



# **“Sense of” Resolutions and Provisions**

**Christopher M. Davis**

Analyst on the Congress and Legislative Process

April 20, 2007

**Congressional Research Service**

7-5700

[www.crs.gov](http://www.crs.gov)

98-825

One or both houses of Congress may formally express opinions about subjects of current national interest through freestanding simple or concurrent resolutions (called generically “sense of the House,” “sense of the Senate,” or “sense of the Congress” resolutions). These opinions may also be added to pending legislative measures by amendments expressing the views of one or both chambers. This report<sup>1</sup> identifies the various forms these expressions may take and the procedures governing such actions. See <http://www.crs.gov/products/guides/guidehome.shtml> for more information on legislative process.

## **“Sense of” Resolutions**

Sense of the House or Senate resolutions take the form of simple resolutions because they only require the approval of one chamber. A sense of Congress resolution, on the other hand, must be a concurrent resolution as both the House and Senate must approve such measures. Joint resolutions are not typically used for expressions of congressional opinion because joint resolutions generally require presidential approval.

“Sense of” resolutions are considered under the normal legislative processes of each chamber applicable to any other legislative vehicle. Because “sense of” resolutions do not involve the expenditure of public funds, such resolutions when reported from House committees are placed on the House calendar. Typically, the House considers them through suspension motions, by unanimous consent request, or under the terms of a special rule reported from the Committee on Rules. The Senate normally takes up “sense of” resolutions by unanimous consent or, more infrequently, they are automatically laid before the Senate under the “resolutions ... over, under the Rule” process (Senate Rule XIV).

A “sense of” resolution is not legally binding because it is not presented to the President for his signature. Even if a “sense of” provision is incorporated into a bill that becomes law, such provisions merely express the opinion of Congress or the relevant chamber. They have no formal effect on public policy and are not considered law.

## **“Sense of” Provisions**

Besides expressing such views through simple or concurrent resolutions, Congress may attach such provisions to a bill by way of floor or committee amendment. In the House, a “sense of” amendment must be germane to the overall measure and to the particular portion of the bill to which it is added. Violations of the germaneness rule can be overcome through motions to suspend the rules or by provisions in a special rule waiving certain points of order.

Senate rules give more latitude to Senators to offer “sense of” amendments in committees or on the floor. In general, the rules of the Senate normally do not require amendments to be germane to the pending bill. Germaneness of amendments is required once the Senate invokes cloture. “Sense of Congress” or “sense of the Senate” amendments offered post-cloture are germane if the subject of the “sense of” amendment falls within the jurisdiction of the committee reporting the underlying bill. “Sense of Congress” or “Sense of the Senate” amendments offered to budget

---

<sup>1</sup> This report was written by Paul S. Rundquist, formerly a Specialist in American National Government at CRS. Dr. Rundquist has retired, but the listed author updated the report and is available to answer questions concerning its contents.

resolutions or reconciliation bills are out of order, even if germane, pursuant to language contained in the conference report on the budget resolution for FY2001.<sup>2</sup> Formerly, the Senate permitted “sense” amendments on appropriations bills. However, in May 2000, the Senate voted to overturn a ruling of the chair so that the Senate’s presiding officer now has the authority to rule on the germaneness of “sense of the Senate” or “sense of Congress” amendments offered to appropriations bills, and to declare any non-germane “sense” amendments out of order.<sup>3</sup>

## **Content of “Sense of” Resolutions and Provisions**

“Sense of” resolutions and amendments expressing the sense of one or both houses of Congress have been offered on many subjects. A survey of “sense of” resolutions and amendments adopted during the 109<sup>th</sup> Congress shows that many of them focused on foreign policy matters, particularly resolutions that express the sense of the Senate. However, “sense of” proposals were forwarded on a wide range of other subjects, including stressing a particular domestic policy priority, recognizing a historic milestone or figure, honoring a former Senator and calling for certain federal agencies or officials to take, or refrain from taking, a specified action.

Although “sense of” proposals have no force in law, foreign governments pay close attention to them as evidence of shifts in U.S. foreign policy priorities. On domestic issues, agencies also monitor “sense of” provisions because they may be an early signal that Congress will alter formal statutory provisions, if the informal nature of “sense of” provisions does not influence agency policy.

## **Author Contact Information**

Christopher M. Davis  
Analyst on the Congress and Legislative Process  
cmdavis@crs.loc.gov, 7-0656

---

<sup>2</sup> U.S. Congress, Conference Committees, 2000, *Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2001*, conference report to accompany H.Con.Res. 290, 106<sup>th</sup> Cong., 2<sup>nd</sup> sess., H.Rept. 106-577 (Washington, GPO: 2000), sec. 204(g), pp. 15-16.

<sup>3</sup> Sen. Trent Lott, “Military Construction Appropriations,” remarks in the Senate, *Congressional Record*, daily edition (electronic version), vol. 146 (May 17, 2000), pp. S4062-S4063.