

CRS Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

Marine Dead Zones: Understanding the Problem

Updated September 20, 2006

Eugene H. Buck
Specialist in Natural Resources Policy
Resources, Science, and Industry Division

Marine Dead Zones: Understanding the Problem

Summary

An adequate level of dissolved oxygen is necessary to support most forms of aquatic life. Very low levels of dissolved oxygen (hypoxia) in bottom-water *dead zones* are natural phenomena, but can be intensified by certain human activities. Hypoxic areas are more widespread during the summer, when algal blooms stimulated by spring runoff decompose to diminish oxygen. Such hypoxic areas may drive out or kill animal life, and usually dissipate by winter.

The largest hypoxic area affecting the United States is in the northern Gulf of Mexico near the mouth of the Mississippi River, but there are others as well. Most U.S. coastal estuaries and many developed nearshore areas suffer from varying degrees of hypoxia, causing various environmental damages. Research has been conducted to better identify the human activities that affect the intensity and duration of, as well as the area affected by, hypoxic events, and to begin formulating control strategies.

Near the end of the 105th Congress, the Harmful Algal Bloom and Hypoxia Research and Control Act of 1998 was signed into law as Title VI of P.L. 105-383. Provisions of this act authorize appropriations through NOAA for research, monitoring, education, and management activities to prevent, reduce, and control hypoxia. Under this legislation, an integrated Gulf of Mexico hypoxia assessment was completed in the late 1990s. In 2004, Title I of P.L. 108-456, the Harmful Algal Bloom and Hypoxia Amendments Act of 2004, expanded this authority and reauthorized appropriations through FY2008.

As knowledge and understanding have increased concerning the possible impacts of hypoxia, congressional interest in monitoring and addressing the problem has grown. The issue of hypoxia is seen as a search for (1) increased scientific knowledge and understanding of the phenomenon, as well as (2) cost-effective actions that might diminish the size of hypoxic areas by changing practices that promote their growth and development. This report presents an overview of the causes of hypoxia, the U.S. areas of most concern, federal legislation, and relevant federal research programs. This report will be updated as circumstances warrant.

Contents

Introduction and Background	1
Hypoxic Areas	2
Gulf of Mexico Dead Zone	3
Impacts of the Gulf of Mexico Dead Zone on Fishing	6
Chesapeake Bay	7
Oregon Coast	7
Policy and Management Efforts	8
Appropriations and Funding	10
National Ocean Service	10
Agriculture	10
Environmental Protection Agency	10

Marine Dead Zones: Understanding the Problem

Introduction and Background

Hypoxia refers to a depressed concentration of dissolved oxygen in water. While definitions vary somewhat by region, it is generally agreed that hypoxia in a marine environment occurs seasonally when dissolved oxygen levels fall below 2-3 milligrams per liter. Normal dissolved oxygen concentrations in nearshore marine waters range between 5 and 8 milligrams per liter, and many fish species begin having respiratory difficulties at concentrations below 5 milligrams per liter. In extremely low oxygen environments, less tolerant marine animals cannot survive and either leave the area or die.¹ Mortality is especially likely for sedentary species. In addition, spawning areas and other essential habitat can be destroyed by the lack of oxygen. If these conditions persist, a so-called “dead zone” may develop in which little marine life exists.² The recovery of marine ecosystems following a hypoxic event has not been extensively studied.

Decreased concentrations of dissolved oxygen result in part from natural eutrophication when nutrients (e.g., nitrogen and phosphorus) and sunlight stimulate algal growth (e.g., algae, seaweed, and phytoplankton), increasing the amount of organic matter in an aquatic ecosystem. As organisms die and sink to the bottom, they are consumed (decomposed) by oxygen-dependent bacteria, depleting the water of oxygen. When this eutrophication is extensive and persistent, bottom waters may become hypoxic, or even anoxic (no dissolved oxygen), while surface waters can be completely normal and full of life. This is encouraged by rising bottom-water temperatures in spring that stimulate increased decomposition by microbes, leading to the development of bottom-water hypoxia.

Eutrophication occurs naturally when offshore winds or surface currents cause cold, nutrient-rich, deep marine waters to rise near coasts, resulting in algal blooms and natural hypoxic events. Many of the hypoxic events along the Pacific and Atlantic coasts are caused by this natural upwelling. However, eutrophication can be increased in intensity or frequency by nutrient loading from nonpoint sources (e.g., runoff from lawns and various agricultural activities including fertilizer use and livestock feedlots), point-source discharge from sewage plants, and emissions from vehicles, power plants, and other industrial sources.

¹ Lisa A. Levin, “Oxygen Minimum Zone Benthos: Adaptation and Community Response to Hypoxia,” *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review*, v. 41 (2003): 1-45.

² Some molluscs and annelid worms are more tolerant of low oxygen conditions and can survive hypoxic episodes that last many weeks.

Hypoxic zones frequently occur in coastal areas where rivers enter the ocean (e.g., estuaries). Nutrient-rich fresh water is less dense than saltwater and typically flows across the top of the sea water. The fresh surface water effectively caps the more dense, saline bottom waters, retarding mixing, creating a two-layer system, and promoting hypoxia development in the lower, more saline waters. In the northern Gulf of Mexico, the greatest algal growth in surface waters occurs about a month after maximum river discharge, with hypoxic bottom water developing a month later.³ Hypoxia is more likely to occur in estuaries with high nutrient loading and low flushing (i.e., low freshwater turnover).⁴ Human activities that increase nutrient loading can increase the intensity, spatial extent, and duration of hypoxic events. Storms and tides may mix the hypoxic bottom water and the aerated surface water, dissipating the hypoxia.

Although the extent of effects of hypoxic events on U.S. coastal ecosystems is still uncertain, the phenomenon is of increasing concern in coastal areas. Several federal agencies are involved in analyzing the problem, including the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Legislation was enacted by the 105th Congress to provide additional authority and funding for research and monitoring to address these concerns. This authority was extended by the 108th Congress.

Hypoxic Areas

Hypoxic episodes have been recorded in all parts of the world, notably in partially enclosed seas and basins where vertical mixing is minimal, such as the Gulf of Mexico, Chesapeake Bay, the New York Bight, the Baltic Sea, and the Adriatic Sea. In March 2004, the U.N. Environment Program's *Global Environment Outlook (GEO) Year Book 2003* reported 146 dead zones where marine life could not be supported due to depleted oxygen levels.⁵ Hypoxia is becoming more frequent and widespread in these shallow coastal and estuarine areas.⁶ In addition, permanently hypoxic water masses (i.e., oxygen minimum zones) occur in the open ocean, affecting large seafloor surface areas along the continental margins of the eastern Pacific, Indian, and western Atlantic Oceans.⁷

³ D. Justic et al., "Seasonal Coupling Between Riverborne Nutrients, Net Productivity and Hypoxia," *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, v. 26 (1993): 184-189.

⁴ R. Turner and N. Rabalais, "Suspended Particulate and Dissolved Nutrient Loadings to Gulf of Mexico Estuaries," in *Biogeochemistry of Gulf of Mexico Estuaries*, T. Bianchi, J. Pennock, and R. Twilley, eds. (New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, 1999), pp. 89-107.

⁵ See *Box 4* at [<http://www.unep.org/geo/yearbook/yb2003/089.htm>].

⁶ R. J. Diaz and R. Rosenberg, "Marine Benthic Hypoxia: A Review of Its Ecological Effects and the Behavioral Responses of Benthic Macrofauna," *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review*, v. 33 (1995): 245-303. (Hereafter referred to as "Marine Benthic Hypoxia.")

⁷ John J. Helly and Lisa A. Levin, "Global Distribution of Naturally Occurring Marine (continued...)

About 21% to 43% of the area of United States' estuaries have experienced a hypoxic event; more than half of the affected area is in the Mississippi/Atchafalaya River plume.⁸ In the Mid-Atlantic region, 13 of 22 estuaries have experienced hypoxic/anoxic events.⁹ Of these, the Long Island Sound, Chesapeake Bay, Choptank River, and the New York Bight experience the most serious annual episodes. In the South Atlantic region, hypoxic/anoxic episodes are generally brief,¹⁰ with nearly two-thirds of this region's 21 estuaries experiencing some hypoxia/anoxia.¹¹ The Gulf of Mexico region experiences the highest rate of hypoxic/anoxic events, with almost 85% of this region's 38 estuaries experiencing episodes of hypoxia (including the Mississippi/Atchafalaya River plume).¹² The North Atlantic region is not as prone to hypoxic/anoxic events due to the generally low nutrient input (the result of lower population density) and high tidal flushing. However, areas adjacent to high population density (e.g., Cape Cod Bay and Massachusetts Bay) do experience oxygen depletion. In the Pacific region, hypoxia also occurs near population centers (e.g., San Diego Bay, Newport Bay, Alamitos Bay) or in areas of limited circulation, even where water temperatures are cold (e.g., Hood Canal, Whidbey basin/Skagit Bay).¹³

Gulf of Mexico Dead Zone

The hypoxic zone in the northern Gulf of Mexico is the largest observed in the estuarine and coastal regions of the western hemisphere.¹⁴ First recognized in the early 1970s, it is the largest and most hypoxic area in the United States. In the summer of 1993, following massive Mississippi River flooding, the dead zone covered more than 18,000 square kilometers (an area as large as the state of New Jersey, although pockets of oxygenated water may occur within this boundary), extending westward from the mouth of the Mississippi River to the upper Texas

⁷ (...continued)

Hypoxia on Continental Margins," *Deep-Sea Research, Part I*, v. 51 (2004): 1159-1168.

⁸ N. Rabalais, "Oxygen Depletion in Coastal Waters." *NOAA's State of the Coast Report*. (Silver Spring, MD: NOAA: 1998), National Picture, p. 4, [http://state-of-coast.noaa.gov/bulletins/html/hyp_09/hyp.html]. (Hereafter referred to as "Oxygen Depletion in Coastal Waters.")

⁹ S. Bricker, "NOAA's National Estuarine Eutrophication Survey: Selected Results for the Mid-Atlantic, South Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico Regions," *Estuarine Research Federation Newsletter*, v. 23, no. 1 (1997): 20-21. (Hereafter referred to as "NOAA's Estuarine Eutrophication Survey: Selected Results."); NOAA's Estuarine Eutrophication Survey, vol. 1: South Atlantic Region (Silver Spring, MD: National Ocean Service, Office of Ocean Resources Conservation and Assessment, 1996), p. 50.

¹⁰ "Oxygen Depletion in Coastal Waters," *Regional Contrasts*, p. 2.

¹¹ "NOAA's Estuarine Eutrophication Survey: Selected Results," pp. 20-21.

¹² *Ibid.*, pp. 20-21.

¹³ "Oxygen Depletion in Coastal Waters," *National Picture*, p. 5.

¹⁴ N. Rabalais et al., "Consequences of the 1993 Mississippi River Flood in the Gulf of Mexico," *Regulated Rivers: Research & Management*, v. 14 (1998): 161-177.

coast.¹⁵ The seasonal shape and extent of the dead zone are mostly a function of the Mississippi/Atchafalaya River plume, the combined outflow from these two major rivers, and the biological processes it influences. This hypoxic zone originates each spring, as melting snow and peak runoff flush nutrients into the Mississippi River system and eventually into the Gulf. The hypoxic zone generally occurs from May to September, but varies from year to year. After reaching a maximum size of 20,000 square kilometers in 1999, the dead zone covered a much smaller 4,400 square kilometers in 2000. Low velocity winds during the summer result in calm seas that maintain the stratified barrier between surface and bottom water layers. Only during weather disturbances, such as frontal passages, tropical storms, and hurricanes, does vertical mixing of these stratified layers occur. Increased winds and frontal storms in autumn vertically mix the water column, dissipating the hypoxia.¹⁶ In the summer of 1998, this dead zone extended from very near shore (about 10-15 feet water depth) to deeper waters than are normally hypoxic (as much as 160 feet deep off the Mississippi River delta).¹⁷

Nutrient enrichment is the primary cause of eutrophication, of some algal blooms, and of hypoxia, and is believed to be a major factor in areas such as the northern Gulf of Mexico.¹⁸ The Mississippi watershed drains 41% of the land area of the contiguous 48 states, including most of the farmbelt. Studies of the Mississippi and Atchafalaya Rivers indicate that dissolved nitrogen levels have tripled and phosphorus levels have doubled since 1960, fueling algal growth and the resultant dead zone.¹⁹

Research suggests that fertilizer leaching and runoff from upriver agricultural sources may be the main sources of nutrients. For example, USGS states that 56% of the Mississippi River's nutrient loading results from fertilizer runoff, with an additional 25% of the Mississippi River nitrogen coming from animal manure (municipal and solid wastes account for 6%, atmospheric deposition for 4%, and unknown sources for 9%).²⁰ Analysis of cores of sediments underlying the hypoxic area reveals historic information on the Mississippi River watershed, indicating that surface water productivity has increased and bottom water oxygen stress has worsened since the early 1900s, with the most dramatic changes occurring since the

¹⁵ White House Office of Science and Technology Policy, Committee on Environment and Natural Resources, Hypoxia Work Group, *Gulf of Mexico Hypoxia Assessment Plan* (March 1998), p. 3. (Hereafter referred to as "Hypoxia Work Group.")

¹⁶ In August-September 2005, the winds from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita likely promoted a somewhat earlier dissipation of the dead zone off the mouth of the Mississippi River.

¹⁷ N. Rabalais, press release, Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium (July 27, 1998).

¹⁸ Hypoxia Work Group, p. 2.

¹⁹ R. E. Turner and N. Rabalais, "Changes in Mississippi River Quality This Century — Implications for Coastal Food Webs," *BioScience*, v. 41, no. 3 (1991): 140-147; D. Justic et al., "Changes in Nutrient Structure of River-Dominated Coastal Waters: Stoichiometric Nutrient Balance and Its Consequences," *Estuarine, Coastal, and Shelf Science*, v. 40 (1995): 339-356.

²⁰ R. H. Meade, ed., *Contaminants in the Mississippi River, 1987-92*, Circular 1133 (Denver, CO: U.S. Geological Survey, 1995), 140 pp.

1950s — a change strongly correlated with increased use of commercial fertilizers in the watershed.²¹ Although hypoxia occurred in the northern Gulf of Mexico prior to heavy use of artificial fertilizers, human activities have exacerbated and prolonged the hypoxic condition. Other studies also show a direct relationship between the river-born nutrients, the high rates of phytoplankton production, and subsequent Gulf of Mexico hypoxia.²² However, questions remain as to how much of the river's nitrogen might come from natural soil mineralization, what effects floods have on nutrient transport, and how much nitrogen may be contributed by coastal land loss, estimated at 25 square miles per year.²³

Although studies have found that more than 70% of the total nitrogen transported to the Gulf of Mexico by the Mississippi River originates above the confluence of the Ohio and Mississippi Rivers,²⁴ focusing on nitrogen runoff per unit area identifies other areas where more concentrated nutrient runoff occurs.²⁵ Although the lower Mississippi basin (which drains parts of Tennessee, Arkansas, Missouri, Mississippi, and Louisiana) is responsible for only 23% of the nitrogen delivered to the Gulf, some scientists believe that nitrogen removal and/or runoff prevention strategies should focus on this area because of its much greater relative nitrogen contribution²⁶ and likely more economically efficient nitrogen removal.

²¹ T. A. Nelson et al., "Time-Based Correlation of Biogenic, Lithogenic and Authigenic Sediment Components with Anthropogenic Inputs in the Gulf of Mexico NECOP Study Area," *Estuaries*, v. 17 (Dec. 1994): 873; B. J. Eadie et al., "Records of Nutrient-Enhanced Coastal Productivity in Sediments from the Louisiana Continental Shelf," *Estuaries*, v. 17 (Dec. 1994): 754-765; N. Rabalais et al., "Nutrient Changes in the Mississippi River and System Responses on the Adjacent Continental Shelf," *Estuaries*, v. 19 (1996): 386-407; S. Gupta et al., "Seasonal Oxygen Depletion in Continental-Shelf Waters of Louisiana: Historical Record of Benthic Foraminifers," *Geology*, v. 24 (1996): 227-230; and R. E. Turner and N. Rabalais, "Coastal Eutrophication Near the Mississippi River Delta," *Nature*, v. 368 (1994): 619-621.

²² F. H. Sklar and R. E. Turner, "Characteristics of Phytoplankton Production Off Barataria Bay in an Area Influenced by the Mississippi River," *Marine Science*, v. 24 (1981): 93-106; S. E. Lohrenz, M. J. Dagg, and T. E. Whittedge, "Enhanced Primary Production at the Plume/Oceanic Interface of the Mississippi River," *Continental Shelf Research*, v. 7 (1990): 639-664; S. E. Lohrenz et al., "Variations in Primary Productivity of Northern Gulf of Mexico Continental Shelf Waters Linked to Nutrient Inputs from the Mississippi River," *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, v. 155 (1997): 435-454.

²³ D. Malakoff, "Death by Suffocation in the Gulf of Mexico," *Science*, v. 281 (July 10, 1998): 190-192. (Hereafter referred to as "Death by Suffocation.")

²⁴ R. Alexander, R. Smith, and G. Schwarz, "The Regional Transport of Point and Nonpoint-Source Nitrogen to the Gulf of Mexico," *Proceedings of the First Gulf of Mexico Hypoxia Management Conference*, Kenner, LA (Dec. 5-6, 1995), pp. 127-133 (hereafter referred to as "Regional Transport"); R. H. Meade, ed., *Contaminants in the Mississippi River, 1987-92*, Circular 1133 (Denver, CO: U.S. Geological Survey, 1995), 140 pp.

²⁵ Of this total, 39% is contributed by the upper and central Mississippi River basins (which include Minnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, Missouri, and Illinois), 22% by the Ohio River basin, and 11% by the Missouri River basin.

²⁶ Nitrogen runoff for the lower Mississippi basin is 2,072 kilograms of nitrogen per square (continued...)

Researchers estimate that the benefits of nutrient controls in this lower basin could be twice as effective as implementing them in upstream basins.²⁷ Workshops and conferences have identified strategies for implementing nutrient controls in the lower Mississippi basin.²⁸

Many farming interests maintain that evidence has not proven that agricultural practices are the primary contributors to the development of the Gulf of Mexico dead zone.²⁹ Some farmers dispute that they contribute substantially to creating a dead zone that is as much as 1,000 miles away. They argue that their goal is to keep as much as possible of the applied nutrients on their land, since any nutrients that wash away represent wasted money. On the other hand, it is estimated that as much as half of the applied nutrients are lost to surface or ground water and to the air, resulting in approximately \$750 million in excess nitrogen (calculated as fertilizer cost) entering the Mississippi River each year.³⁰

Impacts of the Gulf of Mexico Dead Zone on Fishing. The Gulf of Mexico supports important, easily accessible commercial and recreational fisheries, bringing in almost \$2.9 billion annually in retail sales to Louisiana and supporting almost 50,000 jobs.³¹ These highly productive fisheries are the direct result of the input of nutrients from the Mississippi River watershed. To date, no studies have investigated the linkage between fishery declines and hypoxic episodes in the Gulf, but some evidence suggests the dead zone may force fish and shrimp further offshore as well as into shallow nearshore areas (producing what is locally called a “jubilee”) that may provide less desirable habitat.³² Hypoxia increases stress on aquatic ecosystems and may decrease biological diversity in areas experiencing repeated and severe hypoxia.³³ Crowding of marine life into restricted habitat also may lead to indirect consequences through altered competition and predation interactions. In

²⁶ (...continued)

kilometer per year compared to 708 kilograms of nitrogen per square kilometer per year for the upper Mississippi basin and 437 kilograms of nitrogen per square kilometer per year for the Ohio River basin.

²⁷ “Regional Transport,” p. 131.

²⁸ For example, see C. L. Cordes and B. A. Vairin, eds., *Workshop on Solutions and Approaches for Alleviating Hypoxia in the Gulf of Mexico*, NWRC Special Report 98-02 (Lafayette, LA: U.S. Geological Survey, 1998), 53 p.

²⁹ C. David Kelly, “Hypoxia Issue Paints a Murky Picture,” *Voice of Agriculture, American Farm Bureau* (Sept. 29, 1997), at [<http://www.fb.org/index.php?fuseaction=newsroom.focusfocus&year=1997&file=fo0929.html>].

³⁰ “Death by Suffocation,” pp. 190-192.

³¹ Southwick Associates, *The Economic Benefits of Fisheries, Wildlife and Boating Resources in the State of Louisiana* (Arlington, VA: March 1997), 21 pp.

³² Roger Zimmerman et al., “Trends in Shrimp Catch in the Hypoxic Area of the Northern Gulf of Mexico,” *Proceedings for the First Gulf of Mexico Hypoxia Management Conference*, Kenner, LA (Dec. 5-6, 1995), pp. 64-75.

³³ “Marine Benthic Hypoxia,” pp. 285-287.

addition, hypoxia may delay or impede the offshore migration of older, larger shrimp, preventing shrimp trawlers from selectively targeting larger shrimp for harvest.

While it is unclear what specific effects the dead zone has on Gulf fisheries, the occurrence of this dead zone may force fishing vessels to change their normal fishing patterns, possibly expending more time and fuel to harvest their catch. One study has concluded that any increase in fishing expenses could drive marginal operators out of business. Other potential impacts on Louisiana fisheries include concentration of fishing effort in other areas, resulting in localized overfishing; damage to essential habitat, and possible decreased future production; shellfish mortality, if hypoxic conditions impinge on barrier island beaches and coastal bay waters; localized mortality of finfish and shellfish in shoreline areas; and decreased growth due to reduced food resources in the sediments and water column.³⁴ In August 1997, the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries initiated a three-year study, funded by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA, U.S. Department of Commerce), to determine the dead zone's impact on commercial fisheries.

Chesapeake Bay

Hypoxic conditions have been recognized in Chesapeake Bay for many years.³⁵ In 2003, Virginia Institute of Marine Science scientists found a 250-square-mile area of hypoxic water in upper Chesapeake Bay at depths below about 20 feet, from north of Annapolis nearly to the mouth of the Potomac River. The low oxygen levels were attributed to large nutrient inputs, likely carried into the bay by runoff from above-average winter snowfall and spring rains. This runoff was able to pick up nutrients that had accumulated in surrounding soils during four consecutive years of dry weather.

Oregon Coast

Although permanently hypoxic water masses (oxygen minimum zones) affect large seafloor surface areas along the continental margin of the eastern Pacific Ocean, no dead zone events had been reported in the nearshore waters off the Oregon coast prior to 2002. By 2006, the Oregon coastal dead zone was significantly larger, thicker, longer lasting, and lower in oxygen concentration than previous years, extending along the ocean floor from Cape Perpetua (Florence) in the south to Cascade Head (Lincoln City) in the north, as close to shore as the 50-foot depth.³⁶

³⁴ J. Hanifen et al., "Potential Impacts of Hypoxia on Fisheries: Louisiana's Fishery-Independent Data," *Proceedings for the First Gulf of Mexico Hypoxia Management Conference*, Kenner, LA (Dec. 5-6, 1995), pp. 87-100.

³⁵ Denise L. Breitburg, "Episodic Hypoxia in Chesapeake Bay: Interacting Effects of Recruitment, Behavior, and Physical Disturbance," *Ecological Monographs*, v. 62, no. 4 (1992): 525-546; James D. Hagy et al., "Hypoxia in Chesapeake Bay, 1950-2001: Long-Term Change in Relation to Nutrient Loading and River Flow," *Estuaries*, v. 27, no. 4 (2004): 634-659.

³⁶ For more information, see [<http://www.piscoweb.org/research/oceanography/hypoxia>].

The appearance and growth of this dead zone is attributed to fundamental, but not well understood, changes in ocean conditions off the Oregon coast.³⁷

Policy and Management Efforts

Since a temporary, yet severe, hypoxic event could result in significant mortality or injury to marine mammals, fish, and other aquatic species, many have deemed better understanding and consistent monitoring of hypoxic phenomena necessary. NOAA initiated the Nutrient Enhanced Coastal Ocean Productivity (NECOP) program in 1989 to study the effects of nutrient discharges on U.S. coastal waters. This study found a clear link between nutrient input, enhanced primary production (i.e., algal and plant growth), and hypoxic events in the northern Gulf of Mexico.³⁸

In response to a January 1995 petition from the Sierra Club Legal Defense Fund (currently known as Earthjustice Legal Defense Fund) on behalf of 18 environmental, social justice, and fishermen's organizations, the Gulf of Mexico Program³⁹ held a conference in December 1995 to outline the issue and identify potential actions. Following that conference, Robert Perciasepe, Assistant EPA Administrator for Water, convened an interagency group of senior Administration officials (the "principals group") to discuss potential policy actions and related science needs. Subsequently, this "principals group" created a Mississippi River/Gulf of Mexico Watershed Nutrient Task Force. Additionally, the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy's Committee on Environment and Natural Resources (CENR) conducted a Hypoxia Science Assessment at the request of EPA. The CENR assessment was peer-reviewed, made available for public comment, and submitted to the task force to assist in developing policy recommendations and a strategy for addressing hypoxia in the northern Gulf of Mexico.

In response to an integrated scientific assessment of hypoxia in the northern Gulf of Mexico by the multi-agency Watershed Nutrient Task Force,⁴⁰ a Plan of Action for addressing hypoxia was released in January 2001.⁴¹ Estimates based on water-quality measurements and streamflow records indicate that a 40% reduction in total nitrogen flux to the Gulf is necessary to return to average loads comparable to those during 1955-1970. Model simulations suggest that, short of this 40% reduction, nutrient load reductions of about 20%-30% would result in a 15%-50% increase in dissolved oxygen concentrations in bottom waters. Strategies selected focus on encouraging voluntary, practical, and cost-effective actions; using existing

³⁷ Brian A. Grantham, et al., "Upwelling-Driven Nearshore Hypoxia Signals Ecosystem and Oceanographic Changes in the Northeast Pacific," *Nature*, v. 429 (June 17, 2004): 749-754.

³⁸ NOAA, Coastal Ocean Program Office, *Nutrient-Enhanced Coastal Ocean Productivity, Proceedings of 1994 Synthesis Workshop* (1995), p. 119; see also *Estuaries*, v. 17, no. 4 (Dec. 1994): 729-911.

³⁹ The Gulf of Mexico Program is a cooperative federal-state effort beginning after Congress, through P.L. 102-178, designated 1992 as the Year of the Gulf of Mexico. For additional information on this program, see [<http://www.epa.gov/gmpo/>].

⁴⁰ See [http://www.nos.noaa.gov/products/pubs_hypox.html].

⁴¹ See [<http://www.epa.gov/msbasin/taskforce/pdf/actionplan.pdf>].

programs, including existing state and federal regulatory mechanisms; and following adaptive management. A reassessment of progress on implementing this action plan was initiated in 2005.⁴²

A key consideration is the level and duration of the necessary reduction in excess nutrients from watersheds. Many agricultural lands have been saturated with nutrients for many years, and it may take a long time to “cycle out” excess nitrogen and phosphorus, even if application rates are reduced.⁴³ While some believe this problem may have no fast solutions and any management regime considered will need to recognize that progress or improvement may not be apparent for years or even decades, others suggest that improved agricultural practices in efficient application of chemical fertilizers and prevention of soil erosion could yield immediate and measurable benefits. Various policy options for modifying agriculture practices continue to be discussed.⁴⁴

Because nonpoint sources are major contributors to the problem at the mouth of the Mississippi River system, many believe the Clean Water Act is the appropriate legal framework for addressing future nutrient inputs. Under §319 of the Clean Water Act, Louisiana and other states have initiated nonpoint-source⁴⁵ control programs. These programs seek to combine local, state, and federal agency resources to address pollution from nonpoint sources within each state.⁴⁶ To effectively address concerns, however, nonpoint-source programs would need to be encouraged, funded, and implemented throughout the Mississippi River watershed. Under §303 of the Clean Water Act, states must identify water-quality-limited segments of their waters that are not meeting standards, and then establish total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) for each listed water and each pollutant (e.g., nutrients) that is not meeting current water quality standards. In addition, agricultural research and educational outreach/assistance to farmers might complement regulatory efforts.

Congress took note of the hypoxia problem in 1997 when the conference report on FY1998 Department of the Interior appropriations (H.Rept. 105-337) directed the USGS to give priority attention to hypoxia in its FY1999 budget. Near the end of the 105th Congress, provisions of the Harmful Algal Bloom and Hypoxia Research and Control Act of 1998 were incorporated into the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 1998. This measure was signed into law as P.L. 105-383 on November 13, 1998; Title VI authorized appropriations through NOAA to conduct research, monitoring,

⁴² See [<http://www.epa.gov/msbasin/taskforce/reassess2005.htm>].

⁴³ “Death by Suffocation” (citing Don Goolsby, USGS, Denver, CO), pp. 190-192.

⁴⁴ For example, see Suzie Greenhalgh and Amanda Sauer, “Awakening the Dead Zone: An Investment for Agriculture, Water Quality, and Climate Change,” *World Resources Institute Issue Brief* (February 2003), 24 p.

⁴⁵ Originating from land use activities; sediment, organic and inorganic chemicals, and biological, radiological, and other toxic substances are carried to lakes and streams by surface runoff.

⁴⁶ D. Sabin and J. Boydston, “Louisiana Activities and Programs in Nutrient Control and Management,” *Proceedings of the First Gulf of Mexico Hypoxia Management Conference*, Kenner, LA (Dec. 5-6, 1995), pp. 196-198.

education, and management activities for the prevention, reduction, and control of hypoxia, harmful algal blooms, *Pfiesteria*, and other aquatic toxins. In 2004, Title I of P.L. 108-456, the Harmful Algal Bloom and Hypoxia Amendments Act of 2004, expanded this authority and reauthorized appropriations through FY2008.

Appropriations and Funding

National Ocean Service. Hypoxia research is regularly funded through appropriations to the National Ocean Service — part of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration in the Department of Commerce — in their Extramural Research account under *National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science*. For FY2007, NOAA requested \$6 million for extramural research for grants related to harmful algal bloom and hypoxia forecasting.

Agriculture. In the last few years, the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service has provided a special research grant of around \$220,000 annually to Iowa State University's Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture for a project to define and implement new methods and practices in farming that reduce impacts on water quality and the hypoxia problem in the Gulf of Mexico.

Environmental Protection Agency. In the last few years, the Environmental Protection Agency's Environmental Programs and Management account has provided a specific authorization of around \$125,000 annually for the Missouri Department of Agriculture's Hypoxia Education and Stewardship Project. This effort seeks to educate Missouri producers about hypoxia and encourage use of practices that will reduce the amount of nitrogen lost through leaching and/or evaporation.