizes, and align job training and education programs with market demands. A revised public-private partnerships (PPP) law that was enacted in 2014 could pave the way for President Sánchez Cerén's plans to modernize the airport, major ports, and certain highways. A recently passed Investment Stability Law is also expected to help increase investor confidence. The law provides investors with assurances that tax and customs regulations will not change during the course of their investment and creates a one-stop business registration process for foreign investors.

Poverty

El Salvador's social challenges have been exacerbated by the country's long and violent civil conflict, persistent poverty and inequality, and family disintegration. As previously mentioned, the effects of the 2009 global financial crisis and U.S. recession set back some of the progress that had been made prior to that time in reducing poverty in the country. Nevertheless, conditional cash transfers and other social programs, largely supported by loans from multilateral development banks, helped reduce poverty between 2010 and 2014 from 47% to 41%.¹⁷ Despite that progress, El Salvador's ranking in the U.N.'s Human Development Index (HDI) remained basically unchanged from the beginning to the end of the Funes government. El Salvador's ranking increased from 106 in 2009 to 115 in 2013.

Upon taking office, President Sánchez Cerén stated his intention to increase social spending using revenues that would be made available by reductions in energy costs that would occur as a result

¹⁶ IMF, *IMF Executive Board Concludes 2014 Article IV Consultation with El Salvador*, January 2015.

¹⁷ ECLAC, *Preliminary Overview of the Economies of Latin America and the Caribbean*, February 2014.

of the country's recent entrance into Petrocaribe.¹⁸ Since that time, oil prices have fallen, which has eased the country's energy costs. At the same time, however, economic conditions in Venezuela have deteriorated significantly and cast doubt on the future of the Petrocaribe program.

Security and Human Rights

As with neighboring Honduras and Guatemala, El Salvador has been dealing with escalating homicides and generalized crime committed by gangs, drug traffickers, and other criminal groups. El Salvador recorded a homicide rate of roughly 61.1 per 100,000 people in 2014, a 57% increase from 2013.¹⁹ El Salvador has the highest concentration of gang members per capita in Central America;²⁰ as a result, gangs, namely the Mara Salvatrucha (MS-13) and 18th Street gang,²¹ are likely responsible for a higher percentage of homicides there than in neighboring countries. Drug-trafficking organizations, including Mexican groups such as Los Zetas, have increased their illicit activities in El Salvador, including money laundering, albeit to a lesser extent than in Honduras and Guatemala. Some analysts assert that connections between drug traffickers and the MS-13 gang are fairly well developed; others doubt that assertion.²²

Amidst a climate of extreme violence and severe human rights abuses perpetrated by criminal groups, the State Department has reported that some Salvadoran military and police have been accused of involvement in unlawful killings and torture.²³ Abuses were common in the 2000s as successive ARENA governments launched aggressive “mano-dura” anti-gang policies.²⁴ It will be a challenge for the Sánchez Cerén government to ensure that security officials—both police and military—do not engage in human rights abuses when carrying out law enforcement functions now that they have been given the legal authority to shoot back in cases of gang attacks.²⁵ Those attacks have occurred with increasing frequency since a 2012 gang truce unraveled in mid-2014, particularly after gang leaders who had been involved in that process were returned to maximum security prisons earlier this year.²⁶

¹⁸ Amadeo Cabrera et al., “El Salvador Ingreso a Acuerdo Petrocaribe,” *La Prensa Gráfica*, June 3, 2014.

¹⁹ “Maras Seen Behind Escalating Violence in El Salvador,” *Latin News Daily*, January 21, 2015.

²⁰ U.N. Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), *Transnational Organized Crime in Central America and the Caribbean: A Threat Assessment*, September 2012. Hereinafter, UNODC, September 2012.

²¹ The 18th Street gang was formed by Mexican youth in the Rampart section of Los Angeles in the 1960s who were not accepted into existing Hispanic gangs. It was the first Hispanic gang to accept members from all races and to recruit members from other states. MS-13 was created during the 1980s by Salvadorans in Los Angeles who had fled the country's civil conflict. Both gangs later expanded their operations to Central America. For background, see CRS Report RL34112, *Gangs in Central America*, by Clare Ribando Seelke.

²² Douglas Farah and Pamela Phillips Lum, *Central American Gangs and Transnational Criminal Organizations*, International Assessment and Strategy Center, February 2013; UNODC, September 2012.

²³ U.S. Department of State, *Country Report on Human Rights Practices: El Salvador*, February 2014.

²⁴ *Mano dura* approaches have typically involved incarcerating large numbers of youth (often those with visible tattoos) for illicit association and increasing sentences for gang membership and gang-related crimes. A *mano dura* law passed by El Salvador's Congress in 2003 was subsequently declared unconstitutional but was followed by a *super mano dura* package of anti-gang reforms in July 2004. These reforms enhanced police power to search and arrest suspected gang members and stiffened penalties for convicted gang members, although they provided some protections for minors accused of gang-related crimes. Most youth arrested under *mano dura* provisions were subsequently released for lack of evidence that they committed any crime.

²⁵ David Gagne, “El Salvador Police Chief Targets Rising Gang Violence,” January 21, 2015.

²⁶ “El Salvador Returns Criminal Gang Leaders to High Security Jail,” Reuters, February 19, 2015.

Police, Military, and Judicial Capabilities

In recent years, much has been written about the governance problems that have made El Salvador susceptible to the influence of criminal elements and unable to guarantee citizen security. Resource constraints in the security sector have persisted over time. A lack of confidence in the underfunded public security forces has in turn led many businesses and wealthy people to use private security firms. As of 2013, El Salvador's Civilian National Police (PNC) had roughly 22,000 police while there were 28,600 registered private security guards.²⁷ There have also been serious concerns about corruption in the police, prisons, and judicial system.

With a majority of the PNC budget devoted to salaries and benefits for current officers, there has historically been limited funding available for investing in training and equipment. The PNC has deficient wages, training, and infrastructure. It has also lacked a merit-based promotion system. Corruption, weak investigatory capacity, and an inability to prosecute officers accused of corruption and human rights abuses remain additional barriers to police performance.²⁸

PNC leadership changed three times during the Funes Administration. The force began under the leadership of FMLN officials, including an inspector general who won praise from human rights organizations—and contempt from the Salvadoran Congress—for investigating PNC ties to organized crime.²⁹ Under pressure from Salvadoran society to reduce crime rates and reportedly from the U.S. government to replace then-Minister of Justice and Public Security Manuel Melgar, President Funes replaced the FMLN leadership at both the ministry and the PNC with retired generals in November 2011.³⁰ This angered human rights groups and the FMLN.

As minister, retired general David Munguía Payés removed most officers affiliated with the FMLN from leadership positions and appointed some officers who had been under investigation by the aforementioned inspector general to key roles. The arrest and hasty release of José Natividad Luna Pereira (“Chepe Luna”), a fugitive Salvadoran drug trafficker, in Honduras in August 2012 refocused scrutiny on Salvadoran police who had been under investigation for allegedly helping Luna evade capture in the past.³¹

In May 2013, the Salvadoran Supreme Court deemed that the 2011 reorganization of the ministry and the PNC under retired generals had violated the Peace Accords. As a result, President Funes appointed a new minister of justice and public security and a PNC director. According to the State Department, the PNC has begun to perform better since 2013.³²

Due to the weakness of the PNC and the severity of the security challenges the country is facing, El Salvador has deployed thousands of military troops to help the police carry out public security functions, without clearly defining when those deployments might end. In April 2014, the

²⁷ Red de Seguridad y Defensa de América Latina (RESDAL), *Índice de Seguridad Pública y Ciudadana en América Latina: El Salvador*, 2013.

²⁸ U.S. Department of State, *Country Report on Human Rights Practices: El Salvador*, February 2014.

²⁹ “Comisión Especial Cita a Inspectora Zaira Navas,” *El Diario de Hoy*, September 21, 2010.

³⁰ “Presidencia Informa que Manuel Melgar Dejó Ministerio de Seguridad,” *El Faro*, November 8, 2011.

³¹ Adriana Beltrán, “Release of Suspected Drug Trafficker in Honduras Raises Questions About Corruption in Honduras and El Salvador,” Washington Office on Latin America (WOLA), August 10, 2012.

³² U.S. Department of State, Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs, *2015 International Narcotics Control Strategy Report*, March 2015. Hereinafter: *INCSR*, March 2015.

Salvadoran Supreme Court upheld Funes's October 2009 decree that authorized the military to carry out police functions. President Sánchez Cerén is also using the military in public security.

Few arrests carried out by PNC officials are successfully prosecuted in the Salvadoran justice system. The State Department maintains that "inefficiency, corruption, political infighting, and insufficient resources"³³ have hindered the performance of the Salvadoran judiciary. As police and prosecutors are often loathe to work together to build cases, El Salvador's criminal conviction rate is less than 5%. Delays in the judicial process and massive arrests carried out during prior anti-gang sweeps have resulted in severe prison overcrowding, with prisons operating at 330% capacity in late 2014.³⁴ The State Department has described conditions in Salvadoran prisons and temporary holding cells as "harsh and life threatening."³⁵

2012 Gang Truce

When retired general Munguía Payés became minister of justice and public security in November 2011, observers expected the minister to back a hardline approach to combating gangs. Munguía Payés did restructure the Salvadoran police and create a new elite anti-gang unit that has received U.S. training. However, he also lent government support to a former guerrilla fighter and deputy (who was his aid in the defense ministry) and a Catholic bishop who brokered a truce between the MS-13 and 18th Street gangs. In March 2012, Munguía Payés agreed to transfer high-ranking gang leaders serving time in prison to less secure prisons in order to facilitate intra-gang negotiations. Munguía Payés denied his role in facilitating the truce until September 2012.³⁶

Between the time the prison transfers took place and May 2013 (when Munguía Payés was removed from his post), the Salvadoran government reported that homicide rates dramatically declined (from an average of roughly 14 per day to 5.5 per day). Gang leaders pledged not to forcibly recruit children into their ranks or perpetrate violence against women, turned in small amounts of weapons, and offered to engage in broader negotiations. However, they never agreed to give up control of over their territories or to stop extortions.

While some praised the truce, many others expressed skepticism, maintaining that disappearances increased and extortions continued after it took effect. After the Funes government withdrew its support for the truce mediators and reduced communication between imprisoned gang leaders and gang members in the streets in mid-2013, the truce began to unravel. By April 2014, average daily murder rates had risen to some nine murders a day; gang attacks on police also occurred.

By March 2015, the average daily homicide rate had escalated to 16 per day.³⁷ Catholic and Protestant church leaders have voiced support for a dialogue with gang members; however, the government has rejected negotiations with the gangs.

³³ U.S. Department of State, *Country Report on Human Rights Practices: El Salvador*, February 2014.

³⁴ *INCSR*, March 2015.

³⁵ U.S. Department of State, *Country Report on Human Rights Practices: El Salvador*, February 2014.

³⁶ Carlos Martínez and Jose Luis Sanz, "The New Truth About the Gang Truce," *Insight Crime*, September 14, 2012.

³⁷ Jessel Santos, "Marzo 2015 el Más Violento de la Última Década," *La Prensa Gráfica*, April 2, 2015.

2015 Security Plan

In September 2014, President Sánchez Cerén announced a new forum, the National Council for Citizen Security (CNSCC), which consists of representative from the government, civil society, business, the church, the media, universities and political parties. On January 15, 2015, the CNSCC announced a new security plan called “El Salvador *Seguro* (Secure).” The plan is estimated to cost \$2 billion over five years. It includes:

- 74% of funds devoted toward violence prevention and job creation;
- An increased state presence in the country’s 50 most violent municipalities, where it will improve public spaces, engage in community policing, and increase student retention in schools;
- Improved prison infrastructure; and
- Increased services for crime victims.

Although Sánchez Cerén has not explained how the government will pay for the plan, his government is in negotiations for a \$200-million Inter-American Development Bank (IDB) loan.³⁸ The funds for this plan will be administered by the CNSCC itself, which many believe will allow for more transparency about how they are spent.

Some have voiced concerns that, despite this new plan, the government will not truly move away from their *mano-dura* policies of the past. The recent police announcement of what some consider to be a “green light” to shoot at criminals, and the hiring of former New York City Mayor Rudy Giuliani as a consultant have only heightened these concerns. Giuliani, who is known for his tough crime policies, is likely to suggest similar solutions in El Salvador.

Confronting Past Human Rights Violations

As El Salvador seeks to deal with current security challenges posed by criminal groups in a way that respects human rights and the rule of law, the country is also still grappling with how to confront abuses committed during the country’s civil conflict.

Twenty years after the U.N. Commission released its report on the war in El Salvador, Amnesty International issued a statement lamenting that the perpetrators of crimes identified in that report had not been brought to justice in El Salvador and that survivors had not received reparations.³⁹ In October 2013, then-President Funes signed a decree creating a program to provide reparations to the victims of the armed conflict. It is unclear how much funding has been budgeted for that program and how many people it has assisted thus far, but human rights groups have urged President Sánchez Cerén to continue supporting its provision of social benefits to victims and their families.⁴⁰ In his inaugural address, Sánchez Cerén pledged to do so and to help families who are seeking to find out what happened to their loved ones. It is unclear whether he will urge

³⁸ “Security Plan Unveiled in El Salvador,” *Latin News Daily*, January 16, 2015.

³⁹ Amnesty International, “El Salvador: No Justice 20 Years on from UN Truth Commission,” press release, March 15, 2013.

⁴⁰ Teresa Alvarado, “Organizaciones Piden al Presidente Electo Continuar con Reparación a Víctimas de la Guerra,” *Transparencia Activa*, March 21, 2014.

the Salvadoran Supreme Court to overturn the 1993 Amnesty Law, as domestic and international human rights groups have been urging it to do.

Although the Amnesty Law makes bringing cases against human rights abusers from the war era nearly impossible to do in El Salvador, some former Salvadoran military leaders who have resided in the United States have faced judicial proceedings regarding their immigration statuses.⁴¹ In recent years, the Human Rights Violators and War Crimes Unit within the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has conducted investigations focused on past human rights violations in El Salvador.⁴²

- In February 2012, an immigration judge ruled that former Salvadoran Defense Minister Carlos Eugenio Vides Casanova could be removed (deported) from the United States based on his role ordering the torture of Salvadoran citizens, the 1980 killings of four American churchwomen, and the 1981 killings of land reformers. That decision was upheld in March 2015 and Vides Casanova was deported on April 8, 2015.
- In September 2012, Colonel Inocente Orlando Montano, one of the officials named by the Spanish judge as responsible for the aforementioned Jesuit murders, pled guilty to immigration fraud. Montano had hidden his military past when applying for Temporary Protected Status (TPS) in the United States. He was sentenced to 21 months in prison and could then face extradition to Spain.
- In February 2014, a federal judge determined that a former Salvadoran defense minister, General José Guillermo García, can be removed (deported) based on his role in brutal human rights violations. The judge ruled that he “assisted or otherwise participated” in 11 violent incidents, including the 1980 killing of Archbishop Óscar Arnulfo Romero. The decision has been appealed.

U.S. Relations

Despite predictions to the contrary, U.S. relations with the FMLN government of Mauricio Funes (2009-2014) remained friendly, although some Members of Congress raised concerns about corruption in the country during his term.⁴³ In March 2011, President Obama highlighted the importance of U.S.-Salvadoran relations by selecting El Salvador as the only Central American country to be included in his tour of Latin America. During that trip, he announced that El Salvador had been chosen as one of only four countries in the world deemed eligible to participate in the Partnership for Growth (PFG) initiative, a new foreign aid approach involving close collaboration between the United States and partner countries. El Salvador also completed a \$461-million Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) compact during Funes’s term.⁴⁴

⁴¹ Julia Preston, “Salvadoran May Face Deportation for Murders,” *New York Times*, February 23, 2012. ICE, “Former Salvadoran Military Officer Pleads Guilty to Concealing Information from U.S. Government,” press release, September 11, 2012. For more pending cases, see <http://cja.org/article.php?list=type&type=199>.

⁴² For an update on these cases, see <http://www.cja.org/article.php?list=type&type=199>.

⁴³ See, for example, “Statement of Senator Patrick Leahy on Funding for a Second Millennium Challenge Compact for El Salvador,” press release, September 18, 2013. Hereinafter: Leahy, 2013.

⁴⁴ Established in 2004, the Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) provides economic assistance through a competitive selection process to developing nations that demonstrate positive performance in three areas: ruling justly, (continued...)

Obama Administration officials have pledged to continue economic and security cooperation under the PFG with President Sánchez Cerén and urged him to work with all of Salvadoran society to reach its goals.⁴⁵ On September 30, 2014, the MCC signed a second \$277-million compact with the Sánchez Cerén government to develop the southern coastal region; it aims to help El Salvador take better advantage of the Dominican Republic-Central America-United States Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA-DR). Security, governance and migration issues are also likely to figure prominently on the bilateral agenda, particularly now that violent crime is trending upward.

Congress plays a key role in appropriating bilateral and regional aid to El Salvador, overseeing implementation of the Central American Regional Security Initiative (CARSI), and consulting with the MCC on how El Salvador's second compact is proceeding. Congress is likely to closely monitor how the government is or is not improving the investment climate in El Salvador, dealing with gangs, preventing illegal emigration, and managing its relationship with Venezuela.

Partnership for Growth Initiative

El Salvador is the only Latin American country participating in the Obama Administration's PFG Initiative.⁴⁶ PFG involves greater collaboration between the donor and recipient countries than traditional U.S. assistance programs, but does not necessarily portend an increase in foreign aid. As a first step of implementing the PFG in El Salvador, a binational team conducted a diagnostic study, published in July 2011, which identified the two greatest constraints on growth in the country as crime and insecurity and a lack of competitiveness in the "tradables"⁴⁷ sector. Those two concerns have become the focus of U.S. bilateral and regional programs in El Salvador.

In November 2011, the two governments signed a 2011-2015 Joint Country Action Plan⁴⁸ officially launching the PFG; the plan includes detailed pledges by the U.S. and Salvadoran governments on how they intend to address the aforementioned growth constraints. Progress towards meeting each of 20 shared goals was to be mutually evaluated and then made public every six months. According to the plan, the U.S. government aims to help El Salvador address crime and insecurity by strengthening judicial sector institutions and supporting crime and violence prevention programs. The U.S. government also intends to help El Salvador improve its infrastructure (physical, human, and financial) and business climate in order to attract investment and boost competitiveness. Both governments aim to involve the private sector and other donors in the PFG. A Growth Council composed of government and business officials has continued to meet since President Sánchez Cerén took office; its aim is to improve public-private relations.

(...continued)

investing in people, and fostering economic freedom.

⁴⁵ U.S. Department of State, "El Salvador Presidential Elections," press release, March 25, 2014; U.S. Embassy in El Salvador, "Discurso de la Embajadora de los Estados Unidos Mari Carmen Aponte en el Desayuno de la Cámara Americana," press release, April 24, 2014.

⁴⁶ The principles behind the PFG Initiative are to (1) focus on broad-based economic growth; (2) select countries with demonstrated performance and political will; (3) use joint decision-making and prioritization of activities; (4) support catalytic policy change and institutional reform; (5) leverage U.S. government engagement for maximum impact; and (6) emphasize partnership and country ownership. The other PFG countries are Ghana, the Philippines, and Tanzania.

⁴⁷ "Tradables" refers to products that are or can be traded internationally.

⁴⁸ U.S. Department of State, *Partnership for Growth: El Salvador-United States Joint Country Action Plan 2011-2015*, November 2011, http://photos.state.gov/libraries/elsavador/92891/octubre2011/Joint_Country_Action_Plan.pdf.

Three years into the implementation of the Joint Country Action Plan, the U.S. and Salvadoran governments reported in November 2014 that 15 of 20 bilateral goals were “on track” to being met.⁴⁹ Some goals had recently moved forward, including efforts to promote investment through the Salvadoran Export Promotion Agency and to increase extraditions to the United States. However, others—such as removing assets from criminal organizations, reducing firms’ costs, strengthening the civil service, and reducing overcrowding and enhancing security in prisons—had fallen behind schedule. The government missed its June 2014 deadline to form a judicial chamber to handle asset forfeiture and had yet to submit a civil service law to the legislature.

Migration Issues

The United States is home to more than 1.9 million Salvadoran migrants; some 700,000 of whom the Pew Research Center estimates to be unauthorized.⁵⁰ Salvadorans comprise the second-largest foreign-born Hispanic population in the United States (behind Mexico). In the 1980s, Salvadoran emigration was fueled by the country’s civil conflict. Once that ended, family reunification, the search for economic opportunities, and periodic natural disasters fueled emigration. The movement of large numbers of poor Salvadorans to the United States has eased pressure on El Salvador’s social service system and labor market while providing the country with substantial remittances that have constituted as much as 16% of the country’s GDP in recent years (according to the World Bank). On the other hand, emigration has arguably resulted in a “brain drain” of Salvadoran professionals, divided families, and left the economy overly reliant on remittances.

Temporary Protected Status and Executive Action on Immigration

Following a series of earthquakes in El Salvador in 2001 that forced thousands of Salvadorans to leave the country and prompted a determination that the country was temporarily incapable of handling the return of its nationals, the U.S. government granted Temporary Protected Status (TPS)⁵¹ to an estimated 212,000 eligible Salvadoran migrants. TPS was extended on January 6, 2015, and is currently scheduled to expire on September 9, 2016.

The Salvadoran government has backed past efforts to enact comprehensive immigration reform in the United States. As those prospects have dimmed, the government has welcomed immigration relief provided to certain Salvadorans in the United States by President Obama’s 2012 Deferred Action for Child Arrivals (DACA)⁵² and November 2014 executive action on immigration.⁵³ If implemented, the November 2014 action, also known as Deferred Action for Parents of Americans and Lawful Permanent Residents (DAPA), could make an estimated 20% of

⁴⁹ U.S. Department of State, *Partnership for Growth El Salvador-United States, Six Month Scorecard: May 2014-November 2014*.

⁵⁰ Anna Brown and Eileen Patten, *Statistical Portrait of the Foreign-Born Population in the United States, 2012*, Pew Research Center, April 2014; Jeffrey Passel and D’Vera Cohn, *Unauthorized Immigrant Totals Rise in 7 States, Fall in 14*, Pew Research Center, November 18, 2014.

⁵¹ See CRS Report RS20844, *Temporary Protected Status: Current Immigration Policy and Issues*, by Lisa Seghetti, Karma Ester, and Ruth Ellen Wasem.

⁵² CRS Report R43747, *Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA): Frequently Asked Questions*, by Andorra Bruno.

⁵³ CRS Report R43852, *The President’s Immigration Accountability Executive Action of November 20, 2014: Overview and Issues*, coordinated by William A. Kandel.

the unauthorized Salvadoran population in the United States not eligible for TPS or DACA eligible for relief from removal.⁵⁴

Removals (Deportations)

The United States first began removing (deporting) large numbers of Salvadorans, many with criminal convictions, back to the region after the passage of the Illegal Immigrant Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act (IIRIRA) of 1996.⁵⁵ Many contend that deportees who were members of the MS-13 and 18th Street gangs “exported” a Los Angeles gang culture to Central America and recruited new members from among the local populations. Removals from El Salvador have risen since the mid-2000s, with a significant percentage of those removed both then and now possessing some sort of criminal record, although not necessarily gang-related. As a comparison, in FY2004, DHS removed 6,342 Salvadorans from the United States, 42.5% of whom had criminal records.⁵⁶ In FY2013, DHS removed some 18,677 Salvadorans, 46.2% of whom had criminal records.⁵⁷

The United States has been working with the Salvadoran government in a joint effort to improve the removal process. In December 2009, a bi-national working group consisting of migration authorities from both countries was formed in Washington, DC. Two of the group’s goals were to expedite the process in order to avoid immigrants spending unnecessary time in U.S. detention centers and to address more general concerns about the current process; it is unclear whether those goals were met. As previously mentioned, El Salvador became the first country in the world to receive more complete criminal history information on U.S. gang deportees through the FBI’s Criminal History Information Program (CHIP) in May 2012.⁵⁸ ICE expanded a Criminal History Information Sharing (CHIS) program that began in Mexico to El Salvador in 2014.⁵⁹ The CHIS program provides a criminal history on those removed from the United States with felony records to Salvadoran law enforcement. Salvadoran police would then reciprocate by exchanging similar information with U.S. officials on deportees who have serious criminal records in El Salvador.

Unaccompanied Alien Children⁶⁰

Since 2011, several factors have contributed to a dramatic increase in unaccompanied alien children (UAC) immigrating from El Salvador (as well as Guatemala and Honduras) to the United States. Until recently, unaccompanied children had largely emigrated in search of opportunities (work and education) and/or to reunite with family living in the United States. Escalating crime and violence, as well as the government’s inability to guarantee citizen security,

⁵⁴ Ellen Patten and Jeffrey S. Passel, “How Obama’s Executive Action Will Impact Immigrants, by Birth Country,” Pew Research Center, November 21, 2014.

⁵⁵ IIRIRA expanded the categories of illegal immigrants subject to deportation and made it more difficult for immigrants to get relief from removal.

⁵⁶ DHS, Office of Immigration Statistics, *2004 Yearbook of Immigration Statistics*.

⁵⁷ DHS, Office of Immigration Statistics, *2013 Yearbook of Immigration Statistics*.

⁵⁸ U.S. Department of State, Embassy in San Salvador, “El Salvador Signs CHIP,” May 9, 2012.

⁵⁹ U. S. Embassy in San Salvador, “U.S. and El Salvador Share Criminal and Migratory Information,” press release, May 15, 2014.

⁶⁰ CRS Report R43628, *Unaccompanied Alien Children: Potential Factors Contributing to Recent Immigration*, coordinated by William A. Kandel; CRS Report R43702, *Unaccompanied Children from Central America: Foreign Policy Considerations*, coordinated by Peter J. Meyer.

have altered that tendency; 66% of the UAC from El Salvador interviewed by the U.N. High Commissioner for Refugees in 2013 had been abused or threatened by criminal actors.⁶¹ Some minors are also reportedly emigrating in hopes of being granted asylum in the United States, or at least being temporarily released and reunited with family pending a U.S. immigration court hearing.⁶² Flows of unaccompanied minors have increased even as the journey from Central America through Mexico to the United States has become more costly and more dangerous.

In response to a 2014 surge in unaccompanied child migrants, the U.S. and Salvador governments sponsored public awareness campaigns to inform Central Americans of the dangers of the journey and to correct misinformation regarding U.S. immigration policies. They also increased law enforcement efforts against alien smugglers. On December 3, 2014, the U.S. government also launched in-country refugee/parole processing program for children with parents residing legally in the United States. A child can be processed for refugee status while still in El Salvador; however, the limited number of refugee visas allocated to the Latin American and Caribbean region as a whole (4,000) on an annual basis will likely limit the scope of the program. If a child is not eligible for refugee status but is still at great risk due to violence, they may be considered for humanitarian parole.⁶³

Addressing the root causes of why children are fleeing from El Salvador, how those children are treated once they arrive in the United States, and the process by which they are repatriated—if applicable—are likely to be important issues on the bilateral migration agenda for the foreseeable future.⁶⁴ Vice President Joseph Biden focused on these topics, as well as the need to dissuade parents from sending their children illegally to the United States, in meetings with President Sánchez Cerén and his Honduran and Guatemalan counterparts held in June 2014, November 2014, and March 2015. Biden has asked Congress to consider meeting the Administration's \$1 billion request for Central America provided that the northern triangle governments demonstrate the political will and resources necessary to tackle the root causes of illegal emigration.⁶⁵

The Administration's FY2016 budget request would provide U.S. support for the Plan of the Alliance for Prosperity in the Northern Triangle (PAPNT) developed by the northern triangle governments with support from the IDB. Launched in November 2014, the regional plan aims to (1) stimulate the productive sector in each country; (2) develop opportunities for people through access to healthcare and education; (3) improve public safety and access to the justice system; and (4) strengthen institutions. Each country is preparing their national implementation plans. El Salvador has selected 44 municipalities in which to implement the plan based on their high unemployment and emigration rates, as well as their expected capacity for development.

⁶¹ U.N. High Commissioner for Refugees, *Children on the Run: Unaccompanied Children Leaving Central America and Mexico and the Need for International Protection*, May 2014.

⁶² Julia Preston, "Hoping for Asylum, Migrants Strain U.S. Border," *New York Times*, April 10, 2014; Jennifer Scholtes, "CBP Chief: Policies May Be Fueling Spike in Minors Crossing Border Illegally," *CQ News*, April 2, 2014.

⁶³ U.S. Department of State, Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration, "In-Country Refugee/Parole Program for Minors in El Salvador, Guatemala, and Honduras with Parents Lawfully Present in the United States," fact sheet, November 14, 2014.

⁶⁴ Jennifer Scholtes and Emily Ethridge, "Alone, Illegal, and Underage: The Child Migrant Crisis," *Roll Call*, May 28, 2014.

⁶⁵ CRS Report IN10237, *President Obama's \$1 Billion Foreign Aid Request for Central America*, by Peter J. Meyer and Clare Ribando Seelke.

Foreign Assistance

U.S. bilateral funding to El Salvador amounted to roughly \$22.3 million in FY2014. The Administration requested a slight increase to \$27.6 million in bilateral assistance for El Salvador for FY2015 (see **Table 1**). Final FY2015 aid totals are not yet available. In an effort to address the root causes of the unprecedented surge in unaccompanied minors who arrived at the U.S. border in 2014, the FY2016 request for El Salvador rose to \$119.2 million.

Table 1. U.S. Bilateral Assistance to El Salvador: FY2012-FY2016
(millions of dollars)

Account	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014 (Estimate)	FY2015 (Request)	FY2016 (Request)
DA	23.9	21.4	19.3	25.0	116.5
ESF	2.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
FMF	1.3	1.7	1.9	1.6	1.9
GHP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
IMET	0.0	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.8
NADR	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	29.2	27.6	22.3	27.6	119.2

Sources: U.S. Department of State, *Congressional Budget Justification for Foreign Operations: FY2013-FY2016*.

Notes: GHP=Global Health Program (includes total funds provided by the U.S. Agency for International Development and the State Department); DA=Development Assistance; ESF=Economic Support Fund; FMF=Foreign Military Financing; IMET=International Military Education and Training; NADR=Non-Proliferation, Antiterrorism, Demining, and Related Programs.

As previously mentioned, since FY2013, U.S. bilateral assistance to El Salvador has been realigned to focus on reducing insecurity and boosting productivity in the country. As part of that effort, the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) is increasing funding for institutional strengthening, violence prevention, and private sector competitiveness programs for municipalities and small and medium-sized enterprises. In contrast, health programs have ended, and education programs have been reoriented. USAID's education programs now focus on in-school and out-of-school youth in high-crime communities, while tertiary programs aim to align post-secondary training and education programs with current workforce demands.

The Central American Regional Security Initiative⁶⁶

In addition to bilateral aid, El Salvador receives assistance under the Central America Regional Security Initiative (CARSI, formerly known as Mérida-Central America), a package of counternarcotics, anticrime, and rule-of-law assistance for the region. As currently formulated, CARSI provides equipment, training, and technical assistance to build the capacity of Central American institutions to counter criminal threats. In addition, CARSI supports community-based programs designed to address underlying economic and social conditions that leave communities

⁶⁶ CRS Report R41731, *Central America Regional Security Initiative: Background and Policy Issues for Congress*, by Peter J. Meyer and Clare Ribando Seelke.

vulnerable to those threats. From FY2008-FY2014, Congress appropriated nearly \$806 million for Central America through Mérida/CARSI. According to the Government Accountability Office (GAO), between FY2008 and FY2012, El Salvador received some \$80.8 million in CARSI assistance (16% of the funds appropriated).⁶⁷ More recent country breakdowns are not available.

Although final aid amounts are not yet available, the FY2015 Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act (P.L. 113-235) appears to provide an estimated \$260 million in CARSI funding, \$130 million above the Administration's FY2015 request.

Millennium Challenge Corporation⁶⁸

First Compact Completed

In November 2006, El Salvador signed a five-year, \$461-million compact with the Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) to develop its northern border region, where more than 53% of the population lives in poverty. The compact included (1) a \$68.5-million **productive development project** to provide technical assistance and financial services to farmers and rural businesses; (2) an \$89.1 million **human development project** to strengthen education and training and improve public services in poor communities; and (3) a \$268.8-million **connectivity project** to rehabilitate the Northern Transnational Highway and some secondary roads.⁶⁹ The MCC compact was designed to complement the Dominican Republic-Central America-United States Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA-DR) and regional integration efforts and was expected to benefit more than 700,000 Salvadorans. It officially ended on September 20, 2012.

U.S. and Salvadoran officials have touted the MCC compact's effects on development and investment in El Salvador's northern border region. According to MCC, the compact enabled the construction or rehabilitation of 220 kilometers (137 miles) of roads and 23 bridges, which Salvadoran officials maintain has helped that area attract \$57 million in private investment.⁷⁰ The project also provided electricity to 33,000 families; connected 7,634 households to potable water sources; created 15,250 jobs; and gave supplies and technical assistance to 17,467 small-scale producers.⁷¹ The Salvadoran government complemented MCC investments in each of the project areas, investing \$70 million in road construction and rehabilitation alone.

Critics have challenged these results. Some maintain that roads constructed by the MCC are falling apart due to design problems and a lack of maintenance.⁷² Others criticized the project for

⁶⁷ GAO, Central America: *U.S. Agencies Considered Various Factors in Funding Security Activities, But Need to Assess Progress in Achieving Interagency Objectives*, GAO-13-771, September 25, 2013, available at <http://gao.gov/assets/660/658145.pdf>.

⁶⁸ See CRS Report RL32427, *Millennium Challenge Corporation*, by Curt Tarnoff.

⁶⁹ The compact also included \$28 million for program administration and \$6 million for monitoring and evaluation.

⁷⁰ Ambassador of El Salvador to the United States Francisco Altschul, "Salvadoran Ambassador Francisco Altschul: The Case for a New MCC Compact with El Salvador," *The Hill*, October 1, 2012.

⁷¹ Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC), "El Salvador: Table of Key Performance Results," November 10, 2012.

⁷² Carlos Hernández, "Conductores Denuncian Deterioro de Carretera Longitudinal Norte," *La Página*, December 24, 2013.

providing only limited opportunities for community input in the compact development process and for failing to complete the entire infrastructure that was promised to local communities.⁷³

Second Compact Signed September 30, 2014

On December 15, 2011, the MCC Board announced that El Salvador would be eligible to develop a proposal for a second compact, and in February 2013 MCC obligated \$3 million to assist El Salvador with compact development. On September 12, 2013, the MCC Board approved a second five-year compact with El Salvador, this time for \$277 million; the Salvadoran government committed to match that contribution with \$88 million in complementary investments.⁷⁴ Key compact projects include:

- **Investment Climate Project (\$42 million MCC funds/\$50 million Salvadoran funds):** seeks to help the government develop and implement regulatory improvements and to better partner with private investors to build infrastructure and provide public services.
- **Human Capital Project (\$100.7 million MCC funds/\$15 million Salvadoran funds):** supports full-day schooling, reforms to the policies and operations that govern teacher training and student assessment, and a new technical, vocational, education, and training system that is aligned with labor market demands.
- **Logistical Infrastructure Project (\$109.6 million MCC funds/\$15.7 million Salvadoran funds):** will widen the part of El Salvador's coastal highway that connects the airport and the ports of La Unión and Acajutla and improve border crossing facilities into Honduras at El Amatillo.

In response to some lingering concerns expressed by board members, the Salvadoran government designed a Priority Action Plan that was then agreed to by both governments to be completed prior to the compact's signing. The action plan required the Salvadoran government to (1) appoint a director and deputy director to a newly established financial crimes investigation unit in the police; (2) approve an asset forfeiture law; (3) approve reformed anti-money-laundering legislation that meets international standards; (4) approve reforms to the country's public-private partnership law to make it attractive to investors; and (5) issue a revised decree on how corn and bean seed are procured that is consistent with CAFTA-DR. The fifth condition was subsequently removed. The compact was signed on September 30, 2014.

Counter-Narcotics Cooperation

Although El Salvador is not a producer of illicit drugs, it does serve as a transit country for narcotics, mainly cocaine and heroin, cultivated in the Andes and destined for the United States via land and sea. On September 15, 2014, President Obama included El Salvador on the annual list of countries designated as "major" drug-producing or "drug-transit" countries, for the fourth consecutive year.⁷⁵ A country's inclusion in the list does not mean that its antidrug efforts are

⁷³ "¿Fomilenio: Misión Cumplida?" *Editorial UCA*, September 21, 2012.

⁷⁴ MCC, *Congressional Notification*, September 19, 2013.

⁷⁵ White House, Office of the Press Secretary, "Presidential Determination on Major Illicit Drug Transit or Major Illicit Drug Producing Countries for Fiscal Year 2015," press release, September 15, 2014.

inadequate. In 2014, Salvadoran officials seized 1,066 kilograms of cocaine and 1,271 kilograms of marijuana (roughly 40% more than what was seized in 2013), as well as \$617,197 in illicit cash. Still, corruption and inadequate manpower, training, and equipment among the security forces continue to hinder El Salvador's antidrug efforts.⁷⁶

U.S. assistance focuses on improving the interdiction capabilities of Salvadoran law enforcement and military agencies, particularly the joint military-police antidrug task force that was formed in 2012. It also supports the attorney general's National Electronic Monitoring Centers. Recent U.S. support has been geared at helping implement El Salvador's asset forfeiture legislation and bolstering anti-money laundering efforts. In 2014, the Obama Administration named José Adán Salazar, a hotel magnate whom the Salvadoran government has yet to accuse of drug trafficking, as a major drug kingpin subject to U.S. sanctions.

Comalapa International Airport in El Salvador serves as one of two cooperative security locations (CSLs) for U.S. antidrug forces in the hemisphere. The CSL extends the reach of detection and monitoring aircraft into the eastern Pacific drug-smuggling corridors. The U.S. lease on the airport was renewed for a five-year term in August 2014.⁷⁷ El Salvador is also the home of the U.S.-backed International Law Enforcement Academy, which provides police management and training to officials from across the region.

Anti-Gang Efforts and U.S. Programs

Since the mid-2000s, several U.S. agencies have been actively engaged on the law enforcement and preventive side of dealing with Central American gangs; many U.S. anti-gang efforts in Central America began in El Salvador. In 2004, the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) created an MS-13 Task Force to improve information-sharing and intelligence-gathering among U.S. and Central American law enforcement officials. The FBI established a vetted Transnational Anti-Gang Unit in El Salvador in 2007. In addition to arresting suspected gang members in the United States, ICE within DHS began coordinating its U.S. anti-gang efforts with its Transnational Criminal Investigative Unit activities in El Salvador.

Since FY2008, the State Department has funded anti-gang programs in El Salvador with support from the Mérida Initiative/Central American Regional Security Initiative (CARSI) and a line item in the Foreign Operations budget designated for "Criminal Youth Gangs" for which roughly \$35 million was provided between FY2008 and FY2012. A Regional Gang Advisor based in El Salvador has coordinated Central American gang programs, including model police precincts and a school-based, law enforcement-led prevention program, since January 2008. USAID conducted a comprehensive gang assessment in 2005 and has since supported a variety of prevention programs for at-risk youth, municipal crime prevention projects, and community policing efforts. USAID-El Salvador has begun a \$42 million public-private partnership focused on crime prevention and \$2 million in grant awards to municipalities that have designed innovative crime prevention projects.

On October 11, 2012, the Treasury Department designated the MS-13 as a significant transnational criminal organization whose assets will be targeted for economic sanctions pursuant

⁷⁶ *INCSR*, March 2015.

⁷⁷ *INCSR*, March 2015.

to Executive Order (E.O.) 13581.⁷⁸ Issued in July 2011 as part of the Obama Administration's National Strategy to Combat Transnational Organized Crime, E.O. 13581 enables the Treasury Department to block the assets of members and associates of designated criminal organizations and prohibit U.S. citizens from engaging in transactions with them.⁷⁹ Salvadoran officials seemed surprised by the designation, with then-President Funes asserting that U.S. officials may be "overestimating the economic risk or financial risk resulting from the criminal actions of the MS."⁸⁰ U.S. officials have stood by the designation, asserting that it will provide law enforcement with new tools to advance domestic and international anti-gang efforts.⁸¹ At least six individuals have been designated as subject to U.S. sanctions.

In mid-2013, USAID suspended funding that was intended to reimburse the Salvadoran government for costs of a small grants program to assist individuals affected by the global financial crisis. Following media allegations that the benefits of the program were being directed to gang members; USAID investigated and found that the government's implementing agency had failed to follow correct program procedures, including those for selecting participating communities. For that reason, USAID ended its funding for that particular component before any funding had been reimbursed to the government for program costs. The Salvadoran government continued the program, at least for a time, using non-U.S. government funding.

Trade and CAFTA-DR⁸²

The United States is El Salvador's main trading partner, purchasing 46% of its exports and supplying more than 40% of its imports.⁸³ Salvadoran exports to the United States include apparel, electrical equipment, sugar, and coffee; its top imports from the United States are fuel oil, heavy machinery, and electrical machinery. Other main trade partners for El Salvador include Guatemala, Honduras, and Mexico.

From the 1980s through 2006, El Salvador benefitted from preferential trade agreements, such as the Caribbean Basin Initiative and later the Caribbean Basin Trade Partnership Act (CBTPA) of 2000, which provided many of its exports, especially apparel and related items, duty-free entry into the U.S. market. As a result, the composition of Salvadoran exports to the United States has shifted from agricultural products, such as coffee and spices, to apparel and textiles.

On December 17, 2004, despite strong opposition from the FMLN, El Salvador became the first country in Central America to ratify the Dominican Republic-Central America-United States Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA-DR). El Salvador was also the first country to pass the agreement's

⁷⁸ The criteria established for declaring a transnational criminal organization pursuant to Executive Order 13581 are available at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2011/07/25/executive-order-blocking-property-transnational-criminal-organizations>. U.S. Department of Treasury, "Treasury Sanctions Latin American Criminal Organization," press release, October 11, 2012.

⁷⁹ The first four criminal organizations that received Transnational Criminal Organization (TCO) designations were the Brother's Circle, the Camorra, Los Zetas, and the Yakuza. See White House, Office of the Press Secretary, "Executive Order 13581—Blocking Property of Transnational Criminal Organizations," July 25, 2011.

⁸⁰ Geoffrey Ramsey, "El Salvador President: US 'Overestimating' MS-13," *InSight Crime*, October 11, 2012.

⁸¹ Garrett, October 2012.

⁸² For historical background, see CRS Report R42468, *The Dominican Republic-Central America-United States Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA DR): Developments in Trade and Investment*, by J. F. Hornbeck.

⁸³ Trade data contained in this section are from Global Trade Atlas.

required legislative reforms, implementing CAFTA-DR on March 1, 2006. Since that time, the volume of U.S.-Salvadoran trade has tended to follow trends in growth rates in the United States, with a variety of factors inhibiting the performance of Salvadoran exports vis-à-vis the other CAFTA-DR countries. Those factors have included a continued dependence on the highly competitive apparel trade, low levels of investment, public security problems, and broader governance concerns. As a comparison, El Salvador's exports to the United States increased from \$2.0 billion in 2005 (the year before the agreement took effect there) to \$2.4 billion in 2014. Nicaragua's exports increased from \$1.1 billion in 2005 to \$3.1 billion in 2014.

According to the July 2011 Partnership for Growth (PFG) assessment, a lack of competitiveness among firms in El Salvador that produce internationally traded goods has prevented the country from enjoying the full benefits of CAFTA-DR. The study found that El Salvador may be “missing eight percentage points of GDP compared to CAFTA colleagues” due to its productivity constraints. Low productivity may be due, in part, to the country's low level of human capital.

More recently, El Salvador and other Central American and Caribbean countries have become increasingly concerned about the potential impact of the Trans-Pacific Partnership agreement (TPP) on their textile and apparel industries.⁸⁴ All things considered, tariff preferences provided through CAFTA-DR appear to be important in keeping apparel producers in those countries competitive in the U.S. market. A TPP agreement, if one is reached, has the potential to upset this situation. If apparel produced in Asian TPP countries gains duty-free access to the U.S. market, it could displace apparel manufactured with U.S. fabric in Central America, adversely affecting the textile and apparel industries in those countries and in the United States.

Author Contact Information

Clare Ribando Seelke
Specialist in Latin American Affairs
cseelke@crs.loc.gov, 7-5229

Acknowledgments

Ingrid Schulz, Research Associate, contributed to this report.

⁸⁴ CRS Report R42772, *U.S. Textile Manufacturing and the Trans-Pacific Partnership Negotiations*, by Michaela D. Platzer.