

Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's Financial Status: Frequently Asked Questions

name redacted

Specialist in Financial Economics

August 13, 2013

Congressional Research Service

7-....

www.crs.gov

R42760

Summary

Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are chartered by Congress as government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs) to provide liquidity in the mortgage market and to promote homeownership for underserved groups and locations. They purchase mortgages, guarantee them, and package them in mortgage-backed securities (MBSs), which they either keep as investments or sell to institutional investors. In addition to the GSEs' guarantees, investors widely believe that MBSs are implicitly guaranteed by the federal government. In 2008, the GSEs' financial condition had weakened and there were concerns over their ability to meet their obligations on \$1.2 trillion in bonds and \$3.7 trillion in MBSs that they had guaranteed. In response to the financial risks, the federal government took control of these GSEs in a process known as conservatorship as a means to stabilize the mortgage credit market.

Congressional interest in Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac has increased in recent years, primarily because the federal government's continuing conservatorship of these GSEs. Uncertainty in the housing, mortgage, and financial markets has raised doubts about the future of the enterprises and the potential cost to the Treasury of guaranteeing the enterprises' debt. Since more than 60% of households are homeowners, a large number of citizens could be affected by the future of the GSEs. Congress exercises oversight over the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), which is both regulator and conservator of the GSEs, and is considering legislation to shape the future of the GSEs.

Estimates of the eventual total cost to the federal government of supporting the GSEs use different baselines and vary widely. In 2012, FHFA estimated that, by the end of 2015, Treasury is likely to have purchased between \$191 billion and \$209 billion of senior preferred stock, and the Congressional Budget Office has estimated the GSEs will pay Treasury dividends amounting to \$30 billion between FY2013 and FY2017 and \$44 billion between FY2013 and FY2022.

Under terms of the federal government's support agreement as amended and effective on August 17, 2012, the enterprises will pay the Treasury all of their quarterly profits (if any). Under the previous agreements, the enterprises paid Treasury dividends of nearly \$20 billion annually (10% of the support). Paying the federal government all profits earned in a quarter could prevent the GSEs from accumulating funds to redeem the senior preferred stock. However, it would appear that the GSEs could make quarterly redemptions.

The financial condition of the GSEs continues to improve. In the second quarter of 2013, Fannie Mae paid slightly less than \$60 billion in dividends to Treasury and Freddie Mac paid slightly less than \$7 billion in dividends.

Contents

A Brief History of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's Current Status What Is the Current Financial Condition of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac? How Do the GSEs Pay Dividends to Treasury? What Risks Do Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's Financial Problems Create for Homeowners and Those Planning to Become Homeowners? What Risks Do Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Face in Today's Economic Environment?	3 6 7
What Is the Current Financial Condition of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac? How Do the GSEs Pay Dividends to Treasury? What Risks Do Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's Financial Problems Create for Homeowners and Those Planning to Become Homeowners? What Risks Do Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Face in Today's Economic Environment?	3 6 7
What Is the Current Financial Condition of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac? How Do the GSEs Pay Dividends to Treasury? What Risks Do Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's Financial Problems Create for Homeowners and Those Planning to Become Homeowners? What Risks Do Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Face in Today's Economic Environment?	3 6 7
How Do the GSEs Pay Dividends to Treasury?	6 7 8
What Risks Do Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's Financial Problems Create for Homeowners and Those Planning to Become Homeowners?	7 8 9
Homeowners and Those Planning to Become Homeowners?	8 9
What Risks Do Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Face in Today's Economic Environment?	8 9
Environment?	9
	9
What Is the Expected Cost to the Federal Government?	10
What Risks Do Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Create for the U.S. Government?	
Is the Government Investigating Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac?	. 11
What Is Happening to Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's Affordable Housing Initiatives?	. 11
Do Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Have Any Programs to Help Mortgage Borrowers?	. 12
Who Manages the GSEs?	. 13
What Is Happening to Executive Compensation?	. 13
What is the Difference between the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008	
and the Federal Housing Finance Regulatory Reform Act of 2008?	
Future	. 14
Could the GSEs Return to Stockholder Control?	. 14
What Has Conservatorship Done to Stockholders and Other Stakeholders?	. 15
What Are Some of Congress's Options for Restructuring the GSEs?	
How Can Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Leave Conservatorship?	. 16
Context	. 17
What Is Conservatorship?	. 17
Who Heads FHFA?	. 17
Were There Precedents for Placing Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Under Conservatorship?	17
Why Did FHFA Place Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Under Conservatorship?	
Why Did FHFA Act on September 7, 2008, Instead of Earlier or Later?	
What Was Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's Financial Position?	. 19
Why Did Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's Stock Prices Decline in 2008?	. 19
What Had Congress Done Previously to Improve the Financial Condition of the	
GSEs?	. 20
What Other Actions Has the Federal Government Taken to Address the Financial	
Condition of the GSEs?	
Who Has Invested in the Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac?	
What Recent Legislation Has Affected the GSEs?	
Glossary	. 23
Tables	
Tables	
Table 1. GSE Profitability Since 2006	3

Table 2. Treasury Purchases of GSE Senior Preferred Stock	5
Table 3. Dividends Paid by GSEs to Treasury	7
Table 4. GSE 2010-2014 Housing Goals and Subgoals	12
Table 5. Public Laws Specifically Affecting GSEs	22
Contacts	
Author Contact Information.	23

Introduction

Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are stockholder-owned, government-sponsored enterprises (GSEs), which purchase existing mortgages, guarantee investors that the mortgages will be paid on time, pool the mortgages into mortgage-backed securities (MBSs), and either keep the MBSs as an investment or sell the MBSs to investors. Congressional charters give the GSEs a special relationship with the federal government, and it is widely believed that the federal government implicitly guarantees their \$1.2 trillion in bonds and \$3.7 trillion in MBSs. The charters give these GSEs special public policy goals aimed at providing liquidity in the mortgage market and promoting homeownership for underserved groups and locations.

In 2008, the GSEs' financial condition had weakened and there were concerns over their ability to meet obligations. On September 7, 2008, the federal government took control of these GSEs from their stockholders and management in a process known as conservatorship. The goal of conservatorship is to restore the GSEs' financial strength and to return control to their stockholders and management.

Congressional interest in Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac has increased in recent years, primarily because the federal government's continuing conservatorship of these GSEs has raised doubts about their future and concerns about the potential cost of supporting them. Congressional interest has been reflected by the introduction of bills to reform or replace the GSEs and by oversight hearings.

This report presents, in analytical question and answer form, the major issues surrounding Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's financial conditions, and various public policy options under discussion.

A glossary of terms is included at the end of this report.

A Brief History of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac

Prior to the development of the secondary mortgage market, mortgage markets were local, and there were significant differences across the nation in mortgage rates and relatively large fluctuations in lending activity. Primary lenders had to balance their lending practices with their deposits received, which led to severe credit shortages during economic downturns, when savings accounts were depleted by withdrawals.² This shortage was exacerbated due to the concentration of major money centers in areas like Chicago and New York, far from many who needed home loans. There was no way to move funds from these areas where mortgage money was available to other areas, such as California, where it was in relatively short supply. In effect, this amounted to a geographic barrier that prevented the law of supply and demand from operating on a national

¹ The government has a contractual obligation to provide Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac with financial support, but the bonds and MBS themselves are not directly guaranteed by the federal government.

² Michael P. Malloy, *The Regulation of Banking: Cases and Materials on Depository Institutions and Their Regulators*, (Cincinnati: Anderson Publishing Company, 1992), p. 381.

level in the home loan market.³ The secondary mortgage market combined these many regional mortgage markets into a single national market that draws financing from around the world.

To encourage improvement in housing standards and conditions and to provide a system of mutual mortgage insurance, Congress passed, and President Franklin D. Roosevelt signed into law, the National Housing Act in 1934.⁴ Title III of the National Housing Act established national mortgage associations, giving rise to the creation of Fannie Mae. In its original form, Fannie Mae was a federal government agency that was chartered to support government-backed mortgages and carry out some government subsidy functions. In 1954, Congress re-chartered Fannie Mae as a mixed government and private-sector entity, with a clearly delineated separation between its market-oriented (i.e., secondary mortgage trading) and governmental (i.e., special assistance and managing and liquidating government-held mortgages) functions.⁵ In 1968, Congress split the firm into two distinct organizations, with the secondary market arm retaining the Fannie Mae name and the government functions arm taking the name Ginnie Mae, short for the Government National Mortgage Association.⁶ The partitioning legislation re-chartered Fannie Mae as a GSE to become completely privately owned with no federal funding. Fannie Mae completed this transition in 1970.

In 1970, Congress enacted the Emergency Home Finance Act, which authorized Fannie Mae to buy conventional mortgages. Fannie Mae bought most of the mortgages from mortgage bankers. Savings and loans, the other major source of mortgage money, were restricted to holding mortgages and were generally unable to work with Fannie Mae. To facilitate secondary market trading of conventional mortgages for savings and loan associations, the act created Freddie Mac as a wholly owned subsidiary of the Federal Home Loan Bank System (FHLBS). In 1989, Congress re-chartered Freddie Mac so that its shares could trade on the New York Stock Exchange, in the same manner as Fannie Mae's. The 1989 act also did away with the separate missions of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, with the result that today the two enterprises have similar characteristics.

Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac purchase mortgages that lenders have already made to homeowners. These mortgages must meet Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's standards and not exceed the conforming loan limit. These mortgages are guaranteed by the purchasing GSE, pooled into MBSs, and either sold to investors or kept by the GSE as an investment.

2

³ See Carrie Stradley Lavargna, *Government Sponsored Enterprises are "Too Big to Fail:" Balancing Public and Private Interests*, 44 Hastings L.J. 991, 998 (1993).

^{4 48} Stat. 1246

⁵ The National Housing Act of 1954, P.L. 83-560, Title II.

⁶ The Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, P.L. 90-448.

⁷ The Emergency Home Finance Act, P.L. 91-351.

⁸ The Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989, P.L. 101-73.

⁹ CRS Report RS22172, *The Conforming Loan Limit*, by (name redacted) and (name redacted).

Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's Current Status

What Is the Current Financial Condition of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac?

In the second quarter of 2013, Fannie Mae reported record profits of \$59 billion and Freddie Mac reported near record profits of \$5 billion. For calendar 2012, both had record profits. ¹⁰ **Table 1** summarizes the losses and profits of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac since 2006.

Table I. GSE Profitability Since 2006

(\$ in millions)

Quarter	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac
Ist Quarter 2006	\$2,026	\$1,942
2 nd Quarter 2006	2,058	1,336
3 rd Quarter 2006	-629	-550
4 th Quarter 2006	604	401
Full Year 2006	4,059	2,327
Ist Quarter 2007	961	-133
2 nd Quarter 2007	1,947	729
3 rd Quarter 2007	-1,399	-1,238
4 th Quarter 2007	-3,559	-2,452
Full Year 2007	-2,050	-3,094
Ist Quarter 2008	-2,186	-151
2 nd Quarter 2008	-2,300	-821
3 rd Quarter 2008	-28,994	-25,295
4 th Quarter 2008	-25,227	-23,852
Full Year 2008	-58,707	-50,119
Ist Quarter 2009	-23,168	-9,975
2 nd Quarter 2009	-14,754	302
3 rd Quarter 2009	-18,872	-5,408
4th Quarter 2009	-15,175	-6,472
Full Year 2009	-71,969	-21,553
Ist Quarter 2010	-11,530	-6,688
2 nd Quarter 2010	-1,218	-4,713
3 rd Quarter 2010	-1,339	-2,511
4th Quarter 2010	73	-113

¹⁰ Federal Housing Finance Agency, *Report to Congress: 2012*, pp. 80 and 97, available at http://www.fhfa.gov/webfiles/25320/FHFA2012_AnnualReport-508.pdf.

Quarter	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac
Full Year 2010	-14,014	-14,025
Ist Quarter 2011	-6,471	676
2 nd Quarter 2011	-2,893	-2,139
3 rd Quarter 2011	-5,085	-4,422
4th Quarter 2011	-2,406	619
Full Year 2011	-16,855	-5,266
Ist Quarter 2012	2,719	577
2 nd Quarter 2012	5,114	3,020
3 rd Quarter 2012	1,821	2,928
4th Quarter 2012	7,570	4,457
Full Year 2012	17,224	10,982
Ist Quarter 2012	58,658	4,581
2 nd Quarter 2012	10,084	4,988

Source: Fannie Mae (http://www.fanniemae.com/portal/about-us/investor-relations/index.html) and Freddie Mac (http://www.freddiemac.com/investors/er).

Notes: Freddie Mac's 2009 annual report revised previously released 2009 quarterly net income. This table reflects the revisions. Amounts shown are "net loss attributable to Fannie Mae," and "net loss attributable to Freddie Mac," which exclude dividends paid to Treasury on the senior preferred stock. All other dividends have been suspended.

The GSEs' losses that started in late 2006 were notable because, previously, the GSEs had been consistently profitable. Prior to 2006, Fannie Mae had not reported a full-year loss since 1985, and Freddie Mac had never reported a full-year loss since it became stockholder owned.

Two major sources of losses for mortgage lenders, 11 including the GSEs, have been loans to borrowers with less than prime credit (subprime) and certain types of mortgages to borrowers with credit between prime and subprime (Alt-A). ¹² At the end of 2012, Fannie Mae held \$15.1 billion in private-label MBSs backed by subprime mortgages and held \$17.1 billion in privatelabel MBSs backed by Alt-A mortgages. 13 Freddie Mac held \$44.4 billion in private-label MBSs backed by subprime mortgages and \$14.8 billion in private-label MBSs backed by Alt-A mortgages. ¹⁴ The GSEs have, in addition, increased loan loss reserves in anticipation of continuing losses. If losses on foreclosed mortgages are less than predicted, the reserves could be reduced, which would improve the GSEs' financial condition. If losses are greater on foreclosed mortgages, the GSEs' financial condition would worsen.

¹⁴ FHFA, *Report*, p. 103.

¹¹ Other major factors associated with high losses to mortgage lenders include loans that do not make any monthly repayment of principal, and mortgages with relatively small downpayments to borrowers with weak credit histories. See, for example, Fannie Mae, "Fannie Mae 2012 Credit Supplement," August 8, 2012, p. 68 available at http://www.fanniemae.com/resources/file/ir/pdf/quarterly-annual-results/2012/q42012 credit summary.pdf.

¹² Although the names prime and subprime suggest that a mortgage should be in one category or the other, the industry category of Alt-A is between prime and subprime.

¹³ FHFA, *Report*, p. 86.

Starting in 2008, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have tightened their lending standards. For example, the average FICO score of a 2007 mortgage purchased by Freddie Mac was 703, in 2008 it was 722, and in the first quarter of 2013 it was 753. Fannie Mae shows a similar increase in FICO scores. Approximately 76% of Fannie Mae's single-family book of business has been purchased in 2008 or more recently; the number for Freddie Mac is approximately 71%.

Since the third quarter of 2008, the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), as conservator of the GSEs, has asked Treasury for a total of \$116.1 billion to increase Fannie Mae's assets to offset its liabilities, and a total of \$71.3 billion for Freddie Mac. ¹⁶ Since the second quarter of 2012, neither GSE has required Treasury's support.

Technically, Treasury support for the GSEs comes through purchases of GSE senior preferred stock. **Table 2** reports the amounts, including the \$1 billion of senior preferred stock that each GSE gave Treasury when they were taken into conservatorship. This stock is senior to (has priority over) all other common and preferred stock; it is the only stock currently receiving dividends.

Table 2. Treasury Purchases of GSE Senior Preferred Stock

(\$ in millions)

	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac
Initial Agreement (No explicit cost)	\$1,000	\$1,000
3 rd Quarter 2008	0	13,800
4 th Quarter 2008	15,200	30,800
Year 2008	16,200	45,600
I st Quarter 2009	19,000	6,100
2 nd Quarter 2009	10,700	0
3 rd Quarter 2009	15,000	0
4 th Quarter 2009	15,300	0
Year 2009	60,000	6,100
Ist Quarter 2010	8,400	10,600
2 nd Quarter 2010	1,500	1,800
3 rd Quarter 2010	2,500	100
4 th Quarter 2010	2,600	500
Year 2010	15,000	13,000
Ist Quarter 2011	8,500	0

¹⁵ Fannie Mae, 2013 First-Quarter Credit Supplement, May 9, 2013, p. 8, available at http://www.fanniemae.com/resources/file/ir/pdf/quarterly-annual-results/2013/q12013_credit_summary.pdf, and Freddie Mac, Second Quarter 2012 Financial Results Supplement, August 7, 2012, p. 26, available at http://www.freddiemac.com/investors/er/pdf/supplement_2q12.pdf and First Quarter 2013 Financial Results Supplement, May 8, 2013, p. 26 available at http://www.freddiemac.com/investors/er/pdf/supplement_1q13.pdf. FICO is a company that provides credit scoring services to lenders.

¹⁶ FHFA, "Data as of June 28, 2013 on Treasury and Federal Reserve Purchase Programs for GSE and Mortgage-Related Securities," available at http://www.fhfa.gov/Default.aspx?Page=70.

	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac
2 nd Quarter 2011	5,087	1,479
3 rd Quarter 2011	7,791	5,992
4th Quarter 2011	4,571	146
Year 2011	25,949	7,617
Ist Quarter 2012	0	19
2 nd Quarter 2012	0	0
3 rd Quarter 2012	0	0
4 th Quarter 2012	0	0
Year 2012	0	19
Ist Quarter 2013	0	0
2 nd Quarter 2013	0	0
Total Senior Preferred Holdings	\$117,149	\$72,336

Source: Fannie Mae (http://www.fanniemae.com/portal/about-us/investor-relations/index.html), Freddie Mac (http://www.freddiemac.com/investors/er/), and FHFA (http://www.fhfa.gov/Default.aspx?Page=70).

Note: Each "total holdings" includes \$1 billion in senior preferred stock that the GSEs gave Treasury at the time of their conservatorship agreements. Except for the first draw, Treasury has actually paid the GSEs on the last day of the next quarter: March 31, June 30, September 30, and December 31.

In addition to Treasury's purchases of senior preferred stock, the Federal Reserve (Fed) has purchased GSE bonds and MBSs. In programs that started in September 2008 and ended in March 2010, the Fed and Treasury together purchased \$1,135.9 billion in MBSs. ¹⁷ On September 21, 2011, the Fed decided to begin reinvesting MBS principal repayments in other MBSs. ¹⁸ As of July 31, 2013, the Fed held \$1.0 trillion of Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's MBSs. ¹⁹

How Do the GSEs Pay Dividends to Treasury?

To expedite reducing assets of the GSEs, Treasury, FHFA, and each of the GSEs amended the separate support contracts on August 17, 2012, so that each GSE pays Treasury whatever profits it earns each quarter. If there are no profits, there is no payment. Previously each GSE made quarterly payments on a 10% dividend on the senior preferred stock.

¹⁷ Federal Housing Finance Agency, "Data as of June 28, 2013 on Treasury and Federal Reserve Purchase Programs for GSE and Mortgage-Related Securities," available at http://www.fhfa.gov/Default.aspx?Page=70.

¹⁸ Federal Reserve Bank of New York, "FAQs: Reinvestments of Principal Payments on Agency Securities into Agency MBS," September 26, 2011, available at http://www.newyorkfed.org/markets/ambs/ambs faq.html.

¹⁹ Federal Reserve Bank of New York, "System Open Market Account Holdings: Securities Holdings as of July 31, 2013," available at http://www.newyorkfed.org/markets/soma/sysopen_accholdings.html.

²⁰ Department of Treasury, "Treasury Department Announces Further Steps to Expedite Wind Down of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac," press release, August 17, 2012, available at http://www.treasury.gov/press-center/press-releases/Pages/tg1684.aspx. The press release contains links to the amended agreements.

Table 3. Dividends Paid by GSEs to Treasury

(\$ in billions)

		Fannie Mae			Fannie Mae			Freddie Mac	
Year	Quarter	Dividends Accrued	Date Paid	Cumulative Dividends	Dividends Accrued	Date Paid	Cumulative Dividends		
2008	Q3	\$0.01	N/A	\$0.00	\$0.01	N/A	\$0.00		
2008	Q4	\$0.03	12/31/08	\$0.03	\$0.17	12/31/08	\$0.17		
2009	Q١	\$0.03	3/31/09	\$0.06	\$0.37	3/31/09	\$0.54		
2009	Q2	\$0.41	6/30/09	\$0.47	\$1.15	6/30/09	\$1.69		
2009	Q3	\$0.89	9/30/09	\$1.35	\$1.29	9/30/09	\$2.99		
2009	Q4	\$1.15	12/31/09	\$2.50	\$1.29	12/31/09	\$4.28		
2010	QI	\$1.53	3/31/10	\$4.03	\$1.29	3/31/10	\$5.57		
2010	Q2	\$1.91	6/30/10	\$5.94	\$1.29	6/30/10	\$6.86		
2010	Q3	\$2.12	9/30/10	\$8.06	\$1.56	9/30/10	\$8.42		
2010	Q4	\$2.15	12/31/10	\$10.21	\$1.60	12/31/10	\$10.03		
2011	QI	\$2.22	3/31/11	\$12.42	\$1.61	3/31/11	\$11.63		
2011	Q2	\$2.28	6/30/11	\$14.71	\$1.62	6/30/11	\$13.25		
2011	Q3	\$2.50	9/30/11	\$17.20	\$1.62	9/30/11	\$14.87		
2011	Q4	\$2.62	12/30/11	\$19.82	\$1.66	12/30/11	\$16.52		
2012	QI	\$2.82	3/30/12	\$22.64	\$1.81	3/30/12	\$18.33		
2012	Q2	\$2.93	6/29/12	\$25.57	\$1.81	6/29/12	\$20.14		
2012	Q3	\$2.93	9/28/2012	\$28.50	\$1.81	9/28/2012	\$21.95		
2012	Q4	\$2.93	12/31/2012	\$3143	\$1.81	12/31/2012	\$23.75		
2013	QI	\$4.2	3/29/2013	\$35.65	\$5.83	3/29/2013	\$29.58		
2013	Q2	\$59.4	6/28/2013	\$95.02	\$6.97	6/28/2013	\$36.55		
2013	Q3	\$10.2	9/2013	\$105.22	\$4.4	9/2013	\$40.95		
Cumulat	Cumulative Dividends paid or owed by both enterprises \$146.17 billion								

Source: FHFA, "Data as of June 28, 2013 on Treasury and Federal Reserve Purchase Programs for GSE and Mortgage-Related Securities," available at http://www.fhfa.gov/Default.aspx?Page=70. Q3 2013 dividends accrued based on Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's 10-K filings with the SEC for Q2 2013.

Notes: Dividends accrued may not add up to cumulative dividends due to rounding.

What Risks Do Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's Financial Problems Create for Homeowners and Those Planning to Become Homeowners?

Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's financial problems create no risks for homeowners who want to stay in their homes and who do not want to refinance. Homeowners continue to pay their existing mortgages.

Treasury's actions of lending money to the GSEs and the Fed's purchases of the GSEs' MBSs appear to have helped stabilize the secondary mortgage market and provided a continuing flow of funds to purchase new homes and to refinance existing mortgages.

Under conservatorship, the GSEs have become active in loan modifications and the refinancing of existing mortgages that they own. They have raised the credit and documentation standards on the mortgages that they purchase, with stricter underwriting rules and higher fees. These actions are similar to other lenders' behavior during the recent recession and in previous economic slowdowns.

In most areas of the country, FHA can guarantee mortgages of \$271,050 or less as compared with Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's \$417,000 loan limit.²¹ In high-cost areas, the FHA maximum guarantee is \$729,750 compared with \$625,500 for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.²²

What Risks Do Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Face in Today's Economic Environment?

In any economic environment, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac face the variety of risks that many other companies face. The GSEs purchase home mortgages. They package most mortgages into MBSs, selling some and holding others in their investment portfolios. The GSEs finance their portfolios of long-term (typically 30-year) mortgages with short-term borrowing (typically three months to five years). This financing strategy increases the GSEs' profits because short-term borrowing is usually less expensive than longer-term loans. At the same time, it creates *interest rate risk*, which is the risk that, if short-term interest rates increase, profitability can be reduced or can even turn to losses. For example, if interest rates were to increase to 6%, mortgages at 5% would not be profitable. To try to reduce interest rate risk, the GSEs use a variety of financial derivatives.²³

The Federal Reserve has said that it will hold interest rates low "at least through mid-2015"²⁴ and that it would attempt to "maintain downward pressure on longer-term interest rates, [and] support mortgage markets ... "²⁵ In the short run, this could aid the GSEs: they finance mortgages by borrowing for relatively short periods of time and will be able to borrow at lower interest rates. In the longer run, when interest rates increase, the GSEs' profitability may be challenged as they

²¹ The GSEs loan limit is known as the conforming loan limit.

²² There are higher limits for two-, three-, and four-unit residential properties, and in Alaska, Hawaii, Guam, and the Virgin Islands. Department of Housing and Urban Development, "Federal Housing Administration Maximum Loan Limits, Effective Period: January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013," Mortgagee Letter 12-26, December 6, 2012, available at http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/documents/huddoc?id=12-26ml.pdf and FHFA, "Maximum Loan Amounts for Loans Acquired in Calendar Year 2013 and Originated after 9/30/2011 or Prior to 7/1/2007," available at http://www.fhfa.gov/Default.aspx?Page=185.

²³ A derivative is a financial contract whose value is linked to another financial instrument, price, or variable. For example, two companies could trade a derivative whose value was linked to the difference in the interest rates on 2-year and 10-year Treasury bonds.

²⁴ Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, "Federal Reserve Issues FOMC Statement," press release, September 13, 2012, available at http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/press/monetary/20120913a.htm.

²⁵ Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, "Monetary Policy Release," press release, July 31, 2013, available at http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/press/monetary/20130731a.htm.

refinance their short-term borrowing at rates that could be greater than what they receive on their mortgages.

In a worst-case scenario, the interest rate on short-term loans to the GSEs could increase enough to cause substantial losses, and investors could stop entering into derivative contracts with the GSEs. This would leave the GSEs, which anticipated being able to roll over their short-term debt, unable to refinance.

The GSEs are also subject to *credit risk*. The GSEs guarantee timely payment of principal and interest of the mortgages in their MBSs. As mortgage foreclosure rates have climbed since 2006, and as home prices have fallen, the value of the mortgages and MBSs that the two firms hold in their portfolio has also fallen. Uncertainty about the duration and severity of the housing slump means that markets cannot now gauge the riskiness of the GSEs with much confidence or precision. The Treasury's support has reduced this risk, but it is not clear if the GSEs will ever return to stockholder control.

Like all other businesses, the GSEs have *operational risk* due to the failure of internal controls. FHFA has directed the GSEs to reduce operational risk by improving their information technology, data quality, and internal controls.

As financial corporations, the GSEs are also subject to *model risk*, or the risk that their models (especially credit models) are not accurate. FHFA has directed the GSEs to update their financial models to reflect changing conditions.

What Is the Expected Cost to the Federal Government?

Estimates of the total cost to the federal government of supporting Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac use different baselines and vary widely. FHFA has estimated that, by the end of 2015, Treasury is likely to have purchased between \$191 billion and \$209 billion of senior preferred stock, and the Congressional Budget Office has estimated that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac will pay Treasury \$30 billion in dividends between FY2013 and FY2017 and \$44 billion between FY2013 and FY2022.

To keep the GSEs solvent, Treasury has agreed to purchase senior preferred stock as necessary. Treasury initially had agreed to purchase a maximum of \$100 billion in senior preferred stock from each GSE, and later increased the \$100 billion to \$200 billion. Between 2010 and 2012, the

²⁶ The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) records only cash transfers between the Treasury and the two GSEs as costs. CBO uses a "fair-value" approach to estimate subsidy costs, which represent "the up-front payment that a private entity in an orderly transaction would require to assume the federal responsibilities for the GSEs' obligations." Another alternative would be to use the cost methods under the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990, which are used for most federal loan guarantee programs and discounts projected cash flows using interest rates on Treasury obligations. Fair-value estimates use risk-adjusted interest rates.

²⁷ Federal Housing Finance Agency, "FHFA Updates Projections of Potential Draws for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac," press release, October 26, 2012, available at http://www.fhfa.gov/webfiles/24610/Projections102612.pdf.

²⁸ Congressional Budget Office, *The Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2013 to 2023*, p. 53, available at http://www.cbo.gov/sites/default/files/cbofiles/attachments/43907-BudgetOutlook.pdf and references therein.

²⁹ Department of Treasury, "Treasury Issues Update on Status of Support for Housing Programs," December 24, 2009, available at http://www.treasury.gov/press-center/press-releases/Pages/2009122415345924543.aspx.

dollar limit was removed, but it was reinstated in 2013. As of the end of the first quarter of 2013, Fannie Mae had \$117.6 billion available from Treasury and Freddie Mac had \$140.5 billion.³⁰

Upon entering conservatorship (September 7, 2008), each GSE issued Treasury \$1 billion of senior preferred stock and warrants (options) to purchase common stock. If the warrants are exercised, Treasury would own 79.9% of each company. As part of the contracts, each GSE has agreed to restrictions on paying dividends, issuing new stock, and disposing of assets.

The agreements between the GSEs and Treasury set the maximum portfolio size for each GSE at \$900 billion as of December 31, 2009, with the goal of reaching \$250 billion by decreasing the maximum 10% annually. This 10% reduction applied in 2010 and 2012, but under the third amended agreement between Treasury and the GSEs, the maximum decreases 15% annually until it reaches \$250 billion. Under the new, more rapid portfolio reductions, the \$250 billion goal will be reached in 2018 instead of 2022.

The December 24, 2009, announcement said that Treasury would terminate its program to purchase MBSs of GSEs on December 31, 2009. Treasury estimated at the end of 2009 that it held approximately \$220 billion in MBSs. Treasury has said that it expects to profit from the spread between the interest rate that it pays to borrow money through bonds and the mortgage payments on the MBSs. Separately, the New York Federal Reserve had its own program to purchase \$1.25 trillion of GSE and Ginnie Mae MBSs. The Fed's new QE3 program is purchasing GSE and Ginnie Mae MBSs. The GSEs will guarantee payment of the MBSs.

Treasury created a Government Sponsored Enterprise Credit Facility (GSECF) to provide liquidity to the GSEs, secured by MBSs pledged as collateral.³⁴ This facility terminated December 31, 2009.

What Risks Do Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Create for the U.S. Government?

In the event that it were necessary to dissolve Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac (a process known as receivership), the usual priority of claims on remaining assets is administrative expenses of the receivership, senior and general debt, subordinated debt, and stock.³⁵ This would seem to place the MBSs with their guarantee at a fairly senior position, followed by GSE bonds, which would

³⁰ The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, P.L. 111-5, §1117, and Federal Housing Finance Agency, "Data as of June 28, 2013, on Treasury and Federal Reserve Purchase Programs for GSE and Mortgage-Related Securities," available at http://www.fhfa.gov/Default.aspx?Page=70.

³¹ Department of Treasury, "Fact Sheet: GSE Mortgage Backed Securities Purchase Program," September 9, 2008, available at http://www.treasury.gov/press-center/press-releases/Documents/mbs_factsheet_090708hp1128.pdf.

³² Federal Reserve Bank of New York, *FAQs: MBS Purchase Program*, March 24, 2009, available at http://www.newyorkfed.org/markets/mbs_FAQ.HTML.

³³ Federal Reserve Bank of New York, "Statement Regarding Transactions in Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities and Treasury Securities," September 13, 2012, available at http://www.newyorkfed.org/markets/opolicy/operating policy 120913.html.

³⁴ Department of Treasury, "Fact Sheet: Government Sponsored Enterprise Credit Facility," September 7, 2008, available at http://www.treasury.gov/press-center/press-releases/Documents/gsecf_factsheet_090708.pdf.

³⁵ CRS Report RL34657, Financial Institution Insolvency: Federal Authority over Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Depository Institutions, by (name redacted) and (name redacted), contains more information on this subject.

be ahead of the government's senior preferred stock, which would be ahead of all other stockholders.

If a GSE were to go into receivership, the value of its MBSs could decline because the value of the guarantee of timely payment of the MBSs would be called into question. If a GSE were unable to perform on the timely payment guarantee, the value of the MBSs would depend on the payment of the underlying mortgages, the rules of receivership, and the government's support for the MBSs.

The eventual value of the bonds would depend on the cause of the receivership and the details of the liquidation process. For example, if mortgage defaults and losses were to increase, the assets available for creditors would decrease.

In the event of receivership, it would appear unlikely that the senior preferred stock would have much value.

Is the Government Investigating Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac?

On December 16, 2011, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) has filed suit against former Fannie Mae chief executive officer (CEO) Daniel H. Mudd, former Freddie Mac CEO Richard F. Syron, and other former officers with securities fraud.³⁶ According to media reports, the cases are scheduled to go to trial in 2015.

What Is Happening to Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's Affordable Housing Initiatives?

The Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA; P.L. 110-289) gives the FHFA authority to set housing goals for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. **Table 4** summarizes the 2010 to 2014 housing goals and subgoals. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac can also meet a housing goal or subgoal by purchasing sufficient qualifying mortgages to mirror or exceed the market.³⁷

³⁶ United States Securities and Exchange Commission v Daniel H. Mudd, Enrico Dallavecchia, and Thomas A. Lund,, (U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York), December 16, 2011, available at http://www.sec.gov/litigation/complaints/2011/comp-pr2011-267-fanniemae.pdf and United States Securities and Exchange Commission v Richard F. Syron, Patricia L. Cook, and Donald J. Bisenius, (U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York), December 16, 2011, available at http://www.sec.gov/litigation/complaints/2011/comp-pr2011-267-freddiemac.pdf. See also, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, "SEC Chargers Former Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Executives with Securities Fraud," press release, December 16, http://www.sec.gov/litigation/litreleases/2011/lr22201.htm.

³⁷ Of course, Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac's success in meeting or exceeding the mortgage market's percentages in the various goals can only be known after the year is over. This does, however, provide a way to meet the goal requirements if changes in the mortgage market make meeting the goals in **Table 3** very difficult or impossible.

Table 4. GSE 2010-2014 Housing Goals and Subgoals

Category	2010-2011 Actual Goal	2012-2014 Proposed Goal
Low-Income Families Housing Goal (Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac)	27% purchase money (mortgages used to purchase a home)	23% purchase money
Very Low-Income Families Housing Goal (Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac)	8% purchase money	7% purchase money
Low-Income Areas Housing Goal (Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac)	13% purchase money	NA
Refinancing Housing Goal (Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac)	21% refinance	20% refinance
Multifamily Low-Income Housing Goal (Fannie Mae)	177,750 dwelling units	2012: 285,000 dwelling units 2013: 265,000 dwelling units 2014: 250,000 dwelling units
Multifamily Low-Income Housing Goal (Freddie Mac)	161,250 dwelling units	2012: 225,000 dwelling units 2013: 215,000 dwelling units 2014: 200,000 dwelling units
Multifamily Very Low-Income Housing Subgoal (Fannie Mae)	42,750 dwelling units	2012: 80,000 dwelling units 2013: 70,000 dwelling units 2014: 60,000 dwelling units
Multifamily Very Low-Income Housing Subgoal (Freddie Mac)	21,000 dwelling units	2012: 59,000 dwelling units 2013: 50,000 dwelling units 2014: 40,000 dwelling units

Sources: Federal Housing Finance Agency, "2010–2011 Enterprise Housing Goals; Enterprise Book-entry Procedures," 75 Federal Register 55892-55939, September 14, 2010; Federal Housing Finance Agency, "2012-2014 Enterprise Housing Goals," 77 Federal Register 67235-67557.

Note: Alternatively, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac can meet their housing goals by purchasing mortgages to equal or exceed the market percentage.

Do Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Have Any Programs to Help Mortgage Borrowers?

Fannie Mae³⁸ and Freddie Mac³⁹ each have special programs for mortgage borrowers, but only for borrowers whose loans each holds. These programs include allowing certain borrowers who owe more than their homes are currently worth to refinance their mortgages or enter into repayment plans, forbearance plans, mortgage modifications, and deed-for-lease⁴⁰ plans. There are also programs to avoid foreclosure through short sales and deeds-in-lieu of foreclosure.⁴¹

³⁸ Fannie Mae, "Avoiding Foreclosure," available at http://www.fanniemae.com/portal/helping-homeowners-communities/foreclosure-help.html.

³⁹ Freddie Mac, "Alternatives to Foreclosure," available at http://www.freddiemac.com/avoidforeclosure/alternatives_to_foreclosure.html.

⁴⁰ In a deed-for-lease plan, the delinquent homeowner surrenders the deed to the lender and leases (rents) the home for an agreed-upon time period.

⁴¹ In a short sale, the lender agrees to cancel a mortgage in return for the proceeds from the sale of a home, even though the proceeds are less than the amount owed. A deed in lieu is when the home owner turns the property over to the lender and is released from the mortgage obligations. In both cases, the lender owns the house, the homeowner moves, (continued...)

Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have programs to allow a homeowner facing foreclosure to surrender the deed in lieu of foreclosure and then to lease the home back. The Fannie Mae lease is for 12 months and can be renewed, whereas the Freddie Mac lease is month-to-month. Both programs charge a market rate for the lease.

Information on additional government programs is available at http://www.makinghomeaffordable.gov/.

For additional information on programs to help mortgage borrowers, see CRS Report R42480, *Reduce, Refinance, and Rent? The Economic Incentives, Risks, and Ramifications of Housing Market Policy Options*, by (name redacted).

Who Manages the GSEs?

Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have separate management teams headed by a chief executive officer and overseen by their conservator and regulator, the Federal Housing Finance Agency. Fannie Mae CEO Daniel H. Mudd and Freddie Mac CEO Richard F. Syron resigned when their companies were placed in conservatorship on September 7, 2008. FHFA appointed Herbert M. Allison Jr. as Fannie Mae's CEO and David Moffett as Freddie Mac's CEO.

Effective March 13, 2009, Moffett resigned from Freddie Mac to return to the private sector. He has since returned as a consultant. On April 20, 2009, Allison resigned from Fannie Mae to accept the nomination to be assistant treasury secretary for financial stability (who oversees Treasury's Troubled Asset Relief Program) and counselor to the Secretary.

On May 10, 2012, Freddie Mac's Board of Directors (with FHFA approval) appointed Donald H. Layton as CEO effective May 21.⁴² On June 5, 2012, Fannie Mae's Board of Directors (with FHFA approval) appointed Timothy J. Mayopoulos as CEO effective June 18.⁴³

What Is Happening to Executive Compensation?

The Housing and Economic Recovery Act (HERA; P.L. 110-289) strengthened FHFA's regulation over executive compensation and so-called golden parachutes. The senior preferred stock agreement signed by each GSE with FHFA requires the GSEs to get approval for new compensation agreements with executives. The current CEOs each are receiving base salaries of \$500,000,⁴⁴ but some executives are receiving compensation at higher rates established under previous FHFA-approved agreements.

^{(...}continued)

and the mortgage is cancelled.

 $^{^{42}}$ Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, "Form 8-K," May 10, 2012, available at http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1026214/000102621412000051/f71884e8vk.htm.

⁴³ Federal National Mortgage Association, "Form 8-K," June 5, 2012, available at http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/310522/000129993312001410/htm 45294.htm.

⁴⁴ FHFA, "FHFA Announces New Conservatorship Scorecard for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac; Reduces Executive Compensation," press release, March 9, 2012, available at http://www.fhfa.gov/webfiles/23438/ExecComp3912F.pdf.

What is the Difference between the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 and the Federal Housing Finance Regulatory Reform Act of 2008?

The Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 is the popular title of the entire law, P.L. 110-289. The Federal Housing Finance Regulatory Reform Act of 2008 is the popular title for Division A of P.L. 110-289.

Future

Could the GSEs Return to Stockholder Control?

In principle, Congress might decide that the changes that FHFA is making to each of the GSEs reduce the risk of future financial problems to an acceptable level and that the GSEs could return to stockholder control.⁴⁵

The federal government's financial support extended to the GSEs could, however, make a return to the prior status problematic. The elimination of dividends greatly reduced the value of the GSEs' preferred stock. Because the appeal of preferred stock is centered on the security of its dividend payments, the long-run value of the GSEs' preferred stock has been reduced. The value of common stock has been reduced because of the termination of their dividends and increased uncertainty over the future long-run viability of the enterprises. Even if the GSEs were to return to stockholder control, it is not clear how much appeal their common and preferred stock would have for investors. If the GSEs were unable to raise capital, they would be unable to continue.

The treatment of bondholders could make lending to the GSEs more attractive. While common and preferred stockholders suffered during conservatorship (but no more than they would have suffered from dissolution of the GSEs), payments to bond and MBS holders have continued as contracted. The government's actions could convince bondholders that the risk of holding bonds is less than previously thought. This would allow the GSEs to borrow money by selling bonds at rates very close to Treasury rates.

As the housing market fully recovers, the GSEs could be in a very strong position to purchase mortgages and to create MBSs. For stockholders, the economics of the GSEs' mortgage business could be attractive, but the psychology of the decline in stock prices and the federal government's claim on all profits could potentially offset this plus.

One open question in the GSEs' return to stockholder ownership is the government's decision on what to do with the warrants for nearly 80% of the GSEs' ownership. The government could auction the warrants off to the highest bidder, or the government could exercise the warrants to obtain control of the GSEs as each one's majority stockholder.

⁴⁵ For details on changes at Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac see Federal Housing Finance Agency, *Report to Congress:* 2011, pp. 21-52, available at http://www.fhfa.gov/webfiles/24009/FHFA RepToCongr11 6 14.pdf.

What Has Conservatorship Done to Stockholders and Other Stakeholders?

The powers of common stockholders, who formerly elected the boards of directors and approved certain enterprise actions, are suspended. FHFA as the conservator has assumed all of their authority. Previously, the common stockholders owned 100% of the GSEs. As a result of the warrants issued to the Treasury, they could own only 20% of the enterprises. In the long run, 20% of a healthy enterprise could be worth more than 100% of GSEs whose liabilities exceed their assets. In the short run, the price of the GSEs' common stock has declined, but if the GSEs recover, stockholders would arguably be better off compared to their situation at the time that conservatorship was undertaken.

To the extent that current and former employees have invested in common stock, in the short run they have seen a decline in the value of their financial assets; the long-run outcome is not clear. Both GSEs had employee stock and option plans. The GSEs' agreements with Treasury prohibit issuing new stock. Consequently, those programs cannot continue until the GSEs emerge from conservatorship.

GSE employees have been urged by FHFA to continue working as before. While the conservator is authorized by federal law to cancel certain contracts, FHFA has said that current contracts continue to be in force.

To keep Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac solvent (assets greater than liabilities), Treasury has purchased special senior preferred stock. The cash paid for the preferred stock is an accounting asset, and the special senior preferred stock increases the Treasury's equity in the GSEs. This senior preferred stock originally paid annual dividends of 10%, which would increase to 12% annually if a GSE fails to pay the dividend; these are the only dividends that the GSEs are allowed to pay.

On August 17, 2012, Treasury signed new agreements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac changing the quarterly dividend to be all the profits earned in the quarter. If no profits are earned, no dividend is paid. Treasury said that the purpose of the change was to wind down the GSEs and to benefit taxpayers.⁴⁶

What Are Some of Congress's Options for Restructuring the GSEs?

Going forward, Congress has many options for reorganizing Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. It could, also, decide to wind down the GSEs and leave the housing market to the private sector. If Congress were to decide to keep and to reorganize Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, options include (but are not limited to) the following:

 Congress could make Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac part of the government. Both GSEs were originally government corporations, and this would be a return to that environment.

⁴⁶ Department of Treasury, "Treasury Department Announces Further Steps to Expedite Wind Down of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac," press release, August 12, 2012, available at http://www.treasury.gov/press-center/press-releases/Pages/tg1684.aspx.

- Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could become Federal Home Loan Banks. The 12
 regional banks are a collective GSE that is owned by their member institutions,
 and their stock is not publicly traded. Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's stock
 could become an asset of the Federal Home Loan Bank System or of the
 individual banks.
- Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could be split up into a large number of GSEs. Instead of two GSEs that are "too big to fail," there would be 10 or some other number of smaller GSEs that would arguably each be small enough to fail. The GSEs could be split in such a way that they would not be clones of each other and one or two could fail without the others going under. Congress might wish to explicitly state what the risks to stockholders, bondholders, and business partners would be. The competition could mean more benefits from GSE status go to homebuyers instead of the GSEs.
- Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (and possibly additional new GSEs) could be converted into "utilities." These corporations would not necessarily be GSEs. Each could issue MBSs, possibly guaranteed by the federal government, which would charge a fee for the guarantee. There are a number of options for how the fees could be set. The government could establish a standard fee, or it could auction off the right to issue a specific amount of MBSs. They could sell these MBSs or possibly retain them.
- The government could sell additional new GSE charters to the highest bidders.
- Then-Treasury Secretary Henry M. Paulson Jr. proposed using bonds backed not only by the issuing corporation's legal obligation of repayment, but also by the pledge of specific collateral, as a way to allow banks to supplement or even replace the GSEs' role in mortgage markets.⁴⁷

For additional information, see CRS Report R40800, GSEs and the Government's Role in Housing Finance: Issues for the 113th Congress, by (name redacted) and CRS Report R41822, Proposals to Reform Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac in the 112th Congress, by (name redacted).

How Can Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Leave Conservatorship?

There are two ways that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac could exit their conservatorships. If they become financially viable, they could return to stockholder control. If they are unable to become financially viable, they could enter receivership. There is no legal reason that one GSE could not go into receivership and the other GSE return to stockholder control, although this might present some policy questions about the desirability of having a monopoly GSE.

There are several obstacles to a return to financial viability. In conservatorship, the GSEs are balancing their goals of support for home mortgage markets and their goal of profitability. At

⁴⁷ Henry M. Paulson Jr., Secretary of the Treasury, "Remarks by Secretary Henry M. Paulson Jr. on Recommendations from the President's Working Group on Financial Markets," press release, March 13, 2008, available at http://www.treasury.gov/press-center/press-releases/Pages/hp872.aspx. For more information about covered bonds, see CRS Report R41322, *Covered Bonds: Background and Policy Issues*, by (name redacted).

times, these goals may conflict. The concern of the federal government and FHFA for mortgage market stability and liquidity may take precedence over the return to profitability.⁴⁸

Paying the federal government all profits earned in a quarter might prevent the GSEs from accumulating funds to redeem the senior preferred stock. However, if this payment applies only at the *end* of a quarter, it would appear that the GSEs could redeem some senior preferred stock using funds available *before* the end of each quarter. This would be a way the GSEs could return to stockholder control, although it would likely take many years. FHFA could prevent (or authorize) this redemption of senior preferred stock.

Context

What Is Conservatorship?

Conservatorship of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac involves FHFA taking control of the GSEs. As conservator, the powers of the board of directors, officers, and shareholders are transferred to FHFA. A conservator can also cancel certain contracts. Conservatorship is authorized by the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA). The goal of conservatorship is to preserve each of the GSE's assets and to return it to sound financial condition that would allow the conservatorship to be ended.

Who Heads FHFA?

On August 6, 2009, FHFA Director James B. Lockhart announced that he would resign in the near future. President Obama named Senior Deputy Director for Housing Mission and Goals Edward J. DeMarco as acting director. On May 1, 2013, President Obama nominated Representative Melvin Watt to become FHFA director. The Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs approved the nomination on July 18, 2013, and sent it to the full Senate, which has not voted on it.

Were There Precedents for Placing Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Under Conservatorship?

This is the first time that a GSE has been placed under conservatorship. It appears to also be the first time that the federal government has made a continuing commitment to a company (other than government corporations). On a more general level, the federal government has intervened in the past to assist many companies.⁵¹ Since placing Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac under

4

⁴⁸ Freddie Mac, *Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ending December 31*, p. 19, available at http://www.freddiemac.com/investors/er/pdf/10k_031109.pdf, and Fannie Mae, *Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ending December 31*, 2008, p. 9, available at http://www.fanniemae.com/ir/pdf/earnings/2008/form10k_022609.pdf.

⁴⁹ The Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, P.L. 110-289, 122 Stat. 2654 et seq.

⁵⁰ The White House, Office of the Press Secretary, "President Obama Announces His Nominees for FCC Chair and FHFA Director," press release, May 2, 1013, available at http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2013/05/01/president-obama-announces-his-nominees-fcc-chair-and-fhfa-director.

⁵¹ CRS Report RL34423, Government Interventions in Financial Markets: Economic and Historic Analysis of (continued...)

conservatorship, the federal government has intervened to support numerous companies, including General Motors, Chrysler, AIG, and various banks.⁵²

Why Did FHFA Place Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Under Conservatorship?

As regulator of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, FHFA announced that it had placed Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac under conservatorship because of their deteriorating financial positions and the "critical importance" that each company has to the continued functioning of the residential financial markets.⁵³

FHFA has said that continuing audits of the GSEs determined that their financial positions were weaker than previously thought and that the GSEs were unlikely to survive without conservatorship. FHFA cited previous public statements that the GSEs needed to increase their capital and needed to strengthen management controls over operations.

Why Did FHFA Act on September 7, 2008, Instead of Earlier or Later?

FHFA, in general, followed the same approach that the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) uses when it places a bank in conservatorship: a series of requests for changes to the corporation and to increase capital followed by a sudden takeover. Providing a deadline could provide the regulated entity with an incentive to take risky gambles in a last attempt to avoid being seized by the government. The FDIC usually seizes a bank by suddenly showing up on a Friday afternoon, closing the bank, and locking the doors. This gives the FDIC time to make necessary changes over the weekend and resume business operations on the next business day.

According to media reports at the time, some large foreign investors had been reducing their holdings of GSE debt, MBSs, and stock.⁵⁴ This would have made it more difficult for the GSEs to borrow money to finance their portfolios going forward. For example, Bank of China Ltd. is reported to have sold or not replaced \$4.6 billion of maturing GSE debt, which reduced its GSE debt holdings to \$17.3 billion as of June 30, 2008. These same media reported that Treasury officials contacted foreign central banks and others to reassure them of the creditworthiness of GSE debt.

_

 ${\it Subprime\ Mortgage\ Options}, by\ (name\ redacted),\ discusses\ some\ of\ these\ actions.$

^{(...}continued)

⁵² CRS Report RS22956, *The Cost of Government Financial Interventions, Past and Present*, by (name redacted), (name redacted), and (name redacted); also CRS Report R41427qubled Asset Relief Program (TARP): Implementation and Status, by (name redacted).

⁵³ FHFA, "Statement of FHFA Director James B. Lockhart," September 7, 2008, available at http://www.fhfa.gov/webfiles/23/FHFAStatement9708final.pdf. See, also, Henry M. Paulson Jr., *On the Brink: Inside the Race to Stop the Collapse of the Global Financial System* (New York: Business Plus, 2010).

⁵⁴ Deborah Solomon, Michael Corker, and Liz Rappaport, "Mortgage Bailout Is Greeted With Relief, Fresh Questions," *Wall Street Journal*, September 9, 2008, p. A1.

What Was Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's Financial Position?

In placing the GSEs under conservatorship, their new regulator, FHFA, said that they needed assistance to survive. FHFA reported that changes in the economy and the GSEs' slow recovery from their earlier accounting and financial problems reduced their financial strength.⁵⁵

The Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight (OFHEO), which had been Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's safety and soundness regulator before July 30, 2008, repeatedly said that the GSEs had adequate capital. In other words, according to OFHEO, the GSEs had sufficient funds to survive their financial difficulties. Because details of the GSEs' portfolios and guarantees include confidential and proprietary information, it is difficult to reconcile the two different assessments of the GSEs' financial position. In broad terms, the GSEs purchased slightly more than \$169 billion of private label subprime MBSs in 2006 and 2007; they purchased slightly less than \$58 billion of Alt-A MBSs in the same time period, out of combined total mortgage purchases of \$1.677 trillion. At the end of 2007, the subprime and Alt-A MBSs represented 13.5% of the GSEs' total assets.

Why Did Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's Stock Prices Decline in 2008?

Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are GSEs whose charters limit them to buying single family and multifamily home mortgages originated by others. This lack of diversification makes them more exposed to housing and mortgage market problems than other financial institutions such as commercial banks, which have other lines of business. The GSEs' charters give them a special relationship with the federal government, sometimes called an implicit guarantee, which has allowed them to borrow at interest rates only slightly above those paid by the federal government. In conservatorship, the GSEs have an even closer connection with the government.

The two GSEs were and are very highly leveraged versions of banks: they borrow money to purchase mortgages, and they maximize profits by keeping their capital reserves close to the minimum required by their regulators. Like banks, the GSEs are required by law and by their regulators to maintain a certain ratio between their loans and reserves to protect against loan losses. A key component of reserves is shareholders' equity or the current value of the shareholders' investments. Using funds for capital provides safety, but it is less profitable in normal times than purchasing additional mortgages.

Changes in the perception of the risks that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac faced—in terms of future profitability and even continued financial viability—reduced the price that investors were willing to pay for a share of the enterprises. There was also concern that intervention by the federal government would reduce the value of the common stock.

⁵⁵ Ibid.

⁵⁶ OFHEO, "Statement of OFHEO Director James B. Lockhart," July 10, 2008, available at http://www.fhfa.gov/webfiles/1503/71008Statement.pdf.

⁵⁷ OFHEO, *Annual Report to Congress: 2008*, pp. 113 and 116. Subprime and Alt-A MBS purchases prior to 2006 are not available.

Between the end of 2007 and August 1, 2008, Fannie's stock lost 72% of its value, while Freddie's fell by 77%. Between the end of 2007 and September 30, 2008, Fannie Mae's market capitalization fell from \$38.8 billion to \$825 million, and Freddie Mac's capitalization declined from \$26.8 billion to \$473 million. As part of Treasury's financial aid package of September 7, 2008, the GSEs agreed to issue warrants to the Treasury worth 79.9% of their outstanding stock. If Treasury were to exercise the warrants, current stockholders would own 20% of each enterprise instead of 100%. This is one explanation why the GSEs' stock prices declined further since September 7, 2008.

What Had Congress Done Previously to Improve the Financial Condition of the GSEs?

Congress had previously assisted GSEs that were in financial difficulty. When Fannie Mae was losing significant amounts of money in 1982, Congress passed the Miscellaneous Revenue Act of 1982 that provided tax benefits for Fannie Mae.⁵⁹ The Farm Credit System, another GSE, was aided by the Agricultural Credit Act of 1987, which authorized the issuance of \$4 billion in bonds to support system members.⁶⁰

Section 1117 of HERA authorizes the Treasury to purchase any amount of GSE securities—debt or equity—if necessary to provide stability to financial markets, prevent disruptions in the availability of mortgage credit, or protect the taxpayer. This means that if either of the two GSEs became unable to raise funds in private markets, the federal government could purchase the debt securities that the firms were unable to sell elsewhere, or could recapitalize either firm by purchasing stock, possibly becoming the majority shareholder. These contracts sent a signal to the markets that the Treasury was prepared to intervene rather than let either GSE fail.

What Other Actions Has the Federal Government Taken to Address the Financial Condition of the GSEs?

On July 15, 2008, the SEC issued an emergency order restricting short selling in the stock of 19 financial institutions, including Fannie and Freddie. EEC acted to prevent the possibility that false rumors could drive share prices down and cause the market to lose confidence, thereby cutting off the firms' access to credit markets, as happened to Bear Stearns in March 2008. The order restricting short sales of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac stock was renewed on July 29, 2008, and expired on August 12, 2008.

The government has also taken steps to prepare for possible future support for the GSEs. On July 13, 2008, the Federal Reserve Board of Governors granted the New York Fed the authority to

⁵⁸ Federal Housing Finance Agency, *Report to Congress: 2011*, pp. 121 and 138 available at http://www.fhfa.gov/webfiles/24009/FHFA RepToCongr11 6 14.pdf.

⁵⁹ The Miscellaneous Revenue Act of 1982, P.L. 97-362, 96 Stat. 1726.

⁶⁰ The Agricultural Credit Act of 1987, P.L. 100-233, 101 Stat. 1568.

⁶¹ The Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, P.L. 110-289, Sec. 117.

⁶² Securities and Exchange Commission, "Emergency Order Pursuant to Section 12(k)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Taking Temporary Action to Respond to Market Developments," available at http://www.sec.gov/rules/other/2008/34-58166.pdf.

lend directly to the GSEs.⁶³ Section 1118 of HERA requires the new GSE regulator to consult with the Fed to ensure financial market stability.

In addition to the Fed's existing general authority to be a lender of last resort, the GSEs' charters give the GSEs a special relationship to the nation's central bank. The Fed can use the GSEs' bonds purchased on the secondary market for open market operations. These bond purchases could indirectly help the GSEs by adding to the demand for their debt and increasing their liquidity. The Fed announced that it would conduct a special program to purchase GSE debt and MBSs in calendar 2009 and the first quarter of 2010. Under this program, the Fed purchased more than \$1 trillion of GSE debt and GSE-issued MBSs.

In programs that started in September 2008 and ended in March 2010, the Fed and Treasury together purchased \$1,135.9 billion in MBSs.⁶⁷ On September 21, 2011, the Fed decided to begin reinvesting MBS principal repayments in other MBSs.⁶⁸ As of July 31, 2013, the Fed held \$1.0 trillion of Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's MBSs.⁶⁹

Who Has Invested in the Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac?

There is little information available about who holds GSE stock, bonds, and MBSs. The Fed reports statistics for combined ownership of government agency and GSE debt and GSE MBSs. At the end of the first quarter of 2013, non-U.S. investors held \$1.1 trillion of \$7.6 trillion agency and GSE securities. Other large investors were U.S.-chartered depository institutions (\$1.7 trillion), the Fed (\$1.1 trillion), mutual funds (\$1.0 trillion), and the GSEs themselves (\$348 billion).

Fannie Mae reports that central bank ownership of certain types of debt declined from 41.1% at the end of 2008 to 28% as of July 19, 2013.⁷¹ Freddie Mac showed central bank demand for its debt declined from slightly less than 40% of the outstanding debt at the end of 2008 to 20% as of June 30, 2013.⁷²

6.

⁶³ Federal Reserve Board of Governors, "Authority to Lend to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac," press release, July 13, 2008, available at http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/press/other/20080713a.htm.

⁶⁴ The Fed's lender-of-last-resort authority is delineated at 12 U.S.C. 343. Fannie Mae's charter is at 12 U.S.C. 1716b et seq., and Freddie Mac's charter is at 12 U.S.C. 1401.

^{65 12} U.S.C. 347c.

⁶⁶ Federal Reserve Bank of New York, "FAQs: MBS Purchase Program," August 20, 2010, available at http://www.ny.frb.org/markets/mbs faq.html.

⁶⁷ Federal Housing Finance Agency, "Data as of June 28, 2013, on Treasury and Federal Reserve Purchase Programs for GSE and Mortgage-Related Securities," available at http://www.fhfa.gov/Default.aspx?Page=70.

⁶⁸ Federal Reserve Bank of New York, "FAQs: Reinvestments of Principal Payments on Agency Securities into Agency MBS," September 26, 2011, available at http://www.newyorkfed.org/markets/ambs/ambs faq.html.

⁶⁹ Federal Reserve Bank of New York, "System Open Market Account Holdings: Securities Holdings as of July 31, 2013," available at http://www.newyorkfed.org/markets/soma/sysopen_accholdings.html.

⁷⁰ Federal Reserve, "Agency- and GSE-backed Securities," *Flow of Funds Accounts of the United States*, June 6, 2013, Table L. 210, available at http://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/z1/.

⁷¹ Fannie Mae, "Noncallable Benchmark Notes Distribution Reports," *Benchmark Securities*, YTD 2013, available at http://www.fanniemae.com/portal/funding-the-market/debt/reports/noncallable-benchmark-notes-distribution-rpts.html.

⁷² Freddie Mac, *Freddie Mac Update*, July 2013, available at http://www.freddiemac.com/investors/pdffiles/investor-presentation.pdf.

What Recent Legislation Has Affected the GSEs?

Since the 110th Congress, five bills and two continuing resolutions have been signed into law that have had significant impacts on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. (See **Table 5**.)

Table 5. Public Laws Specifically Affecting GSEs

(passed in 110th - 113th Congresses)

P.L. Number	Date Enacted	Title	Summary
P.L. 110-185	February 13, 2008	Economic Stimulus Act of 2008 (ESA)	Increased conforming loan limits in high- cost areas for mortgages originated between July 1, 2007, and December 31, 2008.
P.L. 110-289	July 30, 2008	Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA)	Created Federal Housing Finance Agency to replace Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight and the Department of Housing and Urban Development as combined GSE regulator. Made high-cost area conforming loan limits permanent, but at lower amounts.
P.L. 111-5	February 7, 2009	American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA)	Extended 2008 high-cost conforming loan limits to 2009 mortgages.
P.L. 111-88	October 30, 2009	Department of Interior Appropriations Act for FY2010	Extended 2008 high-cost conforming loan limits for FY2010.
P.L. 111-242	September 30, 2010	Continuing Appropriations Act of 2011	Extended 2008 high-cost conforming loan limits for FY2011.
P.L. 112-78	December 23, 2011	Temporary Payroll Tax Cut Continuation Act of 2011	Requires Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to increase their guarantee fees by 10 basis points. The funds raised are to be deposited in the Treasury.
P.L. 112-105	April 4, 2012	Stop Trading on Congressional Knowledge Act of 2012	Prohibition on bonuses to executives of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac while they are in conservatorship.

Source: Congressional Research Service.

Glossary

Agency bonds In this report, agency bonds are those issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and

Ginnie Mae. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are stockholder-owned governmentsponsored enterprises. Ginnie Mae is part of the Department of Housing and

Urban Development.

Alt-A mortgage Either a mortgage made to a borrower with a credit history between prime and

subprime, or a mortgage made to a prime borrower with less than traditional

documentation.

ARRA American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, P.L. 111-5, 123 Stat. 115.

ESA Economic Stimulus Act of 2008, P.L. 110-185, 122 Stat. 613,

FHFA Federal Housing Finance Agency. Regulator of housing GSEs for mission, safety

and soundness. Created by merger of existing government agencies, including

OFHEO and HUD staff (who formerly had mission regulatory authority).

GSE Government-sponsored enterprise.

GSECF Government-sponsored enterprise credit facility. The Treasury's program to

lend money to Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and the Federal Home Loan Banks using MBSs as collateral. This program expired December 31, 2009, and had

been authorized by HERA.

HERA Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, P.L. 110-289, 122 Stat. 2654.

MBSs Mortgage-backed securities. A pool of mortgages sold to institutional investors.

OFHEO Office of Federal Housing Enterprise. Safety and soundness regulator for Fannie

Mae and Freddie Mac. Merged into Federal Housing Finance Agency.

Prime mortgage A mortgage made to a borrower with excellent credit history.

Private-label MBSs Mortgage-backed securities underwritten and sold by commercial and

investment banks. They are not created by the GSEs or a government agency.

Senior preferred stock This stock is senior to (has priority over) all other common and preferred stock;

it is the only GSE stock currently receiving dividends.

Subprime mortgage A mortgage made to a borrower with a blemished credit history.

Author Contact Information

(name redacted)
Specialist in Financial Economics
[redacted]@crs.loc.gov, 7-....

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted names, phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.