

Adult Education and Family Literacy Act (AEFLA): A Primer

Benjamin Collins

Analyst in Labor Policy

April 8, 2013

Congressional Research Service 7-5700 www.crs.gov R43036

Summary

Enacted in 1998, the Adult Education and Family Literacy Act (AEFLA) is the primary federal legislation that supports basic education for out-of-school adults. Commonly called "adult education," the programs funded by AEFLA typically support educational services at the secondary level and below, as well as English language training. Actual services are typically provided by local entities using a combination of federal and non-federal funds. Specific curricula vary based on the needs and objectives of the local student population.

In FY2012, approximately \$606 million was appropriated for AEFLA. Of this sum, approximately \$22 million was set aside for national activities and incentive grants. The remaining \$584 million was allocated to the states via formula grants. To receive a federal grant, states are required to provide a match so that non-federal resources account for at least 25% of the total resources dedicated to adult education. Many states contribute well beyond their required match, though there is substantial variation among the states.

The statute specifies that 82.5% of each state's grant must be subgranted to local providers of educational services. These local providers are most commonly local education agencies (typically school districts) or institutions of higher education (typically community, junior, or technical colleges). Nonprofit agencies, correctional institutions, and other entities may also receive grants.

Adult education activities provided at the local level are divided into three broad categories:

- *Adult Basic Education* (ABE), which includes instruction for adults whose literacy and numeracy skills are below the high school level;
- *Adult Secondary Education* (ASE), which includes instruction for adults whose literacy skills are approximately at the high school level, including adults who are seeking to pass the General Education Development (GED) test; and
- *English literacy* (EL), which includes instruction for adults who are not proficient in the English language.

In program year 2011-2012 (the most recent year for which complete data are available), approximately 1.8 million individuals participated in state adult education activities for an average of 124 hours each. A plurality of the students (47%) participated in ABE while a smaller share (40%) participated in EL activities. The remaining share of adult education students (13%) participated in ASE activities. Non-federal funds accounted for the majority of spending on these activities.

The authorization of appropriations for AEFLA expired at the end of FY2003, though the programs it supports have continued to be funded through the annual appropriations process. AEFLA was enacted as Title II of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (WIA) and AEFLA reauthorization debate has also been part of broader efforts to reauthorize WIA.

Contents

Background	1
AEFLA Statutory Provisions	1
Purpose of AEFLA and Definition of Adult Education	2
AEFLA-Funded Programs	2
State Grants	
Set-Asides for Other Purposes	5
AEFLA Implementation and Program Data	6
Characteristics of Local Services	7
Types of Service Providers	7
Characteristics of Participants	9
Treatment and Outcome Data	. 10
Educational Gains	. 10
Other Outcomes	. 11

Tables

Table 1. Local Adult Education Grantee Types by Funding Source, PY2011-12	8
Table 2. Characteristics of Adult Education Personnel, PY2011-12.	9
Table 3. Characteristics of AEFLA Participants, PY2011-2012	10
Table 4. AEFLA Enrollment and Educational Progress, PY2011-2012	11
Table 5. Core Follow-Up Measures for AEFLA Exiters, PY2011-2012	12
Table A-1. AEFLA Funding FY1999-FY2012	13
Table B-1. AEFLA Grants to States, FY2010-2012	14
Table B-2. AEFLA EL-Civics Grants to States	16

Appendixes

Appendix A. AEFLA Funding, FY1999-FY2012	. 13	3
Appendix B. AEFLA Grants to States and Outlying Areas, FY2010-2012	. 14	4

Contacts

Author Contact Information

Background

Many adults in the United States have low levels of literacy or numeracy, or limited English language proficiency.¹ Under the authorization of the Adult Education and Family Literacy Act (AEFLA), the federal government has made grants to states to provide services to improve these skills among adults who are not enrolled in school. Commonly called "adult education" efforts, these investments provide educational services to adults at the secondary level and below, as well as English language training.

Adult education services are typically provided by local entities using a combination of federal and non-federal funds. Students include those seeking to develop basic skills, those seeking to obtain a secondary credential, and English language learners of various educational backgrounds. Curricula vary based on student needs and objectives. According to the most recent annual data, the average adult education student received 124 hours of instruction. Adult education instructors are a combination of full-time, part-time, and volunteer personnel.

AEFLA programs are administered by the U.S. Department of Education (ED) through its Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE).² In FY2012, appropriations under the act were \$606 million. Of this appropriation, \$595 million was distributed to the states via formula grants.

This report will provide background information on AEFLA and the activities it funds. The report begins with a description of the law itself. This description focuses on AEFLA's largest component, the state grants program. The second half of the report uses program data to describe the implementation of the state grants program, including the data on providers and participants.

AEFLA Statutory Provisions

AEFLA was enacted as Title II of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (WIA; P.L. 105-220). It replaced the National Literacy Act of 1991 (P.L. 102-73) and the Adult Education Act of 1966 (P.L. 89-750).

AEFLA authorized such sums as necessary to carry out its functions from FY1999 through FY20003. When the program was not reauthorized, the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA) automatically extended AEFLA authorization for one year. Since the expiration of this extension, the program has continued to be funded through the annual appropriations process.³ Annual appropriation levels since FY1999 are presented in **Table A-1** of **Appendix A**.

¹ Defining literacy is a complex issue and, depending on definitions, the literacy rate in the United States can vary dramatically. The National Assessment of Adult Literacy (NAAL) is an occasional federally sponsored survey that measures components of adult literacy and numeracy. The most recent NAAL was conducted in 2003. The findings of this assessment illustrate the complexity of measuring literacy and are available at http://nces.ed.gov/naal/.

² The exception to this is Workforce Investment Act Title V incentive grants, which are funded under AEFLA and part of ED's budget but administered by the Department of Labor.

³ There have been several attempts to reauthorize AEFLA as part of a broader reauthorization of WIA. In the 113th Congress, the House has passed H.R. 803, which would reauthorize AEFLA through 2020. For more information on this bill, see CRS Report R42990, *Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Reauthorization Proposals in the 113th Congress: Comparison of Major Features of Current Law and H.R. 803*, by David H. Bradley and Benjamin Collins.

Purpose of AEFLA and Definition of Adult Education

The statutory purpose of AEFLA is to

(1) assist adults to become literate and obtain the knowledge and skills necessary for employment and self-sufficiency;

(2) assist adults who are parents to obtain the educational skills necessary to become full partners in the educational development of their children; and

(3) assist adults in the completion of a secondary school education.⁴

To fulfill this purpose, statute authorizes funds for adult education activities, which it defines as services or instruction below the postsecondary level for individuals who are at least 16 years old, are not enrolled in school nor required to be enrolled in school under state law, and either

(i) lack sufficient mastery of basic educational skills to enable the individuals to function effectively in society;

(ii) do not have a secondary school diploma or its recognized equivalent, and have not achieved an equivalent level of education; or

(iii) are unable to speak, read, or write the English language.⁵

AEFLA-Funded Programs

AEFLA specifies portions of its annual appropriation that are set aside for certain programs.⁶ The remaining funds (which are typically more than 95% of the annual appropriation) are distributed to the states through formula grants.⁷

State Grants

AEFLA specifies how funds are allotted to each state as well as the proportion of each state's grant that must be allotted to various activities. The act also sets criteria for how state grant funds must be subgranted to local service providers.

Allotment of Funds Among the States

Section 211(b) of AEFLA specifies a two-step process through which state grant funds are distributed. First, there is an initial allotment of \$250,000 to each state and \$100,000 to each

⁴ Section 201 of AEFLA (20 U.S.C. 9201)

⁵ Section 202 of AEFLA (20 U.S.C. 9202)

⁶ The set-asides are discussed in the "Set-Asides for Other Purposes" section later in this report.

⁷ The formula for these funds is established in AEFLA. Since FY2000, however, appropriations legislation has reserved

a portion of state grant funds for English Literacy-Civics (EL-Civics) formula grants to states. This reservation effectively creates two formula grants within the state grants program: one that allots the set-aside using the EL-Civics formula in appropriations legislation (described in the "English Literacy-Civics Grants" subsection later in this report) and a second that allots the remaining state grant funds using the formula in AEFLA.

eligible outlying area.⁸ The second step of the allotment process distributes the remainder of the funding by formula. The formula is based on each state's share of qualifying adults. Qualifying adults are those who are

- at least 16 years of age,
- beyond the age of compulsory school attendance in the states,
- without a high school diploma or the equivalent, and
- not enrolled in secondary school.

States must match their grants so that 25% of the state's total adult education resources are from non-federal sources.⁹ Non-federal matches may be cash or in-kind.¹⁰ In outlying areas, the non-federal share must be at least 12%.

AEFLA's hold harmless provisions specify that states and outlying areas shall receive grants equal to at least 90% of the grant they received in the previous fiscal year.¹¹ AEFLA's maintenance of effort provisions require each state and outlying area to expend at least 90% of what it spent in the prior year on adult education activities.¹²

 Table B-1 in Appendix B lists the annual allotments to each state from FY2010 to FY2012.

English Literacy-Civics Grants

Since FY2000, appropriations legislation has set aside a portion of the AEFLA state grant funding for integrated English literacy and civics education services (EL-Civics) to limited-English-proficient populations. In FY2012, this set-aside was \$74.85 million or about 12.5% of state grants funding.¹³ The remainder of state grant funds were allotted using the formula described in the previous section.

The provisions of the EL-Civics grants are enumerated in annual appropriations legislation and are not established elsewhere in law. EL-Civics funds are distributed to the states based on recent

⁸ For the purposes of state grant allotments, the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico are classified as states. American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the U.S. Virgin Islands are classified as outlying areas. By the definition in AEFLA, the freely associated states of the Marshall Islands, Micronesia, and Palau are also considered outlying areas but their grant eligibility is governed by Compact of Free Association and does not follow the process outlined in AEFLA. Since FY2005, Palau has been the only freely associated state that receives AEFLA funding and since then, its annual grant has equaled 90% of its grant from the prior year. In FY2012, Palau's grant was \$22,878 or 0.00004% of total AEFLA state grant funding.

⁹ This matching requirement can also be viewed as states providing \$1 of resources for every \$3 in federal funding.

¹⁰ See 20 U.S.C. 9222(b). 34 C.F.R. 461.1(c) further specifies when determining non-federal contributions, ED shall consider "(1) Expenditures from State, local, and other non-Federal sources for programs, services, and activities of adult education, as defined in [AEFLA], made by public or private entities that receive from the State Federal funds made available under [AEFLA] or State funds for adult education; and (2) Expenditures made directly by the State for programs, services, and activities of adult education as defined in [AEFLA]."

¹¹ If federal appropriations are insufficient to meet this threshold, each grantee's share of current year funding will be ratably reduced.

¹² See 20 U.S.C. 9251(b).

¹³ See Title III of P.L. 112-74, the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2012. AEFLA state grant funds were subject to a rescission of 0.189% and the EL-Civics set-aside was proportionately reduced to \$74.71 million.

immigration data from the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS).¹⁴ Typically, 65% of the EL-Civics funding is distributed based on each state's relative share of immigrants admitted for legal permanent residence in the 10 most recent years and 35% is distributed based on each state's relative share of immigrants admitted for legal permanent residence in the three most recent years.

Table B-2 in **Appendix B** lists the annual EL-Civics allotments to each state from FY2010 to FY2012.

Uses of State Grant Funds

Section 222 of AEFLA specifies several requirements on how states must allocate their grant funds:

- At least 82.5% of the state allotment must be competitively subgranted to local service providers. Up to 10% of the 82.5% may be granted to entities that provide services to individuals in correctional facilities or other institutionalized individuals.
- Up to 12.5% of the state's allotment may be allocated to state leadership activities (i.e., programs of statewide relevance such as the development and dissemination of curricula).¹⁵
- No more than 5% of the total grant or \$65,000, whichever is greater, may be used for administrative expenses.

All recipients of local subgrants must use funds for the provision of one or more of the following services: adult education and literacy services, family literacy services, and/or English literacy programs. Entities eligible to receive local subgrants include local educational agencies, community and faith-based organizations, institutions of higher education, and other nonprofit organizations.¹⁶

AEFLA requires states to consider certain factors when selecting local subgrant recipients. Most of these considerations relate to a subgrantee's ability to successfully serve qualifying adults (particularly high-need populations) and accurately report performance data.¹⁷

State Plans

Section 224 of AEFLA specifies that, to receive a grant, each state must submit, or have on file, a state plan. Plans are five years in duration. State plans must contain¹⁸

• an assessment of adult education needs in the state, including high-need and hard-to-serve individuals;

¹⁴ EL-Civics funds are limited to the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

¹⁵ Allowable state leadership activities are listed in Section 223 of AEFLA (20 U.S.C. 9223).

¹⁶ The full list of eligible providers is available in Section 203(5) of AELFA (20 U.S.C. 9202(5)).

¹⁷ The 12 statutory considerations are listed in Section 231(e) of AEFLA (20 U.S.C. 9241(e)).

¹⁸ In addition to the components below, state plans must also contain several assurances that the state will comply with certain provisions of AEFLA. See 20 U.S.C. 9224(b) for complete required contents.

- a description of the adult education activities that will be funded by AEFLA;
- a description of how the state will annually evaluate and improve its activities using standardized reporting measures (see "Performance Accountability and Reporting Requirements" subsection later in this report);
- a description of the process that the state will use for public participation and comment in the development of its state plan;
- a description of how the state will develop program strategies for certain populations such as individuals with disabilities and single parents;
- a description of how AEFLA-funded activities in the state will be integrated with other adult education, career development, and employment training services; and
- a description of steps the state will take to ensure equitable access to funds among local adult education providers.

As an alternative to developing a specialized AEFLA plan, WIA allows states to develop a unified plan that combines AEFLA requirements with other federally funded workforce development programs.¹⁹

Performance Accountability and Reporting Requirements

Section 212 establishes a performance accountability system for grantees under AEFLA. It requires grantees to track participation and other core indicators of performance. These core indicators are

- improvement in literacy skill levels in reading, writing, and speaking the English language; numeracy; problem solving; English language acquisition; and other literacy skills;
- placement or retention in, or completion of, postsecondary education, training, employment, or career advancement; and
- receipt of a secondary school diploma or the equivalent.

States report their performance via the online National Reporting System (NRS). Reports from the NRS provide the program data presented in the "AEFLA Implementation and Program Data" section later in this report.

Set-Asides for Other Purposes

Section 211(a) of AEFLA specifies several set-asides from sums appropriated under the act.

• The lesser of 1.5% of the appropriation or \$8 million for *National Leadership Activities* (NLA). These activities can include (1) technical assistance to the states such as assistance in developing performance measures or professional development for instructors, and (2) national research activities such as

¹⁹ See 20 U.S.C. 9271.

curriculum development, program evaluation, and dissemination of best practices. $^{\rm 20}$

- The lesser of 1.5% of the appropriation or \$8 million for the *National Institute for Literacy* (NIFL).²¹ NIFL operates under an interagency agreement between ED, DOL, and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). Its purposes are to (1) provide national leadership regarding literacy, (2) coordinate literacy services and policy, and (3) serve as a national resource for adult education and literacy programs.²²
- 1.72% for *WIA Title V Incentive Grants*.²³ Incentive grants are available to states that achieve superior performance in both Title I and Title II of WIA.²⁴ Annual performance levels are negotiated by the states, DOL, and OVAE. The maximum incentive grant for each qualifying state is \$3 million and the minimum is \$750,000.

Actual appropriations activities have varied from these statutory directives. For example, NIFL has not received funding since FY2009 and some of its functions have been consolidated into National Leadership Activities. Since NIFL stopped receiving AEFLA funding, the set-aside for National Leadership Activities in appropriations legislation has exceeded 1.5% of AEFLA appropriations. Annual set-asides are listed in **Table A-1** in **Appendix A**.

AEFLA Implementation and Program Data

As discussed in the prior section, AEFLA specifies certain requirements for state grants (and local subgrants) but leaves many decisions to the states and localities. This section will use program data from the NRS to illustrate the nature of states' and localities' adult education services, providers, students, and outcomes.²⁵ NRS data are typically reported by program year (PY), which runs nine months behind the fiscal year (e.g., PY2011-2012 was from July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012). As such, the data discussed in this section will not align precisely with fiscal year information discussed elsewhere in this report.

When interpreting the data in this section, it is important to note that many states contribute beyond their AEFLA-required match. Localities and private entities may also contribute to adult education activities, and federal funds subsequently account for only a minority of the funds used to provide local adult education services.

²⁰ For details on permissible activities, see AEFLA Section 243 (20 U.S.C. 9253).

²¹ ED has not allocated funds to NIFL since FY2009. NIFL's priority activities have been consolidated into AEFLA's National Leadership Activities.

²² For additional details on NIFL, see AEFLA Section 242 (20 U.S.C. 9252).

²³ In the ED budget, incentive grants are part of the state grants program.

²⁴ Incentive grants are authorized under Section 503 of WIA. Prior to PY2007, states also needed to achieve superior performance under Title III of the Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act of 1998 to receive an incentive grant.

²⁵ All data in this section were generated using the user-directed NRS database at http://wdcrobcolp01.ed.gov/CFAPPS/ OVAE/NRS/reports/.

Characteristics of Local Services

AEFLA-funded adult education services are typically administered at the local level. NRS data (and most other adult education literature) divides these services into three primary categories.

- *Adult Basic Education* (ABE) includes instruction for adults whose literacy skills are below the high school level. In PY2011-2012, about 47% of adult education students were enrolled in ABE classes.
- *Adult Secondary Education* (ASE) includes instruction for adults whose literacy skills are approximately at the high school level. This includes adults who are seeking to pass the General Education Development (GED) test or obtain a high school credential. In PY2011-2012, about 13% of adult education students were enrolled in ASE courses.
- *English literacy* (EL) is instruction for adults who are not proficient in the English language. In PY2011-2012, about 40% of adult education students were enrolled in EL courses.

States have diverse adult education populations and there are large variations among the states in terms of enrollment levels in each program. For example, in PY2011-2012, Nevada reported that 80% of its adult education students were enrolled in EL courses while Louisiana reported that 81% of its adult education students were enrolled in ABE courses.

Types of Service Providers

Eligible providers of AEFLA-funded services include both public and private entities. **Table 1** presents data on the allotment of federal AEFLA funds and state funds to eligible providers in PY2011-2012. Local education agencies (typically school districts) were the largest recipients of both federal and state adult education funding.

Table 1 may exclude some non-federal, non-state contributions to adult education activities such as local funding and in-kind contributions. Comprehensive estimates of non-federal effort are available from each state's annual Financial Status Report (FSR). States' final FSR reports for the 2011-2012 program year will be available after September 2013. Aggregated data on non-federal effort from prior years' FSRs are not readily available.²⁶

²⁶ Individual states' final FSRs from PY2010-2011 and prior years can be generated from the NRS database at http://wdcrobcolp01.ed.gov/CFAPPS/OVAE/NRS/FSRtables/index.cfm.

Provider Agency	AEFLA Funding Total	AEFLA Funding % of Total	State Funding Total	State Funding % of Total
Local Education Agencies	\$254,239,492	50.6%	\$1,082,312,982	77.8%
Institutions of Higher Education	\$158,903,708	31.6%	\$214,474,276	15.4%
Community Junior or Technical Colleges	\$144,122,868	28.7%	\$209,969,359	15.1%
Four-Year Colleges or Universities	\$6,041,315	1.2%	\$3,109,273	0.2%
Other Institutions of Higher Education	\$8,739,526	1.7%	\$1,395,644	0.1%
Public or Private Nonprofit Agencies	\$68,670,126	13.7%	\$52,600,030	3.8%
Community-Based Organizations	\$54,036,910	10.8%	\$47,210,692	3.4%
Faith-Based Organizations	\$10,701,670	2.1%	\$4,811,538	0.3%
Libraries	\$3,931,545	0.8%	\$577,801	<0.1%
Other Agencies	\$20,345,302	4.1%	\$41,067,663	3.0%
Correctional Institutions	\$12,904,283	2.6%	\$29,943,503	2.2%
Other Institutions (non- correctional)	\$732,725	0.1%	\$4,640,433	0.3%
All Other Agencies	\$6,708,293	1.3%	\$6,483,728	0.5%
Total	\$502,158,628	100.0%	\$1,390,454,951	100.0%

Source: National Reporting System, Aggregate NRS Table 14 for PY2011-2012. Tables generated at http://wdcrobcolp01.ed.gov/CFAPPS/OVAE/NRS/reports/ on April 4, 2013. Data for Maine have not yet been approved by ED and were not included in this table.

Note: Due to rounding, the sum of subgroups may not equal totals. Since funds are reported on a program year basis, they may not correspond with fiscal year funding levels discussed elsewhere in the report. State funding may not include local, private, or in-kind contributions to adult education activities.

AEFLA services are provided by a combination of paid staff and volunteers. **Table 2** presents data on state and local adult education personnel in PY2011-2012. Local teachers were the largest personnel group and almost 85% of this group was either part-time or volunteers. As is the case with other program components, the characteristics of each state's adult education personnel varied by state. For example, nearly 50% of Kentucky's teachers are full-time while more than 70% of the teachers in Pennsylvania are volunteers.

Position	Number	Full-Time	Part-Time	Unpaid Volunteer	Total
Local Teachers	72,202	14.5%	59.2%	26.3%	100.0%
Local Paraprofessionals	17,600	13.9%	28.4%	57.7%	100.0%
Local Administrative, Supervisor, and Ancillary Services	12,256	41.2%	41.0%	17.8%	100.0%
Local Counselors	2,242	30.8%	59.1%	10.1%	100.0%
State Administrative, Supervisory, and Ancillary Services	482	76.8%	22.0%	1.2%	100.0%

Table 2. Characteristics of Adult Education Personnel, PY2011-12

Source: Calculations by CRS based on data from National Reporting System, Aggregate Table 7 for PY2011-2012. Tables generated at http://wdcrobcolp01.ed.gov/CFAPPS/OVAE/NRS/reports/ on April 4, 2013.

Characteristics of Participants

Data on the demographics and other characteristics of AEFLA participants are available from NRS. **Table 3** presents data on the approximately 2 million individuals that participated in adult education programs in PY2011-2012.

The characteristics of adult education participants vary by the type of services. For example, the ASE population tended to be younger (57% under the age of 25) and have a higher concentration of white students (48%) than the overall adult education population. Conversely, the EL population tended to be older (84% age 25 or over) and have a higher concentration of Hispanic or Latino students (65%) than the overall adult education population.

	Number	Share of Population
Age	1,818,806	100.0%
16-18	184,085	10.1%
19-24	433,776	23.8%
25-44	834,485	45.9%
45-59	295,586	16.3%
60+	70,874	3.9%
Race	1,818,806	100.0%
White	480,789	26.4%
Black or African American	407,383	22.4%
Hispanic or Latino	709,213	39.0%
Asian	148,968	8.2%
Otherª	72,453	4.0%
Employment Status	1,818,806	100.0%
Employed	579,815	31.9%
Unemployed	734,233	40.4%
Not in the Labor Force	504,758	27.8%

Source: National Reporting System, NRS Aggregate Table 2 for PY2011-2012; Longitudinal Report Table 10 for PY2011-2012. Tables generated at http://wdcrobcolp01.ed.gov/CFAPPS/OVAE/NRS/reports/ on April 4, 2013.

a. "Other" aggregates three categories: American Indian or Native Alaskan; Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander; and two or more races.

Treatment and Outcome Data

AEFLA requires grantees to track the nature and duration of their services as well as student outcomes. Data on educational gains are available for all participants. Data on other outcomes (such as entering employment or postsecondary education) are more limited.

Educational Gains

Table 4 presents data on participants' hours of attendance and educational progress. Educational progress is defined as completing a level of curriculum within the ABE, ASE, or EL tracks. The ABE program has four levels, the ASE program has two, and the EL program has six.²⁷ Progress is determined through a pre-test administered to a participant at intake and subsequent post-tests at specified intervals.²⁸

²⁷ For detailed descriptions of each educational level, see tables at 34 C.F.R. 462.44.

²⁸ Testing procedures are not uniform across the states. For information on how states establish their tests other testing (continued...)

			Share			
Entering Educational Functioning Level	Total Number Enrolled	Average Hours of Participation per Enrollee	Completing Level	Separating Before Completing Level	Remaining within Level	Total
Total, All Programs and Levels	1,818,806	124	43.8%	32.4%	23.7%	100.0%
Adult Basic Education (ABE)	853,213	109	43.4%	35.2%	21.4%	100.0%
Adult Secondary Education (ASE)	231,969	88	39.2%	36.9%	23.9%	100.0%
English Literacy (EL)	733,624	153	45.8%	27.8%	26.4%	100.0%

Table 4. AEFLA Enrollment and Educational Progress, PY2011-2012

Source: Shares calculated by CRS based on Aggregate Table 4 Data for PY2011-2012. Table generated at http://wdcrobcolp01.ed.gov/CFAPPS/OVAE/NRS/reports/ on April 4, 2013.

Notes: There are four levels within ABE, two levels within ASE, and six levels within EL. For definitions of each educational functioning level, see tables at 34 C.F.R. 462.44.

Other Outcomes

In addition to educational gains, AEFLA requires states to track participant outcomes regarding employment, the completion of a secondary degree, and entrance into postsecondary education.²⁹ Unlike the fairly comprehensive data on demographics and educational progress, data on these post-school outcomes are somewhat limited. These data are limited by two primary factors:

- Follow-up outcomes are only tracked when a client states an objective beyond education. Otherwise, only the default "educational progress" indicator is tracked. As **Table 5** shows, a relatively small portion of AEFLA participants state a follow-up objective. Participants may decline to state an objective beyond educational progress for a variety of reasons. They may have already attained a goal (for example, **Table 3** in a prior section shows that 32% of AEFLA participants were employed at intake), educational progress may be their only goal, or they may simply choose not to state a follow-up objective.
- In some cases, follow-up outcomes may be tracked with surveys that have varied response rates. Coupled with the fact that only a subset of the population's follow-up outcomes are tracked, this may result in follow-up outcome data based on only a small number of participants.

Due to these limitations, the available data on follow-up measures in **Table 5** should be interpreted with caution. While the available data can provide some insight into the subsequent outcomes for some AEFLA participants, using these data to evaluate program efficacy may be difficult.

^{(...}continued)

requirements and procedures, see 34 C.F.R. 462.1-44.

²⁹ See 20 U.S.C. 9212(b)(2)(A). These post-program outcomes are often described as "follow-up objectives."

Core Follow-Up Outcome Measures	Population with Main or Secondary Goal	Outcome data Available via Survey or Data Matching	Achieving Outcome	Percent Achieving Outcome
Entered Employment ^a	221,799	170,104	80,938	47.6%
Retained Employment ^b	169,799	133,170	87,172	65.5%
Obtained a GED or Secondary School Diploma ^c	250,579	234,341	143,816	61.4%
Entered Postsecondary Education or Training ^d	101,343	82,222	47,731	58.1%

Source: National Reporting System, NRS Aggregate Table 5 for PY2011-2012; generated at http://wdcrobcolp01.ed.gov/CFAPPS/OVAE/NRS/reports/ on April 4, 2013. Data for the District of Columbia, lowa, New Hampshire, and Maine were not yet approved by ED at the time of retrieval and may be subsequently revised.

Notes: Percent achieving outcome is the number of participants achieving the outcome divided by the number of participants for which outcome data are available. Participants for which outcome data are not available are excluded from these calculations. Definitions for PY2011-2012 are from the November 2011 edition of *Implementation Guidelines: Measures and Methods for the National Reporting System for Adult Education*, published by ED. Definitions for subsequent program years may vary somewhat.

- a. Population includes participants who are not employed at the time of program entry, have a goal of entering employment, and exited the program during the program year. To achieve the outcome, participants must enter employment by the end of the first quarter after program exit. A job obtained while the student was still enrolled can be counted as achieving the goal if the participant is still employed the quarter after program exit.
- b. Population includes participants who either (1) are not employed at the time of program entry, have a goal of obtaining employment, and enter employment by the end of the first quarter after exit; or (2) are employed at entry and have a goal of improved or retained employment. To achieve the outcome, a participant must remain employed in the third quarter after exit.
- c. Population includes participants with a goal of passing the GED test or obtaining a secondary school diploma (or equivalent) who exit during the program year. To achieve the outcome, a participant must attain a passing score on a GED test or obtain a diploma or state recognized equivalent, documenting satisfactory completion of secondary studies.
- d. Population is all participants with a goal of placement in postsecondary education or training who exit during the program year. To achieve the outcome, participants must enroll in a postsecondary education or occupational skills training program, regardless of whether the adult education services were completed.

Appendix A. AEFLA Funding, FY1999-FY2012

Fiscal Year	AEFLA Total	State Grant Funds		National	
		Total	EL-Civics Set-Aside	Institute for Literacy	National Leadership Activities
1999	\$385,000	\$345,339	n/a	\$6,000	\$14,000
2000	470,000	450,000	25,500	6,000	14,000
2001	560,500	540,000	70,000	6,500	14,000
2002	591,060	575,000	70,000	6,617	9,500
2003	587,217	571,262	69,545	6,517	9,438
2004	590,233	574,372	69,135	6,692	9,169
2005	585,406	569,672	68,582	6,638	9,096
2006	579,552	563,975	67,896	6,572	9,005
2007	579,563	563,975	67,896	6,583	9,005
2008	567,468	554,122	66,710	6,468	6,878
2009	567,468	554,122	67,895	6,468	6,878
2010	639,567	628,221	75,000	0	11,346
2011	607,443	596,120	74,850	0	11,323
2012	606,295	594,993	74,709	0	11,302

Table A-1.AEFLA Funding FY1999-FY2012

Source: Department of Education FY2000-FY2013 budgets.

Note: Appropriation levels were taken from subsequent years' budget documents and include rescissions and other post-appropriation adjustments, where applicable.

Appendix B. AEFLA Grants to States and Outlying Areas, FY2010-2012

Grantee	FY2010 Actual	FY2011 Actual	FY2012 Estimate
Alabama	\$11,941,022	\$9,520,213	\$9,579,239
Alaska	926,499	918,644	929,924
Arizona	11,707,076	11,450,928	10,590,633
Arkansas	6,929,235	5,712,738	5,676,617
California	74,943,875	72,825,539	74,427,797
Colorado	6,079,225	6,133,088	6,094,776
Connecticut	4,369,348	4,527,412	4,662,122
Delaware	1,543,343	1,471,150	1,518,064
District of Columbia	1,446,211	1,137,010	1,103,228
Florida	35,719,178	30,662,909	31,038,637
Georgia	18,619,681	17,139,168	16,815,540
Hawaii	1,601,952	1,588,671	١,659,543
Idaho	2,068,162	2,147,294	2,182,925
Illinois	18,653,069	18,893,675	18,640,584
Indiana	9,382,112	9,661,398	9,571,412
lowa	3,364,157	3,355,410	3,391,695
Kansas	3,292,712	3,355,192	3,422,191
Kentucky	11,047,833	8,850,695	8,766,623
Louisiana	9,716,042	8,972,585	9,055,340
Maine	1,768,914	1,769,175	1,741,358
Maryland	7,487,342	7,691,436	7,888,511
Massachusetts	7,993,810	8,257,777	8,099,621
Michigan	13,003,714	13,419,141	12,623,242
Minnesota	4,918,864	5,022,396	5,085,523
Mississippi	7,733,644	6,389,755	6,272,954
Missouri	8,899,507	9,039,282	8,820,305
Montana	1,255,888	1,284,020	1,242,934
Nebraska	2,040,114	2,131,202	2,165,498
Nevada	5,176,176	4,829,004	4,943,602
New Hampshire	1,555,205	1,575,928	1,523,454

Table B-I.AEFLA Grants to States, FY2010-2012

Grantee	FY2010 Actual	FY2011 Actual	FY2012 Estimate
New Jersey	11,753,558	11,887,152	11,890,448
New Mexico	3,815,227	3,910,247	3,966,322
New York	35,708,880	32,694,835	32,579,394
North Carolina	20,480,051	16,219,755	16,510,505
North Dakota	941,500	947,550	959,829
Ohio	15,246,083	۱5,698,63 I	15,288,148
Oklahoma	5,748,650	5,865,864	5,897,105
Oregon	4,944,888	4,933,855	5,009,468
Pennsylvania	17,044,639	17,311,298	16,983,158
Rhode Island	2,427,137	2,064,796	2,055,919
South Carolina	10,123,241	8,476,144	8,400,669
South Dakota	1,144,394	1,183,101	1,145,317
Tennessee	14,745,403	11,779,315	11,581,647
Texas	49,762,253	49,255,542	49,815,407
Utah	2,662,122	2,766,570	2,779,187
Vermont	864,124	881,946	875,060
Virginia	11,208,507	11,458,209	11,737,155
Washington	7,503,320	7,745,973	7,915,685
West Virginia	4,900,900	3,823,017	3,831,120
Wisconsin	6,460,802	6,478,733	6,408,082
Wyoming	753,746	763,770	751,008
American Samoa	239,179	245,248	247,297
Guam	416,104	429,887	434,542
Northern Mariana Islands	434,657	355,982	359,594
Puerto Rico	17,795,253	13,301,621	12,284,314
Virgin Islands	601,508	510,463	516,254
Freely Associated States	28,244	25,420	22,878
Indian set-aside	0	0	C
Non-state allocations	10,282,720	10,522,611	10,505,395
Total	\$553,221,000	\$521,270,370	\$520,284,799

Source: Department of Education, http://www2.ed.gov/about/overview/budget/statetables/index.html, accessed April 4, 2013; pre-2011 data available from http://www2.ed.gov/about/overview/budget/history/index.html.

Note: Non-state allocations are allotted to WIA Title V Incentive grants.

Grantee	FY2010 Actual	FY2011 Actual	FY2012 Estimate
Alabama	\$226,932	\$232,214	\$240,648
Alaska	106,323	106,998	107,857
Arizona	I,275,744	1,303,665	1,321,765
Arkansas	182,114	188,079	190,420
California	17,325,060	16,938,499	16,506,124
Colorado	829,996	840,142	860,625
Connecticut	947,244	914,336	900,821
Delaware	146,564	148,260	150,161
District of Columbia	201,192	193,848	195,777
Florida	8,260,828	8,209,887	8,014,070
Georgia	1,721,842	1,750,656	1,755,573
Hawaii	469,463	467,286	464,169
Idaho	166,503	174,342	179,156
Illinois	3,237,190	3,100,243	3,033,889
Indiana	483,293	507,376	536,663
Iowa	274,956	277,347	288,163
Kansas	318,300	329,071	340,044
Kentucky	320,881	330,088	339,920
Louisiana	234,136	252,309	263,031
Maine	102,884	105,723	104,292
Maryland	1,732,700	1,722,519	1,758,941
Massachusetts	2,128,833	2,142,083	2,153,860
Michigan	١,376,349	1,352,634	1,341,874
Minnesota	982,196	1,018,670	1,012,407
Mississippi	100,858	104,699	107,246
Missouri	499,632	503,750	507,770
Montana	60,000	60,000	60,000
Nebraska	233,234	241,794	259,463
Nevada	791,147	776,346	768,631
New Hampshire	177,947	176,879	179,332
New Jersey	3,919,712	3,895,302	3,931,658
New Mexico	251,485	252,901	250,826
New York	9,638,267	9,467,113	9,631,839
North Carolina	1,023,606	1,060,840	1,084,518
North Dakota	60,000	60,000	60,000

.

Grantee	FY2010 Actual	FY2011 Actual	FY2012 Estimate
Ohio	989,962	999,640	I,002,874
Oklahoma	293,191	302,595	301,878
Oregon	633,220	634,531	624,338
Pennsylvania	1,590,294	1,591,838	1,610,056
Rhode Island	252,866	252,725	261,809
South Carolina	278,945	283,817	287,174
South Dakota	60,000	60,000	63,075
Tennessee	533,907	547,183	549,487
Texas	5,888,416	6,022,770	6,139,529
Utah	364,975	376,941	390,706
Vermont	60,000	60,000	60,000
Virginia	2,028,172	1,989,433	1,995,018
Washington	1,602,560	1,664,784	١,658,63١
West Virginia	60,000	60,000	60,000
Wisconsin	496,081	490,349	479,705
Wyoming	60,000	60,000	60,000
American Samoa	0	0	0
Guam	0	0	0
Northern Mariana Islands	0	0	0
Puerto Rico	0	247,495	262,721
Virgin Islands	0	0	0
Freely Associated States	0	0	0
Indian set-aside	0	0	0
Total	\$75,000,000	\$74,850,000	\$74,708,534

Source: Department of Education, http://www2.ed.gov/about/overview/budget/statetables/index.html, accessed April 4, 2013; pre-2011 data available from http://www2.ed.gov/about/overview/budget/history/index.html.

Author Contact Information

Benjamin Collins Analyst in Labor Policy bcollins@crs.loc.gov, 7-7382