

Assistance to Firefighters Program: Distribution of Fire Grant Funding

Lennard G. KrugerSpecialist in Science and Technology Policy

June 8, 2012

Congressional Research Service

7-5700 www.crs.gov RL32341

Summary

The Assistance to Firefighters Grant (AFG) Program, also known as fire grants or the FIRE Act grant program, was established by Title XVII of the FY2001 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 106-398). Currently administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Department of Homeland Security (DHS), the program provides federal grants directly to local fire departments and unaffiliated Emergency Medical Services (EMS) organizations to help address a variety of equipment, training, and other firefighter-related and EMS needs. A related program is the Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response Firefighters (SAFER) program, which provides grants for hiring, recruiting, and retaining firefighters.

The fire grant program is now in its 12th year. The Fire Act statute was reauthorized in 2004 (Title XXXVI of P.L. 108-375) and provides overall guidelines on how fire grant money should be distributed. There is no set geographical formula for the distribution of fire grants—fire departments throughout the nation apply, and award decisions are made by a peer panel based on the merits of the application and the needs of the community. However, the law does require that fire grants be distributed to a diverse mix of fire departments, with respect to type of department (paid, volunteer, or combination), geographic location, and type of community served (e.g., urban, suburban, or rural).

For FY2012, P.L. 112-74, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, provided \$675 million for firefighter assistance, including \$337.5 million for AFG and \$337.5 million for SAFER. The Administration's FY2013 budget proposed \$670 million for firefighter assistance, including \$335 million for AFG and \$335 million for SAFER. Both the House-passed FY2013 appropriations bill (H.R. 5855) and the Senate Appropriations Committee bill (S. 3216) provide \$675 million (\$337.5 million for AFG and \$337.5 million for SAFER).

On March 10, 2011, S. 550, the Fire Grants Authorization Act of 2011 was introduced into the Senate. Previously in the 111th Congress, reauthorization legislation for AFG and SAFER was passed by the House, but was not passed by the Senate. Debate over the reauthorization reflected a competition for funding between career/urban/suburban departments and volunteer/rural departments. The urgency of this debate was heightened by the proposed reduction of overall AFG funding in FY2011, and the economic downturn in many local communities increasingly hard pressed to allocate funding for their local fire departments.

On June 22, 2011, H.R. 2269, the Fire Grants Reauthorization Act of 2011, was introduced into the House. H.R. 2269 is virtually identical to House legislation that was passed in the 111th Congress.

Contents

Background	1
Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program	1
Reauthorization Act of 2004	
Current Reauthorization	2
House Reauthorization Bill: 111 th Congress	
Appropriations	
FY2011	
FY2012	
FY2013	
Fire Station Construction Grants in the ARRA	13
SAFER Grants	14
Program Evaluation	15
Distribution of Fire Grants	16
Issues in the 112 th Congress	18
Tables	
Table 1. Major Provisions of the Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program Reauthorization Act of 2004	2
Table 2. Comparison of Selected Provisions in Fire Grant Reauthorization	7
Table 3. Appropriations for Firefighter Assistance, FY2001-FY2012	10
Table 4. Recent and Proposed Appropriations for Firefighter Assistance	10
Table 5. State-by-State Distribution of AFG Grants, FY2001-FY2010	18
Table 6. State-by-State Distribution of SAFER Grants, FY2005-FY2010	20
Table 7. Requests and Awards for AFG Funding, FY2010	21
Contacts	
Author Contact Information	22

Background

Firefighting activities are traditionally the responsibility of states and local communities. As such, funding for firefighters is provided mostly by state and local governments. During the 1990s, shortfalls in state and local budgets, coupled with increased responsibilities of local fire departments, led many in the fire community to call for additional financial support from the federal government. Although federally funded training programs existed (and continue to exist) through the National Fire Academy, and although federal money was available to first responders for counterterrorism training and equipment through the Department of Justice, there did not exist a dedicated program, exclusively for firefighters, which provided federal money directly to local fire departments to help address a wide variety of equipment, training, and other firefighter-related needs.

Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program

During the 106th Congress, many in the fire community asserted that local fire departments require and deserve greater support from the federal government. The Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program (AFG), also known as fire grants or the FIRE Act grant program, was established by Title XVII of the FY2001 Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 106-398).² Currently administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), the program provides federal grants directly to local fire departments and unaffiliated Emergency Medical Services (EMS) organizations to help address a variety of equipment, training, and other firefighter-related and EMS needs.

Reauthorization Act of 2004

On October 28, 2004, the President signed the FY2005 Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 108-375). Title XXXVI of P.L. 108-375 is the Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program Reauthorization Act of 2004, which reauthorized the fire grant program through FY2009. **Table 1** provides a summary of key provisions of the 2004 reauthorization.

¹ For a list of federal programs providing assistance to state and local first responders, see CRS Report R40246, Department of Homeland Security Assistance to States and Localities: A Summary and Issues for the 111th Congress, by Shawn Reese.

² "Firefighter assistance" is codified as §33 of the Federal Fire Prevention and Control Act (15 U.S.C. 2229).

Table 1. Major Provisions of the Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program Reauthorization Act of 2004

Grant recipient limits:

populations over 1 million—lesser of \$2.75 million or 0.5% of total appropriation

populations of 500K to 1 million—\$1.75 million

populations under 500K-\$1 million

no single grant can exceed 0.5% of total funds appropriated for a single fiscal year

DHS can waive the funding limits for populations up to 1 million in instances of extraordinary need; however the lesser of \$2.75 million or 0.5% limit cannot be waived

Nonfederal match requirements:

20% for populations over 50K

10% for populations 20K to 50K

5% for populations less than 20K

No match requirement for non-fire department prevention and firefighter safety grants

Authorized for five years:

FY2005—\$900 million

FY2006—\$950 million

FY2007—\$1 billion

FY2008—\$1 billion

FY2009—\$1 billion

Expands grant eligibility to emergency medical service squads, not less than 3.5% of fire grant money for EMS, but no more than 2% for nonaffiliated EMS

Provides grants for firefighter health and safety R&D

Requires the USFA Administrator to convene an annual meeting of non-federal fire service experts to recommend criteria for awarding grants and administrative changes

Requires fire service peer review of grant applications

Requires the USFA, in conjunction with the National Fire Protection Association, to conduct a \$300,000, 18-month study on the fire grant program and the need for federal assistance to state and local communities to fund firefighting and emergency response activities

Source: Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program Reauthorization Act of 2004, Section XXXVI of P.L. 108-375, FY2005 Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act.

Current Reauthorization

The most recent authorization of AFG expired on September 30, 2009; the authorization of SAFER expired September 30, 2010. Previously in the 111th Congress, reauthorization legislation for AFG and SAFER was passed by the House, but was not passed by the Senate. In the 112th Congress, the Senate reauthorization bill for AFG and SAFER has been reintroduced as S. 550. The House bill has been reintroduced as H.R. 2269.

House Reauthorization Bill: 111th Congress

On July 8, 2009, the House Committee on Science and Technology, Subcommittee on Technology & Innovation, held a hearing on the reauthorization of the FIRE grant programs (both AFG and SAFER).³ Testimony was heard from FEMA and many of the major fire service organizations, including the International Association of Fire Chiefs (IAFC), the International Association of Fire Fighters (IAFF), the National Volunteer Fire Council (NVFC), and the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA).

A major issue surrounding the fire grant reauthorization is whether the current distribution of fire grant funds should be altered. Under current law, the majority of funding goes to rural and volunteer fire departments. This is the case because individual fire departments throughout the nation apply directly for funding, and there are many more volunteer and rural fire departments than career and urban/suburban fire departments. In general, career departments tend to protect the more densely populated urban and suburban areas, while volunteer departments tend to protect more rural areas.

Testimony presented by the International Association of Fire Fighters (IAFF), representing career (paid) firefighters, argued that under current law, "the overwhelming majority of FIRE grants are awarded to fire departments that protect a relatively small percentage of the population." According to IAFF, a greater proportion of funding should go to career fire departments protecting the more densely populated suburban and urban areas; the organization suggested the following changes in the fire act statute:

- professional, volunteer, and combination departments should each be guaranteed at least 30% of total grant funding each year (under current statute, volunteer and combination departments must receive *no less* than 55% of funding; in practice career departments have received about 20% of AFG funding);
- funding caps for a single grant should be raised to \$10 million for communities of 1 million population or more, \$5 million for communities of 500,000 or more, \$2 million for communities of 100,000 or more, and \$1 million for communities with populations under 100,000 (current statutory caps are \$2.75 million for populations over 1 million, \$1.75 million for populations over 500,000, and \$1 million for populations under 500,000); and
- the local match requirement for fire grants should be set at 15% for all applicants, with DHS having the authority to waive the match requirement for needy departments (the current statutory matching requirements are 20% for

_

³ See http://science.house.gov/publications/hearings markups details.aspx?NewsID=2539.

⁴ According to the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), there are an estimated 30,185 fire departments in the United States (2007 data). Of those, 7.5% are career departments, 5.8% are mostly career, 16.5% are mostly volunteer, and 70.1% are all volunteer. Most career firefighters (74%) are in communities that protect 25,000 or more people, while most volunteer firefighters (95%) are in departments that protect fewer than 25,000, and more than half are located in small, rural departments that protect fewer than 2,500 people.

⁵ Kevin O'Connor, Assistant to the General President, International Association of Fire Fighters, testimony before the House Subcommittee on Technology and Innovation, Committee on Science and Technology, July 8, 2009, p. 3, http://gop.science.house.gov/Media/hearings/ets09/july8/oconnor.pdf.

populations over 50,000, 10% for populations over 20,000, and 5% for populations less than 20,000).⁶

On the other hand, testimony from the National Volunteer Fire Council (NFVC) stated that its main priority for reauthorization of AFG (as well as SAFER) is to extend the programs without substantial changes, and that "the programs are well-run, distributing funding in an efficient manner to the most deserving awardees." NVFC argued that volunteer departments are concentrated in rural communities with smaller tax bases and higher poverty rates, that "DHS needs assessments have consistently shown that equipment training and apparatus needs are most acute in volunteer departments," and that since 2001 DHS first responder grants for terrorism and disaster response have predominantly gone to urban areas.

Meanwhile, other suggested reauthorization changes to the AFG statute made by the July 8 hearing witnesses included

- establish DHS waiver authority for the existing local match requirement for economically challenged jurisdictions (IAFC);
- establish centers of excellence in fire safety research (IAFC);
- allow larger grants for regional projects (IAFC);
- eliminate the cost-share requirement for fire departments in the Fire Prevention and Firefighter Safety grant program (NFPA and IAFF);
- designate a minimum of 5% of funding for fire service-based emergency medical services (NFPA);
- utilize funds for training and equipment to meet the latest applicable national voluntary consensus standards available at the time of application (NFPA); and
- make state training agencies (e.g., state fire academies) eligible for AFG funding (NVFC).

As manifested in the July 8 hearing, debate over the AFG reauthorization reflected a competition for funding primarily between career/urban/suburban departments and volunteer/rural departments. The urgency of this debate was heightened by reductions in FY2010 AFG funding and by the economic downturn in many local communities increasingly hard pressed to allocate funding for their local fire departments.

On October 13, 2009, H.R. 3791, the Fire Grants Reauthorization Act of 2009, was introduced by Representative Mitchell. The legislation reflected an agreement reached among the major fire service organizations on the reauthorization language. H.R. 3791 was referred to the House Committee on Science and Technology, and approved (amended) by the Subcommittee on Technology and Innovation on October 14, 2009, and by the full committee on October 21, 2009. H.R. 3791 was reported (amended) by the committee on November 7, 2009 (H.Rept. 111-333, Part I). H.R. 3791 was amended and passed by the House on November 18, 2009. Adopted

⁸ Ibid.

_

⁶ Ibid.

⁷ Jack Carriger, First Vice Chairman of the National Volunteer Fire Council, testimony before the House Subcommittee on Technology and Innovation, Committee on Science and Technology, July 8, 2009, p. 3, http://democrats.science.house.gov/Media/file/Commdocs/hearings/2009/Tech/8jul/Carriger_Testimony.pdf.

amendments included directing DHS to conduct a survey of fire department compliance with firefighter safety standards; requiring DHS to give added consideration to applications from areas with high unemployment; making river rescue organizations eligible for funding; expanding AFG scope to include equipment that reduces water use; and prohibiting earmarking of funds appropriated under the act.

H.R. 3791, as passed by the House, would have authorized AFG at a level of \$1 billion per year through FY2014 and included the following major provisions:

- Grant Money Distribution—directs that grant money should be allocated (to the extent that there are eligible applicants) as follows: 25% of AFG funding to career fire departments; 25% to volunteer fire departments; 25% to combination fire departments; 10% (minimum) for fire prevention, safety, and research grants; 2% (maximum) for volunteer non-fire service EMS and rescue; 3% (maximum) for fire service training academies; and 10% to be competitive between career, volunteer, and combination departments;
- Grant Caps—sets maximum individual grant levels at \$9 million for jurisdictions with populations over 2.5 million, \$6 million for populations between 1 million and 2.5 million, \$3 million for populations between 500,000 and 1 million, \$2 million for populations between 100,000 and 500,000, and \$1 million for populations under 100,000;
- *Matching Requirements*—keeps the existing 5% matching requirement for communities of 20K or less, sets the matching requirement for all other jurisdictions at 10%, and allows an economic hardship waiver whereby in "exceptional circumstances" DHS may waive or reduce the matching requirements;
- Maintenance of Expenditures—amends the existing maintenance of expenditures provision to require applicants to maintain budgets at 80% of the average over the past two years, also allows an economic hardship waiver whereby in "exceptional circumstances" DHS may waive or reduce the maintenance of expenditures requirements;
- Fire Prevention, Research, and Safety Grants—increases available funding from 5% to 10% of total, raises grant maximum from \$1 million to \$1.5 million, eliminates the matching requirement for fire departments, and prohibits any funding to the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (ACORN);
- University Fire Safety Research Centers—as part of the fire prevention, research, and safety grants, authorizes DHS to establish no more than three university fire safety research centers with funding of any one center at not more than \$2 million per fiscal year;
- State Fire Training Academies—allows DHS to award up to 3% of grant funding to state fire training academies, with individual grants not to exceed \$1 million;
- *Voluntary Consensus Standards*—directs that grants used for training should be limited to training that complies with applicable national voluntary consensus standards, unless a waiver has been granted; and

Survey and Task Force on Firefighter Safety—directs DHS to conduct a
nationwide survey to assess whether fire departments are in compliance with the
national voluntary consensus standards for staffing, training, safe operations,
personal protective equipment, and fitness; establishes a Task Force to Enhance
Firefighter Safety to make recommendations to Congress on ways to increase
compliance with firefighter safety standards.

H.R. 3791 also reauthorized the SAFER grant program at a level of \$1.196 billion per year through FY2014. The legislation would have modified the SAFER grant program by shortening the grant period to three years, establishing a 20% local matching requirement for each year, removing the existing federal funding cap per hired firefighter, making national organizations eligible for recruitment and retention funds, and allowing DHS in the case of economic hardship to waive cost share requirements, the three-year grant period, and/or maintenance of expenditure requirements.

Senate Reauthorization Bill

On March 10, 2011, S. 550, the Fire Grants Authorization Act of 2011, was introduced by Senator Lieberman, chairman of the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs. The Senate bill, while similar to the House bill, has a higher nonfederal match requirement for communities over 50K and higher match requirements for fire prevention and safety grants. Regarding SAFER, the Senate bill has a higher match requirement for hiring grants and would continue to require applicants to retain hired firefighters for at least one year after the grant expires (unless a waiver is obtained). On May 18, 2011, the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs ordered S. 550 to be reported with two amendments (S.Rept. 112-28). One approved amendment is a requirement that the inspector general of DHS submit to Congress a report detailing whether and to what degree the grant programs are duplicative. The other adopted amendment would sunset both AFG and SAFER grant programs on October 1, 2016, requiring the programs to subsequently be reauthorized past that date in order to continue.

Table 2 shows a comparison of selected provisions in S. 550 (as reported), H.R. 2269 (as introduced), and current law (15 U.S.C. 2229 and 15 U.S.C. 2229a).

Table 2. Comparison of Selected Provisions in Fire Grant Reauthorization

Current Law (15 U.S.C. 2229 and 15 U.S.C. 2229a)	H.R. 2269 as introduced	S. 550 as reported
	Grant money allocation	
volunteer and combination fire	25% to career fire departments	no less than 25% to career fire
departments shall receive a proportion of the total grant funding	25% to volunteer fire departments	departments
that is not less than the proportion	25% to combination fire departments	no less than 25% to volunteer fire departments
of the U.S. population that those departments protect	10% competitive between career, volunteer, and combination departments	no less than 25% to combination and paid-on-call fire departments
5% (minimum) to fire prevention and safety grants	10% (minimum) to fire prevention and safety grants (includes fire safety research centers)	10% (minimum) to fire prevention and safety grants (includes fire safety research centers)
3.5% (minimum) to EMS provided by fire departments and nonaffiliated EMS organizations	2% (maximum) to volunteer non-fire service EMS	3.5% (minimum) to EMS provided by fire departments and nonaffiliated EMS organizations
2% (maximum) to nonaffiliated EMS organizations		2% (maximum) to nonaffiliated EMS organizations
	3% (maximum) to State fire training academies, no more than I grant and \$1 million per state in a fiscal year	3% (maximum) to State training academies, no more than \$1 million per state academy in any fiscal year
		Joint or Regional applications—two or more entities may submit an application to fund a joint or regional program or initiative, including acquisition of shared equipment or vehicles
	Crant racibiant limita	
	Grant recipient limits	
populations over I million—lesser of	\$9 million—over 2.5m population	\$9 million—over 2.5m population
\$2.75 million or 0.5% of total	<u>`</u>	\$9 million—over 2.5m population \$6 million—Im to 2.5m population
\$2.75 million or 0.5% of total appropriation	\$9 million—over 2.5m population	
\$2.75 million or 0.5% of total	\$9 million—over 2.5m population \$6 million—I m to 2.5m population	\$6 million—I m to 2.5m population
\$2.75 million or 0.5% of total appropriation populations of 500K to 1 million—	\$9 million—over 2.5m population \$6 million—Im to 2.5m population \$3 million—500K to Im population	\$6 million—Im to 2.5m population \$3 million—500K to Im population
\$2.75 million or 0.5% of total appropriation populations of 500K to 1 million— \$1.75 million	\$9 million—over 2.5m population \$6 million—Im to 2.5m population \$3 million—500K to Im population \$2 million—100 to 500K population	\$6 million—Im to 2.5m population \$3 million—500K to Im population \$2 million—100 to 500K population
\$2.75 million or 0.5% of total appropriation populations of 500K to 1 million— \$1.75 million populations under 500K—\$1 million no single grant can exceed 0.5% of total funds appropriated for a single	\$9 million—over 2.5m population \$6 million—Im to 2.5m population \$3 million—500K to Im population \$2 million—100 to 500K population	\$6 million—Im to 2.5m population \$3 million—500K to Im population \$2 million—100 to 500K population
\$2.75 million or 0.5% of total appropriation populations of 500K to 1 million— \$1.75 million populations under 500K—\$1 million no single grant can exceed 0.5% of total funds appropriated for a single fiscal year DHS can waive the funding limits for populations up to 1 million in instances of extraordinary need; however the lesser of \$2.75 million	\$9 million—over 2.5m population \$6 million—Im to 2.5m population \$3 million—500K to Im population \$2 million—100 to 500K population \$1 million—under 100K population DHS can waive funding limits for populations up to 2.5 million in	\$6 million—Im to 2.5m population \$3 million—500K to Im population \$2 million—100 to 500K population \$1 million—under 100K population FEMA may not award a grant exceeding 1% of all available grant funds, unless FEMA determines
\$2.75 million or 0.5% of total appropriation populations of 500K to 1 million— \$1.75 million populations under 500K—\$1 million no single grant can exceed 0.5% of total funds appropriated for a single fiscal year DHS can waive the funding limits for populations up to 1 million in instances of extraordinary need; however the lesser of \$2.75 million	\$9 million—over 2.5m population \$6 million—Im to 2.5m population \$3 million—500K to Im population \$2 million—100 to 500K population \$1 million—under 100K population DHS can waive funding limits for populations up to 2.5 million in instances of extraordinary need	\$6 million—Im to 2.5m population \$3 million—500K to Im population \$2 million—100 to 500K population \$1 million—under 100K population FEMA may not award a grant exceeding 1% of all available grant funds, unless FEMA determines

Current Law (15 U.S.C. 2229 and 15 U.S.C. 2229a)	H.R. 2269 as introduced	S. 550 as reported
5% for populations less than 20K		5% for populations under 20K
No match requirement for non-fire department prevention and firefighter safety grants	No match requirement for all fire prevention and firefighter safety grants	5% match required for fire prevention and safety grants
	Maintenance of expenditures	
requires applicants to maintain expenditures at the same level as the average over the preceding two fiscal years	requires applicants to maintain expenditures at or above 80% of the average over the preceding two fiscal years	requires applicants to maintain expenditures at or above 80% of the average over the preceding two fiscal years
	Economic hardship waivers	
no economic hardship waivers available	waivers available for nonfederal matching and maintenance of expenditures requirements, DHS will develop economic hardship waiver criteria in consultation with experts and interests representing the fire service and State and local governments	waivers available for nonfederal matching and maintenance of expenditures requirements, FEMA will develop economic hardship waiver guidelines considering unemployment rates, percentages of individuals eligible to receive food stamps, and other factors as appropriate.
	Authorizations	
FY2005—\$900 million	FY2012—\$1 billion	FY2012—\$950 million
FY2006—\$950 million	FY2013—\$1 billion	for each of FY2013 – FY2016, an amount equal to the amount
FY2007—\$1 billion FY2008—\$1 billion FY2009—\$1 billion	FY2014—\$1 billion FY2015—\$1 billion FY2016—\$1 billion	authorized the previous fiscal year, increased by the percentage by which the Consumer Price Index for the previous fiscal year exceeds the preceding year.
		sunset: authority to award grants shall expire on October 1, 2016
	SAFER	
grant period is 4 years, grantees are required to retain for at least 1 year beyond the termination of their grants those firefighter positions hired under the grant	shortens the grant period to 3 years, grant recipients are required to retain for at least the entire 3 years of the grant period those firefighter positions hired under the grant	shortens the grant period to 3 years, grantees are required to retain for at least 1 year beyond the termination of their grants those firefighter positions hired under the grant
year 1—10% local match year 2—20% local match year 3—50% local match year 4—70% local match	establishes a 20% local matching requirement for each year	establishes a 25% local matching requirement for each year
total funding over 4 years for hiring a firefighter may not exceed \$100K, adjusted annually for inflation	removes the existing federal funding cap per hired firefighter	the amount of funding provided for hiring a firefighter in any fiscal year may not exceed 75% of the usual annual cost of a first-year firefighter in that department
state, local, and Indian tribal governments eligible for recruitment and retention funds	additionally makes national organizations eligible for recruitment and retention funds	additionally makes national organizations eligible for recruitment and retention funds

Current Law (15 U.S.C. 2229 and 15 U.S.C. 2229a)	H.R. 2269 as introduced	S. 550 as reported		
	allows DHS in the case of economic hardship to waive cost share requirements, the required retention period, the prohibition on supplanting local funds, and/or maintenance of expenditure requirements	allows DHS in the case of economic hardship to waive cost share requirements, the required retention period, the prohibition on supplanting local funds, and/or maintenance of expenditure requirements		
authorized for 7 years starting at \$1 billion in FY2004, ending at \$1.194 billion in FY2010	reauthorizes the SAFER grant program FY2012 through FY2016 at a level of \$1.194 billion per year	reauthorizes the SAFER grant program FY2012 through FY2016 at a level of \$950 million per year, with each year adjusted for inflation		
authority to make grants shall lapse 10 years from November 24, 2003	authority to make grants shall lapse 10 years after date of enactment	authority to award grants shall expire on October 1, 2016		

Source: Compiled by CRS.

Appropriations

From FY2001 through FY2003, the Assistance to Firefighters Grant (AFG) Program (as part of USFA/FEMA) received its primary appropriation through the VA-HUD-Independent Agencies Appropriation Act. In FY2004, the Assistance to Firefighters Program began to receive its annual appropriation through the House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittees on Homeland Security. Within the DHS/FEMA budget, the firefighter assistance account (which includes both AFG and SAFER) is located within State and Local Programs (SLP) as part of the First Responder Assistance Programs (FRAP).

The fire grant program is in its 12th year. **Table 3** shows the appropriations history for firefighter assistance, including AFG, SAFER, and the Fire Station Construction Grants (SCG) provided in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA). **Table 4** shows recent and proposed appropriated funding for the AFG and SAFER grant programs.

Table 3. Appropriations for Firefighter Assistance, FY2001-FY2012

	AFG	SAFER	SCG ²	Total
FY2001	\$100 million			\$100 million
FY2002	\$360 million			\$360 million
FY2003	\$745 million			\$745 million
FY2004	\$746 million			\$746 million
FY2005	\$650 million	\$65 million		\$715 million
FY2006	\$539 million	\$109 million		\$648 million
FY2007	\$547 million	\$115 million		\$662 million
FY2008	\$560 million	\$190 million		\$750 million
FY2009	\$565 million	\$210 million	\$210 million	\$985 million
FY2010	\$390 million	\$420 million		\$810 million
FY2011	\$405 million	\$405 million		\$810 million
FY2012	\$337.5 million	\$337.5 million		\$675 million
Total	\$5.944 billion	\$1.851 billion	\$210 million	\$8.005 billion

a. Assistance to Firefighters Fire Station Construction Grants (SCG) grants were funded by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (P.L. 111-5).

Table 4. Recent and Proposed Appropriations for Firefighter Assistance (millions of dollars)

			•	,			
	FY2011 (Admin. request)	FY2011 (P.L. 112-10)	FY2012 (Admin. request)	FY2012 (P.L. 112-74)	FY2013 (Admin. request)	FY2013 (H.R. 5855)	FY2013 (S. 3216)
FIRE Grants (AFG)	305	405	250	337.5	335	337.5	337.5
SAFER Grants	305	405	420	337.5	335	337.5	337.5
Total	610	810	670	675	670	675	675

FY2011

The Administration's FY2011 budget proposed \$305 million for AFG (a 22% decrease from the FY2010 level) and \$305 million for SAFER (a 27% decrease). The total amount requested for firefighter assistance (AFG and SAFER) was \$610 million, a 25% decrease from FY2010. The

FY2011 budget proposal stated that the firefighter assistance grant process "will give priority to applications that enhance capabilities for terrorism response and other major incidents."

On June 24, 2010, the House Subcommittee on Homeland Security Appropriations approved \$840 million for firefighter assistance, including \$420 million for AFG and \$420 million for SAFER.

On July 19, 2010, the Senate Appropriations Committee approved \$810 million for firefighter assistance (including \$390 million for AFG and \$420 million for SAFER), the same level as FY2010 and 33% more than the Administration proposal. In the bill report (S.Rept. 111-222), the committee directed DHS to continue funding applications according to local priorities and priorities established by the United States Fire Administration, and to continue direct funding to fire departments and the peer review process. The committee also directed FEMA to submit the U.S. Fire Service Needs Assessment, and to brief the committee regarding the implementation of the recommendations of the recent GAO report (GAO-10-64) on additional actions which would improve the grants process.

H.R. 1, the Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011, as introduced on February 11, 2011, would have provided \$300 million to AFG and zero funding for SAFER. However, on February 16, 2011, H.Amdt. 38 (offered by Representative Pascrell and agreed to by the House by a vote of 318-113) restored AFG to \$390 million and SAFER to \$420 million (the FY2010 levels). H.R. 1 was passed by the House on February 18, 2011. S.Amdt. 149 to H.R. 1—which was rejected by the full Senate on March 9, 2011—would have funded AFG at \$405 million and SAFER at \$405 million.

Subsequently, the full-year continuing appropriation bill for FY2011, which was signed into law on April 15, 2011 (Department of Defense and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011, P.L. 112-10), funded AFG at \$405 million and SAFER at \$405 million for FY2011. P.L. 112-10 also contained language that removes FY2011 SAFER cost-share requirements and allows SAFER grants to be used to rehire laid-off firefighters and fill positions eliminated through attrition. However, P.L. 112-10 did not remove the requirement that SAFER grants fund a firefighter position for four years, with the fifth year funded wholly by the grant recipient. The law also did not waive the cap of \$100K per firefighter hired by a SAFER grant.

FY2012

The Administration's FY2012 budget proposed \$670 million for firefighter assistance, including \$250 million for AFG and \$420 million for SAFER. According to the budget proposal, the request would fund 2,200 firefighter positions and approximately 5,000 AFG grants. The FY2012 budget proposal stated that the firefighter assistance grant process "will give priority to applications that enhance capabilities for terrorism response and other major incidents." ¹⁰

The Department of Homeland Security Appropriations, 2012, bill (H.R. 2017) was reported by the House Appropriations Committee on May 26, 2011. The House Committee bill would have provided \$350 million for firefighter assistance, including \$200 million for AFG and \$150 million for SAFER. The House Appropriations bill report (H.Rept. 112-91) directed FEMA to continue

_

⁹ Office of Management and Budget, Appendix: Budget of the United States Government, FY2011, p. 557.

¹⁰ Office of Management and Budget, Appendix: Budget of the United States Government, FY2012, p. 538.

granting funds directly to local fire departments and to include the United States Fire Administration during the grant decision process. FEMA was also directed to maintain an all-hazards focus and was prohibited from limiting beyond current law the list of eligible activities, including those related to wellness.

During the House floor consideration of H.R. 2017, two firefighter assistance amendments were adopted. The first amendment (offered by Mr. LaTourette and Mr. Pascrell, and agreed to by a recorded vote of 333-87) raised FY2012 funding levels to \$335 million for AFG and \$335 million for SAFER. The total level for firefighter assistance (\$670 million) is equal to the level requested by the Administration.

The second amendment (offered by Mr. Price of North Carolina and agreed to by a recorded vote of 264-157) prohibited enforcement of various SAFER requirements for grantees. These waivers would allow FY2012 SAFER grants to be used to rehire laid-off firefighters and fill positions eliminated through attrition, remove cost-share requirements, allow grants to extend longer than the current five year duration, and permit the amount of funding per position at levels exceeding the current limit of \$100,000.

The Department of Homeland Security Appropriations, 2012, bill (H.R. 2017) was passed by the House on June 2, 2011.

On September 7, 2011, the Senate Appropriations Committee approved \$750 million for firefighter assistance in FY2012 (S.Rept. 112-74), which is a 12% increase over the House-passed level. The total included \$375 million for AFG and \$375 million for SAFER. As does the House bill, the Senate bill also waived or prohibited SAFER requirements in FY2012.

P.L. 112-74, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, FY2012, provided \$675 million for firefighter assistance, including \$337.5 million for AFG and \$337.5 million for SAFER. The conference report directed FEMA to continue funding applications according to local priorities and those established by the USFA, to maintain an all hazards focus, and to continue the current grant application and review process as specified in the House report.

FY2013

The Administration's FY2013 budget proposed \$670 million for firefighter assistance, including \$335 million for AFG and \$335 million for SAFER. This is a decrease of \$5 million from the FY2012 level. The Firefighter Assistance Grants would be categorized under First Responder Assistance Programs (FRAP), one of three activities under FEMA's State and Local Programs (SLP) appropriation.

Historically, DHS has requested that a percentage of AFG funding (up to 5%) be set aside for management and administration of the grant program. Starting in FY2013, grant administration (for AFG and SAFER) would be shifted to the SLP Management and Administration office. According to DHS, this will make an additional \$28.8 million of the Firefighter Assistance appropriation available for grants.

On May 16, 2012, The House Appropriations Committee approved its version of the FY2013 Department of Homeland Security appropriations bill (H.R. 5855). While the Committee mark is identical to the Administration requested level—\$335 million for AFG and \$335 million for SAFER—the Committee denied the Administration's request to shift AFG and SAFER into the

State and Local Programs account. Unlike the Administration request, H.R. 5855 would designate up to 4.7% of the amount appropriated to firefighter assistance for program administration. The Committee report (H.Rept. 112-492) directed FEMA to continue granting funds directly to local fire departments and to include the United States Fire Administration during the grant decision process. FEMA was also directed to maintain an all-hazards focus and was prohibited from limiting beyond current law the list of eligible activities, including those related to wellness. The Committee continued the requirement for peer review and directed FEMA to provide official notifications to rejected applicants who do not meet the criteria for peer review.

During floor action on June 6, 2012, an amendment was offered by Representative Runyan to increase funding for AFG and SAFER by \$2.5 million each, taking its \$5 million offset from the Office of the Undersecretary for Management. The amendment passed by voice vote, bringing the firefighter assistance account to \$675 million (\$337.5 million AFG, \$337.5 million SAFER), which is identical to the FY2012 level. H.R. 5855 was passed by the House on June 7, 2012.

On May 22, 2012, the Senate Appropriations Committee approved \$675 million for firefighter assistance for FY2013 (S. 3216). This level includes \$337.5 million for AFG and \$337.5 million for SAFER. The Senate level is identical to the FY2012 level. Like the House, the Committee denied the Administration's request to shift AFG and SAFER into the State and Local Programs account. However, the Committee has included program and administration costs separately under the FEMA "Salaries and Expenses" account. The Committee report (S.Rept. 112-169) noted that under this scenario, the entire appropriation of \$675 million would be used for grants, while administrative costs (\$33.75 million) would be funded by the Salaries and Expenses account. The Committee report also directed DHS to continue the practice of funding applications according to local priorities and those established by the USFA, and to continue direct funding to fire departments and the peer review process.

Fire Station Construction Grants in the ARRA

Since its inception, the traditional fire grant program has provided money specifically for health and safety related modifications of fire stations, but has not funded major upgrades, renovations, or construction. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009 (P.L. 111-5) provided an additional \$210 million in firefighter assistance grants for modifying, upgrading, or constructing state and local non-federal fire stations, provided that 5% be set aside for program administration, and provided that no grant shall exceed \$15 million. The conference report (H.Rept. 111-16) cited DHS estimates that this spending would create 2,000 jobs. The ARRA also included a provision (§603) that waived the matching requirement for SAFER grants funded by appropriations in FY2009 and FY2010.

The application period for ARRA Assistance to Firefighters Fire Station Construction Grants (SCG) opened on June 11 and closed on July 10, 2009. There is no cost share requirement for SCG grants. Eligible applicants are non-federal fire departments that provide fire protection services to local communities. Ineligible applicants include federal fire departments, EMS or rescue organizations, airport fire departments, for-profit fire departments, fire training centers, emergency communications centers, auxiliaries and fire service organizations or associations, and search and rescue teams or similar organizations without fire suppression responsibilities.

DHS/FEMA received 6,025 SCG applications for \$9.9 billion in federal funds. ¹¹ As of October 1, 2010, 119 SCG grants were awarded, totaling \$207.461 million to fire departments within the United States. A complete list of SCG awards is available at http://www.firegrantsupport.com/content/html/scg/Awards09.aspx/.

On February 15, 2011, the Firefighting Investment, Renewal, and Employment Act or FIRE Act (H.R. 716) was introduced to authorize \$210 million for each of fiscal years 2012 through 2016 for competitive grants for modifying, upgrading, or constructing nonfederal fire stations.

SAFER Grants

In response to concerns over the adequacy of firefighter staffing, the 108th Congress enacted the Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response (SAFER) Act as Section 1057 of the FY2004 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 108-136; signed into law November 24, 2003). The SAFER grant program is codified as Section 34 of the Federal Fire Prevention and Control Act of 1974 (15 U.S.C. 2229a). The SAFER Act authorizes grants to career, volunteer, and combination fire departments for the purpose of increasing the number of firefighters to help communities meet industry minimum standards and attain 24-hour staffing to provide adequate protection from fire and fire-related hazards. Also authorized are grants to volunteer fire departments for activities related to the recruitment and retention of volunteers. P.L. 108-136 authorizes over \$1 billion per year through FY2010 for SAFER.

Two types of grants are authorized by the SAFER Act: hiring grants and recruitment and retention grants. *Hiring grants* cover a four-year term and are cost shared with the local jurisdiction. According to the statute, the federal share shall not exceed 90% in the first year of the grant, 80% in the second year, 50% in the third year, and 30% in the fourth year. The grantee must commit to retaining the firefighter or firefighters hired with the SAFER grant for at least one additional year after the federal money expires. Total federal funding for hiring a firefighter over the four-year grant period may not exceed \$100,000, although that total may be adjusted for inflation. While the majority of hiring grants will be awarded to career and combination fire departments, the SAFER Act specifies that 10% of the total SAFER appropriation be awarded to volunteer or majority-volunteer departments for the hiring of personnel.

Additionally, at least 10% of the total SAFER appropriation is set aside for *recruitment and retention grants*, which are available to volunteer and combination fire departments for activities related to the recruitment and retention of volunteer firefighters. Also eligible for recruitment and retention grants are local and statewide organizations that represent the interests of volunteer firefighters. No local cost sharing is required for recruitment and retention grants.

For more information on the SAFER program, see CRS Report RL33375, *Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response: The SAFER Grant Program*, by Lennard G. Kruger.

¹¹ Detailed SCG application statistics are available at http://www.firegrantsupport.com/docs/2009AFSCGAppStats.pdf.

Program Evaluation

On May 13, 2003, the U.S. Fire Administration (USFA) released the first independent evaluation of the Assistance to Firefighters Program. Conducted by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Leadership Development Academy Executive Potential Program, the survey study presented a number of recommendations and concluded overall that the program was "highly effective in improving the readiness and capabilities of firefighters across the nation." Another evaluation of the fire grant program was released by the DHS Office of Inspector General in September 2003. The report concluded that the program "succeeded in achieving a balanced distribution of funding through a competitive grant process," and made a number of specific recommendations for improving the program.

At the request of DHS, the National Academy of Public Administration conducted a study to help identify potential new strategic directions for the Assistance to Firefighters Grant program and to provide advice on how to effectively plan, manage, and measure program accomplishments. Released in April 2007, the report recommended consideration of new strategic directions related to national preparedness, prevention vs. response, social equity, regional cooperation, and emergency medical response. According to the report, the "challenge for the AFG program will be to support a gradual shift in direction without losing major strengths of its current management approach—including industry driven priority setting and its well-respected peer review process."

The Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2008 (P.L. 110-161), in the accompanying Joint Explanatory Statement, directed the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to review the application and award process for fire and SAFER grants. Additionally, FEMA was directed to peer review grant applications that best address the program's priorities and criteria as established by FEMA and the fire service. Those criteria necessary for peer-review must be included in the grant application package. Applicants whose grant applications are not reviewed must receive an official notification detailing why the application did not meet the criteria for review. Applications must be rank-ordered, and funded following the rank order.

In October 2009, GAO sent a report to Congress finding that FEMA has met most statutory requirements for awarding fire grants.¹⁵ GAO recommended that FEMA establish a procedure to track EMS awards, ensure that grant priorities are better aligned with application questions and scoring values, and provide specific feedback to rejected applicants.

In June 2011, the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) released its *Third Needs Assessment of the U.S. Fire Service*, which seeks to identify gaps and needs in the fire service,

¹² For full report see http://www.usfa.fema.gov/downloads/pdf/affgp-fy01-usda-report.pdf.

¹³ Department of Homeland Security, Office of Inspections, Evaluations, and Special Reviews, "A Review of the Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program," OIG-ISP-01-03, September 2003, p. 3. Available at http://www.dhs.gov/xoig/assets/mgmtrpts/OIG Review Fire Assist.pdf.

¹⁴ National Academy of Public Administration, *Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program: Assessing Performance*, April 2007, p. xvii. Available at http://www.napawash.org/pc_management_studies/ Fire Grants Report April 2007.pdf.

¹⁵ U.S. Government Accountability Office, Fire Grants: FEMA Has Met Most Requirements for Awarding Fire Grants, but Additional Actions Would Improve Its Grant Process, GAO-10-64, October 2009, http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d1064.pdf.

and measures the impact that fire grants have had on filling those gaps and needs. According to the study:

Needs have declined to a considerable degree in a number of areas, particularly personal protective and firefighting equipment, two types of resource that received the largest shares of funding from the Assistance to Firefighters grants (AFG). Declines in needs have been more modest in some other important areas, such as training, which have received much smaller shares of AFG grant funds. ¹⁶

Distribution of Fire Grants

The FIRE Act statute prescribes 14 different purposes for which fire grant money *may* be used (see 15 U.S.C. 2229(b)(3)). These are hiring firefighters; training firefighters; creating rapid intervention teams; certifying fire inspectors; establishing wellness and fitness programs; funding emergency medical services; acquiring firefighting vehicles; acquiring firefighting equipment; acquiring personal protective equipment; modifying fire stations for health and safety; enforcing fire codes; funding fire prevention programs; educating the public about arson prevention and detection; and providing incentives for the recruitment and retention of volunteer firefighters. The DHS has the discretion to decide which of those purposes will be funded for a given grant year. Since the program commenced in FY2001, the majority of fire grant funding has been used by fire departments to purchase firefighting equipment, personal protective equipment, and firefighting vehicles.

Eligible applicants are limited primarily to fire departments (defined as an agency or organization that has a formally recognized arrangement with a state, local, or tribal authority to provide fire suppression, fire prevention, and rescue services to a population within a fixed geographical area). Emergency Medical Services (EMS) activities are eligible for fire grants, including a limited number (no more than 2% of funds allocated) to EMS organizations not affiliated with hospitals. Additionally, a separate competition is held for fire prevention and firefighter safety research and development grants, which are available to national, state, local, or community fire prevention or safety organizations (including, but not limited to, fire departments). For official program guidelines, frequently-asked-questions, the latest awards announcements, and other information, see the Assistance to Firefighters Grant program web page at http://www.firegrantsupport.com/.

The FIRE Act statute provides overall guidelines on how fire grant money will be distributed and administered. The law directs that volunteer and combination departments receive a proportion of the total grant funding that is not less than the proportion of the U.S. population that those departments protect (34% for combination, 21% for all-volunteer). The Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program Reauthorization Act of 2004 (Title XXXVI of P.L. 108-375) raised award caps and lowered nonfederal matching requirements (based on recipient community population), extended eligibility to nonaffiliated emergency medical services (i.e., ambulance services not affiliated with fire departments), and expanded the scope of grants to include firefighter safety R&D.

¹⁶ National Fire Protection Association, *Third Needs Assessment of the U.S. Fire Service*, June 2011, abstract. Available at http://www.nfpa.org/assets/files//2011NeedsAssessment.pdf.

There is no set geographical formula for the distribution of fire grants—fire departments throughout the nation apply, and award decisions are made by a peer panel based on the merits of the application and the needs of the community. However, the law does require that fire grants should be distributed to a diverse mix of fire departments, with respect to type of department (paid, volunteer, or combination), geographic location, and type of community served (e.g., urban, suburban, or rural). ¹⁷ The Fire Act's implementing regulation provides that

In a few cases, to fulfill our obligations under the law to make grants to a variety of departments, we may also make funding decisions using rank order as the preliminary basis, and then analyze the type of fire department (paid, volunteer, or combination fire departments), the size and character of the community it serves (urban, suburban, or rural), and/or the geographic location of the fire department. In these instances where we are making decisions based on geographic location, we will use States as the basic geographic unit.¹⁸

According to the FY2012 Program Guidance for the Assistance to Firefighters Program, career (paid) departments will compete against other career departments for no more than 48% of the available funding, combination departments will compete for no less than 33%, and all-volunteer departments will compete for no less than 19%. ¹⁹ However, given that less than 15% of fire grant applications are historically received from career departments, funding levels are likely not to reach the 48% ceiling for career departments. In FY2010, 14.6% of AFG applications came from career departments, representing 19.5% of federal funds requested. ²⁰

Additionally, each fire department that applies is classified as either urban, suburban, or rural. In FY2010, 75.1% of applications were received from rural fire departments, 17.7% from suburban, and 7.2% from urban. This translated into rural departments requesting 66.7% of federal funds, suburban departments requesting 21.5%, and urban departments requesting 11.8%.²¹

Finally, in an effort to maximize the diversity of awardees, the geographic location of an applicant (using states as the basic geographic unit) is used as a deciding factor in cases where applicants have similar qualifications. **Table 5** shows a state-by-state breakdown of fire grant funding for FY2001 through FY2010, while **Table 6** shows a state-by-state breakdown of SAFER grant funding for FY2005 through FY2010. **Table 7** provides an in-depth look at the FY2010 fire grants, showing, for each state, the number of fire grant applications, the total amount requested, the total amount awarded, and the amount of funds awarded as a percentage of funds requested. As **Table 7** shows, the entire pool of fire department applicants received about 13% of the funds they requested in FY2010. This compares to 16% in FY2009, 15% in FY2008, 16% in FY2007, 21% in FY2006, 22% in FY2005, 28% in FY2004, and 34% in FY2003. The downward trend reflects the fact that the number of applications and the amount of federal funds requested have trended upward over the years, while appropriations for the fire grant program have typically declined over the same period.

¹⁸ 44 CFR Part 152.6(c).

1

¹⁷ 15 U.S.C. 2229(b)(9).

¹⁹ Department of Homeland Security, *Assistance to Firefighters Grants, Guidance and Application Kit*, June 2012, p. 66.

²⁰ Department of Homeland Security, *Assistance to Firefighters Grants Applications Statistics*, available at http://www.fema.gov/firegrants/afggrants/statistics.shtm.

²¹ Ibid.

Issues in the 112th Congress

Because the 111th Congress did not enact the Fire Grants Reauthorization Act, the 112th Congress may revisit the issue, and may consider whether and how to modify the reauthorization bills. On March 10, 2011, S. 550, the Fire Grants Authorization Act of 2011, was introduced; on May 18, 2011, S. 550 was ordered to be reported by the committee. On June 22, 2011, a House version of the Fire Grants Reauthorization Act of 2011 (H.R. 2269) was introduced. Debate over the AFG reauthorization has reflected a competition for funding between career/urban/suburban departments and volunteer/rural departments. The urgency of this debate could be heightened by possible reductions of overall AFG funding and the economic downturn in many local communities increasingly hard pressed to allocate funding for their local fire departments.

Meanwhile, the second session of the 112th Congress is considering the Administration's FY2013 request for AFG and SAFER. As is the case with many federal programs, concerns in the 112th Congress over the federal budget deficit could impact budget levels for AFG and SAFER. At the same time, firefighter assistance budgets will likely receive heightened scrutiny from the fire community, given the national economic downturn and local budgetary cutbacks that many fire departments are now facing.

Table 5. State-by-State Distribution of AFG Grants, FY2001-FY2010 (millions of dollars)

	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	Total
AL	3.085	12.503	23.329	25.097	20.836	22.027	19.903	23.332	19.966	14.591	184.669
AK	1.303	2.641	5.242	2.522	3.111	0.754	2.454	0.990	0.935	0.568	20.52
ΑZ	1.37	3.6	7.490	9.808	7.905	4.041	4.932	5.440	4.716	2.873	52.175
AR	1.337	4.635	10.675	13.680	10.402	7.699	7.799	7.107	8.174	5.111	76.619
CA	5.905	18.978	30.060	29.793	25.631	17.856	18.730	26.198	23.644	21.764	218.655
CO	1.003	3.968	6.168	5.585	6.073	3.213	4.742	2.490	6.11	3.369	42.721
CT	1.828	4.675	10.841	9.991	7.287	5.479	6.630	6.925	5.231	3.166	62.053
DE	0.132	0.372	1.096	1.755	1.161	1.107	0.518	0.231	1.251	0.282	7.905
DC	0	0.22	0	0	0.453	0	0.376	1.171	0	0.368	2.588
FL	2.865	10.16	16.344	15.969	17.922	6.787	8.288	6. 738	12.581	12.557	110.211
GA	2.375	6.079	13.791	11.857	10.168	8.887	9.068	7.959	8.981	6.192	85.357
HI	0	1.182	0.947	0.864	1.205	0.264	0.436	0.772	0.609	0.261	6.54
ID	0.916	2.744	6.001	4.828	4.684	2.712	4.297	2.687	2.883	2.361	34.113
IL	2.417	13.398	28.810	27.238	25.433	21.120	21.923	21.325	25.24	14.809	201.713
IN	2.703	8.739	20.456	18.646	15.779	14.447	13.831	13.092	15.179	10.759	133.631
IA	1.301	7.284	16.087	16.430	13.119	10.064	9.298	9.877	9.695	5.818	98.973
KS	1.153	5.118	10.850	10.211	7.165	4.984	5.502	3.928	6.682	3.055	58.648
KY	2.215	7.896	19.832	16.150	14.215	13.308	13.081	17.153	13.108	180.8	125.039
LA	3.344	10.084	12.248	11.101	11.630	6.935	5.473	7.033	8.073	4.414	80.335
ME	1.296	4.319	10.323	10.031	6.124	6.702	5.486	4.904	3.462	1.348	53.995

	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	Total
MD	0.739	4.08	8.153	10.227	8.771	10.368	7.712	5.525	5.221	4.545	65.341
MA	2.301	8.386	15.715	13.958	13.529	8.957	11.644	9.532	11.957	8.083	104.062
MI	2.815	8.948	17.247	20.005	15.088	15.798	15.399	15.482	18.045	9.502	138.329
MN	2.133	8.149	17.510	18.609	14.894	14.718	16.600	13.082	17.253	18.923	141.871
MS	1.763	6.755	15.679	11.329	9.856	7.885	8.052	7.761	8.436	5.66	83.176
МО	3.079	10.291	19.573	17.757	14.246	13.202	10.611	11.589	12.973	9.21	122.531
MT	1.164	3.726	8.361	7.271	6.656	5.839	7.330	4.670	5.179	3.204	53.4
NE	1.034	2.392	7.820	6.577	5.116	4.399	4.443	4.324	4.341	0.441	40.887
NV	0.282	1.446	3.312	1.405	1.946	0.857	1.530	0.687	0.855	1.437	13.757
NH	0.594	1.887	4.584	5.694	4.563	3.307	3.219	2.723	2.834	1.496	30.901
NJ	2.596	6.339	19.982	16.488	14.691	12.386	13.266	13.201	15.502	9.687	124.138
NM	1.455	3.463	5.048	3.653	2.259	1.461	1.367	1.101	1.605	1.632	23.044
NY	3.978	14.728	34.320	35.030	36.009	33.804	22.664	30.204	23.235	13.367	247.339
NC	1.949	10.239	22.864	22.360	19.315	18.309	20.031	18.460	20.881	13.137	167.545
ND	0.546	2.613	5.105	3.391	2.673	2.459	3.100	3.297	2.527	1.594	27.305
ОН	2.731	13.742	26.997	29.107	27.344	25.380	26.433	26.938	33.164	20.386	232.222
OK	1.864	4.939	10.540	10.393	8.757	10.852	7.220	6.875	7.239	3.527	72.206
OR	1.596	4.892	9.896	10.122	10.014	9.288	5.943	8.438	5.986	6.332	72.507
PA	2.89	16.97	45.179	47.898	39.233	41.259	43.610	41.041	37.231	19.677	334.988
RI	0.407	1.507	2.327	1.917	2.129	2.025	0.855	1.395	2.46	1.533	16.555
SC	1.554	5.257	11.832	14.150	10.544	8.028	10.470	11.040	11.227	8.684	92.786
SD	0.904	3.142	5.602	4.693	3.570	2.989	2.474	2.069	2.527	0.753	28.723
TN	2.46	11.509	19.306	18.686	15.047	11.209	12.955	16.074	13.311	11.259	131.816
TX	3.697	15.644	29.264	30.118	23.480	18.035	17.691	20.458	19.469	9.941	187.797
UT	0.9	2.754	4.628	3.880	2.188	2.213	3.378	0.934	2.295	2.985	26.155
VT	0.451	1.971	5.163	4.747	2.071	1.456	1.820	1.046	1.974	0.689	21.388
VA	2.066	8.79	15.816	16.668	14.357	8.317	10.403	8.370	6.405	5.991	97.183
WA	1.535	7.544	18.808	19.565	15.763	16.150	12.951	13.050	10.064	7.961	123.391
WV	1.067	3.966	9.942	9.133	10.143	5.838	7.164	7.238	5.331	5.074	64.896
WI	2.077	7.518	18.234	19.668	17.685	13.994	19.439	15.216	15.17	9.569	138.57
WY	1.09	1.612	3.507	1.811	2.032	1.197	1.645	1.023	1.427	0.086	15.43
PR	0.657	0.382	1.643	1.140	1.104	0.528	0.019	0.074	1.154	0	6.701
MP	0.145	0.225	0	0	0.220	0.172	0	0	0	0	0.762
GU	0	0.016	0	0	0	0.287	0	0	0	0	0.303
AS	0.164	0	0	0.284	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.448
VI	0.741	0	0.544	0	0	0	0	0.233	0	0	1.518
	91.97	334.41	695.12	679.30	585.61	491.37	494.22	492.52	503.25	337.84	4706

Source: Department of Homeland Security.

Table 6. State-by-State Distribution of SAFER Grants, FY2005-FY2010

(millions of dollars)

	FY2005	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009	FY2010	Total
Alabama	1.611	6.215	4.236	7.314	4.288	8.531	32.195
Alaska	1.051	0.205	0.418	1.438	0.328	6.072	9.512
Arizona	1.560	3.559	4.428	6.613	6.768	10.357	33.285
Arkansas	0.394	1.820	0.377	3.834	0.976	2.206	9.607
California	5.221	5.212	4.259	4.212	31.501	63.13	113.535
Colorado	1.584	3.479	1.730	2.02	0.955	3.384	13.152
Connecticut	0.130	0.191	0.856	3.92	2.214	3.496	10.807
Delaware	0	0.135	0	0.398	0	1.723	2.256
District of Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Florida	6.576	9.329	6.217	17.185	24.105	17.721	81.133
Georgia	5.354	2.085	2.842	17.438	4.844	10.384	42.947
Hawaii	0	0	0	1.626	0	0.1	1.726
Idaho	0.063	0.621	0.626	0.774	1.336	2.897	6.317
Illinois	1.340	4.463	9.933	5.85	2.496	10.848	34.93
Indiana	0	0.099	2.687	4.577	8.295	9.931	25.589
Iowa	0.169	0.144	0.980	1.288	1.045	180.0	3.707
Kansas	0.667	0.045	1.029	1.872	2.806	2.285	8.704
Kentucky	0.152	2.890	0.429	2.466	0.338	0.893	7.168
Louisiana	3.430	3.078	4.728	8.62	10.515	0.182	30.553
Maine	0.081	0	0.316	0.951	0.739	1.047	3.134
Maryland	0.096	1.862	1.526	3.171	4.429	2.145	13.229
Massachusetts	1.300	2.079	4.372	2.690	18.385	34.422	63.248
Michigan	1.759	0.592	0	0.628	13.286	22.493	38.758
Minnesota	0.300	1.089	0.375	3.246	1.256	0.789	7.055
Mississippi	0.756	0.594	0.115	1.608	0	1.209	4.282
Missouri	1.467	3.547	4.551	2.381	1.474	5.618	19.038
Montana	0.034	0.255	2.635	2.955	0.458	0.973	7.31
Nebraska	0	0.873	0.632	1.951	0.802	0.493	4.751
Nevada	1.500	1.714	0.632	0.086	0.577	2.459	6.968
New Hampshire	0.400	1.035	1.528	0.225	0	0.353	3.541
New Jersey	6.374	3.971	2.953	4.389	0.556	56.648	74.891
New Mexico	0	3.123	1.309	0.108	0.499	1.854	6.893
New York	1.540	2.991	2.845	4.412	8.227	18.239	38.254
North Carolina	2.155	5.533	5.371	18.183	2.256	6.375	39.873

	FY2005	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009	FY2010	Total
North Dakota	0	0.609	0	1.518	1.517	2.139	5.783
Ohio	1.319	1.881	2.255	3.737	29.606	21.04	59.838
Oklahoma	0.147	0.699	0.531	2.782	0	9.127	13.286
Oregon	1.710	2.141	2.649	2.071	0.677	6.166	15.414
Pennsylvania	1.244	1.475	2.633	3.515	1.176	7.926	17.969
Rhode Island	0.400	0	0.105	0	1.561	4.249	6.315
South Carolina	0.456	0.863	3.218	8.158	2.41	2.064	17.169
South Dakota	0.063	0.311	0.211	0.552	0	0.648	1.785
Tennessee	2.700	2.719	3.683	1.856	1.148	7.374	19.48
Texas	0.951	10.961	8.779	19.06	3.158	12.65	55.559
Utah	0.900	3.312	2.098	3.955	1.824	4.583	16.672
Vermont	0	0.621	0.632	0	0.119	0	1.372
Virginia	2.091	3.554	0.782	1.849	4.891	8.995	22.162
Washington	2.298	2.897	7.340	9.476	2.847	13.779	38.637
West Virginia	0	0.187	0.681	0.16	0.287	0.398	1.713
Wisconsin	0	0.072	1.223	4.502	0	0.12	5.917
Wyoming	0	0	0.316	2.329	0.263	0.997	3.905
Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Northern Mariana Islands	0	0	0	0	0	1.404	1.404
Marshall Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
American Samoa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Republic of Palau	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	61.356	105.142	113.665	203.964	207.258	413.017	1104.402

Source: Department of Homeland Security.

Table 7. Requests and Awards for AFG Funding, FY2010

S tate	Number of applications	Federal funds requested (\$millions)	Federal funds awarded (\$millions)	Funds awarded as a % of funds requested
Alabama	674	96.316	14.591	15.15%
Alaska	45	9.954	0.568	5.71%
Arizona	126	27.556	2.873	10.43%
Arkansas	300	44.642	5.111	11.45%
California	455	105.692	21.764	20.59%

State	Number of applications	Federal funds requested (\$millions)	Federal funds awarded (\$millions)	Funds awarded as a % of funds requested
Colorado	162	30.098	3.369	11.19%
Connecticut	201	37.739	3.166	8.39%
Delaware	21	3.569	0.282	7.90%
District of Columbia	2	0.447	0.368	82.33%
Florida	253	55.369	12.557	22.68%
Georgia	298	49.05	6.192	12.62%
Hawaii	2	0.534	0.261	48.88%
Idaho	94	14.085	2.361	16.76%
Illinois	679	116.024	14.809	12.76%
Indiana	386	58.256	10.759	18.47%
Iowa	369	45.45	5.818	12.80%
Kansas	203	27.591	3.055	11.07%
Kentucky	451	72.725	8.081	11.11%
Louisiana	197	33.435	4.414	13.20%
Maine	192	24.753	1.348	5.45%
Maryland	162	28.625	4.545	15.88%
Massachusetts	301	57.184	8.083	14.14%
Michigan	551	84.256	9.502	11.28%
Minnesota	419	69.131	18.923	27.37%
Mississippi	335	44.81	5.66	12.63%
Missouri	428	53.502	9.21	17.21%
Montana	141	21.442	3.204	14.94%
Nebraska	133	17.792	0.441	2.48%
Nevada	25	5.142	1.437	27.95%
New Hampshire	110	16.886	1.496	8.86%
New Jersey	484	87.821	9.687	11.03%
New Mexico	63	11.924	1.632	13.69%
New York	975	136.33	13.367	9.80%
North Carolina	645	102.416	13.137	12.83%
North Dakota	91	13.401	1.594	11.89%
Ohio	950	156.989	20.168	12.85%
Oklahoma	241	31.97	3.527	11.03%
Oregon	171	30.766	6.332	20.58%
Pennsylvania	1641	229.005	19.623	8.57%
Rhode Island	57	14.264	1.533	10.75%
South Carolina	378	50.393	8.684	17.23%

State	Number of applications	Federal funds requested (\$millions)	Federal funds awarded (\$millions)	Funds awarded as a % of funds requested
South Dakota	117	16.182	0.753	4.65%
Tennessee	517	78.254	11.259	14.39%
Texas	593	94.696	9.941	10.50%
Utah	93	16.076	2.985	18.57%
Vermont	84	11.737	0.689	5.87%
Virginia	237	43.841	5.991	13.67%
Washington	275	46.474	7.961	17.13%
West Virginia	273	44.61	5.074	11.37%
Wisconsin	584	80.314	9.569	11.91%
Wyoming	35	3.623	0.086	2.37%
Puerto Rico	8	1.499	0	0.00%
Northern Marianas	1	0.56	0	0.00%
Virgin Islands	2	0.355	0	0.00%
Guam	1	0.224	0	0.00%
Total	16,231	2555	338	13.23%

Source: Department of Homeland Security.

Author Contact Information

Lennard G. Kruger Specialist in Science and Technology Policy lkruger@crs.loc.gov, 7-7070