

# U.S. Arms Sales: Agreements with and Deliveries to Major Clients, 1999-2006

#### Richard F. Grimmett

Specialist in International Security

December 20, 2007

Congressional Research Service 7-5700 www.crs.gov RL34291

#### Summary

This report provides background data on United States arms sales agreements with and deliveries to its major purchasers during *calendar years* 1999-2006. In a series of data tables, it lists the total dollar values of U.S. government-to-government arms sales *agreements* with its top five purchasers in five specific regions of the world for three specific periods: 1999-2002, 2003-2006, and 2006 alone, and the total dollar values of U.S. arms *deliveries* to its top five purchasers in those same regions for the periods 1999-2002, 2003-2006, and for 2006 alone. In addition, the report provides data tables listing the total dollar values of U.S. government-to-government arms *agreements* with and *deliveries* to its top 10 purchasers *worldwide* for the periods 1999-2002, 2003-2006, and for 2006 alone.

This report is prepared in conjunction with the annual CRS report for Congress entitled CRS Report RL34187, *Conventional Arms Transfers to Developing Nations, 1999-2006*, by (name redac ted). That report details both U.S. and foreign arms transfer activities globally and provides analysis of arms trade trends. The intent here is to complement that elaborate *worldwide* treatment of the international arms trade by providing only the dollar values of U.S. arms sales agreements with and delivery values to its leading customers, by geographic region, for the calendar years 1999-2002, 2003-2006, and 2006. Unlike CRS Report RL34187, *Conventional Arms Transfers to Developing Nations, 1999-2006*, this annual report focuses exclusively on U.S. arms sales and provides the specific names of the major U.S. arms customers, by region, together with the total dollar values of their arms purchases or deliveries. This report will not be updated.

#### Contents

U.S. Agreements with Leading Purchasers, 1999-2006	. 1
U.S. Deliveries to Leading Purchasers, 1999-2006	. 3

#### Tables

Table 1. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values of Africa         Agreements Concluded	1
Table 2. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values of         American Republics Agreements Concluded	2
Table 3. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values of Asia         Agreements Concluded	2
Table 4. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values of Near         East Agreements Concluded	2
Table 5. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values of         Europe Agreements Concluded	3
Table 6. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values of         Worldwide Agreements Concluded	3
Table 7. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values of Africa         Deliveries Concluded	4
Table 8. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values of         American Republics Deliveries Concluded	4
Table 9. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values of Asia         Deliveries Concluded	4
Table 10. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values of Near         East Deliveries Concluded	5
Table 11. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values of         Europe Deliveries Concluded.	5
Table 12. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values of         Worldwide Deliveries Concluded	
	-

#### Contacts

Author C	ontact Information	6
----------	--------------------	---

his report provides background data on U.S. arms sales agreements with and deliveries to its major purchasers during calendar years 1999-2006. It provides the total dollar values of U.S. arms *agreements* with its top five purchasers in five specific regions of the world for the periods 1999-2002, 2003-2006, and for 2006, and the total dollar values of U.S. arms *deliveries* to its top five purchasers in five specific regions for those same years. In addition, the report provides a listing of the total dollar values of U.S. arms agreements with and deliveries to its top 10 purchasers for the periods 1999-2002, 2003-2006, and for 2006. The data are official, unclassified, United States Defense Department figures compiled by the Defense Security Cooperation Agency (DSCA), unless otherwise indicated. The data have been restructured for this report by DSCA from a *fiscal year* format to a *calendar year* format. Thus a year in this report covers the period from January 1-December 31, and not the fiscal year period from October 1-September 30.<sup>1</sup>

#### U.S. Agreements with Leading Purchasers, 1999-2006

The following regional tables (**Tables 1-5**) provide the total dollar values of all U.S. defense articles and defense services *sold* to the top five purchasers in each region indicated for the *calendar year(s)* noted. These values represent the total value of all government-to-government agreements actually concluded between the United States and the foreign purchaser under the Foreign Military Sales (FMS) program during the calendar year(s) indicated.<sup>2</sup> In **Table 6**, the total dollar values of all U.S. defense articles and defense services sold to the top 10 purchasers worldwide is provided for calendar year period noted. All totals are expressed as current U.S. dollars.

#### Table 1. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values of Africa Agreements Concluded

Africa Agreements 1999-2002	Africa Agreements 2003-2006	Africa Agreements 2006
I Nigeria \$21 million	I Djibouti \$28 million	I Ethiopia \$9 million
<b>2</b> Kenya \$7 million	<b>2</b> Kenya \$26 million	<b>2</b> Uganda \$3 million
<b>3</b> Guinea \$3 million	<b>3</b> Ethiopia \$14 million	3 Chad \$2 million
<b>4</b> Botswana \$3 million	<b>4</b> South Africa \$13 million	<b>4</b> Kenya \$1 million
5 South Africa \$3 million	5 Nigeria \$12 million	5 Liberia \$1 million

. . . . . ..

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Defense Security Cooperation Agency (DSCA) publishes an annual volume providing these data in a *fiscal year* format. This publication is titled Foreign Military Sales, Foreign Military Construction Sales, and Military Assistance Facts. It provides detailed U.S. annual transactions with countries and international organizations for the most recent ten *fiscal* years, as of the date of publication, as well as aggregate data for these transactions since FY1950. See DSCA website for this data under DSCA Facts Book at http://www.dsca.osd.mil/. For detailed worldwide arms transfer data for U.S. and foreign suppliers and recipients, see CRS Report RL34187, Conventional Arms Transfers to Developing Nations, 1999-2006, by (name redacted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Current U.S. law and regulations do not require U.S. companies to provide, routinely and systematically, data on arms sales agreements actually concluded with foreign purchasers resulting from commercial licenses authorized by the U.S. State Department. Thus, with one very notable exception relating to the United Arab Emirates (U.A.E.) in 2000, the agreement data in the following tables do not include the values of U.S. licensed commercial sales.

## Table 2. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values ofAmerican Republics Agreements Concluded

American Republics Agreements 1999-2002	American Republics Agreements 2003-2006	American Republics Agreements 2006
I Chile \$555 million	I Canada \$1.23 billion	I Canada \$480 million
<b>2</b> Canada \$447 million	<b>2</b> Colombia \$541 millionª	2 Colombia \$179 million
<b>3</b> Colombia \$289 millionª	<b>3</b> Brazil \$162 million	3 Chile \$40 million
4 Brazil \$106 million	4 Chile \$59 million	<b>4</b> Argentina \$10 million
5 Venezuela \$55 million	<b>5</b> Argentina \$32 million	5 Mexico \$10 million

(in current U.S. dollars, rounded to nearest million)

a. Includes FMS sales related to international narcotics interdiction programs.

## Table 3. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values ofAsia Agreements Concluded

Asia Agreements 1999-2002	Asia Agreements 2003-2006	Asia Agreements 2006
I South Korea \$3.5 billion	I Australia \$3.9 billion	I Australia \$2.6 billion
<b>2</b> Japan \$2.0 billion	<b>2</b> Japan \$3.4 billion	<b>2</b> Japan \$890 million
<b>3</b> Singapore \$1.6 billion	<b>3</b> South Korea \$1.7 billion	<b>3</b> South Korea \$540 million
<b>4</b> Taiwan \$1.1 billion	<b>4</b> Taiwan \$1.1 billion	<b>4</b> Singapore \$370 million
5 Australia \$1.0 billion	<b>5</b> Singapore \$710 million	5 Thailand \$60 million

(in current U.S. dollars, rounded to nearest 10 million or 10<sup>th</sup> of a billion)

# Table 4. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values ofNear East Agreements Concluded

(in current U.S. dollars, rounded to nearest 10 million or 10<sup>th</sup> of a billion)

Near East Agreements 1999-2002	Near East Agreements 2003-2006	Near East Agreements 2006
I U.A.E. \$7.3 billionª	I Saudi Arabia \$4.5 billion	I Israel 1.2 billion
<b>2</b> Israel \$6.7 billion	<b>2</b> Egypt \$4.3 billion	<b>2</b> Saudi Arabia \$1.1 billion
<b>3</b> Egypt \$6.5 billion	<b>3</b> Israel \$3.0 billion	3 Iraq \$920 million
<b>4</b> Saudi Arabia \$2.7 billion	<b>4</b> Kuwait \$1.3 billion	<b>4</b> Kuwait \$390 million
5 Kuwait \$1.6 billion	<b>5</b> U.A.E. \$1.2 billion	5 Egypt \$280 million

a. Includes a \$6.432 billion licensed commercial agreement concluded in 2000 for 80 F-16 fighter aircraft.

## Table 5. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values ofEurope Agreements Concluded

	,	)
Europe Agreements 1999-2002	Europe Agreements 2003-2006	Europe Agreements 2006
I Greece \$3.5 billion	I Poland \$3.9 billion	I Turkey \$850 million
<b>2</b> U. K. \$1.5 billion	<b>2</b> Turkey \$2.9 billion	<b>2</b> Spain \$660 million
<b>3</b> Italy \$1.2 billion	<b>3</b> Greece <b>\$2.6</b> billion	<b>3</b> Netherlands \$270 million
<b>4</b> Turkey \$1.0 billion	<b>4</b> U.K. \$1.5 billion	4 Germany \$190 million
5 Netherlands \$910 million	5 Netherlands \$1.1 billion	5 U.K. \$150 million

(in current U.S. dollars, rounded to nearest 10 million or 10<sup>th</sup> of a billion)

## Table 6. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values ofWorldwide Agreements Concluded

Worldwide Agreements 1999-2002	Worldwide Agreements 2003-2006	Worldwide Agreements 2006
I U.A.E. \$7.3 billion <sup>a</sup>	I Saudi Arabia \$4.5 billion	I Australia \$2.6 billion
<b>2</b> Israel \$6.7 billion	<b>2</b> Egypt \$4.3 billion	<b>2</b> Israel \$1.2 billion
<b>3</b> Egypt \$6.5 billion	<b>3</b> Poland \$3.9 billion	<b>3</b> Saudi Arabia \$1.1 billion
<b>4</b> South Korea \$3.5 billion	<b>4</b> Australia \$3.9 billion	4 Iraq \$920 million
<b>5</b> Greece \$3.5 billion	<b>5</b> Japan \$3.4 billion.	5 Japan \$890 million
<b>6</b> Saudi Arabia \$3.5 billion	<b>6</b> Israel \$3.0 billion	<b>6</b> Turkey \$850 million
<b>7</b> Japan \$2.0 billion	<b>7</b> Turkey \$2.9 billion	7 Spain \$660 million
8 Kuwait \$1.6 billion	8 Greece \$2.6 billion	<b>8</b> South Korea <b>\$540</b> million
<b>9</b> Singapore \$1.6 billion	<b>9</b> South Korea \$1.7 billion	9 Canada \$480 million
10 U.K. \$1.5 billion	10 U.K. \$1.5 billion	<b>IO</b> Kuwait \$390 million

(in current U.S. dollars, rounded to nearest 10 million or 10<sup>th</sup> of a billion)

a. Includes a \$6.432 billion licensed commercial agreement in 2000 for 80 F-16 fighter aircraft.

#### U.S. Deliveries to Leading Purchasers, 1999-2006

The following regional tables (**Tables 7-11**) provide the total dollar values of all U.S. defense articles and defense services *delivered* to the top five purchasers in each region indicated for the *calendar year(s)* noted for all deliveries under the U.S. Foreign Military Sales (FMS) program. These values represent the total value of all government-to-government *deliveries actually concluded* between the United States and the foreign purchaser under the FMS program during the *calendar year(s)* indicated.

In **Table 12**, the total dollar values of all U.S. defense articles and defense services *actually delivered* to the top 10 purchasers *worldwide* is provided. The *delivery* totals are for FMS deliveries concluded for the *calendar* year(s) noted.

## Table 7. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values of Africa Deliveries Concluded

Africa Deliveries 1999-2002	Africa Deliveries 2003-2006	Africa Deliveries 2006
I Nigeria \$8 million	I Kenya \$17 million	I Ethiopia \$3 million
<b>2</b> Ethiopia \$7 million	2 Nigeria \$16 million	<b>2</b> Kenya \$2 million
<b>3</b> Kenya \$7 million	<b>3</b> Ethiopia \$10 million	<b>3</b> South Africa \$2 million
<b>4</b> Mali \$2 million	<b>4</b> South Africa \$10 million	<b>4</b> Uganda \$2 million
5 Ghana \$2 million	5 Djibouti \$8 million	<b>5</b> Botswana \$2 million

(in current U.S. dollars, rounded to nearest million)

## Table 8. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values ofAmerican Republics Deliveries Concluded

American Republics Deliveries 1999-2002	American Republics Deliveries 2003-2006	American Republics Deliveries 2006
I Canada \$375 million	I Canada \$681 million	I Chile \$251 million
<b>2</b> Colombia \$300 millionª	2 Chile \$457 million	<b>2</b> Canada \$215 million
<b>3</b> Brazil \$177 million	<b>3</b> Colombia \$179 millionª	<b>3</b> Colombia \$84 millionª
<b>4</b> Venezuela \$79 million	<b>4</b> Brazil \$87 million	<b>4</b> Brazil \$48 million
5 Argentina \$54 million	<b>5</b> Venezuela \$38 million	<b>5</b> Venezuela \$8 million

(in current U.S. dollars, rounded to nearest million)

a. Includes deliveries of defense articles and services previously sold that are related to international narcotics programs.

## Table 9. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values ofAsia Deliveries Concluded

Asia Deliveries 1999-2002	Asia Deliveries 2003-2006	Asia Deliveries 2006
I Taiwan \$5.8 billion	I Taiwan \$4.1 billion	I Australia \$1.7 billion
<b>2</b> South Korea \$3.2 billion	<b>2</b> Australia \$2.5 billion	<b>2</b> Taiwan \$970 million
<b>3</b> Japan \$1.9 billion	<b>3</b> South Korea \$2.4 billion	<b>3</b> South Korea <b>\$610</b> million
<b>4</b> Australia \$1.2 billion	<b>4</b> Japan \$1.8 billion	<b>4</b> Japan \$560 million
<b>5</b> Singapore \$1.0 billion	<b>5</b> Singapore <b>\$960</b> million	<b>5</b> Singapore \$370 million

(in current U.S. dollars, rounded to nearest 10 million or 10<sup>th</sup> of a billion)

## Table 10. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values of Near East Deliveries Concluded

Υ.	,	/	
Near East Deliveries 1999-2002	Near East Deliveries 2003-2006	Near East Deliveries 2006	
I Saudi Arabia \$8.9 billion	I Israel \$5.2 billion	I Israel \$1.5 billion	_
<b>2</b> Egypt \$4.5 billion	<b>2</b> Egypt \$5.1 billion	<b>2</b> Egypt \$1.2 billion	
<b>3</b> Israel \$3.3 billion	<b>3</b> Saudi Arabia \$4.4 billion	<b>3</b> Saudi Arabia \$1.0 billion	
<b>4</b> Kuwait \$1.3 billion	<b>4</b> Kuwait <b>\$910</b> million	<b>4</b> Kuwait \$300 million	
<b>5</b> Bahrain \$530 million	5 U.A.E. \$620 million	5 Oman \$290 million	

(in current U.S. dollars, rounded to nearest 10 million or 10<sup>th</sup> of a billion)

## Table 11. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values ofEurope Deliveries Concluded

Europe Deliveries 1999-2002	Europe Deliveries 2003-2006	Europe Deliveries 2006
I Greece \$1.8 billion	I Greece \$3.2 billion	I Netherlands \$800 million
<b>2</b> Turkey \$1.6 billion	<b>2</b> U.K. \$1.6 billion	2 Poland \$690 million
<b>3</b> U.K. \$1.6 billion	<b>3</b> Netherlands \$1.5 billion	<b>3</b> Greece \$440 million
<b>4</b> Finland \$1.5 billion	4 Turkey \$980 million	<b>4</b> U.K. \$330 million
5 Netherlands \$1.1 billion	5 Italy \$890 million	<b>5</b> Italy \$280 million

(in current U.S. dollars, rounded to nearest 10 million or 10<sup>th</sup> of a billion)

# Table 12. Leading Purchasers of U.S. Defense Articles and Services, Total Values ofWorldwide Deliveries Concluded

(in current U.S. dollars, rounded to nearest 10 million or 10<sup>th</sup> of a billion)

	Worldwide Deliveries 2003-2006	,	
Worldwide Deliveries I 999-2002		Worldwide Deliveries 2006	
I Saudi Arabia \$8.9 billion	I Israel \$5.2 billion	I Australia \$1.7 billion	
<b>2</b> Taiwan \$5.8 billion	<b>2</b> Egypt \$5.1 billion	<b>2</b> Israel \$1.5 billion	
<b>3</b> Egypt \$4.5 billion	<b>3</b> Saudi Arabia \$4.4 billion	<b>3</b> Egypt \$1.2 billion	
<b>4</b> Israel \$3.3 billion	<b>4</b> Taiwan \$4.1 billion	<b>4</b> Saudi Arabia \$1.0 billion	
<b>5</b> South Korea \$3.2 billion	<b>5</b> Greece \$3.2 billion	5 Taiwan \$970 million	
<b>6</b> Japan \$1.9 billion	<b>6</b> Australia \$2.5 billion	6 Netherlands \$800 million	
7 Greece \$1.8 billion	<b>7</b> South Korea \$2.4 billion	7 Poland \$690 million	
8 Turkey \$1.6 billion	<b>8</b> Japan \$1.8 billion	<b>8</b> South Korea <b>\$610</b> million	
<b>9</b> U.K. \$1.6 billion	<b>9</b> U.K. \$1.6 billion	<b>9</b> Japan \$560 million	
10 Finland \$1.5 billion	<b>10</b> Netherlands \$1.5 billion	10 Greece \$440 million	

#### **Author Contact Information**

(name redacted) Specialist in International Security /redacted/@crs.loc.gov, 7-....

#### EveryCRSReport.com

The Congressional Research Service (CRS) is a federal legislative branch agency, housed inside the Library of Congress, charged with providing the United States Congress non-partisan advice on issues that may come before Congress.

EveryCRSReport.com republishes CRS reports that are available to all Congressional staff. The reports are not classified, and Members of Congress routinely make individual reports available to the public.

Prior to our republication, we redacted names, phone numbers and email addresses of analysts who produced the reports. We also added this page to the report. We have not intentionally made any other changes to any report published on EveryCRSReport.com.

CRS reports, as a work of the United States government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

Information in a CRS report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to members of Congress in connection with CRS' institutional role.

EveryCRSReport.com is not a government website and is not affiliated with CRS. We do not claim copyright on any CRS report we have republished.