



# **International Crises and Disasters: U.S. Humanitarian Assistance Response Mechanisms**

**Rhoda Margesson**  
Specialist in International Humanitarian Policy

May 24, 2013

**Congressional Research Service**

7-5700

[www.crs.gov](http://www.crs.gov)

RL33769

## Summary

The majority of humanitarian emergencies worldwide stem from natural disasters or from conflicts. Congress has consistently supported humanitarian efforts as a means of responding to crises in the short term, taking the lead, and promoting a U.S. presence. Intervention results in varying amounts of relief and recovery assistance and can have an important impact not only on the relief operation itself but on broader foreign policy issues. In the 113<sup>th</sup> Congress, international humanitarian and refugee assistance is expected to continue to have a strong measure of bipartisan support, with key policy issues focused on budget priorities, levels and types of funding, the sources of other support available worldwide, and the ways in which operational assistance is delivered.

Factors that may impact decision-making include the type of humanitarian assistance required, the impact of conflict and refugee flows on stability in the region in question, and the role of neighboring countries in contributing to the relief effort. Examples of issues likely to remain of congressional interest include competing aid and budget priorities, reimbursing U.S. government agencies for their expenditures (to replenish the emergency accounts or other accounts that have been used to provide assistance), and civilian and military coordination, including the evolving role of the Department of Defense in humanitarian assistance. Other priorities may include an examination of the disparity between numbers of internally displaced persons and refugees worldwide and the available funding for these groups; physical protection of refugees and other vulnerable populations in addition to the protection of human rights; programs to address gender based violence; and the creation of durable solutions for displaced populations.

The President can provide emergency humanitarian assistance through several sources whose funding is authorized and appropriated by Congress. These are funds currently appropriated to U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID)'s Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance (OFDA) through the International Disaster and Famine Assistance (IDA) account; U.S. Department of Agriculture food aid programs under P.L. 480 Food for Peace and Section 416 (b) of the Agriculture Act of 1949; the State Department's Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration (PRM) through the Migration and Refugee Assistance (MRA) and the U.S. Emergency Refugee and Migration Assistance Fund (ERMA) accounts; and funds appropriated to the Department of Defense, Overseas Humanitarian and Disaster and Civic Aid (OHDACA) account.

In addition, the President has the authority to draw down defense equipment and direct military personnel to respond to disasters and provide space-available transportation on military aircraft and ships to private donors who wish to transport humanitarian goods and equipment in response to a disaster. Finally, the President can request other government agencies to assist within their capabilities. The FY2014 Foreign Operations budget request for global humanitarian accounts, which does not include OHDACA, totals \$4.1 billion.

This report examines U.S. humanitarian assistance in international crises and disaster situations. It considers the sources and types of U.S. government aid, the response mechanisms of key U.S. agencies and departments, and possible issues for Congress—including competing aid and budget priorities, burdensharing and donor-fatigue, the transparency and efficacy of U.S. humanitarian assistance, consequences of such assistance, and potential links to broader U.S. foreign policy goals.

This report will be updated as events warrant.

## **Contents**

Introduction.....	1
Overview of the U.S. Response.....	2
Defining Humanitarian Assistance.....	2
Exemptions for Humanitarian Assistance.....	3
Selected U.S. Activities and Coordination.....	3
United States Agency for International Development.....	3
Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance.....	3
Food for Peace (P.L. 480).....	5
Office of Civilian-Military Cooperation.....	6
Other Offices and Funds.....	6
Department of State.....	6
Bureau of Population, Refugees and Migration.....	6
Emergency Refugee and Migration Assistance Fund.....	7
Department of Defense.....	7
Defense Security Cooperation Agency.....	7
Humanitarian and Civic Assistance.....	8
U.S. Humanitarian Assistance: Role of Congress and Current Funding Levels.....	9
Congressional Role.....	9
Funding: FY2012 to Present.....	9
Other International Humanitarian Assistance Efforts.....	10
Issues for Congress.....	11
Competing Aid and Budget Priorities.....	11
Burdensharing and Donor Fatigue.....	11
Transparency and Efficacy.....	12
Consequences of Humanitarian Assistance.....	12
Links to Broader Foreign Policy Goals.....	13

## **Contacts**

Author Contact Information.....	13
Acknowledgments.....	13

## Introduction

The complex humanitarian emergency has emerged as a category of crisis that can be defined in different ways. For example, it can be viewed according to the situation on the ground—scale and intensity of population dislocation, destruction of social networks/community and infrastructure, insecurity of civilians and noncombatants, and human rights abuses; by the complexity of the response needed to address these problems; or by the multi-causal factors that may have contributed to the escalation of conflict in the first place. Beginning in the 1990s, crisis operations increased in war-torn countries and regions throughout the world along with the numbers of those providing relief, primarily humanitarian organizations and international actors. Multinational military forces also served a greater peacekeeping role in these internal wars. The media added a new measure of influence to the response to such crises in the form of greater access and live reporting.

In crises resulting from conflict or natural disasters, population movements often occur within the affected country or flow to countries in close proximity. In these situations the plight of the refugee<sup>1</sup> is one critical element of population movement; the internally displaced person (IDP) is another.<sup>2</sup> The displaced require particular protection, the basis of which may be found in international humanitarian law, and sustained emergency assistance, which is usually provided by U.N. system agencies, governments, international entities, international intergovernmental organizations, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs). In many protracted civil conflicts, where groups within a country are fighting and in the absence of a political solution, the course agreed on by the international community might be to provide humanitarian assistance to the victims. This assistance may last for many years. Refugees may be stranded in camps for decades or IDPs may be separated from their homes for long periods. Conducting a humanitarian operation in areas of conflict often means that access to populations in need and the distribution of emergency relief supplies is hampered by security concerns, not only for those needing assistance but for humanitarian personnel as well. Thus, providing humanitarian and refugee assistance has become increasingly complicated and expensive—sources of funding, civil-military relations and operations, human rights concerns, aid worker security, protection and access are just some of the central issues facing the aid community.

In addition, natural disasters affect millions of people each year who require prolonged and urgent assistance. The event may be sudden (like the 2010 earthquake in Haiti) or protracted (like drought conditions in the Horn of Africa and southern Africa and the possible long-term effects of climate change). Responses to natural disasters are typically multilateral and less likely to be hindered by the politics at hand, although the situation in Burma following the May 2008 cyclone could argue the opposite.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Defined broadly as those seeking asylum outside their country of citizenship with protection provided under international law.

<sup>2</sup> A direct result of internal wars, the internally displaced are also seeking asylum but within their state's borders. IDPs do not have the same protection as refugees under international law. The plight of this group has gained international recognition as the estimated numbers of IDPs worldwide far exceed the numbers of refugees. The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees has often been involved in providing assistance for IDPs.

<sup>3</sup> For more information, see CRS Report RL34481, *Cyclone Nargis and Burma's Constitutional Referendum*, by Michael F. Martin and Rhoda Margesson.

## Overview of the U.S. Response

The United States is a major contributor to relief efforts in international crises and disaster situations. Key relief-related policy issues likely to be of concern in the 113<sup>th</sup> Congress include budget priorities, levels of funding, the sources of other support available worldwide, and the ways in which operational assistance is delivered.<sup>4</sup> In FY2012, the U.S. government contributed more than \$4 billion to disaster relief worldwide.<sup>5</sup> Congress has consistently supported humanitarian efforts as a means of responding to natural disasters (such as floods and earthquakes) and man-made crises (such as war) in the short term, taking the lead and promoting a U.S. presence.<sup>6</sup>

Humanitarian assistance generally receives strong bipartisan congressional support. Congress has given the President broad authority in this area. The Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (P.L. 87-195), as amended, authorizes the United States to participate in disaster relief efforts and gives the President great flexibility to respond to disasters with a wide range of government-funded humanitarian assistance.<sup>7</sup>

In 1993, President Clinton designated for the first time the Administrator of the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) as the Special Coordinator for International Disaster Assistance.<sup>8</sup> In this capacity the Administrator coordinates the U.S. government's response to both natural and man-made disasters. The Administrator also calls upon federal agencies to provide assistance; contracts with and funds private voluntary agencies to provide humanitarian assistance; and coordinates the U.S. response with that of other countries.

## Defining Humanitarian Assistance

The very nature of humanitarian emergencies—the need to respond quickly in order to save lives and provide relief—has resulted in a broad definition of humanitarian assistance, on both a policy and operational level. While humanitarian assistance is assumed to address urgent food, shelter, and medical needs, the agencies within the U.S. government providing this support expand or contract the definition in response to circumstances.

The legislation governing humanitarian or disaster assistance leaves the decision on the type of assistance required to the President. U.S. humanitarian assistance in disasters and international crises is broad, far-reaching and covers many elements directly concerned with the provision of

---

<sup>4</sup> These key policy issues may be applied in the global context, but also in the U.S. response to specific crises of concern to Congress.

<sup>5</sup> This figure represents total humanitarian assistance funding from the State Department and USAID. It does not include funding from DOD. Due to the unanticipated nature of many disasters, humanitarian aid budget allocations often increase throughout the year as demands arise. Countries that have or are experiencing a crisis or natural disaster receive U.S. aid through several key worldwide emergency humanitarian assistance, including accounts for disaster (International Disaster and Famine Assistance or IDA); emergency food relief (P.L. 480 Title II); and refugee programs (Migration and Refugee Assistance or MRA and Emergency Refugee Migration Assistance or ERMA). Except in the case of MRA, these accounts are generally used to respond to emergency situations and are not integrated into long-term development strategies.

<sup>6</sup> U.S. private contributions and efforts are also part of the overall response but not addressed in this report.

<sup>7</sup> Sec. 491-493 of P.L. 87-195, Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended.

<sup>8</sup> Sec. 493 of P.L. 87-195, Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended.

relief and strategies for strengthening how people survive over time.<sup>9</sup> Congress broadly defines humanitarian activities in an effort to enable the U.S. response to be as flexible as possible to adapt to humanitarian needs. Assistance can include the provision of basic needs, child survival strategies, and assistance to refugees, but in practice the provision of humanitarian assistance is typically case and time specific.<sup>10</sup>

## **Exemptions for Humanitarian Assistance**

In general, humanitarian assistance is exempt from the regulations implementing various types of foreign aid sanctions.<sup>11</sup> The Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended (P.L. 87-195), allows the President to provide disaster assistance, “notwithstanding any other provision of this or any other Act,” which would otherwise prohibit or restrict aid to selected countries, such as economic sanctions.

## **Selected U.S. Activities and Coordination**

Categories of humanitarian assistance can be broken down into several main elements including, relief and rehabilitation, food assistance, refugee programs, and logistical and operational support. Three central parts of the U.S. government—USAID, the State Department, and the Department of Defense—provide humanitarian assistance and cover a mix of these activities as described below.<sup>12</sup> USAID is the central U.S. agency charged with coordinating U.S. government and private sector foreign assistance.

## **United States Agency for International Development**

### **Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance**

The Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance (OFDA), within USAID’s Bureau for Democracy, Conflict, and Humanitarian Assistance (DCHA), provides non-food humanitarian assistance during international crises and disasters and can respond immediately with relief materials and personnel, many of whom are often already in the field. OFDA was established in 1964 to coordinate what had previously been an *ad hoc* U.S. response to international disasters. It

---

<sup>9</sup> A livelihood strategy considers the specific context and circumstances of the situation in designing effective programs that work with local abilities to cope with emergencies.

<sup>10</sup> What is less clear is when an activity might be considered humanitarian as differentiated from post-conflict transition or reconstruction, and to what degree this distinction needs to remain flexible to adapt to changes in policy or operations on the ground. In an operational sense, humanitarian and other assistance is often provided on parallel tracks, and there is usually an overlap in activities in the transition from one phase to another.

<sup>11</sup> The decision as to what is considered humanitarian versus non-humanitarian is often made on a case-by-case basis. For example, in the India-Pakistan situation in 1998, sanctions were applied following India’s nuclear tests; under the Pressler Amendment, all aid was cut off except for specific relief assistance programs.

<sup>12</sup> In addition, although not the focus of this report, other parts of the U.S. government that support humanitarian assistance include the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s (USDA) Forest Service, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), the Department of Health and Human Services (HSS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which contribute technical assistance to OFDA as needed in response to humanitarian emergencies.

facilitates the U.S. government emergency assistance. OFDA provides some assistance through its own personnel, but the bulk of its activities are carried out through grants to United Nations (U.N.) agencies, other international organizations (IOs), international governmental and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and private or religious voluntary organizations (PVOs). It also coordinates with the U.S. embassy or USAID mission in the affected country, the government of the country suffering the disaster, and other governments. Funding for USAID/OFDA is authorized and appropriated in annual Foreign Operations legislation.

A response to a disaster generally begins with the U.S. ambassador or Chief of Mission responding to a request from the affected country's government for assistance. OFDA has use of up to \$50,000 (through Disaster Assistance Authority) immediately available, which it releases to the USAID mission or U.S. embassy, generally within 24 hours. This money is then provided to the local Red Cross/Red Crescent or a similar local disaster response organization, or it may be used to buy relief supplies or hire personnel locally. The United States also begins working with the affected government through the Ambassador to determine what, if any, additional aid may be needed.

USAID/OFDA can respond immediately with cash, relief materials and personnel to any kind of disaster, whether man-made or natural. The President has the authority to set the terms and conditions of the aid provided. As a general rule, assistance provided by USAID/OFDA lasts about 90 days, although the agency may continue monitoring and mitigation projects for a longer period. Some USAID/OFDA personnel are located in various countries around the world and can move quickly to a disaster area. OFDA also has Disaster Assistance Response Teams (DARTS), groups of experts that can be brought together quickly to respond to different types of disasters. These groups may be sent to the area in anticipation of a disaster, such as a tropical storm or flood that has been predicted by the weather service. Once a DART is deployed, a Washington, DC-based Response Management Team (RMT) is also activated.

Under the legislation governing disaster assistance, the President is authorized to borrow up to \$50 million in any fiscal year from any other section of the economic assistance part of the foreign aid program if funding within the USAID/OFDA budget is inadequate. Generally, this money is borrowed from programs already planned for countries within the region. These borrowed funds may be repaid through passage by Congress of a supplemental appropriation. USAID regional bureaus may also reprogram their projects within the disaster region in response to local needs, or they may transfer funds to USAID/OFDA to carry out disaster related programs.

### **Role of the U.S. Government and Private Sector in Humanitarian Aid Delivery**

Most development and humanitarian assistance activities are not directly implemented by U.S. government personnel but by private sector entities, either non-profit or commercial. Generally speaking, government foreign service and civil servants determine the direction and priorities of the aid program, allocate funds while keeping within congressional requirements, ensure that appropriate projects are in place to meet aid objectives, select implementors, and monitor the implementation of those projects for effectiveness and financial accountability. At one time, USAID professionals played a larger role in implementing aid programs, but the effect of budget cuts on personnel and the emergence of private sector alternatives over the past several decades has led to a shift in responsibilities. Private sector aid implementors, usually employed as contractors or grantees, may be individual "personal service contractors," consulting firms, non-profit NGOs, universities, or charitable PVOs. These currently carry out the vast array of aid projects in all sectors.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>13</sup> This text was drawn from CRS Report R40213, *Foreign Aid: An Introduction to U.S. Programs and Policy*, by Curt Tamoff and Marian Leonardo Lawson.

USAID/OFDA can also request the use of facilities, equipment, or personnel from other agencies as needed. For example, U.S. weather prediction facilities and satellites may be used to track storms, droughts, or floods. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (HHS) specialists are relied upon for identifying and responding to outbreaks of disease.

### **Food for Peace (P.L. 480)**

The USAID Administrator also administers Title II of P.L. 480, the Food For Peace (FFP) program established in 1954.<sup>14</sup> P.L. 480 is authorized and appropriated in the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) legislation and was most recently reauthorized and amended in the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996. Title II provides food as grant aid that does not need to be repaid. Title II is the primary disaster aid channel for U.S. food aid. A Food Security Trust (P.L. 105-385) can be used to provide emergency humanitarian food aid if emergency needs exceed what could be provided under regular Title II programming. The Food

Security Trust is composed of stocks of wheat, rice, corn or sorghum. Funds of the Commodity Credit Corporation may be used to replenish the Trust.

The legislation gives the USAID Administrator wide authority to provide food aid and contains a “notwithstanding clause” that allows food aid to be provided despite prohibitions in other legislation. Commodities may be made available for direct distribution to the needy, or for sale, barter, or other disposition, according to the determination of the Administrator.

The United States is by far the largest international contributor of emergency food aid in disaster situations. In recent years, most emergency food aid has been provided to victims of complex humanitarian emergencies, helping people displaced by warfare and unable to grow or obtain food in their traditional way. Crisis conditions often last many years. Food aid programs generally target the most vulnerable populations, including children, pregnant and nursing mothers, the elderly, sick and handicapped, and those identified as malnourished. Title II grant food aid is mostly provided for humanitarian relief but may also be used for development-oriented purposes through governments, intergovernmental entities, PVOs, and multilateral organizations, such as the U.N. World Food Program (WFP).

As with other USAID/OFDA aid, food aid may be prepositioned in regions that are vulnerable to disaster, or diverted from a less pressing food aid program in a nearby country that would be replenished later. Food aid that is not prepositioned or diverted from nearby countries may take several months to reach a disaster site. At least 75% of U.S. food aid tonnage must be shipped on U.S. flagged vessels. Section 416 (b) of the Agricultural Act of 1949 provides for the donation of surplus U.S. agricultural commodities held by the Commodity Credit Corporation to needy countries, including those suffering from disasters. This program is managed by the Department of Agriculture.

Development professionals have long raised concerns about the efficiency and effectiveness of U.S. food assistance. In the FY2014 budget, the Administration has proposed changes in U.S.

---

<sup>14</sup> Authorized in Section 201-207 of P.L. 83-480, the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954, as amended.



food aid programs that would substantially alter the way in which the United States provides food security assistance.<sup>15</sup>

## **Office of Civilian-Military Cooperation**

The Office of Civilian-Military Cooperation (CMC), which operates within USAID's Bureau for Democracy, Conflict, and Humanitarian Assistance (DCHA), was established in November 2011. Previously named the Office of Military Affairs (OMA), CMC is an operational link established to improve USAID's coordination of humanitarian assistance with the U.S. military. It works to align defense and development policies, plans, and programs to leverage the capabilities of each agency to achieve better development outcomes. Senior USAID staff are assigned to the five geographic Combatant Commands and help assess development needs. Joint exercises with the military are ongoing training in preparation for future disasters. Training for both the military's civil affairs officers and USAID workers is also intended to increase knowledge and cooperation, and capacity at the operational level. The OMA is also a contact point between NGOs and the military, and allows each to benefit from the others operational experience while at the same time contributing to the administration and delivery of humanitarian assistance.<sup>16</sup>

## **Other Offices and Funds**

The Office of Transition Initiatives (OTI) provides post-disaster transition assistance, which includes mainly short-term peace and democratization projects with some attention to humanitarian elements (e.g. community projects such as housing, electricity, water) but not emergency relief.<sup>17</sup> OTI funding is often provided during the early recovery phase of a humanitarian emergency or disaster. Additionally, the Office of Conflict Management and Mitigation (CMM) provides transition assistance towards development through early intervention in the causes and consequences of conflict.

There are three funds that can be used for disaster assistance and that are focused on specific issues—Displaced Children and Orphans Fund (DCOF), the Leahy War Victims Fund (LWVF), and the Victims Torture Fund (VOT). They are coordinated by DCHA through funds reserved by Congress each year.

## **Department of State**

### **Bureau of Population, Refugees and Migration**

The Bureau of Population, Refugees and Migration (PRM) deals with problems of refugees worldwide, conflict victims, and populations of concern to the U.N. High Commissioner for

---

<sup>15</sup> For more information about proposed food aid reforms, see CRS Report R41072, *International Food Aid Programs: Background and Issues*, by Charles E. Hanrahan.

<sup>16</sup> For more information on CMC, see <http://www.usaid.gov/who-we-are/organization/bureaus/bureau-democracy-conflict-and-humanitarian-assistance/office-4>.

<sup>17</sup> Other departments within USAID may provide some form of humanitarian assistance but it is unclear how much because humanitarian activities may be a part but not the central focus of the program, such as women's health, child survival, trauma counseling and social welfare, and demining, activities that may be considered by some to be humanitarian.

Refugees (UNHCR), often including internally displaced persons (IDPs). Humanitarian assistance includes a range of services from basic needs to community services to tolerance building and dialogue initiatives. Key issues addressed by PRM include protection (refugee, asylum issues, identification, returns, tracing activities) and quick impact, small community projects.

Refugee funds are provided as cash grants to international governmental and NGO refugee organizations. These include U.N. agencies such as UNHCR and the U.N. Children's Fund (UNICEF), and international organizations such as the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC).

### **Emergency Refugee and Migration Assistance Fund**

The Emergency Refugee and Migration Assistance Fund (ERMA) is a contingency fund that remains available until spent and is replenished as needed by Congress. P.L. 103-236 sets the maximum amount of money that can be in this account at \$100 million, although appropriations have been made that exceed this amount. Established in 1962, ERMA gives the President wide latitude in responding to refugee emergencies.<sup>18</sup> Refugees are defined as those fleeing their homeland due to persecution on account of their religion, race, political opinion or social or ethnic group. The law contains a "notwithstanding clause" that waives prohibitions against providing aid contained in any other legislation. The legislation establishing ERMA places certain requirements on the President. The President must publish a Presidential Determination in the Federal Register and keep the appropriate congressional committees informed of drawdowns. Refugee emergencies lasting more than a year are incorporated into the regular budget of the Migration and Refugee Assistance (MRA) account through PRM. Both ERMA and MRA are authorized in Department of State legislation and appropriated in Foreign Operations legislation.

### **Department of Defense**

The Department of Defense (DOD) provides support to stabilize emergency situations, including the transport and provision of food, shelter and supplies, logistical support, search and rescue, medical evacuations, and refugee assistance.<sup>19</sup> This includes the provision of 2,300 calorie low-cost humanitarian daily rations to alleviate hunger after foreign disasters. The incremental costs for all DOD humanitarian assistance for both natural and man-made disasters is funded through the Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Action (OHDACA) account in annual DOD appropriations.

### **Defense Security Cooperation Agency**

The Defense Security Cooperation Agency (DSCA) is the central DOD agency that synchronizes global security cooperation programs, funding, and efforts across the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD), Joint Staff, State Department, Combatant Commands, the services, and U.S. civilian industry. Under DSCA oversight, the Office of Humanitarian Assistance, Disaster Relief

---

<sup>18</sup> Authorized in Sections 2 and 3 of P.L. 87-510, the Migration and Refugee Assistance Act of 1962.

<sup>19</sup> For more information on the evolution of DOD's role in responding to humanitarian crises, see CRS Report RL34639, *The Department of Defense Role in Foreign Assistance: Background, Major Issues, and Options for Congress*, coordinated by Nina M. Serafino.

and Mine Action (HDM) manages DOD humanitarian assistance programs funded with OHDACA appropriations in all Geographic Combatant Commands. This includes humanitarian projects, transportation of DOD and privately donated humanitarian material, humanitarian mine action programs, and foreign disaster relief.

DOD provides assistance in humanitarian emergencies under several provisions in law. The primary authority is Title 10, Section 2561 (formerly Section 2551), which allows the use of appropriated funds for humanitarian assistance “for the purpose of providing transportation of humanitarian relief and for other humanitarian purposes worldwide.”<sup>20</sup> The Secretary of State determines that this provision should be used and requests DOD to respond to a disaster with specific assistance such as helicopter transport, provision of temporary water supplies, or road and bridge repair. DOD response time depends upon what is being requested and how long it takes to get personnel and equipment to the site of the emergency. If possible, military personnel join USAID’s OFDA assessment team to help determine the type of aid that can be provided by DOD. Under this provision, DOD generally limits its service activities to those that stabilize the emergency situation, such as road or bridge repair, but generally does not undertake projects that include rebuilding. The law requires an annual report to Congress on the use of funds.

Title 10 also contains a section that helps private voluntary agencies transport donated humanitarian goods to disaster sites. Section 402, the Denton program, named after former Member of Congress Jeremiah Denton, authorizes shipment of privately donated humanitarian goods on U.S. military aircraft on a space-available basis. The donated goods must be certified as appropriate for the disaster by USAID’s OFDA and can be bumped from the transport if other U.S. government aid must be transported. Donated goods can also be shipped on commercial vessels, using Section 2561 funds.

Section 506 (a) (1) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 allows the draw down of military equipment to a limit of \$100 million in any fiscal year if the President determines that an unforeseen emergency exists that requires immediate military assistance and the requirement cannot be met under any other provision. Before this provision can be used the President must notify the Speakers of the House and the Senate Foreign Relations Committee in writing by issuing a Presidential Directive explaining and justifying the need for the equipment being used. This request is handled by the Department of State and the National Security Council.

## **Humanitarian and Civic Assistance**

Another subset of DOD Humanitarian Assistance, known as Humanitarian and Civic Assistance (HCA) activities, is authorized under Title 10 U.S.C. Section 401. Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, humanitarian and civic assistance activities are authorized in conjunction with authorized military operations of the armed forces in a country if it is determined that the activities would (1) promote the security interests of both the United States and the country in which the activities are to be carried out, and (2) promote the specific operational readiness skills of the members of the armed forces who participate in the activity.<sup>21</sup>

---

<sup>20</sup> Section 404 of Title 10 also authorizes DOD to provide foreign disaster assistance. It is not generally used because it is considered to be somewhat cumbersome and requires an expansive report to Congress.

<sup>21</sup> Title 10 U.S.C. Section 401, Humanitarian and Civic Assistance Provided in Conjunction with Military Operations.

HCA activities under Title 10 U.S.C. Section 401 authority are separate from HA programs managed by DSCA and placed under direct oversight of Secretary of Defense.

## **U.S. Humanitarian Assistance: Role of Congress and Current Funding Levels**

### **Congressional Role**

Congress plays a key role in funding U.S. humanitarian assistance. The global humanitarian accounts (MRA, ERMA, IDA, and P.L. 480) are generally approved by Congress at the requested level. At times, however, the amount of disaster assistance provided during a fiscal year exceeds the amount appropriated by Congress. Congress has provided the President with the authority to borrow up to \$50 million from economic assistance accounts in the foreign aid program. In some cases, particularly when disasters occur during the appropriations process, congressional amendments reimbursing a particular agency for a specific disaster may become part of the next year's appropriation for that agency.

Congress is also generally supportive of supplemental appropriations that reimburse agencies for their expenditures, either to replenish the emergency accounts or other accounts that have been used to provide assistance. When there is difficulty in passing supplemental legislation, the debate is generally over non-disaster items, such as long-term reconstruction aid for the devastated area, or non-germane amendments added to the legislation rather than opposition to disaster assistance funding itself.

### **Funding: FY2012 to Present<sup>22</sup>**

Humanitarian assistance is intended to save lives and meet basic human needs in the wake of natural disasters and conflicts. In FY2012, humanitarian assistance funding appropriated through State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs totaled \$4.563 billion. The FY2013 full-year continuing resolution increased funding over the FY2012 level for two key humanitarian assistance accounts. IDA increased from \$1.095 billion to an estimated \$1.55 billion, with the increased funds designated as Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO).<sup>23</sup> The MRA account increased from \$1.975 billion to \$2.740 billion, also with OCO funds. In addition, the Administration transferred previously appropriated OCO funds for the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund to the MRA account to respond to the crisis in Syria.

The Obama Administration's FY2014 budget includes \$4.131 billion for humanitarian assistance accounts, including \$1.761 billion for MRA, \$2.045 billion for IDA, \$0.25 billion for Emergency

---

<sup>22</sup> Most funding for global humanitarian assistance accounts is administered through the State Department and USAID. This section does not include funding appropriated through OHDACA or other DOD accounts. Some of the text of this section appears in CRS Report R43043, *The FY2014 State and Foreign Operations Budget Request*, by Susan B. Epstein, Marian Leonardo Lawson, and Alex Tiersky.

<sup>23</sup> Funding for OCO supports "extraordinary, but temporary, costs of the Department of State and USAID in Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan." See Executive Budget Summary, Function 150 and Other International Programs, Fiscal Year 2014, p. 97.

Refugee and Migration Assistance (of which \$0.20 billion is specifically for Syria), and \$0.075 billion for a new Emergency Food Assistance Contingency Fund. Of the IDA funds, \$0.629 billion is allocated to OFDA to respond to natural disaster, civil strife, food security, and displaced populations. The remaining \$1.416 billion is designated for Food for Peace activities currently funded through the Agriculture appropriation.<sup>24</sup> In total, the humanitarian assistance request for FY2014 is about 9% below the FY2012 enacted level.

## **Other International Humanitarian Assistance Efforts**

The United States responds with varying amounts of relief and recovery assistance, typically in coordination with its international partners. The sheer number of players in the field, including a range of actors and interests, creates a complicated coordination challenge and often contributes to duplication of efforts or competition over the same sources of money and projects. Those involved may include, for example, numerous U.N. agencies, other international organizations (IOs), bilateral and multilateral donors, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs). International actors provide relief either through financial contributions to the government of the affected country or aid organizations, or by directly providing in-kind support in the form of relief supplies and emergency personnel. Local, regional, and national authorities may also have a role in the provision of assistance, law enforcement and access control. It is important to note that local aid organizations may be critical because they often know the terrain, the available resources, and the community, whereas the international community may bring to bear greater resources and coordinating capacity.

While the relief operation itself is often daunting in terms of the demands of those in need—from life saving action required to the provision of food and shelter under harsh physical conditions—the response system has many moving parts. The United Nations works with a wide number and variety of aid organizations and donors. Within the U.N. system, in addition to the Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA), the World Food Program (WFP), the World Health Organization (WHO), the U.N. Children’s Fund (UNICEF), the U.N. High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), and the U.N. Development Program (UNDP) all contribute to efforts to respond to a crisis.<sup>25</sup> OCHA also coordinates with IOs such as the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) and the International Organization for Migration (IOM), and a wide range of NGOs, many of which are implementing partners and provide much of the operational support on

---

<sup>24</sup> The Administration’s FY2014 budget for the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) does not request funding for Food for Peace Title II (emergency and nonemergency food assistance). Instead, the Administration proposes to shift funding for international food aid to three other assistance accounts. Under the proposed food aid reform measures, the Administration proposes to shift \$1.1 billion of Food for Peace funds to the IDA account for emergency food response. Together with \$300 million of IDA funds for cash-based food security programs, total emergency food aid would be \$1.4 billion in FY2014. The Administration’s budget also proposes to shift \$250 million to Development Assistance for a Community Development Resilience Fund. In addition, the Administration’s budget proposes to create a new Emergency Food Assistance Contingency Fund (\$75 million) to provide emergency food assistance for unexpected and urgent food needs. For more information about proposed food aid reforms, see CRS Report R41072, *International Food Aid Programs: Background and Issues*, by Charles E. Hanrahan.

<sup>25</sup> As one of its functions, the U.N. Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) tracks worldwide contributions to disasters. See <http://www.reliefweb.int>. Also, see [http://www.usaid.gov/our\\_work/humanitarian\\_assistance/disaster\\_assistance/](http://www.usaid.gov/our_work/humanitarian_assistance/disaster_assistance/) for USAID’s OFDA webpage. Relief Web is a good source of information, although the accuracy is not guaranteed. See <http://www.reliefweb.int>.

the ground. In addition, other internationals—governments, militaries, intergovernmental entities such as the European Union—are often part of the response network.

A key determinant in the response to humanitarian emergencies is, not surprisingly, level of prior planning, including the identification of responders—local, national, or international—and their level of preparedness. Furthermore, it is widely recognized that in many crises, it is the people who are least able to help themselves—those who are poor and those who have few, if any, options to live elsewhere—who are most affected.<sup>26</sup> Experts continue to emphasize the importance of drawing on lessons learned from responses to previous crises and disasters. Some of the ongoing challenges include communication between the government, aid agencies, and the public; coordination among emergency responders; civil-military cooperation and division of duties; and the planning and logistics involved in providing aid to less accessible, often more insecure, areas.

## **Issues for Congress**

Members of the 113<sup>th</sup> Congress may wish to take the following issues into account when considering U.S. humanitarian assistance activities worldwide.

### **Competing Aid and Budget Priorities**

Finding the resources to sustain U.S. funding or pledges to humanitarian crises may be difficult in light of domestic budget constraints. When disasters require immediate emergency relief, the Administration may fund pledges by depleting most global humanitarian accounts. In order to respond to future humanitarian crises, however, these resources would need to be replenished. If not replenished, U.S. capacity to respond to other emergencies could be affected.

In recent years, the United States has moved away from annual supplemental funding to replenish humanitarian or other accounts. Instead, it has increased levels of funding during the regular appropriations process. Even if the overall amount achieved through regular and supplemental appropriations together is sufficient, experts argue there are negative impacts to relying too heavily on supplemental funding. The timing of the supplemental appropriations cycle, which is mid-year or later, has consequences, particularly for the recipients of the humanitarian assistance. For example, budget uncertainty may result in program cuts, delays in decision making, and disruptions in service. Humanitarian programs may also have difficulty spending funding received too late in the fiscal year through supplemental appropriations, or may incur greater expense in restarting programs that had to be cut back due to insufficient funding earlier in the year. This in turn may create questions about the credibility and reliability of the United States as a partner in the provision of humanitarian assistance.

### **Burdensharing and Donor Fatigue**

Both Congress and the Administration encourage other countries to provide disaster assistance and to turn pledges into actual commitments.<sup>27</sup> It is not always evident whether figures listing

---

<sup>26</sup> “What Can we Learn from Year of Disasters?” December 29, 2005, Reuters AlertNet, <http://www.alertnet.org>.

<sup>27</sup> Although the United States is the world’s largest provider of overall foreign assistance (which includes humanitarian (continued...))

donor amounts represent pledges of support or more specific obligations.<sup>28</sup> Pledges made by governments do not always result in actual contributions. It also cannot be assumed that the funds committed to relief actually represent new contributions, since the money may previously have been allocated elsewhere. Moreover it is not readily apparent how the actual cost of the humanitarian emergency might be shared among international donors. Comparing U.S. and international aid is also difficult because of the often dramatically different forms the assistance takes (relief items versus cash, for instance).

Finding a balance between burdensharing on the one hand and donor fatigue on the other can negatively impact relief operations during emergencies when immediate funds are required for a response and are not forthcoming. Some experts are concerned about funding priorities and the ongoing need for resources for other disaster areas.

## **Transparency and Efficacy**

Some Members of Congress have raised concerns about transparency of donor contributions, allocation of monies, and monitoring of projects by the United Nations. The United Nations continues to address its financial tracking and reporting system. In responding to international disasters, many contributions are also made directly to IOs and NGOs, which could raise the same questions about transparency requirements. Moreover, while earmarks and time limits may ensure greater accountability, they can also add pressure for organizations to spend contributed funds, sometimes leading to unnecessary spending, waste and duplicated efforts. Many also argue that restrictions on use of funds often do not allow flexibility to adapt projects to better meet the changing needs on the ground.

## **Consequences of Humanitarian Assistance**

The provision of humanitarian assistance raises the potential for unexpected consequences. First, it is important to examine whether humanitarian assistance is going to those for whom it is intended. Evaluating and tracking provision of supplies is difficult during a conflict and impossible to completely control. Second, there is the role of the NGO, including its mission and sources of funding, in what has become a major independent enterprise in conflict areas. There is the potential for misuse, intended or unintended, which may require closer analysis of the performance of providers. Third, the safety and protection of refugees, IDPs, and humanitarian workers is another important issue. A growing number of humanitarian workers in various parts of the world have been put at great risk or lost their lives in providing humanitarian assistance. The degree to which a security force protects humanitarian relief workers and parties to the conflict will have some bearing on who is in charge, the security measures taken and provided, and the perception of whether the humanitarian community has taken sides in the conflict. Fourth, there is the question raised by some experts as to whether the provision of humanitarian

---

(...continued)

assistance) in absolute terms, it is often one of the lowest contributors among developed countries when measured as a percentage of its economic capacity.

<sup>28</sup>Obtaining an exact up-to-date record of all international contributions in response to an ongoing disaster is often not possible—in part because some assistance is not reported to governments or coordinating agencies—and in part because of the delay in their recording. *ReliefWeb* can be a good source of information, although its accuracy is not guaranteed for the aforementioned reasons. See <http://www.reliefweb.int>.

assistance is helpful—particularly in cases where there is no consensus on how or when to intervene but only on the need to demonstrate action. Some question whether humanitarian assistance in some instances actually prolongs conflict.

## **Links to Broader Foreign Policy Goals**

More broadly, political considerations play a role in the way assistance is given and to whom. While the images of human suffering portrayed by the media only reinforce the need to do something, humanitarian assistance carries some weight as an instrument of “neutral” intervention in crisis and is the most flexible policy tool that can be quickly brought to bear in a crisis. It can buy time and keep options open and may be an avenue to achieve minimal consensus. Sometimes the easiest decision in terms of finding a path of least resistance, humanitarian assistance can also expand beyond its immediate function. It may provide the means to maintain some form of contact with a country/region, or mitigate tensions over policy towards a region within the U.S. government or with and among its allies. Sometimes humanitarian assistance is expanded beyond its immediate function to avert a crisis, to provide support to allies, and to maintain a presence in the region. How it is used and whether it becomes more of a strategic, policy tool depends upon the situation, what other governments are doing, and the degree to which the United States has further interest in the region.

Providing humanitarian assistance also raises questions about implications for future action. On the one hand, if the United States decides to reduce its humanitarian support, would this diminish U.S. standing among its allies or affect its interests in other ways? On the other hand, since the President has a great deal of flexibility over U.S. involvement, once commitment to a humanitarian effort is made, does this make the long-term U.S. participation in reconstruction and political solutions more likely? Regardless, it is clear that as crises proliferate, the level and sources of U.S. humanitarian assistance will inevitably have an important impact not only on the relief operation itself, but on broader foreign policy goals.

## **Author Contact Information**

Rhoda Margesson  
Specialist in International Humanitarian Policy  
rmargesson@crs.loc.gov, 7-0425

## **Acknowledgments**

Parts of this report are drawn from a previous report on the same topic, now out of print, by Lois McHugh.