



Prescription Drug Importation: How S. 1232 (S. 525/H.R. 1298) Would Change Current Law

(name redacted)

Specialist in Drug Safety and Effectiveness

June 16, 2009

Congressional Research Service

7-....

www.crs.gov

RS22660

Summary

Current law prohibits the importation of a prescription drug by anyone other than its manufacturer. S. 1232 would amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to change that. It would allow commercial and personal-use importation. The legislation would create a detailed set of procedures to address concerns relating to the safety and effectiveness of imported drugs, cost savings to U.S. consumers, and administration of the program. S. 1232 contains the same text as previously introduced S. 525 and H.R. 1298.

Contents

Context	1
Background	1
Safe and Effective Drugs	2
Relationship to FDA Approval	2
Permitted Countries	3
Ensuring Drug Identity	3
Registration	3
Monitoring, Inspecting, and Testing	3
Packaging and Labeling	3
Internet Pharmacies	4
Cost Savings to U.S. Consumers	4
Discrimination and Unfair Acts	4
Drug Differences	4
Patent Law	5
Administration of Importation Provisions	5
Funding	5
Effective Dates	5
Severability	5
CRS Reports On Prescription Drug Importation	6

Contacts

Author Contact Information	6
----------------------------------	---

Context

As public concern over rising spending on prescription drugs continues, Members of Congress have introduced legislation to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) and permit the importation of FDA-approved drugs from lower-priced foreign sources.¹ Lawmakers have also sought to use the appropriations process to counter administrative blocks to drug importation.²

Following discussions during Senate consideration of the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control, which has subsequently been sent to the President for signing, the Senate leadership agreed to bring up the drug importation provisions that Senator Dorgan has championed in the last few congressional sessions. On June 10, 2009, the Senator introduced S. 1232, the Pharmaceutical Market Access and Drug Safety Act of 2009.³ The bill contains the same text as S. 525 and H.R. 1298, which had been introduced earlier in this Congress.⁴

This report provides a brief look at the issues addressed in S. 1232 and compares its provisions to current law. The report concludes by listing other CRS reports on drug importation.

Background

Current law and the bills introduced over the past several years all seek to balance the availability of imported prescription drugs with the assurance that these imports would be safe and effective. Sponsors of drug importation legislation want to reduce or restrain the financial burden prescription drugs place on U.S. consumers—Senator Dorgan’s website description of his legislative proposal uses the title “Reducing the Cost of Prescription Drugs.”

Under current law, it is illegal for anyone to import a prescription drug other than its manufacturer. The law includes provisions for pharmacists and wholesalers to import, but provides that they not become effective until the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) certifies that the importation program would be safe and offer cost savings to U.S. consumers. Secretaries in both the Clinton and Bush Administrations have declined to provide that certification, referring to safety and cost concerns. The requirement was first established by the Medicine Equity and Drug Safety (MEDS) Act of 2000, which added FFDCA Section 804, Importation of Prescription Drugs. Despite much debate to change that approach, Congress included importation provisions in the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act (MMA, P.L. 108-173) that retained the certification requirement. The Obama Administration, however, has indicated an openness to drug importation activities. The President’s budget request for FY2010 contains “a proposal to allow Americans to buy safe and

¹ House-passed H.R. 2427, and S. 1 and H.R. 1, as amended, in the 108th Congress; S. 109/H.R. 328, S. 184/H.R. 753, and S. 334/H.R. 700 in the 109th; and S. 242/H.R. 380, and S. 251 in the 110th.

² Members have offered amendments to appropriations bills that would have prohibited the use of funds to enforce importation prohibitions (e.g., the House-passed agriculture appropriations bills for FY2006 and FY2007; and P.L. 109-295, the Department of Homeland Security FY2007 appropriations bill).

³ Drew Armstrong, “Scuffling Over Amendments Continues as Tobacco Bill Moves Forward,” *CQ Today*, June 8, 2009. According to Senator Dorgan’s staff, S. 1232 has been placed on the Senate Calendar using Rule 14.

⁴ On March 4, 2009, Senator Dorgan introduced S. 525 with Senator Snowe and others; and Representative Berry introduced H.R. 1298 with co-sponsor Representative Emerson.

effective drugs from other countries,” and includes money for FDA to “begin working with the various stakeholders to develop policy options related to drug importation.”⁵

Current law does not permit individuals to import prescription drugs for their own use. It directs the Secretary to exercise discretion to permit importation by an individual for personal use, if such use does not appear to present an unreasonable risk to the individual. If a Secretary were to certify and allow the importation program, Section 804 still would not directly allow individual importation. It would authorize the Secretary to waive, under specific conditions, the provisions that prohibit importation by individuals. FDA has chosen to leniently enforce the current prohibition, and has allowed individuals to bring into the United States a small amount (i.e., a 90-day supply) of non-FDA-approved drugs for personal use. This FDA enforcement policy requires that those individuals affirm in writing that the drugs are for their own use, and provide the name and address of their treating physician. When FDA’s personal use import policy began, it was not envisioned as a way for consumers to bring lower-priced prescription drugs into the United States. According to its policy statement on importing drugs for personal use, FDA intended this “enforcement discretion” to allow individuals to get treatments not otherwise available in the United States.⁶

S. 1232, the Pharmaceutical Market Access and Drug Safety Act of 2009, would rewrite Section 804. It would eliminate the Secretary’s certification requirement and allow pharmacists, wholesalers, and individuals to import prescription drugs under a program that would also include potential safeguards regarding drug safety and effectiveness. S. 1232 is similar to legislation introduced in the 109th and 110th Congresses.⁷

As discussed below, S. 1232 differs from current law in its approach to ensuring that imported drugs are safe and effective. The bill also includes provisions to influence industry behavior so that drugs available for import by U.S. consumers would result in cost savings relative to current domestic prices. Finally, it includes administrative arrangements, including financing.

Safe and Effective Drugs

Relationship to FDA Approval

Current law explicitly requires that an imported drug be approved for U.S. sale by the FDA. S. 1232 would require that a manufacturer notify the HHS Secretary when a drug that could be

⁵ Department of Health and Human Services, “Fiscal Year 2010 Budget in Brief,” <http://www.hhs.gov/asrt/ob/docbudget/2010budgetinbriefd.html>.

⁶ FDA, “Information on Importation of Drugs,” prepared by Marvin A. Blumberg, Division of Import Operations and Policy, Office of Regulatory Affairs, FDA, HFC-170, April 3, 1998, at <http://www.fda.gov/ora/import/pipinfo.htm>; and FDA, “Coverage of Personal Importations,” *Regulatory Procedures Manual*, Office of Regulatory Affairs, FDA, January 11, 2003, at http://www.fda.gov/ora/compliance_ref/rpm_new2/ch9pers.html.

⁷ S. 525, the Pharmaceutical Market Access and Drug Safety Act of 2007, and its House companion, H.R. 1298, repeat the provisions of S. 242/H.R. 380 as offered in the 110th Congress. At that time, the provisions were included as an amendment during Floor consideration in the Senate of what became the FDA Amendments Act of 2007 (FDAAA, P.L. 110-85), although their effect was effectively negated by a second degree amendment that would have required the government to certify the safety of imported drugs, something it had indicated it would not do. The House-passed version of what became FDAAA did not include either importation amendment and, therefore, neither did the bill that became law.

imported differs from the version FDA has approved for sale in the United States (the “U.S. label drug”). The bill would require extensive information about whether the difference, if it were to be made to a U.S. label drug, would require a supplemental application to FDA, and whether FDA would require that the application be processed before the drug could be marketed.⁸

Permitted Countries

Current law would allow the importation of prescription drugs from Canada, if the HHS Secretary were to certify that the program of importation would be safe and cost-effective to U.S. consumers. S. 1232 would permit prescription drug importation from Australia, Canada, Japan, New Zealand, Switzerland, and members of the European Union, except for the 10 countries admitted to membership in May 2004. The legislation includes criteria by which the Secretary could add other countries to this list.

Ensuring Drug Identity

To address the possibility that adulterated or counterfeit drugs could enter the U.S. market, S. 1232 calls for a variety of procedures regarding registration; monitoring, inspecting, and testing; packaging and labeling; and Internet pharmacies.

Registration

Current law requires that a Canadian establishment involved in the distribution of a prescription drug that is imported or offered for importation into the United States register with the Secretary its name and place of business and the name of its U.S. agent. S. 1232 would require all exporters from permitted countries and all commercial importers to register.

Monitoring, Inspecting, and Testing

While current law relies on laboratory testing of samples of every shipment of imported drugs to verify their content, potency, and labeling, S. 1232 would instead require documentation of a monitored, uninterrupted chain of custody from manufacturing facility to importer. The requirements related to registration would involve ongoing and onsite physical monitoring of the facilities of a drug’s manufacturer, exporter, and importer. If the Secretary determined it were necessary, these would include the inspection of any facility (and its records) that handles the product along the chain of custody.

Packaging and Labeling

To ensure that a drug dispensed to individual consumers is the same product that was tested, monitored, or inspected at a specific manufacturing, shipping, or storage facility, S. 1232 would require that the packaging of all prescription drugs (not just those being imported) incorporate

⁸ For certain changes that a manufacturer might make to a drug or the processes used to manufacture the drug, FDA requires that the manufacturer submit a supplement to the previously approved new drug application. The extent of the change determines whether the manufacturer may proceed while FDA reviews the supplemental application. See FDCA Section 506A (Manufacturing Changes).

overt, optically variable, counterfeit-resistant technologies that provide visible identification of the product, and be similar to those used to secure U.S. currency. In addition, manufacturers must incorporate the technologies into elements of the packaging (including blister packs, shrink wrap, package labels, package seals, bottles, and boxes). The bill would require that the exporter and importer agree to mark each shipping container to identify its compliance with all registration conditions. The markings would have to include anti-counterfeiting or track-and-trace technology, taking into account their economic and technical feasibility, and would have to be designed to prevent unauthorized affixation.

Internet Pharmacies

S. 1232 would require that detailed information be accessible on an Internet pharmacy's website, covering pharmacist credentials, address and telephone contacts, and the name and professional licensure information of the person, if any, who provides for medical consultations through the site for purposes of providing prescriptions. The bill includes many restrictions, such as: no one could dispense or sell a drug if the purchaser or patient who communicated through the Internet did not have a valid U.S. prescription; and the dispenser of the prescription drug would be required to have a "qualifying medical relationship with the patient." The text of these Internet pharmacy provisions was written before the October 2008 passage of the Ryan Haight Online Pharmacy Consumer Protection Act of 2008 (P.L. 110-425). Until that time, federal law did not address use of the Internet to sell or purchase imported prescription drugs. Because current law does now address issues such as valid prescriptions, Internet pharmacy site disclosure information, and physician-patient contact requirements, some of the provisions in S. 1232 may need technical revisions.

Cost Savings to U.S. Consumers

Anticipating manufacturers' resistance to practices that might limit the industry's revenue, S. 1232 contains specific provisions designed to influence industry behavior.

Discrimination and Unfair Acts

S. 1232 would make it "unlawful for a manufacturer, directly or indirectly (including being a party to a licensing or other agreement)," to discriminate or act unfairly against an exporter, importer, or person who distributes, sells, or uses an imported prescription drug by charging a higher price; denying, restricting, or delaying supplies; or refusing to do business.

Drug Differences

S. 1232 would make it unlawful for a manufacturer to make a drug for distribution in a permitted country so that it differs from the drug made for U.S. distribution "for the purpose of restricting importation of the drug...." Enforcement would include the involvement of the Federal Trade Commission and the state attorneys general.

Patent Law

A recent federal court case has raised the prospect that a drug manufacturer could, under certain circumstances, sue a drug importer for patent infringement and block U.S. imports of drugs the company sells abroad. The court ruled that a U.S. patent is not exhausted by foreign sales, and, thus, a drug manufacturer could exercise its patent rights and block imports of its patented drug products into the United States. S. 1232 would insert a new subsection in the Patent and Trademark Act to reverse this judicial precedent. Under the provision, goods that were the subject of authorized foreign sales by a U.S. patent holder may be imported into the United States without regard to the U.S. patent.

Administration of Importation Provisions

S. 1232 would set up a fee mechanism to fund the administrative and regulatory tasks of the importation program. It also would set specific implementation dates.

Funding

Current law includes no explicit funding mechanism other than authorizing appropriations of such sums as necessary to implement the prescription drug importation provisions. S. 1232 provides for both exporter and commercial importer fees designed to cover all costs of the program. It links the aggregate total of all fees to the estimated costs of the importation program, setting a limit of 2.5% of the total price of drugs imported. The Secretary would collect from each exporter and importer both a flat registration fee and a proportional registration fee. Each individual importer or exporter would pay the latter fee. The amount of the fee would be based on the extent of the importer or exporter's own activity and calculated to estimate its proportion of the aggregate amount. S. 1232 would require that these fees be used only for the administration of the importation provisions that the bill would add.

Effective Dates

Current law does not specify when importation could begin, other than by linking it to required safety and cost certification by the Secretary. It directs the Secretary to exercise discretion to permit importation by an individual for personal use, if such use does not appear to present an unreasonable risk to the individual.

S. 1232 would require that the Secretary promulgate a final rule for implementing the importation provisions not later than one year after promulgating an interim rule. It also states that the importation provisions shall "permit the importation of qualifying drugs ... without regard to the status of the issuance of implementing regulations" from registered exporters (to individuals in the United States) 90 days after enactment, and from permitted countries by registered importers (commercial) one year after enactment.

Severability

S. 1232 states that if any provision of the Pharmaceutical Market Access and Drug Safety Act of 2009 were to be held unconstitutional, the remainder of the act would not be affected.

CRS Reports On Prescription Drug Importation

Two CRS reports cover in greater depth the current legal issues surrounding the importation of prescription drugs: CRS Report RS21711, *Legal Issues Related to Prescription Drug Sales on the Internet*, and CRS Report RL32191, *Prescription Drug Importation: A Legal Overview*, both by (name redacted).

Several archived CRS products—such as CRS Report RL32511, *Importing Prescription Drugs: Objectives, Options, and Outlook*, by (name redacted)—provide additional policy analysis and historical detail.⁹

Author Contact Information

(name redacted)
Specialist in Drug Safety and Effectiveness
/redacted/@crs.loc.gov, 7-....

⁹ See CRS Report RL33175, *Importation of Prescription Drugs: A Side-by-Side Comparison of Current Law*, S. 109/H.R. 328, S. 184/H.R. 753, and S. 334/H.R. 700; CRS Report RL32568, *Senate Prescription Drug Importation Legislation: A Side-by-Side Comparison of Current Law*, S. 2307, S. 2328, and S. 2493; and CRS Report RL32271, *Importation of Prescription Drugs Provisions in P.L. 108-173, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003*, all by (name redacted), and (name redacted). Also see the following products, which are out of print but available on request: CRS Report RL32107, *Importing Prescription Drugs: Comparison of the Drug Import Provisions in the Medicare Reform Bills, H.R. 2427 and Current Law*; CRS Report RL31503, *Importing Prescription Drugs*; CRS Report RS20996, *Prescription Drugs: Importation for Personal Use*; CRS Report RS20961, *Prescription Drug Imports: Proposed Amendments to the FY2002 Agriculture Appropriations Act*; CRS Report RS20750, *The Prescription Drug Import Provisions of the FY2001 Agriculture Appropriations Act, P.L. 106-387*; and CRS LTR00-217, *Summary of H.R. 1885, the International Prescription Drug Parity Act*.

EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted names, phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.