

CRS Report for Congress

Emergency Economic Stabilization Act: Preliminary Analysis of Oversight Provisions

October 21, 2008

Curtis W. Copeland
Specialist in American National Government
Government and Finance Division



Prepared for Members and
Committees of Congress

Emergency Economic Stabilization Act: Preliminary Analysis of Oversight Provisions

Summary

The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (P.L. 110-343) provides authority for the Secretary of the Treasury to purchase and insure “troubled assets” to provide stability and prevent disruption in the economy and financial system. The act established two organizations to provide broad oversight for the stability program — a Financial Stability Oversight Board (FSOB) and a Congressional Oversight Panel (COP). The act also placed audit responsibilities for the program with two individuals — a new Special Inspector General (IG) for the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP), and the Comptroller General (CG) of the Government Accountability Office (GAO). The duties and responsibilities of both oversight panels and both auditors overlap in some areas, but are different in other areas.

Several aspects of the FSOB do not appear to be clearly delineated in the act, including where the FSOB is located within the federal government, whether it is covered by a variety of general management laws, whether it is to be provided information from federal departments or agencies, whether a majority of its members must be present to take action, and how the FSOB is to be funded and staffed. Some aspects of the COP are also unclear (e.g., how the chairperson is selected), and neither entity appears to have the power to compel agencies to provide needed information.

The act is also unclear regarding certain aspects of the Special IG for the TARP. For example, although the act requires the Special IG to be provided \$50 million from the proceeds of the sale of securities such as Treasury bills and savings bonds, it does not indicate when or how those funds are to be provided to the Special IG. Also, the act does not indicate where the Office of the Special IG is to be “established” or housed, and there is no specific requirement in the act that it be provided with office space or facilities. The act indicates that the Office of the Special IG and the CG’s responsibilities terminate on the date that the last troubled asset is sold or the last insurance contract expires, so it is unlikely that their final reports will reflect all transactions.

Several specific requirements in the act appear to contemplate that the “troubled assets” being purchased and insured are residential or commercial mortgages and instruments based on those mortgages. However, recent proposals to deal with the financial crisis are different than these mortgage-based transactions. While the oversight and audit responsibilities of the COP, the FSOB, the CG, and the Special IG still apply, the nature of their specific responsibilities may need to be reconsidered and redefined in light of the type of “troubled assets” that are initially being purchased. It is also unclear how effective all of these oversight and audit requirements will be in ensuring transparency and a balance of power between Congress and the executive branch.

This report will be updated when additional information or perspectives become available regarding the act’s oversight mechanisms.

Contents

Introduction	1
Oversight Mechanisms	2
The Financial Stability Oversight Board and the Congressional Oversight Panel	3
Placement Within the Government	3
Responsibilities	4
Access to Information	4
Membership	4
Leadership	5
Pay for Members	5
Meetings/Quorum	5
Staffing/Consultants	6
Reports by the Entities	6
Reports to the Entities	6
Funding	7
Termination	7
The Special Inspector General for the TARP and the Comptroller General's Role in the Act	7
Placement Within the Government	7
Responsibilities	8
When Audits Begin	9
Access to Information	9
Obligation of the TARP to Respond to Audits	10
Staffing/Contracting	10
Reports	10
Funding	11
Termination	11
Concluding Observations	11
Possible Reassessment of Oversight Mechanisms	13
Oversight and the Balance of Power	14

List of Tables

Table 1. Characteristics of the Financial Stability Oversight Board and the Congressional Oversight Panel in the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008	16
Table 2. Responsibilities of the Comptroller General and the Special Inspector General for the TARP in the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008	21

Emergency Economic Stabilization Act: Preliminary Analysis of Oversight Provisions

Introduction

One of the purposes of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (EESA, P.L. 110-343) is to “provide authority for the Federal Government to purchase and insure certain types of troubled assets for the purposes of providing stability to and preventing disruption in the economy and financial system and protecting taxpayers.”¹ The term “troubled assets” is defined in Section 3(9) of the act as

(A) residential or commercial mortgages and any securities, obligations, or other instruments that are based on or related to such mortgages, that in each case was originated or issued on or before March 14, 2008, the purchase of which the Secretary determines promotes financial market stability; and (B) any other financial instrument that the Secretary, after consultation with the Chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, determines the purchase of which is necessary to promote financial market stability, but only upon transmittal of such determination, in writing, to the appropriate committees of Congress.

Section 101 of the act (“Purchases of Troubled Assets”) authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury to “establish the Troubled Asset Relief Program (or ‘TARP’) to purchase, and to make and fund commitments to purchase, troubled assets from any financial institution, on such terms and conditions as are determined by the Secretary, and in accordance with this Act and the policies and procedures developed and published by the Secretary.” The act requires the Secretary to implement the program through an Office of Financial Stability within the department’s Office of Domestic Finance. The office is to be headed by an Assistant Secretary appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate, although the law permits the Secretary to appoint an interim Assistant Secretary without Senate confirmation.²

The Secretary is authorized in Section 101(c) to take “such actions as the Secretary deems necessary to carry out the authorities in this Act.” However, within two business days after the first purchase of troubled assets, and no later than November 17, 2008, Section 101(d) requires the Secretary to publish program guidelines that include mechanisms for purchasing troubled assets, methods of

¹ The act is also intended “to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to provide incentives for energy production and conservation, to extend certain expiring provisions, to provide individual income tax relief, and for other purposes.”

² On October 6, 2008, the Secretary of the Treasury named Assistant Secretary Neel Kashkari as interim Assistant Secretary for the Office of Financial Stability.

pricing and valuing troubled assets, procedures for selecting asset managers, and criteria for identifying troubled assets for purchase. Section 115 of the act initially permits the Secretary to purchase up to \$250 billion of troubled assets, and up to a total of \$350 billion of assets upon a written certification by the President that the Secretary needs additional authority.³ Subsequently, if the President submits a written plan to Congress, and if Congress does not object within 15 calendar days (through a joint resolution of disapproval described in subsection 115(c)), the Secretary may purchase up to \$700 billion in troubled assets.

Section 102 of the act (“Insurance of Troubled Assets”) states that if the Secretary establishes the program authorized in Section 101, then the Secretary “shall establish a program to guarantee troubled assets originated or issued prior to March 14, 2008, including mortgage-backed securities.” Specifically, the Secretary is authorized to guarantee the timely payment of up to 100% of the principal and interest related to the assets.

Oversight Mechanisms. EESA established two organizations to provide broad oversight for the stability program — a Financial Stability Oversight Board (FSOB) and a Congressional Oversight Panel (COP). The act also placed audit responsibilities for the program with two individuals — a new Special Inspector General (IG) for the TARP, and with the Comptroller General (CG) of the Government Accountability Office (GAO).⁴ Many of the audit and reporting responsibilities delineated in the act specifically reference the actions required in Sections 101 and 102 of the act to purchase troubled assets and to insure those assets.⁵

Questions have been raised by some observers as to whether these oversight and audit responsibilities are complimentary to one another, or are contradictory.⁶ This

³ The President has reportedly certified to Congress that the additional \$100 million will be needed. Stephen Joyce, “Treasury Office to Manage \$700 Billion Rescue Plan Pushes to Build Operations,” *BNA Daily Report for Executives*, October 16, 2008, p. C-1.

⁴ GAO’s responsibilities in the act are in addition to its existing responsibilities. For example, 31 U.S.C. §712(1) states that GAO is required to “investigate all matters related to the receipt, disbursement, and use of public money.” For more information on GAO, see CRS Report RL30349, *GAO: Government Accountability Office and General Accounting Office*, by Frederick M. Kaiser.

⁵ The act also requires certain reports from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and the Congressional Budget Office (CBO). For example, Section 202(a) requires OMB to report to the President and the Congress within 60 days of the first exercise of authority under Section 101(a) (or by December 31, 2008, whichever comes earlier), and semiannually thereafter on how much the troubled asset program is costing, how that cost estimate was derived, and how the estimate changed from the previous report. CBO is required to assess the OMB reports within 45 days of their submission to the Congress. Also, Section 201 of the act authorizes CBO and the Joint Committee on Taxation to receive “all information used by the Secretary in connection with activities authorized under this Act” to assist Congress with its oversight responsibilities.

⁶ See, for example, Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, “Financial Markets in Crisis: Section-by-
(continued...)

report provides a preliminary comparison and analysis of the specific authorities and responsibilities of the FSOB and the COP, and also compares and analyzes the roles and responsibilities of the Special IG and the CG as described in the act.

The Financial Stability Oversight Board and the Congressional Oversight Panel

As described in EESA, the FSOB and the COP are similar in some respects, but different in other respects. Also, the act is unclear with regard to several key aspects of one or both of these entities. The discussion below and in **Table 1** at the end of this report compares the FSOB and the COP on several relevant dimensions.

Placement Within the Government. The COP is described in Section 125(a) of the act as an “establishment of the legislative branch.” In contrast, Section 104(a) of the act simply says that the FSOB is “established,” but does not indicate whether the board is part of the executive or legislative branches. All five members of the FSOB are from the executive branch (the Chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Secretary of the Treasury, the Director of the Federal Housing Finance Agency, the Chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development). Therefore, one could reasonably presume that the FSOB is an executive branch entity.

Even if one makes that presumption, however, it is unclear whether the FSOB is intended to be a subunit within the Department of the Treasury or some other agency, or whether the board is intended to be a free-standing, independent entity. The organizational placement of the FSOB may have a number of implications, including whether the board is covered by a variety of statutory management and transparency requirements such as the Federal Records Act, the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the Freedom of Information Act, the Government in the Sunshine Act, and various human resources management and ethics requirements.⁷ Legislative branch entities like the COP are generally not subject to these requirements.

⁶ (...continued)

Section Analysis of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008,” available at [<http://blogs.law.harvard.edu/corpgov/files/2008/10/100208-analysis-economicsabilizati onact08.pdf>]. The authors note that the oversight entities “overlap considerably,” and that while Congress may want multiple perspectives, “the potential for these oversight entities to trip over each other in the course of their work is great.”

⁷ See, for example, CRS Report RL32592, *General Management Laws and the 9/11 Commission’s Proposed Office of National Intelligence Director (NID) and National Counterterrorism Center (NCTC)*, coordinated by Clinton T. Brass and Curtis W. Copeland. That report indicated that more general management laws would appear to cover the NID and NCTC if they were independent entities in the executive branch than if they were placed within the Executive Office of the President. If the FSOB is considered part of a federal department or agency or an independent agency, then most of these general management laws would appear to apply. For more information about these management statutes, see CRS Report RL30795, *General Management Laws: A Compendium*, coordinated by Clinton T. Brass.

Responsibilities. The listed responsibilities of the FSOB (in Section 104(a) of the act) and the COB (in Sections 125(b) and (e) of the act) are similar both in general and with regard to particular elements. For example, both entities are broadly responsible for reviewing the Secretary's actions in carrying out the requirements of the act, and both are specifically responsible for examining the effect of those actions on home ownership, financial markets, and costs to the taxpayers. As discussed in more detail below, both are also required to report to Congress on their findings. However, only the FSOB is charged with (1) making recommendations to the Secretary of the Treasury regarding the use of his authorities under the act; and (2) reporting any suspected fraud, misrepresentation, or malfeasance to the Special IG or the Attorney General of the United States. On the other hand, only the COP is specifically (1) permitted to hold hearings, take testimony, receive evidence, and administer oaths and affirmations to witnesses; and (2) required to report on the extent to which the information on transactions has contributed to market transparency. Also, if so authorized by the panel, any individual member or agent of the COP is authorized to take action on behalf of the panel; no such individualization of authority is permitted by the FSOB.

Access to Information. Section 125(e)(3) of the act authorizes the COP to obtain whatever information it needs to carry out its responsibilities from any federal department or agency, and the heads of those departments or agencies are required to provide the information requested by the chairperson of the panel. As noted previously, the COP is also permitted to take testimony and administer oaths and affirmations to witnesses. The act provides the FSOB no similar right to information from federal departments or agencies, and there does not appear to be any obligation on the part of those departments or agencies to provide that information to the FSOB. Neither the FSOB nor the COP have subpoena authority, and neither is authorized to request the Attorney General to compel agencies to provide needed information.⁸

Membership. As noted previously, Section 104(b) of the act states that the FSOB is comprised of the heads of five executive branch departments or agencies: the Chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Secretary of the Treasury, the Director of the Federal Housing Finance Agency, the Chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development. No provision is made for these agency heads to allow alternates to substitute for them.

Section 125(c) of the act is less directive regarding the members of the COP, merely specifying how members are to be appointed (i.e., one each by the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the minority leader of the House, the majority leader of the Senate, and the minority leader of the Senate; and one by the Speaker and the majority leader of the Senate, after consultation with the minority leaders in each house). The act does not require that members of the COP be from Congress or even from the legislative branch, but it clearly contemplates Members of Congress as potential members; Section 125(c)(3) specifies that members of the COP who are

⁸ In contrast, the legislation establishing the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board (121 Stat. 355) authorizes the Board to request the Attorney General to subpoena information on its behalf.

Members of Congress or full-time officers or employees of the federal government will receive no additional pay, allowances, or benefits. The act does not require that the members of the COP be appointed by a certain date, although (as discussed below) the COP is required to submit its first “regular report” within 30 days after the first exercise of the Secretary’s authority under Section 101(a) or 102 of the act, and is required to submit a “special report” on regulatory reform by January 20, 2009.

Leadership. Section 104(c) of the act specifies that the chairperson of the FSOB is elected by the members of the board, but the act excludes the Secretary of the Treasury from having a vote in that election. According to the interim Assistant Secretary for the Office of Financial Stability, on October 7, 2008, the members of the board selected the Chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System to be chairman of the FSOB.⁹ In contrast, although a chairperson of the COP is clearly contemplated by EESA (e.g., Section 125(c)(7) of the act authorizes the chairperson to call meetings), the legislation does not indicate how that chairperson is to be selected.

Pay for Members. The act is silent regarding pay for members of the FSOB, but presumably they would simply receive their regular salaries as the heads of the five agencies. On the other hand, Section 125(c)(2) of the act states that each member of the COP shall be paid at the daily rate of basic pay for level I of the Executive Schedule (the cabinet secretary level, which is \$191,300 per year in 2008) for each day that the member is performing duties “vested in the Commission.” (The act does not indicate what “commission” is referenced here, but the context suggest that the word “panel” should have been used instead of “commission.”) As noted previously, members of the COP who are Members of Congress or full-time federal officers or employees are not allowed to receive additional pay, allowances, or benefits.

Meetings/Quorum. The COP is not required to meet at any specific time; Section 125(c)(7) of the act states that its meetings are held at the call of the chairperson or a majority of its members. However, because the COP is required to submit its first “regular report” within 30 days after the first troubled assets are purchased or insured, meetings of the panel are likely before the report is submitted. Section 125(c)(5) specifies that four members of the COP constitute a quorum, although the act states that a lesser number may hold hearings.

Section 104(d) of the act requires the FSOB to meet two weeks after the first exercise of purchase authority of the Secretary of the Treasury, and monthly thereafter. However, the act does not mention what constitutes a quorum for FSOB action. According to the interim Assistant Secretary for the Office of Financial Stability, the FSOB met on October 7 — four days after the legislation was enacted and before the exercise of any purchase authority. At that meeting, the members reportedly selected the Chairman of the Federal Reserve to be chairman of the FSOB, adopted the board’s bylaws, and reviewed the TARP’s initial work streams.

⁹ See interim Assistant Secretary Kashkari’s remarks before the Institute of International Bankers, at [<http://www.cnbc.com/id/27160443>].

Staffing/Consultants. The act does not mention whether and, if so, how the FSOB is to be staffed, pay limits, or whether it can hire experts or consultants. In contrast, Section 125(d) of the act permits the COP to appoint and fix the pay of “any personnel the Commission considers appropriate.” (Again, the context suggests that the word “panel” should have been used instead of “commission.”) The COP is also permitted to procure temporary and intermittent experts and consultants for up to one year, and use employees detailed from any federal department or agency. No limits are specified on the number of employees, consultants, or detailees that the COP may obtain, and no limits are specified regarding their pay.

Reports by the Entities. Section 104(g) of the act requires the FSOB to report to the “appropriate committees” of Congress¹⁰ at least quarterly regarding the implementation of its responsibilities, but the act does not specifically indicate when those responsibilities begin. (For example, “quarterly” could mean every three months starting on the date the act was signed into law, or starting on the date that the Secretary of the Treasury begins exercising his authority under the act.) In contrast, Section 125(b)(1) of the act requires the COP to submit a “regular report” of the panel within 30 days after the first exercise of the Secretary’s authority under Section 101(a) or 102 of the act, and every 30 days thereafter.

In addition, the COP is required to submit a one-time “special report” by January 20, 2009, analyzing the current regulatory system and its effectiveness at overseeing the participants in the financial system and protecting consumers. The report is also required to contain recommendations for improvement, including whether other participants in the financial markets should be subject to the regulatory system, the rationale for such a recommendation, and whether there are any gaps in existing consumer protections.¹¹

Reports to the Entities. The act does not require the FSOB to be the recipient of any reports prepared by other entities, but several provisions in the act specify that certain reports are to be sent to the COP. For example, (1) Section 104(g) of the act requires the FSOB to provide to the COP its quarterly reports to Congress; (2) Section 105(d) states that any report to Congress by the Secretary that is required by Section 105 (i.e., “tranche” reports for each \$50 billion of purchases and a “regulatory modernization” report) shall also be provided to the COP; (3) Section 116(d) says that any report or audit required under Section 116 of the act (including Comptroller General reports) shall also be submitted to the COP; (4) Section 117(d) requires the CG’s report on “margin authority” to be provided to the COP; (5) Section 121(f)(3) provides that reports by the Special IG for the TARP shall

¹⁰ Section 3(1) of the act defines the term “appropriate committees of Congress” as the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, the Committee on Finance, the Committee on the Budget, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and the Committee on Financial Services, the Committee on Ways and Means, the Committee on the Budget, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

¹¹ S. 3652, introduced by Senator Maria Cantwell on September 29, 2008, would have established an independent, bipartisan commission to conduct a similar review of the U.S. financial system. The bill also would have established a special inspector general for financial markets oversight.

also be submitted to the COP; and (6) Section 129(e) states that the report to certain congressional committees on “exercise of loan authority” shall also be provided to the COP.

Funding. The act does not indicate whether and, if so, how the FSOB is to be funded. In contrast, the act specifies in Section 125(g) that the COP is authorized “such sums as may be necessary for any fiscal year,” and specifies that half of the funds are to be derived from the applicable account of the House of Representatives, and half from the contingent fund of the Senate. The act goes on to state in Section 125(h) that an amount equal to the expenses of the COP shall be promptly transferred by the Secretary from time to time upon the presentment of a statement of such expenses by the chairperson of the panel. The funds are to be taken from funds provided to the Secretary under the act and sent to the applicable fund of the House of Representatives and the contingent fund of the Senate.

Termination. Section 104(h) of the act requires that the FSOB and its authority terminate 15 days after (1) the date that the last troubled asset acquired under Section 101 has been sold or transferred out of the ownership of the federal government, or (2) the date of expiration of the last insurance contract under Section 102, whichever is later. Section 125(f) of the act states that the COP is to terminate six months after the authorities provided to the Secretary expire, which is described in Section 120 of the act as December 31, 2009, subject to extension. There are no requirements in the act that either the FSOB or the COP preserve any records, or to provide a means by which the public or others can access any records.

The Special Inspector General for the TARP and the Comptroller General’s Role in the Act

As was the case with the two general oversight bodies, the act’s descriptions of the duties and responsibilities of the Special IG for the TARP and the Comptroller General are similar in some respects, but differ in other ways. Also, certain elements of the Special IG’s operations appear to be undefined. The discussion below and in **Table 2** at the end of this report compares the roles of the Special IG and the CG with regard to several relevant dimensions.

Placement Within the Government. Pursuant to the *Bowsher v. Synar* decision of the Supreme Court, it is clear that GAO is a legislative branch agency, and can have no executive branch functions.¹² However, although Section 121(a) of EESA “established” the Office of the Special IG for the TARP, the act does not indicate where in the government that office is to be housed. Legislation establishing other special inspectors general, while not specifying organizational placement, contains other indications of where the entity was housed. For example, the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction was established by Section 3001 of P.L. 108-106, which provided that the IG was appointed by the Secretary of Defense (after consultation with the Secretary of State), and reported to the head of the Coalition Provisional Authority.

¹² *Bowsher v. Synar*, 478 U.S. 714, at 734 (1986).

The act is also unclear where the Special IG's offices are to be located. Section 116(a)(2)(A) requires the Secretary of the Treasury to provide GAO with appropriate audit space and facilities in the Department of the Treasury, but the act contains no similar requirement for the Special IG. However, Section 121(d)(1) of the act says that, in carrying out the duties delineated in the act in subsection (c), "the Special Inspector General shall have the authorities provided in section 6 of the Inspector General Act of 1978." Section 6 of the Inspector General Act (codified at 5 U.S.C Appendix) states, in relevant part, that the "head of an establishment shall provide the Office within such establishment with appropriate and adequate office space at central and field locations of such establishment, together with such equipment, office supplies, and communications facilities and services as may be necessary." Therefore, if the Special IG is determined to be located within the Department of the Treasury, then the Secretary may be required to provide the Special IG with space and facilities.¹³

Responsibilities. Section 116(a)(1) of the act describes the responsibilities of the Comptroller General with regard to the TARP, and Section 121(c)(1) describes the responsibilities of the Special IG. The general responsibilities of both the CG and the IG are similar, although stated somewhat differently. For example, once the TARP is established, the CG is to "commence ongoing oversight of the activities and performance of the TARP," including the performance of the TARP in meeting the purposes of this Act". The Special IG is to "conduct, supervise, and coordinate audits and investigations of the purchase, management, and sale of assets by the Secretary of the Treasury under any program established under section 101, and the management of the Secretary of any program established under section 102."

The two audit organizations' specific responsibilities are similar, but also differ in some ways. For example, while both the CG and the Special IG are required to identify the troubled assets acquired under the program, only the Special IG is charged with describing why the Secretary deemed it necessary to purchase each asset, and to list (with detailed biographical information) each person or entity hired to manage the troubled assets. The CG, on the other hand, is the only entity specifically required to examine the internal controls of the TARP, to examine the efficiency of TARP operations and contracting procedures, and to review the TARP's efforts to minimize conflicts of interest.

The act gives two responsibilities to the CG that are not given to the Special IG. Section 116(b)(1) of the act requires the CG to audit the TARP's financial statements, and Section 117 requires the CG to determine the extent to which leverage and sudden deleveraging of financial institutions was a factor behind the financial crisis, including the roles of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Secretary of the Treasury, and others.

¹³ According to the interim Assistant Secretary for the Office of Financial Stability, in anticipation of the upcoming nomination and confirmation of the Special IG, the Department of the Treasury has already begun meeting with the department's IG.

When Audits Begin. Section 121(b)(1) requires the President to nominate the Special IG “as soon as practicable after the establishment of any program under sections 101 and 102.” According to an interim final rule issued by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, on October 14, 2008, the Secretary of the Treasury announced a program within the TARP to provide capital to eligible financial institutions.¹⁴ Therefore, it appears that a “program” triggering the nomination of the Special IG has been established. The interim Assistant Secretary for the Office of Financial Stability said that Treasury officials were “working with the White House to identify candidates for possible nomination and confirmation in November.”¹⁵ Also, Section 121(e) of the act indicates that the Office of the Special IG is to be provided \$50 million from the proceeds of the sale of securities, including Treasury bills and savings bonds.¹⁶ However, the act does not indicate when or how those funds are to be provided, so it is unclear when the Office of the Special IG will be operational.

The act says that the CG’s audit functions are to begin “upon establishment of the troubled asset relief program.” According to the interim Assistant Secretary and the acting CG, GAO has already begun its reviews. GAO officials and staff reportedly met with Treasury officials on October 9, and they reviewed certain contracts on October 12.¹⁷ At least one Member of Congress has expressed support for these actions by GAO.¹⁸

Access to Information. The act provides both the CG and the Special IG with access to certain information, although those rights differ somewhat.¹⁹ Section 116(a)(2)(B) states that, consistent with applicable law, the CG has access to any information or “things” belonging to the TARP, and to its officers, employees, or agents. Section 121(e)(4) requires the heads of other agencies to furnish the Special IG with any requested information or assistance that is “practicable” and not in contravention to law. Therefore, the CG appears to have specific access rights to

¹⁴ See [<http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/press/bcreg/bcreg20081016a1.pdf>] for a copy of this rule.

¹⁵ See interim Assistant Secretary Kashkari’s remarks before the Institute of International Bankers, at [<http://www.cnbc.com/id/27160443>].

¹⁶ Specifically, Section 121(g) of the act says that “Of the amounts made available to the Secretary of the Treasury under section 118, \$50,000,000 shall be available to the Special Inspector General to carry out this section.” Section 118 states that the “Secretary may use the proceeds of the sale of any securities issued under chapter 31 of title 31, United States Code.” Chapter 31 of Title 31 (“Public Debt”) includes savings bonds and savings certificates (Section 3105), retirement and savings bonds (Section 3106), and other vehicles.

¹⁷ See interim Assistant Secretary Kashkari’s remarks before the Institute of International Bankers, at [<http://www.cnbc.com/id/27160443>].

¹⁸ Humberto Sanchez, “Frank Praises GAO for Oversight of Treasury Rescue Plan,” *Congress Daily*, October 14, 2008.

¹⁹ GAO has certain data access rights under existing law. For example, 31 U.S.C. §716(a) states that each agency is to “give the Comptroller General information the Comptroller General requires about the duties, powers, activities, organization, and financial transactions of the agency.”

information from the TARP, whereas the Special IG appears to have specific access only to information from other agencies. Also, the act requires that the CG be given “full facilities for verifying transactions with the balances or securities held by depositaries, fiscal agents, and custodians,” and is permitted to make and retain copies of any records. No similar rights are specifically afforded the Special IG.

As noted previously, however, Section 121(d)(1) of the act says that, in carrying out the duties delineated in the act in subsection (c), “the Special Inspector General shall have the authorities provided in section 6 of the Inspector General Act of 1978.” Section 6 of the Inspector General Act states, in relevant part, that each inspector general is authorized to “have access to all records, reports, audits, reviews, documents, papers, recommendations, or other material available to the applicable establishment which relate to programs and operations with respect to which that Inspector General has responsibilities under this Act.” That section goes on to authorize the IGs to require by enforceable subpoena the production of all information, documents, and other evidence needed in the performance of the functions assigned by the act.

Obligation of the TARP to Respond to Audits. Section 116(b)(3) of the act requires the TARP to address deficiencies that the CG identifies, or to certify to the appropriate committees of Congress that no action is needed. The act places no similar requirement on the TARP regarding audit findings by the Special IG.

Staffing/Contracting. Section 121(e) of the act permits the Special IG to select, appoint, and employ as many officers and employees as he or she deems necessary, but the selection, classification, and pay of those employees is governed by the requirements of current civil service law in Title 5, *United States Code*. That section also permits the Special IG to employ experts and consultants at a rate not to exceed GS-15 of the General Schedule (the top end of which is currently \$149,000 per year in Washington, D.C.), and to enter into contracts for other audits or studies. These authorities are similar to those provided to other statutory inspectors general under the Inspector General Act of 1978. The act contains no similar provisions for the CG, but GAO already has similar abilities under its existing statutory authorities.²⁰

Reports. Both the CG and the Special IG are required to submit reports regarding their audit activities to the appropriate committees of Congress, but some of the specifics are unclear and appear to be different. For example, Section 116(a)(3) requires the CG to submit GAO’s reports at least every 60 days, but does not specifically indicate when the first 60-day period begins. Section 121(f)(1) requires the Special IG’s reports to include a “detailed statement of all purchases, obligations, expenditures, and revenues associated with any program established by the Secretary of the Treasury under sections 101 and 102.” In contrast, the CG is simply required to submit reports of “findings under this section.” The CG is required to submit GAO’s reports to the Special IG; the Special IG is not required to

²⁰ For example, 31 U.S.C. §731 permits the Comptroller General to procure the services of up to 20 experts and consultants for up to three years at daily rates of pay for level IV of the Executive Schedule (currently \$149,000 per year).

reciprocate, but is required (by Section 121(f)(3) of the act) to submit its reports to the COP. Notably, in contrast to reports from statutory inspectors general, neither the CG's reports nor the Special IG's reports are sent to the Secretary of the Treasury or any other executive branch official. It is also unclear whether the Department of the Treasury will be allowed to comment on GAO's or the Special IG's findings before the reports are sent to Congress, or whether the reports are to be made public.

Funding. Section 116(a)(2)(C) of the act requires the Department of the Treasury to reimburse GAO for the full cost of any oversight activities that are billed by the CG. Section 116(b)(1) requires the department to reimburse GAO for the full cost of the audit of the TARP financial statement — a requirement that is similar to one in the Government Accountability Office Act of 2008 (P.L. 110-323).²¹

In contrast, Section 121(g) of the act requires that \$50 million of the funds from the proceeds of the sale of securities under Section 118 be provided to the Special IG to carry out his or her responsibilities. Section 118 of the act states that the Secretary “may use the proceeds of the sale of any securities issued under chapter 31 of title 31, United States Code” for the authorities granted in the act and the cost of administering those authorities. Chapter 31 of title 31 (“Public Debt”) includes Treasury bills, savings bonds and savings certificates, and other instruments. However, the timing and mechanics of the transfer of funds to the Special IG are unclear (e.g., whether the Special IG gets a one-time payment of \$50 million at the time the office is established, or whether that amount is to be provided over a longer period of time, as determined by the Secretary of the Treasury).

Termination. Section 121(h) of the act specifies that the Office of the Special IG terminates on the later of (1) the date the at the last troubled asset acquired under Section 101 has been sold or transferred, or (2) the date of expiration of the last insurance contract under Section 102.²² Section 116(e) says that the CG's oversight, audit, and reporting requirements under the act also terminate on those dates. Also, Section 116(a)(2)(A) states that the Secretary of the Treasury is to provide the CG with audit space and facilities until the termination date specified in Section 120 (which is December 31, 2009, subject to extension). There are no requirements in the act that either the CG or the Special IG preserve any records or provide a means by which the public or others can access any records.

Concluding Observations

Several aspects of the FSOB's establishment and operations are not clearly specified by EESA. For example, it is unclear where the FSOB is located within the federal government, or whether it is covered by a variety of general management and

²¹ Section 6 of that legislation provides that, after October 1, 2009, if GAO “audits any financial statement or related schedule which is prepared under section 3515 by an executive agency,” the agency must reimburse GAO for the cost of the audit if GAO audited the statement for FY2007.

²² In comparison, the posts of Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction and Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction are each to end 180 days after its parent entity's reconstruction funds are less than \$250 million.

transparency requirements. Also, unlike the COP, the act does not provide the FSOB with a statutory right to information from federal departments or agencies. When the FSOB meets, it is not clear whether all or a majority of members must be present to take action, as the act does not specify what constitutes a quorum. The act does not indicate whether, and if so how, the FSOB is to be funded or staffed, or whether it can employ consultants to help it carry out its mission. As a result, it may be difficult for the board to carry out some of the tasks that it has been assigned — particularly since several members of the FSOB are likely to change at the end of this Administration. Although the FSOB is required to report “quarterly,” the act does not specify when those quarterly periods begin. The FSOB also does not appear to receive any of the various reports that are provided to the COP (e.g., reports by the Special IG or the CG).

Although the establishment and operation of the COP is more specifically delineated in the act, some aspects of the COP’s operations are also unclear or unspecific. For example, the act provides the chairperson of the COP with certain duties and responsibilities (e.g., calling meetings of the panel), but does not indicate how the chairperson is to be selected.

There are also several issues that are unclear or uncertain regarding the two audit organizations provided for in the act — particularly with regard to the Special IG. For example, the act indicates that the President is to nominate the Special IG “as soon as practicable after the establishment of any program under sections 101 and 102.” However, the Interim Secretary for Financial Stability indicated that the nomination of a Special IG may not be made until November 2008. Also, the act states that the Special IG is to be provided \$50 million from the proceeds of the sale of securities to carry out the act’s requirements, but it is unclear when those payments are to be made and in what amounts.

In addition, although the act states that the Office of the Special IG is “established,” it does not indicate where the office will be housed, and (in contrast to the provisions regarding GAO) there is no specific requirement in the act that the Secretary of the Treasury or any other department or agency to provide the office with space or facilities. (However, if the Office of the Special IG is considered part of the Department of the Treasury, then the Secretary may be required by the Inspector General Act of 1978 to do so.) Finally, the act indicates that both the Office of the Special IG and GAO’s audit and reporting responsibilities under the act terminate on the date that the last asset is sold or the last insurance contract expires, whichever is later. If so, then it is unlikely that reports of the Special IG or GAO will reflect all relevant transactions, as some period after those dates will likely be needed to summarize the information and provide a complete historical record.

The duties and responsibilities of both oversight panels and both audit organizations clearly overlap in some areas. Congress may have wanted those overlaps to occur, providing it with differing perspectives on the same issues. However, in practice, those areas of overlap may prove to be counterproductive, particularly if the bodies are not sharing relevant information and, as a result, are reaching different conclusions based on incomplete or variable data.

Possible Reassessment of Oversight Mechanisms. More generally, there may need to be a reassessment of these oversight mechanisms in light of recent changes in the nature of the “troubled assets” involved. The two oversight panels and the audit responsibilities in EESA were established to review the asset acquisitions and sales under Section 101, and the insurance provisions under Section 102. Several specific requirements in the act, particularly the audit provisions, appear to contemplate that the “troubled assets” being purchased and insured under those sections are those defined in Section 3(9)(A) of the act — i.e., residential or commercial mortgages and any instruments based on those mortgages. For example,

- Section 121(c)(1)(F) of the act requires the Special IG to provide a “current estimate of the total amount of troubled assets purchased pursuant to any program established under section 101, the amount of troubled assets on the books of the Treasury, the amount of troubled assets sold, and the profit and loss incurred on each sale or disposition of each such troubled asset.” It also requires the IG to provide a “listing of the insurance contracts issued under section 102.”
- Section 116(a)(1) of the act states that the CG is to describe the “characteristics of transactions and commitments entered into, including transaction type, frequency, size, prices paid, and all other relevant terms and conditions, and the timing, duration and terms of any future commitments to purchase assets.” The CG is also required to describe the “characteristics and disposition of acquired assets, including type, acquisition price, current market value, sale prices and terms, and use of proceeds from sales.”

Sections 101 and 102 themselves seem to focus on these mortgage-based transactions. For example, Section 101 requires that the program guidelines that the Secretary of the Treasury must develop include “methods of pricing and valuing troubled assets.” Under Section 102, the Secretary is authorized to guarantee up to 100% of the principal and interest related to the assets. Also, several members of the FSOB appear to have been named to the board because of their relationship to the housing industry (e.g., the Director of the Federal Housing Finance Agency and the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development).

However, on October 14, 2008, the Secretary of the Treasury announced that initial funds from EESA would be used to purchase preferred shares of stock in certain banks, thereby utilizing the definition of a “troubled asset” provided in Section 3(9)(B) of the act (i.e., a financial instrument that the Secretary of the Treasury deems “necessary to promote financial stability”).²³ While the oversight and audit responsibilities of the COP, the FSOB, the CG, and the Special IG still apply to these purchases, the nature of their specific responsibilities may need to be

²³ David Cho, Neil Irwin, and Peter Whoriskey, “US Forces Nine Major Banks to Accept Partial Nationalization,” *Washington Post*, October 14, 2008, p. A-1; and Neil Irwin and David Cho, “Paulson’s Change in Rescue Tactics,” *Washington Post*, October 15, 2008, p. D-1.

reconsidered and redefined in light of the type of “troubled assets” being purchased. For example, given this change in approach, instead of (or in addition to) appointing certain members of the FSOB based on their experience in housing, members could be appointed based on their expertise in the banking industry (e.g., the head of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or the Comptroller of the Currency).

As noted previously, the Office of the Special IG is funded from the proceeds of the sale of troubled assets. The act also says that the FSOB, the Special IG, and GAO’s responsibilities terminate on or 15 days after the last troubled asset is sold or transferred, or after the last insurance contract expires. It is currently unclear when the Secretary of the Treasury will sell the preferred stock that he is expected to purchase, or whether there will be insurance contracts for those purchases. Therefore, it is unclear when the Special IG will be funded; and it is unclear whether and, if so, when these entities or responsibilities will end.

Oversight and the Balance of Power. Finally, and more generally, it is unclear how effective all of these oversight and audit requirements will be in ensuring transparency of the troubled assets program to the public, and in maintaining the balance of power between Congress and the executive branch. EESA established numerous reporting requirements for both oversight entities and both auditors. For example,

- Section 104(g) requires the FSOB to report to the appropriate committees of Congress and the COP at least quarterly on the Secretary’s exercise of authority under the act.
- Section (a)(3) requires the CG to submit reports of GAO’s findings at least every 60 days to the appropriate committees of Congress and the Special IG for the TARP.
- Section 121(f) requires the Special IG to submit reports every calendar quarter summarizing the activities of the IG to the appropriate committees of Congress and to the COP.
- Section 125(b)(1) requires the COP to submit reports every 30 days to Congress on (among other things) the use of the Secretary’s authority under the act and the impact of purchases on financial markets and institutions.

The act does not require any of these reports to be provided directly to the public, although Congress, other report recipients, or the developers of the reports may elect to make them public.

EESA gave the Secretary of the Treasury broad authority to purchase “troubled assets,” and defined that term to include any financial instrument that the Secretary deems “necessary to promote financial market stability.” Congress gave itself expedited legislative procedures to disapprove actions by the Secretary, but only after the first \$350 billion has been obligated. Congress can use its regular legislative procedures to disapprove actions regarding the first \$350 billion of asset purchases, but a presidential veto of any congressional action (whether through regular or

expedited procedures) will require a two-thirds vote of both houses of Congress to prevent the Secretary's actions from taking effect.

Senate confirmation of agency officials is another means by which Congress can provide oversight of executive branch actions, and that authority will be particularly relevant regarding the nomination of the Assistant Secretary of the Treasury for the Office of Financial Stability. However, the legislation permits the Secretary of the Treasury to appoint an interim Assistant Secretary, and places no limit on how long that appointee may serve. Given the scope of the Assistant Secretary's responsibilities and the need for immediate action, a nomination for the position may not be submitted during the remaining days of the 110th Congress. Therefore, the next opportunity for this type of congressional oversight may be when the new administration begins to be formed at the beginning of the 111th Congress.

Table 1. Characteristics of the Financial Stability Oversight Board and the Congressional Oversight Panel in the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008

	Financial Stability Oversight Board (FSOB) (Section 104)	Congressional Oversight Panel (COP) (Section 125)
Placement Within the Federal Government	Unclear. Subsection (a) simply says the FSOB is “established”.	(a) An “establishment of the legislative branch.”
Responsibilities	<p>(a) The FSOB is responsible for —</p> <p>(1) reviewing the exercise of authority under a program developed in accordance with this Act, including —</p> <p>— (A) policies implemented by the Secretary and the Office of Financial Stability created under Sections 101 and 102, including the appointment of financial agents, the designation of asset classes to be purchased, and plans for the structure of vehicles used to purchase troubled assets; and</p> <p>— (B) the effect of such actions in assisting American families in preserving home ownership, stabilizing financial markets, and protecting taxpayers;</p> <p>(2) making recommendations, as appropriate, to the Secretary regarding use of the authority under this Act; and</p> <p>(3) reporting any suspected fraud, misrepresentation, or malfeasance to the Special Inspector General for the Troubled Assets Relief Program or the Attorney General of the United States, consistent with Section 535(b) of title 28, United States Code.</p>	<p>(b) The COP shall review the current state of the financial markets and the regulatory system, and submit “regular reports” to Congress on —</p> <p>(1) the use by the Secretary of authority under the act, including the use of contracting authority and the administration of the program;</p> <p>(2) the impact of purchases made under the act on financial markets and financial institutions;</p> <p>(3) the extent to which information made available on transactions has contributed to market transparency; and</p> <p>(4) the effectiveness of foreclosure mitigation efforts and the effectiveness of the program from the standpoint of minimizing long-term costs to the taxpayers and maximizing the benefits for taxpayers.</p>

	Financial Stability Oversight Board (FSOB) (Section 104)	Congressional Oversight Panel (COP) (Section 125)
Other Authorities and Powers	<p>(e) In addition to the above responsibilities, the FSOB has the authority to ensure that the policies implemented by the Secretary are —</p> <p>(1) in accordance with the purposes of the Act;</p> <p>(2) in the economic interests of the United States; and</p> <p>(3) consistent with protecting taxpayers, in accordance with Section 113(a) (entitled “Minimization of Long-Term Costs and Maximization of Benefits for Taxpayers”)</p>	<p>(e)(1) In carrying out its responsibilities, the COP may hold hearings, “sit and act at times and places,” take testimony, receive evidence, and administer oaths and affirmations to witnesses.</p> <p>(e)(2) Any member or agent of the COP may, if authorized by the panel, take any action which the COP is authorized to take.</p>
Access to Data	No mention.	<p>(e)(3) The COP may secure directly from any department or agency of the U.S. information needed to carry out its responsibilities. Upon request of the chairperson of the COP, the head of any department or agency shall furnish such information.</p>
Membership	<p>(b) The FSOB is comprised of (1) Chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, (2) the Secretary of the Treasury; (3) the Director of the Federal Housing Finance Agency; (4) the Chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and (5) the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.</p>	<p>(c) The COP shall consist of five members, with one member each appointed by (1) the Speaker of the House of Representatives; (2) the minority leader of the House of Representatives; (3) the majority leader of the Senate; (4) the minority leader of the Senate; and (5) the Speaker and the majority leader of the Senate, after consultation with the minority leaders of each house.</p> <p>(c)(6) A vacancy on the COP shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.</p>
Leadership	<p>(c) The chairperson of the FSOB shall be elected by the members of the board (other than the Secretary)</p>	<p>Although Section (c)(7) authorizes a “chairperson” of the COP to call meetings, the act does not indicate how the chairperson is selected.</p>

	Financial Stability Oversight Board (FSOB) (Section 104)	Congressional Oversight Panel (COP) (Section 125)
Pay for Members	No mention	(c)(2) Each member of the COP shall be paid at the daily rate of basic pay for level I of the Executive Schedule (\$191,300 in 2008) for each day the member is performing duties in the Commission. (3) However, members of the COP who are Members of Congress or full-time federal officers or employees of the U.S. receive no additional pay, allowances, or benefits.
Meetings	(d) The FSOB shall meet two weeks after the first exercise of purchase authority of the Secretary and monthly thereafter.	(c)(7) The COP shall meet at the call of the chairperson or a majority of its members.
Quorum	No mention.	(c)(5) Four members of the COP constitute a quorum, but a lesser number may hold hearings.
Subordinate Bodies	(f) The FSOB may appoint a credit review committee to evaluate the use of the purchase authority provided under the Act and the assets acquired through the use of that authority.	No mention.
Staffing and Consultants	No mention	(d)(1) The COP may appoint and fix the pay of any personnel it deems appropriate. (d)(2) The COP may procure temporary and intermittent services under Section 3109(b) of title 5 (experts and consultants for up to one year). (d)(3) Upon request of the COP, the head of any federal department or agency may detail (on a reimbursable basis) any personnel of that department or agency to the COP.

	Financial Stability Oversight Board (FSOB) (Section 104)	Congressional Oversight Panel (COP) (Section 125)
Reports by the Entity	(g) The FSOB shall report to the appropriate committees of Congress and the COP not less than quarterly regarding its responsibilities under subsection (a).	<p>(b)(1)(B) The “regular reports” of the COP (described in subsection (a) above) shall be submitted within 30 days after the first exercise of the Secretary’s authority under Section 101(a) or 102, and every 30 days thereafter.</p> <p>(b)(2) The COP is also to submit a “special report on regulatory reform” not later than Jan. 20, 2009, analyzing the current regulatory system and its effectiveness at overseeing the participants in the financial system and protecting consumers, with recommendations for improvement (including whether other participants in the financial markets should be subject to the regulatory system, the rationale for such a recommendation, and whether there are any gaps in existing consumer protections).</p>
Reports to the Entity	No mention.	<p>Per Section 104(g) of the act, the FSOB is to provide its quarterly reports to Congress to the COP.</p> <p>Per Section 105(d), any report to Congress by the Secretary that is required by section 105 shall also be provided to the COP.</p> <p>Per Section 116(d), any report or audit required under section 116 of the act (including Comptroller General reports) shall also be submitted to the COP.</p> <p>Per Section 117(d), the Comptroller General’s report on “margin authority” is also to be provided to the COP.</p> <p>Per Section 121(f)(3), reports by the Special Inspector General for the TARP shall also be submitted to the COP.</p> <p>Per Section 129(e), the report to certain congressional committees on “exercise of loan authority” shall also be provided to the COP.</p>

	Financial Stability Oversight Board (FSOB) (Section 104)	Congressional Oversight Panel (COP) (Section 125)
Funding	No mention.	<p>(g) There is authorized to be appropriated to the COP such sums as may be necessary for any fiscal year, half of which shall be derived from the applicable account of the House of Representatives, and half from the contingent fund of the Senate.</p> <p>(h) An amount equal to the expenses of the COP shall be promptly transferred by the Secretary from time to time upon the presentment of a statement of such expenses by the chairperson of the panel. The funds are to be taken from funds provided to the Secretary under the act and sent to the applicable fund of the House of Representatives and the contingent fund of the Senate.</p>
Termination	(h) The FSOB and its authority shall terminate 15 days after the later of (1) the date that the last troubled asset acquired under Section 101 has been sold or transferred out of the ownership of the federal government, or (2) the date of expiration of the last insurance contract under Section 102.	The COP shall terminate 6 months after the termination date in Section 120 (Dec. 31, 2009, subject to extension)

Table 2. Responsibilities of the Comptroller General and the Special Inspector General for the TARP in the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008

	Comptroller General (CG) (Section 116)	Special Inspector General (IG) (Section 121)
Placement Within the Federal Government	GAO is a legislative branch agency (per <i>Bowsher v. Synar</i> , 478 U.S. 714, at 734 (1986)).	Unclear, although presumably within the Department of the Treasury (since the Secretary is authorized to establish the TARP).
Responsibilities	<p>(a) (1) The CG shall oversee the activities and performance of the TARP and its agents and representatives, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — (A) the performance of the TARP in meeting the purposes of the Act, particularly foreclosure mitigation, cost reduction, stability of financial markets or banking system, and protection of taxpayers; — (B) the financial condition and internal controls of the TARP and its agents; — (C) the characteristics of transactions and commitments entered into, including type, frequency, size, prices paid, terms/conditions, and terms of future commitments; — (D) the characteristics and disposition of acquired assets, including type, acquisition price, market value, sale prices and terms, and use of proceeds); — (E) the efficiency of TARP operations in using appropriated funds; — (F) the compliance of TARP and its agents with applicable laws and regulations; — (G) TARP’s efforts to prevent, identify, and minimize conflicts of interest by its agents; — (H) the efficiency of contracting procedures pursuant to Section 107(b) (minority and women contracting). <p>(b)(2) The CG may audit the programs/activities of the TARP, its agents, and any vehicles established.</p>	<p>(c) (1) The Special IG shall conduct, supervise, and coordinate audits and investigations of the purchase, management, and sale of assets under any Section 101 program, and the management of any program under Section 102, including by collecting and summarizing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — (A) a description of the categories of troubled assets purchased or procured by the Secretary; — (B) a listing of the troubled assets in each category; — (C) an explanation of why the Secretary deemed it necessary to purchase each asset; — (D) a listing of each institution selling those assets; — (E) a listing (with detailed biographical information) of each person or entity hired to manage such troubled assets; — (F) a current estimate of the amount of troubled assets on the books, purchased, and sold, and the profit or loss on each sale; and — (G) a listing of the insurance contracts issued. <p>(c)(3) In addition to the above, the Special IG shall also have the authorities provided to IGs in section 6 of the Inspector General Act of 1978.</p>

	Comptroller General (CG) (Section 116)	Special Inspector General (IG) (Section 121)
Obligation to Respond to Its Audits	(b)(3) The TARP is required to take action to address deficiencies identified by the CG or other auditor engaged by the TARP, or to certify to the appropriate committees of Congress that no action is needed.	No specific provision (although the Inspector General Act requires agencies to furnish requested information or assistance).
Audit Space and Facilities	(a)(2)(A) The Secretary shall provide appropriate audit space and facilities in the Department of the Treasury.	No specific provision (although the Inspector General Act requires agencies to furnish office space and facilities).
Staffing and Contracting	No provision (although GAO will likely use existing staff and contracting authority)	(e) The Special IG may (1) select, appoint, and employ such officers and employees as may be necessary, subject to the provisions of title 5 regarding appointments, classification, and pay; (2) employ experts and consultants at daily rates not to exceed GS-15; and (3) enter into contracts or other arrangements for audits and studies as may be necessary.
Access to Data and Records	(a)(2)(B) To the extent consistent with law, the CG has access to any information, data, reports, papers, or things belonging to or used by the TARP, and to officers, agents, advisors, and employees. The CG shall be given full facilities for verifying transactions, and may make and retain copies of any records.	(e)(4) Upon request of the IG, the head of other agencies shall furnish any requested information or assistance that is practicable and not in contravention of law. If information or assistance is unreasonably refused, the IG shall report to the appropriate committees of Congress without delay.

	Comptroller General (CG) (Section 116)	Special Inspector General (IG) (Section 121)
Appointment, Removal, and Pay of the Auditor	N/A	(b)(1) The Special IG is to be appointed by the President, with the advice and consent of the Senate. (2) The appointment is to be made on the basis of integrity and demonstrated ability in accounting, auditing, financial analysis, law, management analysis, public administration, or investigation. (3) The nomination is to be made as soon as practicable after the establishment of any program under Sections 101 and 102. (4) The IG may be removed by the President, with communication of the reason to Congress. (6) The IG shall be paid the same as other presidentially-appointed IGs.
Reports	<p>(a)(3) The CG shall submit reports of findings to the appropriate committees of Congress and to the Special IG for the TARP at least every 60 days. The CG may also submit special reports as warranted by GAO's findings.</p> <p>(b)(1) The CG is to annually audit the TARP's financial statements.</p> <p>Also, Section 117 requires the CG to determine the extent to which leverage and sudden deleveraging of financial institutions was a factor behind the crisis, including the roles of the Board of Governors, the SEC, the Secretary, and others.</p>	<p>(f)(1) The Special IG shall submit a report to the appropriate committees of Congress summarizing the IG's activities during the relevant period within 60 days after confirmation and each calendar quarter thereafter. Each report shall include a detailed statement of all purchases, obligations, expenditures, and revenues associated with any program under Sections 101 and 102, as well as information under subsection (c)(1).</p> <p>(f)(2) None of the above authorizes the disclosure of information that is prohibited from disclosure by law or executive order, or part of a criminal investigation.</p> <p>(f)(3) The reports shall also be submitted to the COP.</p>

	Comptroller General (CG) (Section 116)	Special Inspector General (IG) (Section 121)
Funding	<p>(a)(2)(C) Treasury shall reimburse GAO for the full cost of any oversight activities as billed by the CG.</p> <p>(b)(1) Treasury is also to reimburse GAO for the full cost of the audit of the TARP financial statement.</p> <p>In both cases, the reimbursements are credited to GAO’s “salaries and expenses” appropriation account and available until expended.</p>	<p>(g) Of the funds available to the Secretary of the Treasury under Section 118 (from the sale of securities), \$50 million shall be provided to the Special IG until expended.</p>
Termination	<p>(e) Any oversight, reporting, or audit requirement terminates on the later of (1) the date that the last troubled asset acquired under Section 101 has been sold or transferred or (2) the date of expiration of the last insurance contract under Section 102.</p> <p>(a)(2) Secretary is to provide the CG with space and facilities until the termination date in Section 120 (Dec. 31, 2009, subject to extension).</p>	<p>(h) The Office of the Special IG shall terminate on the later of (1) the date that the last troubled asset acquired under Section 101 has been sold or transferred or (2) the date of expiration of the last insurance contract under Section 102.</p>