



Asset Distribution of Taxable Estates: An Analysis

name redacted

Specialist in Public Finance

April 2, 2012

Congressional Research Service

7-....

www.crs.gov

RS20593

Summary

This report provides data on the distribution of assets in estates as reported on estate tax returns filed in 2009 and 2010. The data for 2010 are unique, as the estate tax was repealed for those who died in calendar year 2010. Thus, the 2010 data are presented as an appendix to this report. Based on the 2009 data, this report finds that farm and business assets represent a small share of the total value of taxable estates that filed tax returns in 2009 (3.25% and 13.86%, respectively). That share is concentrated in estates valued over \$10 million. For an overview of the estate tax, see CRS Report RL30600, *Estate and Gift Taxes: Economic Issues*, by (name redacted) and (name redacted). This report will be updated as new data become available.

Contents

Introduction.....	1
Legislative Activity and Overview	2
Taxable Estate Tax Returns in 2009.....	3
Asset Distribution of Taxable Estates.....	4
Farm and Business Assets in 2009	4

Tables

Table 1. Increases in the Filing Requirement.....	2
Table 2. Wealth Distribution of Taxable Returns Filed in 2009.....	4
Table 3. Asset Distribution of Taxable Estate Tax Returns Filed in 2009.....	5
Table 4. Percent of Taxable Estate Returns Filed in 2009 with Farm Assets and Business Assets by Size of Estate.....	6
Table A-1. Wealth Distribution of Taxable Returns Filed in 2010.....	7
Table A-2. Asset Distribution of Taxable Estate Tax Returns Filed in 2010.....	7
Table A-3. Percent of Taxable Estate Returns Filed in 2010 with Farm Assets and Business Assets by Size of Estate.....	8

Appendixes

Appendix. Estate Tax Data for 2010 Filing Year	7
--	---

Contacts

Author Contact Information.....	9
Acknowledgments	9

Introduction

The estate and gift tax debate focuses on issues of equity and long-term economic efficiency. Many observers opposed to the estate tax on grounds of equity suggest that taxing the assets of decedents is unfair because the decedent has already paid taxes on the assets as they accumulated value. There is also a perceived need to provide heirs of family farms and businesses a tax preference for family assets that are transferred at death. Opponents of the estate tax on economic efficiency grounds cite research that suggests the estate tax is a tax on saving and investment, which, like other taxes on capital, would tend to impede long-term economic growth.¹

Those in favor of retaining some type of estate tax counter that many estates include assets with accumulated capital gains that have not been subject to income taxes. For example, publicly traded stock transferred at death would avoid taxation on the increased value from the time of purchase to the date of transfer.² Estate tax proponents maintain that other assets, such as family business assets and family farm assets, should not be afforded special preferences in the tax code.³

Repeal or modification of the estate and gift tax for all estates would achieve the policy objective of tax relief for farm and small-business estates. However, farm assets and business assets represent a relatively small share of total taxable estate value, approximately 17.1% of gross taxable estate value in 2009. Thus, repeal or modification of the estate tax would benefit more estates with a variety of different asset types.

Examining the asset distribution of estates that paid at least some estate tax more closely will provide some guidance for policy makers about the current impact of estate taxes on business-type assets and farms. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) annually publishes data on the distribution of assets in estate tax returns filed in a tax year. This report uses data for returns filed in 2009 and 2010. The 2009 data are more representative of the estate tax burden in 2012 than the 2010 data. The estate tax was repealed for those who died in 2010, thus the data for the returns filed in 2010 do not reflect the impact of the tax. The 2010 data are provided as an **Appendix** to this report. These data are from estates from decedents who died before 2010 and those estates that chose to file using the pre-EGTRRA law.

Data from returns filed in 2009 include the returns of many decedents who died in 2008. The biggest difference between 2008 and 2009 is the exemption amount, which was \$2 million in 2008 and rose to \$3.5 million in 2009. For 2011 and 2012, the exemption amount is \$5 million (\$10 million for married decedents).

¹ A full discussion of the estate tax as a tax on capital and saving can be found in the following: Gale, William G., James R. Hines Jr., and Joel Slemrod, eds., *Rethinking Estate and Gift Taxation*, The Brookings Institution, 2001.

² The value of the stock would be “stepped-up” to the value at the time of death. The inheritor could liquidate the stock immediately and avoid taxation completely.

³ There are special provisions for payment of estate taxes on family businesses given the liquidity constraints specific to these types of estates.

Legislative Activity and Overview

On December 17, 2010, the *Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010* (P.L. 111-312) reinstated the estate tax beginning with 2010 decedents and sunsets after 2012. Executors of estates of decedents who died in 2010, however, may also choose to file under the EGTRRA laws in place before passage of P.L. 111-312. The new law sets the estate tax exemption level at \$5 million per decedent in 2010 (indexed for inflation) and establishes a top marginal tax rate of 35%. Any unused exemption amount is transferrable to a surviving spouse, yielding an effective exemption amount of \$10 million for married decedents.

The Joint Committee on Taxation estimates the temporary estate tax modifications to reduce revenue by approximately \$136.7 billion over 10 years.⁴ The number of decedents that will be affected by the estate tax will rise significantly in 2013, as the law will return to the pre-EGTRRA parameters.

The estate and gift tax minimum filing requirement is \$5,120,000 for deaths occurring in 2012. Generally, estates valued below the threshold are not required to file a return. Estates valued over the threshold amount calculate their tax liability based upon the entire (or gross) value of the estate inclusive of the \$5,120,000. Deductions from the gross estate value, such as bequests to a surviving spouse (the marital deduction), state estate and inheritance taxes, and donations to charitable organizations, are then subtracted from the gross estate value. The tentative tax liability is determined by the progressive rate schedule provided for in the tax code.

The next step in the calculation of estate tax liability, and perhaps the most important, is the applicable credit. The applicable credit is set such that an estate has the equivalent of a \$5,120,000 exemption (for deaths occurring in 2012 the amount is \$1,772,800, see **Table 1** below). In many cases, the marital deduction combined with the deduction for charitable contributions can eliminate all estate tax liability.

Table 1. Increases in the Filing Requirement

Year of Death	Filing Requirement or Equivalent Exemption	Applicable Credit
2009	\$3,500,000	\$1,525,800
2010	estate tax repealed with carryover basis or \$5 million exemption with stepped-up basis	
2011	\$5,000,000	\$1,730,800
2012	\$5,120,000	\$1,772,800
2013 and after	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000

Before 2005, estates were allowed to claim a credit for state death taxes paid. EGTRRA, however, gradually repealed the credit for state death taxes, eliminating it in 2005 and replacing it with a deduction for taxes paid. Many states have relied on the federal credit for their estate tax and will need to modify their tax laws to continue collecting their estate and inheritance taxes.

⁴ Joint Committee on Taxation, "Estimated Budget Effects of the 'Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010,'" JCX-54-10, December 10, 2010. available.

According to a January 2012 evaluation of state laws by the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, “Some 22 states—continue to collect either an estate or inheritance tax.”⁵

The data utilized in this report are from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Statistics of Income (SOI) Division.⁶ The SOI data report the assets held by estates by gross estate value classes. For this report, farm returns are defined as estates reporting farm assets. Business returns are defined as those estates that include assets typically held by businesses: “closely held stock,” “limited partnerships,” “real estate partnerships,” and “other non-corporate business assets.” Estates reporting one or more of the four assets were termed business returns. This methodology is imperfect and likely double counts many estates. As a result, the number of business estates would be significantly *overstated* by this estimate.

Taxable Estate Tax Returns in 2009

Of the approximately 2.43 million deaths in 2008 of people 25 years old and over, 0.6% incurred estate and gift tax liability.⁷ Further, in 2009 only 1,846 decedents with taxable estates included farm assets (0.08% of all deaths), and 8,055 taxable estates listed assets of the type typically held by businesses (0.34% of all deaths). The primary reason for the low number of filers relative to the number of deaths in 2008 is the high gross estate value filing threshold. In tax year 2008, only estates valued at greater than \$2 million were required to file an estate and gift tax return.⁸ (The 2008 decedents would likely file returns in 2009.) This makes the estate tax a relatively progressive tax source.

Table 2 suggests the progressivity of the estate and gift tax in 2009. Taxable estates worth over \$10 million accounted for 11.2% of the total taxable estates, yet 61.0% of all estate tax revenue. The 4,296 estates (29.2% of taxable estates) larger than \$5 million generated over 81.9% of total estate tax revenue. Recall that only 0.7% of deaths generated any estate tax liability.

⁵ Elizabeth McNichol, “State Taxes on Inherited Wealth Remain Common: 22 States Levy an Estate or Inheritance Tax,” *Center on Budget and Policy Priorities*, January 4, 2012, available at <http://www.cbpp.org/files/5-31-06sfp.pdf>.

⁶ Internal Revenue Service, Statistics of Income Division, September 2010, *Estate Tax Returns Filed in 2009, by Tax Status and Size of Gross Estate*; and Internal Revenue Service, Statistics of Income Division, September 2011, *Estate Tax Returns Filed in 2010, by Tax Status and Size of Gross Estate*.

⁷ The latest available estate tax data are for the 2010 tax year, but as explained earlier, the 2009 data are more representative. Total number of non-infant deaths in 2008, as reported in “Births, Marriages, Divorces, and Deaths: Provisional Data for 2008,” *National Vital Statistics Reports*, vol. 57, no. 19, July 29, 2009, was 2,425,400. The data is available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr57/nvsr57_19.pdf.

⁸ For a detailed history of the estate and gift tax as well as an explanation of current law, see CRS Report 95-416, *Federal Estate, Gift, and Generation-Skipping Taxes: A Description of Current Law*, by (name redacted).

Table 2. Wealth Distribution of Taxable Returns Filed in 2009

Size of Gross Estate (\$)	Taxable Returns	Gross Taxable Estate Value (\$ in thousands)	Net Estate Tax (\$ in thousands)	Percent of Taxable Estate Returns	Percent Federal Net Estate Tax Revenue
All Returns	14,713	101,971,360	20,643,664	100.0%	100.0%
Under 2.0 million ^a	555	883,347	68,894	3.8%	0.3%
2.0 to 3.5 million	6,999	18,555,977	1,616,098	47.6%	7.8%
3.5 to 5.0 million	2,862	11,873,398	2,052,060	19.5%	9.9%
5.0 to 10.0 million	2,644	18,066,733	4,321,234	18.0%	20.9%
10.0 to 20 million	1,015	13,891,152	3,831,662	6.9%	18.6%
20.0 million or more	637	38,700,754	8,753,716	4.3%	42.4%

Source: Internal Revenue Service, Statistics of Income Division, September 2010, *Estate Tax Returns Filed in 2009, by Tax Status and Size of Gross Estate*.

- a. In 2009, most tax returns were filed for deaths occurring in 2008, for which the estate tax exemption level was \$2 million. However, due to filing extensions, a limited number of returns were filed in 2009 for deaths occurring prior to 2007, when the filing threshold would have been below \$2 million.

Asset Distribution of Taxable Estates

The SOI data do not distinguish estate tax returns by detailed occupation of the decedent, such as farmer or business person. However, the data do provide significant detail on the distribution of the decedent's assets. **Table 4** summarizes estate tax return asset data from the returns filed in 2009. Generally, assets that represent more of the taxable estate shoulder a greater share of the tax burden. The value of taxable estates is concentrated in the following asset categories: publicly traded stock, cash assets, state and local bonds, other real estate, and closely held stock. These five assets represent 66.2% of total taxable estate value in 2009. Thus, eliminating the estate tax will reduce the tax burden chiefly on these assets.

Farm and Business Assets in 2009

Table 3 reports that the value of total farm assets is approximately 3.25% of total taxable gross estate value. The business assets in **Table 3** represent approximately \$14.1 billion of total taxable estate value (or 13.9%). The largest is closely held stock, worth approximately \$7.2 billion. However, total business assets as reported do not explicitly indicate the portion of those assets held in small businesses. Though farm and business decedents may have other taxable assets—such as equities and cash—the burden on farm and business assets alone is quite small relative to other assets. Thus, removing the estate and gift tax or lowering the rates in general will have a much greater effect on non-farm and non-business assets.

Table 3. Asset Distribution of Taxable Estate Tax Returns Filed in 2009

IRS Defined Asset Category	Total Asset Value (\$ in thousands)	Percent of Total Taxable Estate Value
Gross estate	101,971,360	100.00%
Publicly traded stock	25,923,098	25.42%
Cash assets	12,628,073	12.38%
State and local bonds	11,386,174	11.17%
Other real estate	10,402,655	10.20%
Closely held stock ^a	7,163,259	7.02%
Personal residence	6,282,426	6.16%
Retirement assets	4,911,240	4.82%
Farm assets ^a	3,314,559	3.25%
Other Federal bonds	2,745,389	2.69%
Mortgages and notes	2,581,174	2.53%
Real estate partnerships ^a	2,459,476	2.41%
Other limited partnerships ^a	2,425,733	2.38%
Other noncorporate business assets ^a	2,083,579	2.04%
Art	1,677,971	1.65%
Insurance, face value	1,248,168	1.22%
Corporate and foreign bonds	1,203,884	1.18%
Other assets	1,197,485	1.17%
Private equity and hedge funds	869,063	0.85%
Unclassifiable mutual funds	728,393	0.71%
Depletables / intangibles	413,262	0.41%
Bond funds	250,620	0.25%
Federal savings bonds	125,241	0.12%
Insurance, policy loans	49,558	0.05%

Source: Internal Revenue Service, Statistics of Income Division, September 2010, *Estate Tax Returns Filed in 2009, by Tax Status and Size of Gross Estate*.

a. Indicates an asset that is included in this report's definition of a business estate.

Table 4 presents detailed data on farm and business assets by gross estate value. Relatively large farm estates, those valued between \$2 million and \$3.5 million, comprise a relatively larger share of total estate value for that estate size category. Overall, however, farm estates appear to be evenly distributed across the estate size categories. Note that farm assets account for approximately 3.25% of total taxable estate value.

In contrast to farm estates, assets typically associated with non-farm businesses are concentrated in estates valued over \$10 million. In fact, of the \$14.1 billion in total business assets in estates, over \$11.0 billion (77.7%) is held in those estates valued over \$10 million. As a consequence,

smaller-business taxable estates, those valued at less than \$10 million, contribute very little to the estate and gift tax base.

Table 4. Percent of Taxable Estate Returns Filed in 2009 with Farm Assets and Business Assets by Size of Estate

Size of Gross Estate	Taxable Estate Value (\$ in thousands)			Percent of Taxable Estate Value in Class Represented by:	
	Gross	Farm Assets	Business Assets	Farm Assets	Business Assets
All Returns	101,971,360	3,314,559	14,132,047	3.25%	13.86%
Under 2.0 million ^{a c}	883,347	46,950 ^b	34,880	5.32%	3.95%
2.0 to 3.5 million ^c	18,555,977	927,655	789,964	5.00%	4.26%
3.5 to 5.0 million	11,873,398	306,836	615,064	2.58%	5.18%
5.0 to 10.0 million	18,066,733	618,353	1,708,179	3.42%	9.45%
10.0 to 20.0 million	13,891,152	399,731	1,889,749	2.88%	13.60%
20.0 million or more	38,700,754	1,015,036	9,094,209	2.62%	23.50%

Source: Internal Revenue Service, Statistics of Income Division, September 2010, *Estate Tax Returns Filed in 2009, by Tax Status and Size of Gross Estate*; and October 2011, *Estate Tax Returns Filed in 2010, by Tax Status and Size of Gross Estate*.

- a. In 2009, many tax returns were filed for deaths occurring in 2008, for which the estate tax exemption level was \$2 million. However, due to filing extensions, a limited number of returns were filed in 2009 for deaths occurring before 2008, when the filing threshold may have been below \$2 million. Likewise, in 2010, most tax returns were filed for deaths occurring in 2009, for which the estate tax exemption level was \$3.5 million. However, due to filing extensions, a limited number of returns were filed in 2010 for deaths occurring before 2009, when the filing threshold may have been below \$3.5 million. Because the estate tax was repealed for 2010, there were few if any returns filed for deaths occurring in 2010.
- b. IRS notes that this estimate for farm assets should be used with caution due to the small sample of returns on which it is based.
- c. As reported in *Estate Tax Returns Filed in 2009*, data for the “under 2.0 million” and “2.0 to 3.5 million” estate value classes on two categories of business estate assets, real estate partnerships and other non-corporate business assets, were combined to prevent disclosure of individual taxpayer information. In Table 4, the combined value class totals for these two respective asset categories are disaggregated by using the ratio of gross estate value for the “2.0 to 3.5 million” value class to the gross estate value for the “under 2.0 million” value class, 22.0.

In summary, repeal of the estate and gift tax would clearly achieve the policy objective of relief for estates composed of farm and small-business assets. Farm assets and business assets, however, represent a relatively small share of total taxable estate value, approximately 17.1% at the most.

Appendix. Estate Tax Data for 2010 Filing Year

Table A-1. Wealth Distribution of Taxable Returns Filed in 2010

Size of Gross Estate	Taxable Returns	Gross Taxable Estate Value (\$ in thousands)	Net Estate Tax (\$ in thousands)	Percent of Taxable Estate Returns	Percent Federal Net Estate Tax Revenue
All Returns	6,711	69,151,158	13,216,723	100.00%	100.00%
Under 3.5 million	1,325	3,617,934	267,354	19.74%	2.02%
3.5 to 5.0 million	1,912	8,034,067	718,859	28.49%	5.44%
5.0 to 10.0 million	2,106	14,376,020	2,681,793	31.38%	20.29%
10.0 to 20 million	825	11,321,355	2,871,395	12.29%	21.73%
20.0 million or more	543	31,801,782	6,677,322	8.09%	50.52%

Source: Internal Revenue Service, Statistics of Income Division, October 2011, *Estate Tax Returns Filed in 2010, by Tax Status and Size of Gross Estate*.

Table A-2. Asset Distribution of Taxable Estate Tax Returns Filed in 2010

IRS Defined Asset Category	Total Asset Value (\$ in thousands)	Percent of Total Taxable Estate Value
Gross estate	69,151,158	100.0%
Publicly traded stock	14,062,093	20.3%
Cash assets	9,033,789	13.1%
State and local bonds	8,880,584	12.8%
Other real estate	6,238,744	9.0%
Closely held stock ^a	6,034,123	8.7%
Personal residence	3,693,194	5.3%
Retirement assets	2,623,709	3.8%
Farm assets ^a	2,242,087	3.2%
Other Federal bonds	1,807,994	2.6%
Mortgages and notes	3,044,154	4.4%
Real estate partnerships	1,838,859	2.7%
Other limited partnerships ^a	2,566,014	3.7%
Other noncorporate business assets ^a	1,224,146	1.8%
Art	838,601	1.2%

IRS Defined Asset Category	Total Asset Value (\$ in thousands)	Percent of Total Taxable Estate Value
Insurance, face value	759,674	1.1%
Corporate and foreign bonds	1,030,918	1.5%
Other assets	1,116,040	1.6%
Private equity and hedge funds	970,917	1.4%
Unclassifiable mutual funds	445,512	0.6%
Depletables / intangibles	508,008	0.7%
Bond funds	167,823	0.2%
Federal savings bonds	50,793	0.1%
Insurance, policy loans	26,615	0.0%

Source: Internal Revenue Service, Statistics of Income Division, October 2011, *Estate Tax Returns Filed in 2010, by Tax Status and Size of Gross Estate*.

- a. Asset is considered a business asset for purposes of this report.

Table A-3. Percent of Taxable Estate Returns Filed in 2010 with Farm Assets and Business Assets by Size of Estate

Size of Gross Estate	Taxable Estate Value (\$ in thousands)			Percent of Taxable Estate Value in Class Represented by:	
	Gross	Farm Assets	Business Assets	Farm Assets	Business Assets
All Returns	69,151,158	2,242,087	11,663,142	3.24%	16.87%
Under 3.5 million ^a	3,617,934	190,998	295,714	5.28%	8.17%
3.5 to 5.0 million	8,034,067	596,779	520,436	7.43%	6.48%
5.0 to 10.0 million	14,376,020	496,804	1,343,575	3.46%	9.35%
10.0 to 20.0 million	11,321,355	373,296	1,415,417	3.30%	12.50%
20.0 million or more	31,801,782	584,209	8,087,998	1.84%	25.43%

Source: Internal Revenue Service, Statistics of Income Division, October 2011, *Estate Tax Returns Filed in 2010, by Tax Status and Size of Gross Estate*; and October 2011, *Estate Tax Returns Filed in 2010, by Tax Status and Size of Gross Estate*.

- a. In 2010, most tax returns were filed for deaths occurring in 2009, for which the estate tax exemption level was \$3.5 million. However, due to filing extensions, a limited number of returns were filed in 2010 for deaths occurring before 2009, when the filing threshold may have been below \$3.5 million. Because the estate tax was repealed for 2010, there were few if any returns filed for deaths occurring in 2010. IRS notes that this estimate for farm assets should be used with caution due to the small sample of returns on which it is based.

Author Contact Information

(name redacted)
Specialist in Public Finance
/redacted/@crs.loc.gov, 7-....

Acknowledgments

This report was updated with the assistance of Andrew Hanna.

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted names, phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.