

# 501(c)(3)s and Campaign Activity: Analysis Under Tax and Campaign Finance Laws

(name redacted)

Legislative Attorney

(name redacted)

Legislative Attorney

September 10, 2013

Congressional Research Service

7-....

[www.crs.gov](http://www.crs.gov)

R40141

## Summary

The political activities of Section 501(c)(3) organizations are often in the news, with allegations made that some groups engaged in impermissible activities. These groups are absolutely prohibited from participating in campaign activity under the Internal Revenue Code (IRC). On the other hand, they are permitted to engage in nonpartisan political activities (e.g., distributing voter guides and conducting get-out-the-vote drives) that do not support or oppose a candidate. Determining whether an activity violates the IRC prohibition depends on the facts and circumstances of each case, and the line between impermissible and permissible activities can sometimes be difficult to discern.

Due to the IRC prohibition, Section 501(c)(3) organizations generally are not permitted to engage in the types of activities regulated by the Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA). However, the activities regulated under the IRC and FECA are not necessarily identical. An organization must comply with any applicable FECA provisions if engaging in activities regulated by FECA (e.g., making an issue advocacy communication under the IRC that constitutes an electioneering communication under FECA).

A 2010 Supreme Court case, *Citizens United v. FEC*, has received considerable attention for invalidating several long-standing prohibitions in FECA on corporate and labor union campaign treasury spending. This case does not appear to significantly impact the political activities of Section 501(c)(3) organizations because they remain subject to the prohibition on such activity under the IRC.

This report examines the restrictions imposed on campaign activity by Section 501(c)(3) organizations under the tax and campaign finance laws. For a discussion limited to the ability of churches and other houses of worship to engage in campaign activity, see CRS Report RL34447, *Churches and Campaign Activity: Analysis Under Tax and Campaign Finance Laws*, by (name redacted) and (name redacted).

## Contents

|                                                              |   |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| Tax Law .....                                                | 1 |
| Legislative History of the Prohibition .....                 | 1 |
| What is Prohibited Campaign Activity? .....                  | 2 |
| Voter Guides .....                                           | 2 |
| Conducting Public Forums.....                                | 3 |
| Inviting Candidates to Speak.....                            | 4 |
| Voter Registration.....                                      | 4 |
| Issue Advocacy.....                                          | 4 |
| Selling Mailing Lists and Other Business Activities.....     | 5 |
| Website Links.....                                           | 5 |
| Activities of the Organization’s Leaders and Members .....   | 6 |
| IRS Political Activity Compliance Initiative .....           | 6 |
| Campaign Finance Law .....                                   | 7 |
| Selected Legislation in the 113 <sup>th</sup> Congress ..... | 8 |

## Contacts

|                                 |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Author Contact Information..... | 8 |
|---------------------------------|---|

Charities, houses of worship, and private schools are among the organizations that qualify for tax-exempt status as organizations described in Section 501(c)(3)<sup>1</sup> of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC).<sup>2</sup> Benefits that arise from this status include exemption from federal income taxes and eligibility to receive tax-deductible contributions.<sup>3</sup> One restriction that arises is that these organizations are prohibited under the tax code from engaging in campaign activity. Separate from the tax code's prohibition, the Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) may also restrict the ability of Section 501(c)(3) organizations to engage in such activity.

## Tax Law

The tax laws prohibit Section 501(c)(3) organizations from “participat[ing] in, or interven[ing] in (including the publishing or distributing of statements), any political campaign on behalf of (or in opposition to) any candidate for public office.”<sup>4</sup> An organization that engages in any amount of campaign activity may lose its Section 501(c)(3) status and eligibility to receive tax-deductible contributions. It may also be taxed on its political expenditures,<sup>5</sup> either in addition to or in lieu of revocation of Section 501(c)(3) status.<sup>6</sup> The tax equals 10% of the expenditures, with an additional tax equal to 100% of the expenditures imposed if the expenditures are not corrected (i.e., recovered and safeguards established to prevent future ones) in a timely manner. The organization's managers may also be subject to tax.<sup>7</sup> Other consequences for the flagrant violation of the prohibition include the IRS immediately determining and assessing all taxes due and/or seeking injunctive and other relief to enjoin the organization from making additional political expenditures and to preserve its assets.<sup>8</sup>

## Legislative History of the Prohibition

The prohibition on campaign intervention was introduced by then-Senator Lyndon Johnson as a floor amendment to the Revenue Act of 1954. He analogized it to the lobbying limitation, enacted

<sup>1</sup> I.R.C. §501(c)(3) describes organizations “organized and operated exclusively for religious, charitable, scientific, testing for public safety, literary, or educational purposes, or to foster national or international amateur sports competition ... or for the prevention of cruelty to children or animals, no part of the net earnings of which inures to the benefit of any private shareholder or individual, no substantial part of the activities of which is carrying on propaganda, or otherwise attempting, to influence legislation ... and which does not participate in, or intervene in (including the publishing or distributing of statements), any political campaign on behalf of (or in opposition to) any candidate for public office.”

<sup>2</sup> The Internal Revenue Code is Title 26 of the United States Code.

<sup>3</sup> See I.R.C. §§501(a), 170(c)(2).

<sup>4</sup> I.R.C. §501(c)(3). Separate from the campaign intervention prohibition, an organization that operates for the benefit of private interests, such as members and entities of one political party, on a more than insubstantial basis may not qualify for §501(c)(3) status. See *American Campaign Academy v. Comm’r*, 92 T.C. 1053 (1989).

<sup>5</sup> See I.R.C. §4955. A similar tax is imposed on the political expenditures of private foundations under §4945, but it is not assessed if the §4955 tax is assessed. Private foundations are §501(c)(3) organizations that receive contributions from limited sources. See I.R.C. §509.

<sup>6</sup> See H.R. Rep. 100-391(II), at 1623-24 (1987).

<sup>7</sup> A tax equal to 2.5% of the expenditures (limited to \$5,000 with respect to any one expenditure) is imposed on the organization's managers who agreed to the expenditures knowing they were political expenditures, unless the agreement is not willful and is due to reasonable cause. Any managers who refuse to correct the expenditures are subject to an additional tax equal to 50% of the expenditures (limited to \$10,000 with respect to any one expenditure).

<sup>8</sup> See I.R.C. §6852, 7409.

in 1934, under which “no substantial part” of a Section 501(c)(3) organization’s activities may be lobbying; however, he mischaracterized the limitation by saying organizations that lobbied were denied tax-exempt status, as opposed to only those that engaged in substantial lobbying.<sup>9</sup> It appears that the act’s legislative history had no further discussion of the provision. It has been suggested that then-Senator Johnson proposed it either as a way to get back at an organization that supported an opponent or as an alternative to a controversial proposal denying tax-exempt status to organizations making donations to subversive entities and individuals.<sup>10</sup>

## What is Prohibited Campaign Activity?

Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code prohibits the organizations described therein from “participating in, or intervening in (including the publishing or distributing of statements), any political campaign on behalf of (or in opposition to) any candidate for public office,” but does not further elaborate on the prohibition. Treasury regulations define candidate as “an individual who offers himself, or is proposed by others, as a contestant for an elective public office, whether such office be national, State, or local.”<sup>11</sup> As to what types of activities are prohibited, the regulations add little besides specifying that they include “the publication or distribution of written or printed statements or the making of oral statements on behalf of or in opposition to such a candidate.”<sup>12</sup>

Thus, the statute and regulations do not offer much insight as to what activities are prohibited. Clearly, Section 501(c)(3) organizations may not do such things as make statements that endorse or oppose a candidate, publish or distribute campaign literature, or make any type of contribution, monetary or otherwise, to a political campaign.

On the other hand, Section 501(c)(3) organizations are allowed to conduct activities that are political in nature but are not related to elections, such as lobbying for or against legislation<sup>13</sup> and supporting or opposing the appointment of individuals to nonelective offices. Additionally, Section 501(c)(3) organizations may engage in certain election-related activities so long as the activities do not indicate a preference for or against any candidate. Whether such an activity is campaign intervention depends on the facts and circumstances of each case. The following examples show some of the ways in which the IRS has indicated that an activity might be biased. As will be seen, some biases can be subtle and it is not necessary for the organization to expressly mention a candidate by name.

## Voter Guides

Section 501(c)(3) organizations may create and/or distribute voter guides and similar materials that do not indicate a preference towards any candidate. The guide must be unbiased in form, content, and distribution. According to the IRS, there are numerous ways in which a guide may be biased, and the determination will depend on the facts and circumstances of each case. For

---

<sup>9</sup> See 100 Cong. Rec. 9,604 (1954) (statement of Sen. Johnson).

<sup>10</sup> See Judith E. Kindell and John Francis Reilly, *Election Year Issues*, IRS 2002 EO CPE Text, 448-51 (2002).

<sup>11</sup> Treas. Reg. §1.501(c)(3)-1(c)(3)(iii).

<sup>12</sup> *Id.*

<sup>13</sup> However, “no substantial part” of a §501(c)(3) organization’s activities may be lobbying. For more information, see CRS Report RL33377, *Tax-Exempt Organizations: Political Activity Restrictions and Disclosure Requirements*, by (name redacted).

example, a guide could display a bias by not including all candidates on an equal basis. Another way a guide could be biased is by rating candidates, such as evaluating candidates and supporting a slate of the best-qualified candidates, even if the criteria are nonpartisan (e.g., based on professional qualifications).<sup>14</sup> A voter guide could also indicate a bias by comparing the organization's position on issues with those of the candidates.<sup>15</sup> A more subtle way in which a guide may show bias is by only covering issues that are important to the organization, as opposed to covering a range of issues of interest to the general public.<sup>16</sup>

Some guides consist of candidate responses to questions provided by the organization. According to the IRS, factors that tend to show these guides are candidate-neutral include the following:

- the questions and descriptions of the issues are clear and unbiased;
- the questions provided to the candidates are identical to those included in the guide;
- the candidates' answers have not been edited;
- the guide puts the questions and appropriate answers in close proximity to each other;
- the candidates are given a reasonable amount of time to respond to the questions; and
- if the candidates are given limited choices for an answer to a question (e.g., yes/no, support/oppose), they are given a reasonable opportunity to explain their positions.<sup>17</sup>

Other factors that may be important include the timing of the guide's distribution and to whom it is distributed. For example, the IRS ruled that a Section 501(c)(3) organization could include a compilation of members' voting records on issues important to it and its position on those issues in the edition of its monthly newsletter published after the close of each Congress.<sup>18</sup> The newsletter was sent to the usual small number of subscribers and not targeted to areas where elections were occurring. In this specific situation, the IRS stated that the publication was permissible because it was not timed to an election or broadly distributed.

## Conducting Public Forums

Section 501(c)(3) organizations may conduct unbiased and nonpartisan public forums where candidates speak or debate. According to the IRS, factors that tend to show a public forum is unbiased and nonpartisan include the following:

- all legally qualified candidates are invited;
- the questions are prepared and presented by a nonpartisan independent panel;

---

<sup>14</sup> See *Ass'n of the Bar of the City of New York v. Comm'r*, 858 F.2d 876 (2d Cir. 1988); Rev. Rul. 67-71, 1967-1 C.B. 125.

<sup>15</sup> See IRS FS-2006-17 (February 2006).

<sup>16</sup> See Rev. Rul. 78-248, 1978-1 C.B. 154.

<sup>17</sup> See IRS FS-2006-17 (February 2006); Rev. Rul. 78-248, 1978-1 C.B. 154.

<sup>18</sup> See Rev. Rul. 80-282, 1980-2 C.B. 178.

- the topics and questions cover a broad range of issues of interest to the public;
- all candidates receive an equal opportunity to present their views; and
- the moderator does not comment on the questions or imply approval or disapproval of the candidates.<sup>19</sup>

## Inviting Candidates to Speak

A Section 501(c)(3) organization may invite a candidate to speak at its functions without it being prohibited campaign activity. According to the IRS, factors that tend to indicate the event was permissible include the organization provided an equal opportunity to speak at similar events to the other candidates; the organization did not indicate a preference for or against any candidate; and no fund-raising occurred at the event.<sup>20</sup> Section 501(c)(3) organizations may also invite candidates to speak in their non-candidate capacity.<sup>21</sup> Factors indicating that no campaign intervention occurred include (1) the individual was chosen to speak solely for non-candidacy reasons; (2) the individual spoke only in his or her non-candidate capacity; (3) no reference to the upcoming election was made; (4) no campaign activity occurred in connection with the individual's attendance; (5) the organization maintained a nonpartisan atmosphere at the event; and (6) the organization's communications announcing the event clearly indicated the non-candidate capacity in which the individual was appearing and did not mention the individual's candidacy or the election.<sup>22</sup>

## Voter Registration

Section 501(c)(3) organizations may conduct nonpartisan voter registration and get-out-the-vote drives.<sup>23</sup> Again, the activities may not indicate a preference for any candidate or party. According to the IRS, factors indicating that these activities are neutral include the following:

- candidates are named or depicted on an equal basis;
- no political party is named except for purposes of identifying the party affiliation of each candidate;
- the activity is limited to urging individuals to register and vote and to describing the time and place for these activities; and
- all services are made available without regard to the voter's political preference.<sup>24</sup>

## Issue Advocacy

Section 501(c)(3) organizations may take positions on policy issues. Because there is no rule that campaign activity occurs only when an organization expressly advocates for or against a

---

<sup>19</sup> See Rev. Rul. 86-95, 1986-2 C.B. 73; Rev. Rul. 2007-41, 2007-1 C.B. 1421.

<sup>20</sup> See Rev. Rul. 2007-41, 2007-1 C.B. 1421.

<sup>21</sup> See *id.*

<sup>22</sup> See *id.*

<sup>23</sup> See *id.* Private foundations making expenditures for these activities may be subject to tax. See I.R.C. §4945.

<sup>24</sup> See 2002 EO CPE Text, *supra* note 10, at 379.

candidate,<sup>25</sup> the line between issue advocacy and campaign activity can be difficult to discern. According to the IRS, key factors that indicate an issue advocacy communication does not cross the line into campaign intervention include the following:

- the communication does not identify any candidates for a given public office, whether by name or other means, such as party affiliation or distinctive features of a candidate's platform;
- the communication does not express approval or disapproval for any candidate's positions and/or actions;
- the communication is not delivered close in time to an election;
- the communication does not refer to voting or an election;
- the issue addressed in the communication has not been raised as an issue distinguishing the candidates;
- the communication is part of an ongoing series by the organization on the same issue and the series is not timed to an election; and
- the identification of the candidate and the communication's timing are related to a non-electoral event (e.g., a scheduled vote on legislation by an officeholder who is also a candidate).<sup>26</sup>

## Selling Mailing Lists and Other Business Activities

Under certain circumstances, Section 501(c)(3) organizations may sell or rent goods, services, and facilities to political campaigns. This includes selling and renting mailing lists and accepting paid political advertising. According to the IRS, factors that tend to indicate the activity is not biased towards any candidate or party include the following:

- the selling or renting activity is an ongoing business activity of the organization;
- the goods, services, and facilities are available to the general public;
- the fees charged are the organization's customary and usual rates; and
- the goods, services, or facilities are available to all candidates on an equal basis.<sup>27</sup>

## Website Links

A Section 501(c)(3) organization could engage in campaign activity by linking its website to another website that has content showing a preference for or against a candidate.<sup>28</sup> Whether the

<sup>25</sup> See Rev. Rul. 2007-41, 2007-1 C.B. 1421.

<sup>26</sup> See *id.*; see also 2002 EO CPE Text, *supra* note 10, at 376-77. But note, depending on timing, such a communication might trigger regulation under FECA, discussed in the "Campaign Finance Law" section, *infra*.

<sup>27</sup> See Rev. Rul. 2007-41, 2007-1 C.B. 1421; see also, 2002 EO CPE Text, *supra* note 10, at 383-84.

<sup>28</sup> See Rev. Rul. 2007-41, 2007-1 C.B. 1421. Due to possible constitutional concerns stemming from the Supreme Court's decision in *Regan v. Taxation With Representation of Washington*, 461 U.S. 540 (1983), the IRS indicated that it would not pursue cases involving a link between the website of a §501(c)(3) organization and the home page of a related §501(c)(4) organization. See *Memorandum For All Revenue Agents*, from Marsha A. Ramirez, Director, Exempt Organizations, Examinations, dated July 28, 2008, available at <http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-tege/> (continued...)



linking is campaign intervention depends on the facts and circumstances of each case. Factors the IRS will look at include the context of the link on the organization's website, whether all candidates are represented, whether the linking serves the organization's exempt purpose, and the directness between the organization's website and the page at the other site with the biased material.<sup>29</sup>

## Activities of the Organization's Leaders and Members

Members, managers, leaders, and directors of Section 501(c)(3) organizations may participate in campaign activity in their private capacity. The organization can not support the activity in any way.<sup>30</sup> For example, these individuals may not express political views in the organization's publications or at its functions (this is true even if the individual pays the costs associated with the statement),<sup>31</sup> and the organization may not pay expenses incurred by the individual in making the political statement. Individuals may be identified as being associated with an organization, but there should be no intimation that their views represent those of the organization.<sup>32</sup>

## IRS Political Activity Compliance Initiative

Concerns about violations of the campaign intervention prohibition by Section 501(c)(3) organizations led the IRS to develop the Political Activity Compliance Initiative.<sup>33</sup> It has two parts: the IRS performed educational outreach to Section 501(c)(3) organizations about the prohibition and used a fast-track process for reviewing possible violations. The initiative was used during the 2004, 2006, and 2008 election cycles, although the data from 2008 have not yet been released. The initiative was not used during the 2010 and 2012 election cycles.<sup>34</sup>

The 2004 initiative involved the expedited review of 110 cases in which Section 501(c)(3) organizations were alleged to have violated the campaign intervention prohibition. The IRS issued a written advisory in 69 of these cases, which meant that the agency determined the organization engaged in campaign activity but mitigating factors led to the organization not being penalized. Mitigating factors included that the activity was of a one-time nature or shown to be an anomaly, the activity was done in good faith reliance on advice of counsel, or the organization corrected the conduct (e.g., recovered any funds that were spent) and established safeguards to prevent future violations. The IRS revoked the tax-exempt status of five organizations (one for issues not related to campaign activity) and proposed two more revocations. The IRS did not find

---

(...continued)

internetfielddirective072808.pdf.

<sup>29</sup> See Rev. Rul. 2007-41, 2007-1 C.B. 1421.

<sup>30</sup> See *id.*; see also 2002 EO CPE Text, *supra* note 10, at 363-65.

<sup>31</sup> See Rev. Rul. 2007-41, 2007-1 C.B. 1421.

<sup>32</sup> See *id.*

<sup>33</sup> The 2004 report is available at [http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-tege/final\\_paci\\_report.pdf](http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-tege/final_paci_report.pdf). The 2006 report, which includes updated 2004 statistics, is available at [http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-tege/2006paci\\_report\\_5-30-07.pdf](http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-tege/2006paci_report_5-30-07.pdf).

<sup>34</sup> It has been suggested that one reason for this and the lack of 2008 data is a 2009 case that held the IRS was not following the statutory procedure required in church tax inquiries and which led the IRS to suspend such inquiries. See Simon Brown, *IRS Quiet About Election Cycle Enforcement, Says Former Director*, 128 TAX NOTES 935 (August 30, 2010) (reporting statements by Marcus Owens, a former IRS EO director, about the impact of *United States v. Living Word Christian Center*, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6902 (D. Minn. January 30, 2009)).

substantiated campaign activity in 23 of the cases, and found non-political violations of the tax laws in six other cases. The remaining five cases were still open as of the last IRS update in 2007.

While the 2004 initiative was proceeding, there were reports in various media outlets that raised the question of whether the IRS had been politically motivated in investigating the Section 501(c)(3) organizations so close to the 2004 election.<sup>35</sup> In response, the IRS Commissioner asked the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA) to investigate whether the IRS had engaged in any improper activities while conducting the project. In 2005, TIGTA released its report, which concluded that the IRS had used appropriate, consistent procedures during the initiative.<sup>36</sup>

The 2006 initiative involved 100 cases selected for examination. As of the last IRS update in 2007, 60 of these cases remained open. In the 40 closed cases, the IRS issued written advisories in 26 of them, and did not find substantiated political intervention in the other 14 cases. The IRS also identified 269 instances of Section 501(c)(3) groups apparently making direct contributions to political candidates.

## Campaign Finance Law

The Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA),<sup>37</sup> which regulates the raising and spending of campaign funds, is separate and distinct from the tax code. FECA prohibits corporations from using general treasury funds to make contributions in connection with federal elections.<sup>38</sup> While FECA does not prohibit unincorporated Section 501(c)(3) organizations from making such contributions, the IRC prohibits all Section 501(c)(3) organizations, regardless of corporate status, from making such contributions, as discussed above.

In its recent ruling in *Citizens United v. FEC*,<sup>39</sup> the Supreme Court invalidated the prohibitions in FECA on corporations and labor unions using their general treasury funds to make “independent expenditures,” which are communications “expressly advocating the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate” that are not coordinated with any candidate or party,<sup>40</sup> and “electioneering communications,” which are broadcast, cable or satellite transmissions that refer to a clearly identified federal candidate and aired within 60 days of a general election or 30 days of a primary.<sup>41</sup> The Court determined that these prohibitions constitute a “ban on speech” in violation of the First Amendment.<sup>42</sup> Due to the tax code prohibition discussed above, Section

<sup>35</sup> See, e.g., Mike Allen, *NAACP Faces IRS Investigation*, WASH. POST (October 29, 2004); Vincent J. Schodolski, *Political sermons stir up the IRS: Effort to enforce tax-exempt rules or bid to bully pulpits?* CHI. TRIB. (November 20, 2005).

<sup>36</sup> TIGTA Report 2005-10-035 (February 2005).

<sup>37</sup> 2 U.S.C. §431 *et seq.*

<sup>38</sup> 2 U.S.C. §441b(a).

<sup>39</sup> 130 S. Ct. 876 (2010). For an analysis of this case, see CRS Report R41045, *The Constitutionality of Regulating Corporate Expenditures: A Brief Analysis of the Supreme Court Ruling in Citizens United v. FEC*, by (name red acted).

<sup>40</sup> 2 U.S.C. §431(17).

<sup>41</sup> 2 U.S.C. §434(f)(3).

<sup>42</sup> See *Citizens United*, 130 S. Ct. at 898.

501(c)(3) organizations are generally not permitted to engage in the activities regulated by FECA, and thus the *Citizens United* decision does not appear to impact them in a significant manner.

At the same time, the activities that constitute electioneering under the IRC and FECA are not necessarily identical. For example, it appears possible that an issue advocacy communication, depending on its timing and content, might be an electioneering communication under FECA, but might not be treated as campaign intervention under the IRC.<sup>43</sup> Such a communication would need to comply with FECA, such as its disclosure requirement for electioneering communications meeting certain monetary thresholds, if applicable.<sup>44</sup>

## Selected Legislation in the 113<sup>th</sup> Congress

Legislation has been introduced in the 113<sup>th</sup> Congress, H.R. 127, that would repeal the campaign activity prohibition in Section 501(c)(3) of the IRC. The legislation expressly provides that it “shall not invalidate or limit any provision” in FECA.

### Author Contact Information

(name redacted)  
Legislative Attorney  
[redacted]@crs.loc.gov, 7-....

(name redacted)  
Legislative Attorney  
[redacted]@crs.loc.gov, 7-....

---

<sup>43</sup> See Rev. Rul. 2004-6; 2004-1 C.B. 328.

<sup>44</sup> 2 U.S.C. §434.

## EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted names, phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.